


ChemComm

Chemical Communications

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Number 22 | 14 June 2008 | Pages 2485–2576



ISSN 1359-7345


COMMUNICATION

Sanjib K. Patra, S. M. Wahidur
Rahaman, Moumita Majumdar,
Arup Sinha and Jitendra K. Bera
A rare unsupported iridium(II) dimer,
 $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{CO})_2]_2$

FEATURE ARTICLE

Stephen J. Connon
Asymmetric catalysis with bifunctional
cinchona alkaloid-based urea and
thiourea organocatalysts

RSC Publishing



1359-7345(2008)22:1-4


RSC Comprehensive Series in Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences

Initiated by the European Society for Photobiology, this series provides comprehensive overviews on specific areas of photoscience. It gives in-depth coverage of the very different fields related to light effects and embraces both well-established and emerging areas.

New Reference Work

Primary Processes of Photosynthesis

Edited by Gernot Renger



In this comprehensive two part set, the Editor has brought together contributions from numerous leading scientific experts from across the globe to provide a compendium of information.

- The most up-to-date understanding of the primary processes of photosynthesis.
- A summary of current knowledge on the mechanisms of light harvesting, charge separation, electron transport, water cleavage and ATP synthesis.
- High quality structure information at atomic resolution.

Well suited for all those working in the fields of Photochemistry, Bio-organic Chemistry, Bio-inorganic Chemistry, Crystallography, Biological Sciences, Biochemistry and related disciplines.

BB Hardback | 996 pages | ISBN 9780854043644 | 2007 | £339.00

RSC Publishing

www.rsc.org/books

Registered Charity Number 207890

A rare unsupported iridium(II) dimer, $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{CO})_2]_2^{\ddagger}$

Sanjib K. Patra, S. M. Wahidur Rahaman, Moumita Majumdar, Arup Sinha and Jitendra K. Bera*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 3rd March 2008, Accepted 10th April 2008


First published as an Advance Article on the web 2nd May 2008

DOI: 10.1039/b803632b

Oxidative additions of dichloromethanes to a diiridium(I) core, bridged by 2-ferrocenyl-1,8-naphthyridines (NP-Fc), provide an iridium(II) dimer, $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{CO})_2(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})_2]_2$, featuring an unsupported Ir–Ir single bond (2.7121(8) Å).

Unsupported diiridium(II) compounds are distinguished by their rarity. Only three examples of an Ir^{II} dimer possessing an unsupported Ir–Ir single bond are known.¹ This extraordinary set of compounds involves octaethylporphyrin (oep),² phthalocyanine (pc)³ or dianion of tetracyanobisimidazole (tcim),⁴ hinting at the prerequisite of ligand-elaboration in stabilizing an unbridged Ir^{II}–Ir^{II} bond. The wide ranging applications of dirhodium(II) compounds in organic transformations⁵ and the recent utilization of diruthenium(I) compounds in C–H bond activation and C–C bond formation reactions⁶ prompted our interest in isoelectronic diiridium(II) chemistry. An intriguing result of our exploration, the chemical synthesis of a novel diiridium(II) compound featuring an unsupported Ir–Ir bond, is reported herein.

Reaction of $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})(\text{MeCN})_2][\text{BF}_4]$ with 2-R-1,8-naphthyridine (NP-R) affords $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})(\eta^1\text{-NP-R})_2][\text{BF}_4]$ (Scheme 1). X-ray structures of compounds for R = phenyl (1) and ferrocenyl (2) reveal 8-N coordination of two NP ligands (see Fig. S1 and S2†). When carbon monoxide is bubbled through a dichloromethane solution of 1, $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-NP-Ph})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$ (3) precipitates out which is isolated and characterized by IR, mass and elemental analysis. Utilization of NP-Me provides an analogous compound $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-NP-Me})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$ (4), the structure of which has been established by an X-ray technique.† The molecular structure of 4 consists of a diiridium core with two *cis* NP-Me ligands, arranged in a head-to-tail fashion, bridging metal centres (Fig. 1). Each iridium is additionally bonded to two carbonyls. The Ir···Ir non-bonding distances 2.8151(7) and 2.8051(7) Å, noted for two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, are similar to those found in weakly interacting d⁸–d⁸ systems.^{7,8} The Ir–N distances are in the range 2.116(7)–2.133(7) Å. The N11–Ir1–N12 and C1–Ir1–C2 angles are 86.1(2)° and 90.5(4)°, respectively, manifesting a square planar environment around each metal centre. Two Ir^I ions held in close



Scheme 1 Synthesis of NP-R bridged diiridium(I) and unsupported diiridium(II) compounds.

proximity by neutral N-donor ligands, as in 4, is an exceptional example although diiridium(I) compounds incorporating anionic ligands are abundant.

