Synthesis and Biological Activity of δ -(L- α -Aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-N-hydroxy-D-valine:† a Proposed Intermediate in the Biosynthesis of the Penicillins

Robert L. Baxter,*a Gordon A. Thomson,a and A. Ian Scott*b

Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, U.K. Center for Biological N.M.R., Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, U.S.A.

 δ -(L- α -Aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-N-hydroxy-D-valine (3a) has been prepared from the appropriately protected amino acids; (3a) was not converted into isopenicillin N (2) using a cell-free system from *Cephalosporium acremonium* but inhibited the formation of (2) from δ -(L- α -aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine (1) by this system.

(1) $R^1 = R^2 = H$

(3) $a_1 R^1 = H_1 R^2 = OH$

While it is now generally accepted that the cyclisation of the tripeptide, δ -(L- α -aminoadipoyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine (1) to isopenicillin N (2) is the final step in the series of reactions common to pencillin and cephalosporin biosynthesis, the mechanisms by which the β -lactam and thiazolidine rings of the penam nucleus are elaborated in vivo remain unexplained. On the basis of in vitro analogy several mechanisms for the formation of the β -lactam ring have been proposed.^{2,3} One such possibility involves enzymic hydroxylation at the nitrogen of the D-valine residue of (1) to generate a hydroxamic acid (3a) followed by abstraction of the cysteinyl 3-pro-S proton and ring closure with elimination of the N-hydroxy group or of the acyl group of an N-acyl derivative (3b) to afford an enzyme bound β -lactam derivative (4, R = enzyme).2c,3 This route appears attractive since a number of microbial peptide hydroxamic acids have been isolated4 and the feasibility of such a pathway has been demonstrated by a model chemical reaction³ (Scheme 1). While recent results⁵ have shown that the oxygen atoms of the α -aminoadipoyl

CO, H

(2)

residue of (1) are retained in the enzymic conversion of (1) into (2), precluding intermediacy of a thiazoline sulphone or a thiazoline species, the retention of the δ -carbonyl oxygen does

(4)

b; R¹ = enzyme, R² = Oacyl or OH

H

HO₂C

H

CONH

H

CO₂H

[†] δ -(L- α -aminoadipoyl) = 5-(5S)-amino-5-carboxypentanoyl.

Scheme 1. $R = 4-NO_2C_6H_4SO_2-.$

not obviate the possible role of a linear N-hydroxy derivative such as (3a) as an intermediate. To test this hypothesis it was necessary to prepare the N-hydroxytripeptide (3a) and to evaluate it as a substrate.

Attempts to prepare a protected derivative of (3a) directly by acylation of (5)⁶ with the protected dipeptide (6)⁷ afforded only the *O*-acyl derivative (7). Similarly, acylation of (5) with *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl-*S*-benzyl-D-cysteine (8a) under a variety of peptide coupling conditions yielded the *O*-acyl derivative (9) as the major product. Selective *N*-acylation of (5) with *N*-(4-methoxybenzyloxycarbonyl)-*S*-benzyl-D-cysteine (8b) to give (10) and subsequent elaboration to the desired *N*-hydroxytripeptide (3a) were carried out as shown in Scheme 2.‡

The *N*-hydroxytripeptide (**3a**), gave a positive colour reaction with ferric chloride in solution and exhibited an ion at m/z 378 [378.1327, (M-1)⁻, $C_{14}H_{24}N_3O_7S$ requires 378.1329] in its negative ion mass spectrum (fast atom bombardment). The ^{13}C n.m.r. spectrum (75 MHz, D_2O) exhibited resonances at δ 19.57, 19.65 (val C-4, 4'), 21.73 (aaa C-4), 25.54 (cys C-3), 28.61 (val C-3), 30.55, 35.54 (aaa C-3, 5), 53.13, 55.15 (cys C-2, aaa C-2), and 66.61 p.p.m. (val C-2). The observation of the *N*-hydroxy-D-valine C-2 resonance at higher frequency than the chemical shift of the valine C-2 in the spectrum of (**1**) (δ 59.77 p.p.m.)⁷ appears diagnostic of the hydroxamic acid structure. In the spectrum of *N*-hydroxy-D-valine benzyl ester (**5**) in D_2O the α carbon resonance appears at δ 72.81 p.p.m. while in the spectrum of (**12**) in CDCl₃ the corresponding carbon resonates at δ 63.10 p.p.m.

The *N*-hydroxytripeptide (**3a**) was administered to a partially purified enzyme system derived from homogenised cells of *C. acremonium* CW-19^{1b} under conditions in which (**1**) was efficiently converted into (**2**).§ The resultant incubation mixture was assayed for isopenicillin N production using a hole-plate assay with *Staphococcus aureus*.⁸ No significant antibiotic activity was detected and the *N*-hydroxytripeptide could be recovered unchanged from the incubation mixture suggesting that the compound is not directly involved as a free intermediate in the enzymatic conversion of (**1**) into (**2**).

§ Incubations were carried out at 25 °C on a gyrorotatory shaker at 210 r.p.m. in 50 mm 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulphonic acid buffer, pH 7.2, containing 1.3 mm FeSO₄ and 2.5 mm dithiothreitol with a protein concentration of 5.5 mg/ml and substrate concentrations of 0.15—3.0 mm. For 0.3 mm (1) conversions were typically in the range 60—80% in 1 h. The limit of detection of the assay was 20 µg (2)/ml.

