

Published on Web 08/04/2007

Total Synthesis of Kinamycins C, F, and J

K. C. Nicolaou,* Hongming Li, Andrea L. Nold, Doron Pappo, and Achim Lenzen

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, and the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92093

Received June 27, 2007; E-mail: kcn@scripps.edu

The kinamycins (e.g., A–D, F and J, **1a–1f**, Figure 1) are a series of naturally occurring compounds endowed with intriguing molecular architectures and potent biological properties, including antibiotic and antitumor activities.¹ These novel diazofluorene-containing compounds defied chemical synthesis² since their initial disclosure by Omura et al. in 1970¹ until the first total synthesis of kinamycin C by Porco et al. in late 2006,³ and of methyl-kinamycin C by the Kumamoto–Ishihawa group in 2007.⁴ We now report our enantioselective total synthesis of kinamycins C, F, and J through a convergent strategy that is both enantioselective and expedient.



Figure 1. Structures of kinamycins A–D, F, and J (1a–1f) and of key building blocks 2 and 3.

The unique carbocyclic skeleton of the kinamycin molecule is boldly defined by its fluorenone structural motif that could, in principle, be forged through the union of key building blocks 2and 3 (Figure 1) by a strategy featuring an Ullmann-type coupling followed by an intramolecular benzoin-like condensation and further elaboration. Cognizant of the sensitivity of the diazo group, we left its installation toward the end of the designed synthetic sequence, although we harbor aspirations to reach as many kinamycin congeners as possible from a common intermediate through the deployment of suitable conditions.

The construction of the building block **2** (see Scheme 1) began with **4**,⁵ whose allylation with vinyl acetic acid in the presence of $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$ and cat. AgNO₃⁶ proceeded smoothly to afford napthoquinone **5** in 75% yield, an intermediate that was suitably protected as its dimethoxy benzyl form **6** [(i) BnBr, Ag₂O, 92% yield; (ii) Na₂S₂O₄; NaH, MeI, 82% yield]. The latter compound was then converted to the desired fragment, bromo-aldehyde **2**, by first conjugating its olefinic bond (*t*-BuOK, 98% yield) and then oxidatively cleaving it (OsO₄, NaIO₄, 84% yield).

The construction of the other required fragment, iodo-enone **3**, in its enantiomerically pure form was carried out as summarized in Scheme 2. Thus, the readily available enone **7** (\geq 80% ee)⁷ was elaborated into its methylated derivative **8** through a two-step sequence involving conjugate addition of a methyl group and trapping (MeMgBr, CuBr•Me₂S, TMSCl), followed by Saegusa oxidation⁸ of the resulting silyl enol ether [Pd(OAc)₂ cat., O₂, 90%

Scheme 1. Construction of Bromo-aldehyde 2^a



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) vinylacetic acid (1.3 equiv), AgNO₃ (0.3 equiv), $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$ (2.0 equiv), MeCN/H₂O, 2:1, 65 °C, 3 h, 75%; (b) BnBr (2.0 equiv), Ag₂O (1.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 18 h, 92%; (c) Na₂S₂O₄ (5.0 equiv), Et₂O/EtOAc/H₂O, 10:1:10, , 25 °C, 30 min; NaH (2.1 equiv), MeI (2.2 equiv), DMF, -15 °C, 1 h, 82%; (d) *t*-BuOK (2.0 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 2 h, 98%; (e) OsO₄ (0.01 equiv), NaIO₄ (2.4 equiv), THF/H₂O, 2:1, 70 °C, 18 h, 84%. Abbreviations: DMF = dimethylformamide, Bn = benzyl, THF = tetrahydrofuran.