Substitution of COD from $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})_2][\text{BF}_4]$ (2) by CO in dichloromethane affords a purple solid, characterized as $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{CO})_4(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})_2]$ (5).† The X-ray structure of 5 reveals a dimer of ‘ $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{CO})_2]$ ’ (Fig. 2). The molecule has an imposed C₂ axis across the unsupported Ir–Ir bond. The geometry about the iridium centres is near-octahedral with two *cis* CO and two *cis* chlorides bonded to each iridium atom. The remaining sites are satisfied by axial NP-Fc and the second iridium. The Ir1–Cl1 and Ir1–Cl2 distances are 2.378(2) and 2.386(3) Å; Ir1–C1 and Ir1–C2 distances are 1.871(11) and 1.892(10) Å respectively. The axial NP-Fc forms a linear N1–Ir1–Ir1–N1 axis as reflected in the N1–Ir1–Ir1 bond angle 178.8(2)°. The Ir1–N1 distance is 2.183(7) Å. The iridium and the coordinating atoms at equatorial sites around it are arranged in a plane; the largest deviation from the best plane is less than 0.01 Å. The staggered geometry of the dimer is shown in the Cl1–Ir1–Ir1–Cl1 twist angle of 48.54(13)° (Table 1). An assortment of diiridium compounds pioneered




Fig. 1 ORTEP view of dicationic $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-NP-Me})_2]$ unit in compound 4.

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, 208016, India. E-mail: jbera@iitk.ac.in; Fax: +91-512-2597436; Tel: +91-512-2597336

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Full experimental details, analytical data and X-ray details for 1, 2, 3, $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})(\eta^1\text{-NP-Me})_2][\text{BF}_4]$, $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\mu\text{-NP-Fc})_2][\text{BF}_4]$ and $[\text{H-NP-Fc}][\text{BF}_4]$. Crystallographic information for compounds 4 and 5 (CCDC 667831 and 667832). See DOI: 10.1039/b803632b





Fig. 2 ORTEP view of the molecular structure of $[\text{IrCl}_2(\text{CO})_2(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})]_2$ (**5**).

largely by Oro and Stobart allows scrutiny of the metal–metal distances.^{7,9} The unsupported Ir–Ir distance of 2.7121(8) Å in **5** is shorter than that found in association dimers of Ir^I and longer than the corresponding distances in bridged diiridium(II) compounds.⁹ Notably, the NP-bridged Ir^I⋯Ir^I distance in **4** is 0.1 Å longer than the unsupported Ir^{II}–Ir^{II} distance in **5**, strongly suggesting a single bond between metals in the latter compound.

Tedious and unconventional methods have been adopted in the synthesis of unsupported diiridium(II) compounds: $[\text{Ir}(\text{oep})]_2$ is obtained through the photolysis of $[\text{Ir}(\text{oep})(\text{CH}_3)]$ in C_6D_6 ; $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{pc})_2(\text{pyridine})_2]$ is synthesized *via* controlled thermal decomposition of di(acido)phthalocyanatoiridates; and $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{tcbim})_2(\text{CO})_4(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]$ is prepared from electrolysis of salts of $[\text{Ir}^{\text{I}}(\text{CO})_2(\text{tcbim})]^-$ in acetonitrile.^{2–4} Compound $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{CO})_4(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})]_2$ (**5**) is a unique addition to this list which has been synthesized *via* chemical oxidation under mild conditions with reasonably good yield (68%). The Ir–Ir distance in **5** (2.7121(8) Å) is similar to that observed for $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{pc})_2(\text{py})_2]$ (2.7071(1) Å) but significantly smaller than the 2.826(2) Å reported for $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{tcbim})_2(\text{CO})_2\{\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3\}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]$.

