

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 66 (2005) 652-654

JOURNAL OF PHYSICS AND CHEMISTRY OF SOLIDS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpcs

Thermoelectric properties of UB₄ from 300 to 850 K

Yoshimasa Nishi^a, Yuji Arita^b, Tsuneo Matsui^{a,*}, Kouta Iwasaki^a, Takanori Nagasaki^a

^aDepartment of Quantum Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan ^bResearch Center for Nuclear Materials Recycle, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

Accepted 14 June 2004

Abstract

Seebeck coefficient and the electrical conductivity for polycrystalline $U^n B$ ($^n B_4$: natural boron) were measured in the temperature range from 300 to 850 K. Seebeck coefficient increased with temperature from $8 \mu V K^{-1}$ at 300 K to $40 \mu V K^{-1}$ at 850 K, and the electrical conductivity decreased with increasing temperature from $2.7 \times 10^3 \text{ Scm}^{-1}$ at 300 K to $2.3 \times 10^3 \text{ Scm}^{-1}$ at 850 K. The temperature dependence of the electrical conductivity suggested that UB_4 had semimetallic character. The power factor for $U^n B_4$ showed the value of $3.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ at 850 K. The power factor for isotopically enriched $U^{11}B_4$ showed the value of $7.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ at 850 K. It was suggested that the power factor for UB₄ was enhanced by the increase in carrier concentration with impurities. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: D. Electrical conductivity

1. Introduction

In the nuclear fuel cycle, a large amount of depleted uranium (99.8% 238 U) is discharged. The depleted uranium is not adequate for the re-use as nuclear fuel except the blanket in fast-breeder reactor. Therefore, the utilization of the depleted uranium is important to complete the nuclear fuel cycle.

The thermoelectric material directly converts heat into electricity, and vice versa. The thermoelectric figure of merit (Z), $Z = (\alpha^2 \cdot \sigma/\kappa)$ where α is Seebeck coefficient, σ is electrical conductivity and κ is thermal conductivity, is proportional to $m^{2/3}(\mu_c/\kappa_{\rm ph})$ [1], where *m* is the effective mass of the charge carrier, μ_c is the charge carrier mobility and $\kappa_{\rm ph}$ is the thermal conductivity by phonons. In general, materials having large average atomic number tend to exhibit large $\mu_c/\kappa_{\rm ph}$, and f-electrons lead to large effective mass of the charge carrier. Since uranium has the large atomic number (92) and 5f-electrons, the thermoelectric properties of materials including U are of interest.

Furthermore, $\kappa_{\rm ph}$ is able to controlled by isotopic composition.

We have investigated thermoelectric properties of URu₂Si₂, U₂Ru₃Si₅ [2] and UB₄ [3]. The power factor for URu₂Si₂ and U₂Ru₃Si₅ showed the maximum value of 1.2×10^{-4} , 5.4×10^{-5} Wm⁻¹ K⁻² at 1100 K, respectively. The thermoelectric properties for UB₄ were only measured in the temperature range from 80 to 300 K. Power factor and Z increased with temperature and showed the maximum value of 1.3×10^{-5} Wm⁻¹ K⁻² and 4.2×10^{-3} K⁻¹ at 300 K, respectively. Many compounds including boron such as β-boron [4], boron carbide [5–7] and α -AlB₁₂ [4] indicate high thermoelectric properties at high temperature. Therefore, UB₄ is expected to exhibit high thermoelectric properties for UB₄, however, were not reported except our previous study at low temperatures [3].

In this study, Seebeck coefficient and the electrical conductivity for polycrystalline U^nB_4 (^{*n*}B: natural boron) were measured in the temperature range from 300 to 850 K to investigate the thermoelectric properties for UB₄. The thermoelectric properties for U¹⁰B₄ and U¹¹B₄, which were prepared from ¹⁰B or ¹¹B enriched boron powder and depleted uranium were also reported to confirm the isotope effect for electrical properties.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +81 52 789 4682; fax: +81 52 789 4691. *E-mail address:* t-matsui@nucl.nagoya-u.ac.jp (T. Matsui).

