



Subscriber access provided by University of Glasgow Library

Article

Revealing the Charge Transport Mechanism in Polymerized Ionic Liquids: Insight from High Pressure Conductivity Studies

Zaneta Wojnarowska, Hongbo Feng, Mariana Diaz, Alfredo Ortiz, Inmaculada Ortiz, Justyna Knapik-Kowalczuk, Miguel Vilas, Pedro Verdía, Emilia Tojo, Tomonori Saito, Eric W. Stacy, Nam-Goo. Kang, Jimmy W. Mays, Danuta Kruk, Patryk Wlodarczyk, Alexei P. Sokolov, Vera Bocharova, and Marian Paluch *Chem. Mater.*, Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b01658 • Publication Date (Web): 05 Sep 2017 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 6, 2017

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Chemistry of Materials is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Revealing the Charge Transport Mechanism in Polymerized Ionic Liquids: Insight from High Pressure Conductivity Studies

Zaneta Wojnarowska^{1,2,3*}, Hongbo Feng⁴, Mariana Diaz⁵, Alfredo Ortiz⁵, Inmaculada Ortiz⁵, Justyna Knapik-Kowalczuk^{2,3}, Miguel Vilas⁶, Pedro Verdía⁶, Emilia Tojo⁶, Tomonori Saito¹, Eric W. Stacy⁷, Nam-Goo. Kang⁴, Jimmy W. Mays^{1,4}, Danuta Kruk⁷, Patryk Wlodarczyk⁸, Alexei P. Sokolov^{4,9}, Vera Bocharova¹, Marian Paluch^{2,3}

¹Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

²Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

³Silesian Center for Education and Interdisciplinary Research, 75 Pulku Piechoty 1A, 41-500 Chorzow, Poland

⁴Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

⁵Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Department. University of Cantabria. Avenida de los Castros s/n 39005 Santander, Spain

⁶Organic Chemistry Department, University of Vigo, Marcosende 36210 Vigo, Spain

⁷Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Sloneczna 54, Olsztyn PL-10710, Poland

⁸Institute of Non-Ferrous Metals, Sowinskiego 5, 44-100, Gliwice, Poland

⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

ABSTRACT: Polymerized ionic liquids (polyILs), composed mostly of organic ions covalently bonded to the polymer backbone and free counterions, are considered as an ideal electrolytes for various electrochemical devices, including fuel

cells, supercapacitors and batteries. Despite large structural diversity of these systems, all of them reveal a universal but poorly understood feature - a charge transport faster than the segmental dynamics. To address this issue, we have studied three novel polymer electrolyte membrane for fuel cells as well as four single-ion conductors including highly conductive siloxane-based polyIL. Our ambient and high pressure studies revealed fundamental differences in the conducting properties of the examined systems. We demonstrate that the proposed methodology is a powerful tool to identify the charge transport mechanism in polyILs in general and thereby contribute to unraveling the microscopic nature of the decoupling phenomenon in these materials.



INTRODUCTION

Efficient generation and utilization of energy has become one of the greatest challenges of our time. This in turn creates a growing demand for various types of energy storage devices in household and in industry. Therefore, a significant research effort during the past decade has been focused on development of new functional materials for diverse energy storage applications.^{1,2,3} The family of polymerized ionic liquids (poly-ILs), combining unique futures of ionic liquids with the outstanding mechanical properties of polymers, might offer an ideal solution for these purposes. An almost unlimited combination of cations, anions and polymer chains provides the possibility to design numerous combinations of chemically distinct polyILs materials of technological importance.⁴ The rational design of poly-ILs requires fundamental understanding of the microscopic parameters controlling their macroscopic properties, including conductivity. For this reason, in recent years much effort has been dedicated to fundamental understanding of the charge transport mechanism in PolyILs.

It has been found that in contrast to low-molecular weight aprotic ionic liquids (composed solely of ions), Environment

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

where the charge transport is fully controlled by viscosity⁵, the ion mobility in polyILs can be strongly decoupled from segmental (structural) dynamics.^{6,7,8,9,10,11} As a consequence, the classical Walden theory predicting similar temperature dependence for the dc conductivity and mass diffusion (fluidity), fails for macromolecular conductors. In particular, when the segmental mobility slows down due to the glassy freezing, the rate of ion motions in polyILs can be many orders of magnitude faster¹², resulting in dc conductivity significantly higher than the conductivity in an ideally coupled system (at T_g ~10⁻¹⁴-10⁻¹⁵ S/cm)^{13,14,15}.