The source of the chlorides in **5** is the solvent, dichloromethane. In 1,2-dichlorobenzene, carbon monoxide treatment of $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})_2][\text{BF}_4]$ (**2**) provides the substituted product $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})_2][\text{BF}_4]$. We propose a mechanism detailing the formation of **5**, depicted in Scheme 2. Two-fragment, two-centre oxidative addition of haloalkane to the diiridium(I) core, spanned by two anionic bridges, afforded a class of neutral Ir^{II}–Ir^{II} compounds.⁹ The NP-Fc offers unusual reactivity, introducing four chlorides to the diiridium(II) core. Initially, incorporation of two chlorides occurs *via* activation of dichloromethanes across a diiridium(I) com-



Scheme 2 Proposed pathways leading to unsupported $\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{CO})_4$ core.

ound,¹⁰ presumably $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-NP-Fc})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$, generated *in situ via* displacement of COD in **2** by carbon monoxides. Isolation of $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-NP-Me})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$ (**4**) supports the premise of a dimetal intermediate. Although a mononuclear product, $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^1\text{-NP-Fc})_2][\text{BF}_4]$, is crystallized in 1,2-dichlorobenzene (see Fig. S3†), spectroscopic data reveal dinuclear species in solution.¹¹ Furthermore, the NP-Fc is shown to bridge a dimetal unit in $[\text{cis-Rh}_2(\text{OAc})_2(\mu\text{-NP-Fc})_2(\text{OH}_2)][\text{BF}_4]_2$, synthesized and structurally characterized in our laboratory (see Fig. S4†). Reduction of dichloromethanes and subsequent addition of chlorides result in dicationic $[\text{Cl-Ir}^{\text{II}}\text{-Ir}^{\text{II}}\text{-Cl}]$. The by-product of this reaction, 1,2-dichloroethane, has been identified by a GC technique.

It is our assertion that the $[\text{Cl-Ir}^{\text{II}}\text{-Ir}^{\text{II}}\text{-Cl}]^{2+}$ species undergoes reduction, generating the $[\text{Cl-Ir}^{\text{I}}\text{---Ir}^{\text{I}}\text{-Cl}]$ core (Scheme 2). The NP-Fc, present in excess of two equivalents per diiridium, is most likely the reducing agent. The cyclic voltammogram of NP-Fc in dichloromethane exhibits an irreversible oxidation at $E_{\text{p,a}} = +0.85$ V (vs. Ag/AgCl) with a return reduction wave at $E_{\text{p,c}} = +0.50$ V. The dicationic $[\text{Cl-Ir}^{\text{II}}\text{-Ir}^{\text{II}}\text{-Cl}]$ core, likely to be a stronger oxidant compared to its neutral diiridium(II) analogues involving anionic bridges,⁹ is reduced, yielding neutral $[\text{Cl-Ir}^{\text{I}}\text{---Ir}^{\text{I}}\text{-Cl}]$. The oxidized product $[\text{NP-Fc}]^+$ proved to be elusive for identification. Our attempts to identify the oxidized species invariably led to the isolation of protonated salt $[\text{H}\cdot\text{NP-Fc}]^+[\text{BF}_4]^-$ (see Fig. S5†). Subsequent activation of dichloromethanes results in oxidative additions of two more chlorides across the diiridium(I) core that proceeds with concomitant growth of an Ir^{II}–Ir^{II} single bond. Incorporation of four chlorides, in addition to the four carbonyls present at the diiridium core, leads to migration of NP-Fc to an axial position, resulting in unsupported iridium(II) dimer **5**.

DFT calculations on $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{CO})_4]$ provide insight into the electronic structure of the diiridium(II) core. The X-ray structure of **5** was used as an initial geometry for optimization in C2 symmetry and was characterized fully *via* analytical frequency calculation as the minima on the potential energy surface. The metrical parameters obtained from the X-ray structure and DFT calculation are in good agreement (Table 1). The calculated Ir–Ir distance (2.683 Å) is marginally shorter than that measured experimentally. Truncation of two axially coordinated NP-Fc in the calculated structure possibly contributes to a shorter metal–metal distance. The staggered disposition of ligands about the metals suggests the absence of any δ

Table 1 Comparison of selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) of $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{CO})_4]$ derived from X-ray crystallography (compound **5**) and DFT calculation

	Ir–Ir	Ir–Cl	Ir–C	φ^a
X-Ray	2.7121(8)	2.378(2) 2.386(3)	1.892(10) 1.871(11)	48.54(13) 44.1(6)
DFT	2.683	2.329 2.352	1.904 1.912	53.21 48.50

^a Torsional angles C11–Ir1–Ir1–Cl1 and C2–Ir1–Ir1–C2, respectively.