10

2. Experimental

Each specimen was prepared by the arc-melt method in an argon atmosphere using "B powder (natural boron, Koch Chemicals Ltd), isotopically enriched ¹⁰B and ¹¹B powders (TRACE Co., Ltd) and depleted-U metal (Power Reactor and Nuclear Fuel Development Corp.). The isotopic compositions for boron powders and U metal are shown in Table 1. A presence of a single phase for each sample was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis.

Seebeck coefficient and the electrical conductivity were measured in vacuum in the temperature range from 300 to 850 K. Seebeck coefficient was calculated within $\pm 10\%$ errors from the difference of temperature and electromotive force between the both ends of sample. The electrical conductivity was measured by the direct current four-probe method.

3. Results and discussion

UB₄ crystallizes in the ThB₄-type structure (space group P4/mbm) [8–10]. The lattice parameters of UⁿB₄, U¹⁰B₄ and U¹¹B₄ are shown in Table 1 together with a literature value of UⁿB₄ [10]. From the isotopic composition dependence of the lattice parameters for Ge [11] and C [12], the lattice parameters are considered to depend on the isotopic composition as a consequence of the zero-point motion. The differences in the lattice parameters among three samples, however, could not be detected.

The measured electrical conductivity (σ) is plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 1 together with the electrical conductivity for UⁿB₄ below 300 K. The electrical conductivity for UⁿB₄ decreased with increasing temperature from 2.7×10^3 Scm⁻¹ at 300 K to 2.3×10^3 Scm⁻¹ at 850 K. The electrical conductivity of UⁿB₄ at 300 K obtained in this study is in good agreement with that obtained in our previous study [3]. Although, the electrical conductivity of metals decreases inversely proportional to the temperature, the decreasing rate of the electrical conductivity for UB₄ was 40% of that for metals. Moreover, the magnitude of the electrical conductivity is smaller than that for metals. These behaviors suggest that UB₄ is semimetal.

As is seen in Fig. 1, the electrical conductivities for $U^{10}B_4$ and $U^{11}B_4$ were larger than that for U^nB_4 . According to our previous study [13], the thermal conductivity for isotopically enriched boron carbide $({}^{10}B_4{}^{12}C, {}^{11}B_4{}^{12}C)$

Table I				
The lattice	parameters	and	isotope	abundances

Sample	Lattice param	Lattice parameter		Isotopic composition	
	a (nm)	<i>c</i> (nm)	¹⁰ B (at.%)	¹¹ B (at.%)	
$U^n B_4$	0.70771(8)	0.39813(6)	19.8	80.2	
$U^{10}B_4$	0.70769(8)	0.39810(6)	99.75	0.25	
$U^{11}B_4$	0.70778(10)	0.39819(9)	0.48	99.52	
$U^{n}B_{4}$ [10]	0.70773(2)	0.39816(2)	19.8	80.2	

8 Electrical Conductivity, $\sigma / 10^3$ S cm⁻¹ CULINE CONTRACTOR CONT 5 1 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 Temperature, T / K

Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the electrical conductivity for UB₄. (\blacklozenge) U^{*n*}B₄, (\blacklozenge) U^{*1*0}B₄, (\circlearrowright) U^{*1*0}B₄, (\diamondsuit) U^{*1*1}B₄, (\diamondsuit) U^{*n*}B₄ [3].

¹⁰ $B_4^{13}C$ and ¹¹ $B_4^{12}C$) was smaller than that for natural boron carbide "B₄"C. In general, the thermal conductivity of isotopically enriched materials are enhanced by the reduction of the probability of the phonon-isotope scattering. The reduction of the thermal conductivity for isotopically enriched boron carbide indicated that the content of impurities in isotopically enriched powders was larger than that in natural powder. Therefore, it was thought that the electrical conductivity for U¹⁰B₄ and U¹¹B₄, which were made from the same isotopically enriched boron powder as in the previous study [13], were larger than that for U"B₄ due to the impurities.

Seebeck coefficient (α) is plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 2 together with that for $U^n B_4$ below 300 K [3]. Seebeck coefficient for $U^n B_4$ was positive and increased with temperature from 8 μ VK⁻¹ at 300 K to





Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of the power factor for UB₄. (\blacklozenge) UⁿB₄, (\circlearrowright) U¹⁰B₄, (\circlearrowright) U¹¹B₄, (\diamondsuit) UⁿB₄ [3]. (\longrightarrow) U [14,15], (---) B₄C [5], (----) B₄C [6]. (---) B₄C [7], (----) β-boron [4], (----) α-AlB₁₂ [4].