Scheme 2. Construction of lodo-enone 3^a



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) MeMgBr (3.0 M in Et₂O, 2.0 equiv), CuBr·Me₂S (0.1 equiv), THF/HMPA, 10:1, −78 °C, 30 min; enone **8** (1.0 equiv), TMSCl (2.5 equiv), −78 °C, 30 min; −78 → 25 °C, 30 min; then, Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv), DMSO, O₂ (1 atm), 18 h, 25 °C, 90%; (b) OsO₄ (0.02 equiv), NMO (1.5 equiv), Me₂CO/H₂O, 10:1, 25 °C, 45 min, 76% after 3 recrytallizations, >98% ee; (c) 2-MeO-propene (10.0 equiv), CSA (0.02 equiv), THF, 25 °C, 18 h, 95%; d) LiHMDS (1.0 M in THF, 3.0 equiv), TMSCl (3.0 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 30 min; then, Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv), DMSO, O₂ (1 atm), 25 °C, 18 h, 84%; (e) I₂ (3.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂:py, 1:2, 25 °C, 30 min, 92%. Abbreviations: TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl, HMPA = hexamethyl phosphoramide, TMS = trimethylsilyl, DMSO = dimethylsulfoxide, NMO = *N*-methylmorpholine-*N*-oxide, CSA = camphorsulfonic acid, HMDS = 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane, py = pyridine.

overall yield]. The latter compound was then stereoselectively dihydroxylated (OsO₄ cat., NMO, 76% yield after three recrystal-

10356 J. AM. CHEM. SOC. 2007, 129, 10356-10357





^a Reagents and conditions: (a) 2 (1.5 equiv), 3 (1.0 equiv), Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.1 equiv), CuI (0.4 equiv), Cu (10.0 equiv), DMSO, 65 °C, 2.5 h, 83%; (b) 13 (0.2 equiv), Et_3N (2.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2, 45 °C, 4 h, 78%; (c) Ac_2O (10.0 equiv), Et₃N (10.0 equiv), DMAP (1.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 20 h, 95%; (d) SmI₂ (2.0 equiv), MeOH (5.0 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 10 min; (e) Et₃N (2.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 1 h, 81% over two steps; (f) SeO₂ (1.2 equiv), 1,4-dioxane, 110 °C, 9 h, 72%; (g) aq HF/MeCN, 3:10, 25 °C, 3 h; (h) Ac₂O (10.0 equiv), Et₃N (10.0 equiv), DMAP (1.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 20 min, 89% over two steps; (i) 10% Pd/C (10% w/w), EtOAc/AcOH, 300:1, H₂ (1 atm), 25 °C, 3 h, 99%; (j) TBSCl (5.0 equiv), imid. (6.0 equiv), DMF, 25 °C, 3 h, 94%; (k) TsNHNH2 (5.0 equiv), 1 M aq HCl/i-PrOH, 1:40, 25 °C, 18 h, ca. 4:1 mixture of isomers, 95%; (1) CAN (3.0 equiv), MeCN/pH 7 buffer, 10:1, 0 °C, 1 h, 42%; m) 1 M aq HCl/MeCN, 1:2, 25 °C, 3 h, 95%; n) Ac₂O (10.0 equiv), Et₃N (10.0 equiv), DMAP (1.0 equiv), CH2Cl2, 25 °C, 2 h; o) 1 M aq HCl/MeCN, 1:2, 25 °C, 3 h, 80% over two steps; p) 0.2 M aq LiOH/THF, 1:2, 25 °C, 1 h, 92%. Abbreviations: dba = dibenzylideneacetone, DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine, imid. = imidazole, Ts = tosyl, CAN = cerium ammonium nitrate.

lizations, \geq 98% ee) to furnish vicinal diol 9, whose protection as an acetonide (2-methoxypropene, CSA) gave 10 in 95% yield. Exposure of ketone 10 to LiHMDS-TMSCl, followed by treatment of the resulting silvl enol ether with catalytic amounts of Pd(OAc)₂ in the presence of oxygen, led to enone 11 in 84% yield. Finally, iodination of 11 (I2, py) furnished the desired iodo-enone 3 in 92% yield.