Fig. 3 Contour surface of the LUMO (σ) in $[\text{Ir}_2\text{Cl}_4(\text{CO})_4]$.

bonding. Calculated orbital occupancies support the electronic configuration of the $[\text{Ir}_2]^{4+}$ unit as $\sigma^2\pi^4\delta^2\delta^*\pi^*4\sigma^*0$, the LUMO being a σ orbital resulting virtually from the anti-bonding interaction of Ir d_{z^2} orbitals (Fig. 3), corresponding to a formal Ir–Ir bond order of 1. Careful analyses of occupied MOs illustrate two-orbital, four-electron interactions between chloride and Ir orbitals. This situation is stabilized by the admixture of CO π^* into the anti-bonding Ir–Cl combination. Thus, there is a transfer of electron density from chloride to carbonyl through Ir centres. This charge delocalization can be traced as the origin of the stable unsupported iridium(II) dimer **5**.

The 1,8-naphthyridine ligand with a redox-active ferrocene appendage provides easy access to an unsupported diiridium(II) compound. The synthesis and structural elucidation of the title compound **5** underscore the stability of unsupported Ir^{II} dimer with a combination of common π -donor/ π -acceptor ligands chlorides and carbonyls. Furthermore, the chlorides offer the prospect of derivatization of the diiridium(II) core which is being pursued actively. It is our expectation that compound **5** will emerge as a key precursor in the exploration of diiridium(II) chemistry.

We thank Dr J. N. Moorthy for insightful discussions. This work was financially supported by DST, India through the grant of a Ramanna fellowship. S.M.W.R. and A.S. thank UGC and CSIR, India, respectively, for fellowships.

Notes and references

† Synthesis of **4**: TlBF_4 (46 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to an acetonitrile solution (10 mL) of $[\text{IrCl}(\text{COD})]_2$ (51 mg, 0.075 mmol) and the yellow mixture was stirred for 30 min. The TlCl was removed by Schlenk filtration, then NP-Me (45 mg, 0.31 mmol) was added to the filtrate and the mixture was stirred for 8 h at RT. The resulting orange solution was concentrated under vacuum and 15 mL of diethyl ether were added with stirring to induce precipitation. The isolated solid was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) and carbon monoxide was bubbled for 5 min. The purple solid $[\text{Ir}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-NP-Me})_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$ (**4**) precipitated out slowly from the reaction medium. The solution was filtered off through a filter paper-stripped cannula and the solid residue was washed with hexane (3×5 mL) and dried in vacuum. Crystals were grown by layering a benzene solution of $[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})(\eta^1\text{-NP-Me})_2][\text{BF}_4]$ over dichloromethane saturated with carbon monoxide. Yield: 65 mg (90%, based on iridium). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_4\text{O}_4\text{B}_2\text{F}_8\text{Ir}_2$: C, 27.57; H, 1.68; N, 5.85. Found: C, 27.49; H, 1.74; N, 5.79%. IR (KBr pellet): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2058, 2005; $\nu(\text{BF}_4^-)$ 1069 cm^{-1} .

5: Carbon monoxide was bubbled for 5 min through a dichloromethane (10 mL) solution of **2** (30 mg, 0.031 mmol) and the resultant

purple solution was stirred for 6 h at RT. It was then concentrated under vacuum and hexane (15 mL) was added with stirring to induce precipitation. The solid residue obtained was washed with diethyl ether (3×5 mL) and dried in vacuum. Crystals suitable for X-ray study were obtained by layering hexane over the dichloromethane solution of the compound. Yield: 12 mg (68%, per iridium). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , δ , ppm): 9.1–8.5 (br, m, 10H), 4.1 (br, 18H). IR (KBr pellet): $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2061, 1983 cm^{-1} . Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_4\text{O}_4\text{Cl}_4\text{Fe}_2\text{Ir}_2$: C, 37.93; H, 2.23; N, 4.42. Found: C, 37.88; H, 2.29; N, 4.51%. UV–Vis spectra [λ_{max} , nm (ϵ , $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$)] (in CH_3CN): 234 (8.21×10^3), 322 (4.89×10^3), 472 (3.88×10^2), 590 (2.42×10^2).