40 μ VK⁻¹ at 850 K. The Seebeck coefficient of U^{*n*}B₄ at 300 K obtained in this study is also in good agreement with that obtained in our previous study [3]. The magnitude of Seebeck coefficient was larger than that for metals, which corresponded to semimetallic behavior of the electrical conductivity. Seebeck coefficients for U¹⁰B₄ and U¹¹B₄ were larger than that for U^{*n*}B₄ below 600 K, and the deference tended to be small with increasing temperature above 600 K.

The power factor $(\alpha^2 \cdot \sigma)$, which is the electrical output of the thermoelectric devices, is shown in Fig. 3. The power factor for UB₄ increased monotonically with temperature and showed the value of 3.4×10^{-4} Wm⁻¹ K⁻² for UⁿB₄, 6.6×10^{-4} Wm⁻¹ K⁻² for U¹⁰B₄ and 7.2×10^{-4} Wm⁻¹ K⁻² for U¹¹B₄ at 850 K. Although the power factor for UⁿB₄ was smaller than that of β-boron [4] and U metal [14,15], the power factor for U¹⁰B₄ and U¹¹B₄ up to 850 K was larger than that for U metal [14,15], β-boron [4], boron carbide [5–7] and α -AlB₁₂ [4]. These relatively high power factors for U¹⁰B₄ and U¹¹B₄ are ascribed to large carrier concentrations introduced by non-isotopic impurities.

4. Conclusion

The electrical conductivity decreased with increasing temperature and Seebeck coefficient was larger than that for metals, which suggested that UB_4 indicated semimetallic behavior.

The power factor for $U^n B_4$ showed the maximum value of $3.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ at 850 K. The power factor of $U^{10}B_4$ and $U^{11}B_4$ were larger than that for $U^n B_4$, indicating that the power factor was enhanced by the impurities. The power factor of $U^{11}B_4$ showed the value of $7.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ at 850 K which was close to a standard value for practical use, $\alpha^2 \cdot \sigma = 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$. Therefore, UB₄ is a promising thermoelectric material. Moreover, improvement of the power factor is expected by the control of the charge carrier density.

References

- A.E. Ioffe, Semiconductor Thermoelements and Thermoelectric Cooling, Inforsearch Ltd, London, 1957.
- [2] Y. Arita, K. Terao, S. Mitsuda, Y. Nishi, T. Matsui, T. Nagasaki, J. Nucl. Mater. 294 (2001) 206.
- [3] Y. Nishi, Y. Arita, K. Terao, T. Matsui, T. Nagasaki, J. Nucl. Mater. 294 (2001) 209.
- [4] O.A. Golikova, S. Samatov, Phys. Status Solidi 77 (1983) 449.
- [5] M. Bouchacourt, F. Thevenot, J. Mater. Sci. 20 (1985) 1237.
- [6] C. Wood, Boron Rich Solid AIP Conf. Proc. 140 (1986) 362.
- [7] K. Koumoto, Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. 73 (1994) 84.
- [8] A. Zalkin, D.H. Templeton, Acta Crystallogr. 6 (1953) 269.
- [9] P. Blum, F. Bertaut, Acta Crystallogr. 7 (1954) 81.
- [10] R. Chipaux, G. Cecilia, M. Beauvy, R. Troc, J. Less-Common Met. 121 (1986) 347.
- [11] R.C. Buschert, A.E. Merlin, S. Pace, S. Rodriguez, M.H. Grimsditch, Phys. Rev. B 38 (1988) 5219.
- [12] H. Holloway, K.C. Hass, M.A. Tamor, T.R. Anthony, W.F. Banholzer, Phys. Rev. B 44 (1991) 7123.
- [13] Y. Nishi, Y. Arita, T. Matsui, T. Nagasaki, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 39 (2002) 391.
- [14] G.T. Meaden, J.A. Lee, Cryogenics 2 (1962) 182.
- [15] S. Arajs, R.V. Colvin, J. Less-Common Met. 7 (1963) 54.