Available in multigram quantities, fragments 2 and 3 were coupled under modified Ullmann conditions (Cu, CuI cat., Pd2-(dba)₃ cat.)⁹ to afford coupling product **12** in 83% yield (Scheme 3). The latter entered into a benzoin-type reaction in the presence of the Rovis catalyst 13,10 yielding hydroxyketone 14 as an inconsequential ca. 3:1 mixture of diastereomers in 78% yield. Alcohol 14 (mixture of isomers) was then acylated (Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP, 95% yield) and exposed to SmI211 in the presence of MeOH and then Et₃N to cleave the acetate and migrate the double bond into conjugation (81% yield over the two steps). Allylic oxidation of the resulting fluorenone with SeO₂ provided, stereoselectively, alcohol 15 in 72% yield. The TBS and acetonide groups were then removed from 15 through the action of aq HF in MeCN, and the resulting tetraol was selectively tri-acetylated (Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP, 89% overall yield for the two steps), and then debenzylated (H₂, 10% Pd/C) to afford advanced fluorenone 16 in 99% yield. Finally, temporary protection of the phenolic group (TBSCl, imid., 94% yield) followed by tosyl hydrazone formation [TsNHNH₂, aq HCl, ca. 4:1 mixture of isomers (inconsequential), 95% yield]⁴ and oxidation (CAN) led to TBS-protected kinamycin C (17) in 42% yield. Exposure of the latter compound to aq HCl in MeCN furnished kinamycin C (1c) in 95% yield. Acetylation of 17 (Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP), followed by TBS-ether cleavage (aq HCl, MeCN) provided kinamycin J (1f) in 80% yield over the two steps, while LiOH-mediated removal of all protecting groups from 17 led to kinamycin F (1e) in 92% yield. The spectroscopic data of synthetic kinamycins C, F, and J were consistent with those reported for the natural compounds.1

The described chemistry provides an expedient and flexible entry into the kinamycin family of antitumor antibiotics and promises to be useful in the total synthesis of their more complex dimeric cousins, the lomaiviticins.¹²

Acknowledgment. Dedicated to George A. Olah on the occasion of his 80th birthday. We thank Dr. D. H. Huang and Dr. L. Pasterneck for NMR spectroscopic assistance and Dr. G. Siuzak for mass spectrometric assistance. Financial support for this work was provided by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (U.S.A.) and the Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, and postdoctoral fellowships from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (to A.L.) and ICES A*STAR, Singapore (to D.P.).

Note Added after ASAP Publication. A correction to ref 9 was made in the version published on August 9, 2007.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and compound characterization. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Isolation: (a) Ito, S.; Matsuya, T.; Omura, S.; Otani, M.; Nakagawa, A. J. Antibiot. 1970, 23, 315. (b) Hata, T.; Omura, S.; Iwai, Y.; Nakagawa, A.; Otani, M. J. Antibiot. 1971, 24, 353. (c) Omura, S.; Nakagawa, A.; Yamada, H.; Hata, T.; Furusake, A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1973, 21, 931. (d) Furusaki, A.; Marsui, M.; Watanabe, T.; Omura, S.; Nakagawa, A.; Hata, T. Isr. J. Chem. 1972, 10, 173. Structural revision: (e) Gould, S. J.; Tamayo, N.; Melville, C. R.; Cone, M. C. J. Am. Chem Soc. 1994, 116, 2207. (f) Mithani, S.; Weeratunga, G.; Taylor, N. J.; Dmitrienko, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 2209.
- For reviews, see: (a) Gould, S. J. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2499. (b) Marco-Contelles, J.; Mokina, M. T. Curr. Org. Chem. 2003, 7, 1433. (c) Kummamoto, T.; Ishikawa, T.; Omura, S. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 2004, 62, 49
- (3) Lei, X.; Porco, J. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14790.
- (4) Kumamoto, T.; Kitani, Y.; Tsuchiya, H.; Yamaguchi, K.; Seki, H.; Ishikawa, T. *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 5189.
- (5) Kitani, Y.; Morita, A.; Kumamoto, T.; Ishikawa, T. Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 1186.
- Kesteleyn, B.; De Kimpe, N.; Van Puyvelde, L. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, (6)1173
- (7) Giuffredi, G.; Bobbio, C.; Gouverneur, V. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5361.
- Suzuki, H.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1494. (8)Banwell, M. G.; Kelly, B. D.; Kokas, O. J.; Lupton, D. W. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2497. The addition of catalytic amounts of CuI, in our case,
- markedly improved the yield of the Ullmann coupling. (10) Kerr, M. S.; de Alaniz, J. R.; Rovis, T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5725. (11) For reviews, see: (a) Molander, G. A. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 29. (b) Kagan,
- H. B. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10351. (12)
- He, H.; Ding, W.-D.; Bernan, V. S.; Richardson, A. D.; Ireland, C. M.; Greenstein, M.; Ellestad, G. A.; Carter, G. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5362

JA074297D