Crystal data for compound **4**: formula $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{16}\text{B}_2\text{F}_8\text{Ir}_2\text{N}_4\text{O}_4$, $M = 958.41$, orthorhombic, space group *Pbcn* (No. 60), $a = 10.8097(7)$, $b = 32.184(2)$, $c = 19.7274(14)$ Å, $V = 6863.2(8)$ Å³, $Z = 8$, $\rho_c = 1.855 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $F_{000} = 3552$, $T = 100(2)$ K, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 49.4^\circ$, 5861 unique, $\text{GooF} = 0.973$, $R1 = 0.0394$, $wR2 = 0.0843$.

Crystal data for compound **5**: $\text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{28}\text{Cl}_8\text{Fe}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{N}_4\text{O}_4$, $M = 1432.38$, monoclinic, space group *C2/c* (No. 15), $a = 16.473(2)$, $b = 14.541(2)$, $c = 20.961(3)$ Å, $\beta = 97.097(2)^\circ$, $V = 4982.5(12)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $\rho_c = 1.910 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $F_{000} = 2728$, $T = 100(2)$ K, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 52.7^\circ$, 13966 reflections collected, 5057 unique, $\text{GooF} = 0.991$, $R1 = 0.0554$, $wR2 = 0.1187$.

- 1 F. A. Cotton, C. A. Murillo and R. A. Walton, in *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*, 3rd edn, Springer Science and Business Media, Inc., New York, 2005.
- 2 K. J. Del Rossi and B. B. Wayland, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1986, 1653.
- 3 H. Hückstädt and H. Homborh, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1997, **623**, 369.
- 4 P. G. Rasmussen, J. E. Anderson, O. H. Bailey, M. Tamres and J. C. Bayón, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 279.
- 5 T. Ye and M. A. McKervey, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 1091; A. Padwa and S. F. Hornbuckle, *Chem. Rev.*, 1991, **91**, 263; M. P. Doyle, *Chem. Rev.*, 1986, **86**, 919.
- 6 S. K. Patra and J. K. Bera, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 6054; S. K. Patra and J. K. Bera, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 2598; S. K. Patra, N. Sadhukhan and J. K. Bera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 4007.
- 7 L. A. Oro, M. A. Ciriano, J. J. Pérez-Torrente and B. E. Villarroja, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **193–195**, 941; G. S. Rodman and K. R. Mann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 3338; G. S. Rodman and K. R. Mann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 3507; K. A. Beveridge, G. W. Bushnell, S. R. Stobart, J. L. Atwood and M. J. Zaworotko, *Organometallics*, 1983, **2**, 1447; K. A. Beveridge, G. W. Bushnell, K. R. Dixon, D. T. Eadie, S. R. Stobart, J. L. Atwood and M. J. Zaworotko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 920; A. W. Coleman, D. T. Eadie, S. R. Stobart, M. J. Zaworotko and J. L. Atwood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 922.
- 8 For examples of mixed-valence diiridium compounds bridged by neutral P-donor ligands, see: J. L. Dempsey, A. J. Esswein, D. R. Manke, J. Rosenthal, J. D. Soper and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 6879 and references therein.
- 9 C. Tejel, M. A. Ciriano, B. E. Villarroja, J. A. López, F. J. Lahoz and L. A. Oro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 530; R. D. Brost, G. W. Bushnell, D. G. Harrison and S. R. Stobart, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 1412; M. V. Jiménez, E. Sola, M. A. Egea, A. Huet, A. C. Francisco, F. J. Lahoz and L. A. Oro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4868; K. R. Dunbar, S. O. Majors and J.-S. Sun, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1995, **229**, 373; N. Kanematsu, M. Ebihara and T. Kawamura, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 4413 and references therein.
- 10 D. C. Smith and H. B. Gray, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1990, **100**, 169; J. L. Marshall, S. R. Stobart and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 3027; J. V. Casper and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 3029.
- 11 B. E. Villarroja, L. A. Oro, F. J. Lahoz, A. J. Edwards, M. A. Ciriano, P. J. Alonso, A. Tiripichchio and M. T. Camellini, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1996, **50**, 241.