Scheme 2. Reagents: i, (8b)-dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide (1 mol. equiv.)-dimethylformamide; ii, HCl-MeNO₂; iii, (11)-N-methylmorpholine-CH₂Cl₂; iv, Na-NH₃. Protecting groups, boc = benzyloxycarbonyl; mboc = 4-methoxybenzyloxycarbonyl; bz = benzyl; nbz = 4-nitrobenzyl.

However, addition of the *N*-hydroxytripeptide, at a concentration of $50 \,\mu\text{M}$, to the crude enzyme system was found to completely inhibit formation of isopenicillin N from (1).

Peptide hydroxamic acids and *N*-acyl-*N*-hydroxypeptides are known to be powerful active site specific inhibitors of a number of zinc containing metallopeptidases. While even relatively simple hydroxamic acids inhibit *Aeromonas* aminopeptidase, hydroxamic acids corresponding to L-amino acid amides which act as substrates for the metalloenzyme have been shown to be potent inhibitors. ¹⁰ It may be significant that acetohydroxamic acid also inhibits conversion of (1) into (2) by the *C. acremonium* enzyme system but only at concentrations higher than those required for inhibition by the *N*-hydroxytripeptide. ¶

[‡] Satisfactory elemental analyses and concordant spectroscopic data were obtained for compounds described in Scheme 2.

[¶] Acetohydroxamic acid at 1 mm and (3a) at 40 μ m were required for 50% inhibition of the conversion of (1) into (2).

We thank the S.E.R.C. for financial support. One of us (G. A. T.) acknowledges the award of a Sir David Baxter Scholarship from the University of Edinburgh.

Receieved, 26th September 1983; Com. 1279

References

1 (a) P. A. Fawcett, J. J. Usher, J. A. Huddleston, R. C. Bleaney, J. J. Nisbet, and E. P. Abraham, *Biochem. J.*, 1976, **157**, 651; J. O'Sullivan, R. C. Bleaney, J. A. Huddleston, and E. P. Abraham, ibid., 1979, 184, 421; (b) E. P. Abraham, J. A. Huddleston, G. S. Jayatilake, J. O'Sullivan, and R. L. White in 'Recent Advances in the Chemistry of β-Lactam Antibiotics,' (2nd International Symposium), ed. G. I. Gregory, Royal Society of Chemistry, Special Publication No. 38, 1981, p. 125; (c) J. E. Baldwin, B. L. Johnson, J. J. Usher, E. P. Abraham, J. A. Huddleston, and R. L. White, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 1271; (d) J. E. Baldwin, M. Jung, J. J. Usher, E. P. Abraham, J. A. Huddleston, and R. L. White, ibid., 1981, 246; (e) T. Konomi, S. Herchen, J. E. Baldwin, M. Yoshida, N. A. Hunt, and A. L. Demain, Biochem. J., 1979, 184, 427; (f) B. Meesschaert, P. Adriaens, and J. Eyssen, J. Antibiot., 1980, 33, 722; (g) Y. Sawada, J. E. Baldwin, P. D. Singh, N. A. Solomon, and A. L. Demain, Antimicr. Agent Chemother., 1980, 18, 465; (h) N. Neuss, D. M. Berry, J. Kupka, A. L. Demain, S. W. Queener, D. C. Duckworth, and L. L. Huckstep, J. Antibiot., 1983, 35, 580; (i) S. E. Jense, D. W. S. Westlake, and S. Wolfe, ibid., pp. 483 and 1026.

- 2 (a) R. D. G. Cooper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 1018; S. Nakatsuka, H. Tanino, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 1975, **97**, 5008; 5010; (b) J. E. Baldwin, A. Au, M. Christie, S. B. Haber, and D. Hesson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 5957; (c) A. G. Birch and H. Smith in 'Amino Acids and Peptides with Antimetabolic Activity,' (Ciba Foundation Symposium) eds. G. E. W. Wolstenholme and C. M. O'Conner, Churchill, London, 1958, p. 247; (d) J. E. Baldwin and A. P. Davis, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1981, 1219; (e) For a recent review see S. W. Queener and N. Neuss in 'Chemistry and Biology of β-lactam Antibiotics,' eds. R. B. Morin and M. Gorman, Academic Press, New York, 1982, p. 1.
- 3 A. I. Scott, S. E. Yoo, S. K. Chung, and J. A. Lacadie, Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 1137.
- 4 H. Maehr, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1971, **28**, 603; E. Leete, N. Kowanko, R. A. Newmark, L. C. Vining, A. G. McInnes, and J. L. C. Wright, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, 4103; see also ref. 2e, p. 21 for related references.
- 5 R. M. Adlington, R. T. Aplin, J. E. Baldwin, B. Chakravarti, L. D. Field, E. M. M. John, E. P. Abraham, and R. L. White, *Tetrahedron*, 1983, 39, 1061.
- 6 T. Polonski and A. Chimiak, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 2453.
- 7 G. A. Thomson, A. I. Scott, and R. L. Baxter, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1983, 941.
- 8 K. A. Brownlee, C. S. Delves, M. Dorman, C. A. Green, E. Grenfell, J. D. A. Johnson, and N. Smith, J. Gen. Microbiol., 1949. 2, 40.
- N. Nishino and J. C. Powers, *Biochemistry*, 1979, 18, 4340; *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1980, 255, 3482; M. A. Holmes and B. W. Matthews, *Biochemistry*, 1981, 20, 6912.
- J. O. Baker, S. H. Wilkes, M. E. Bayliss, and J. M. Prescott, Biochemistry, 1983, 22, 2098.