From a fundamental point of view, two types of conductivity mechanisms have been recognized to decouple charge transport from segmental dynamics in polyILs. It was hypothesized that the decoupling can be caused by a frustration in chain packing (free volume) that enables ion diffusion even when the segmental dynamics are frozen. In such case, the positive or negative species can freely move through the holes in the matrix formed by counterions covalently bonded to the polymer backbone. The decoupling phenomenon is also known for polymer electrolyte membranes for fuel cells obtained by polymerization of protic ionic liquids (PILs) and in low-molecular PILs themselves.¹⁶ In these cases the difference in time scale of conductivity relaxation and segmental dynamics is usually attributed to the proton transfer mechanism through the H-bonded network and thereby it does not require diffusion of entire molecular units (vehicles).^{17,18,19} According to the literature reports two mechanisms of proton transport can be distinguished. Namely, so-called Grotthuss picture, originally proposed to explain high conductivity of water, where the protonic defect is moved from one electrode to another while a given proton is transferred only between two neighboring molecules; and the other case where the same proton is sequentially transferred within hvdrogen bonds from one "vehicle" to the other. Importantly, among the computational studies of phosphoric acid and imidazolium-based compounds²⁰ no clear experimental verification of the Grotthuss mechanism has been provided so far. Additionally, in many protic ionic systems an efficient proton transport does not occur although it was expected on the basis of chemical composition²¹.

Since in disordered ionic polymers there are many potential charge carriers, it becomes a challenge to clearly define the dominating mechanism.²² We emphasize that the usual conductivity measurements do not provide any microscopic details of the conductivity mechanism, being critical for understanding their behavior in fuel cell and battery applications.

In this paper we investigate the conducting properties of seven polymerized ionic liquids that can be classified in three categories: aprotic polycations, protic polyanions and polymer blends (see Table 1 for chemical structures). To reveal the charge transport mechanism in these materials we employ high pressure conductivity measurements. We show that isothermal compression offers a unique possibility to recognize the dominating charge transport mechanisms as well as to control the conducting properties of polymeric ILs, both being crucial in their potential electrochemical applications. We demonstrate that the proton transfer mechanism can be easily distinguished from vehicle conduction in any lowmolecular weight or macromolecular ionic system.

EXPERIMENTAL

In this paper we have investigated three novel protic polymerized ionic liquids obtained by UV polymerization of corresponding monomers:

- i) polyanion 1-butylsulfonate-3-methylimidazolium poly(2-sulfoethylmetacrylate) (SBMIm poly-SEM);
- ii) polymer blend poly(1-butylsulfonate-3vinylimidazolium) poly(2-sulfoethylmetacrylate) (poly-SBVIm poly-SEM)
- iii) polyanion 1-butylsulfonate-3-vinylimidazolium poly(vinylphosphonic acid) (SBMIm poly-HPO₃).
 Additionally, we have studied the charge transport mechanism in the group of aprotic polyILs:
- i) poly(1-ethyl-3-vinylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide) (poly-EtVIm TFSI)
- ii) poly(N-vinyl diethylene glycol ethyl methyl ether imidazolium) bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (poly-EGIm TFSI),
- iii) poly(1-butyl-3-vinylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide) (poly-BuVIm TFSI),
- iv) polymethylhydrosiloxane-graft-5-imidazolium-1pentene bis (trifluoromethane) sulfonamide (PDMS TFSI).

The synthesis procedures of poly-EtVIm TFSI, poly-BuVIm TFSI and poly-EGIm TFSI are provided in refs. [^{23,24}]



Table. 1 Chemical structures of investigated polyILs.

Chemicals: 1-methylimidazole (Acros Organics, 99%), 1-vinylimidazole (Sigma-Aldrich, \geq 99%), 1,4butanesultone (Acros Organics, 99+%), acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich, ACS reagent, \geq 99%) and 2-sulfoethyl methacrylate (Polysciences, > 90%), were obtained from the commercial suppliers and used without any pretreatment.

General procedure for the synthesis of the 1-alkyl-3butylsulfonate imidazolium zwitterions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60



Synthesis of 1-butylsulfonate-3-methylimidazolium zwitterion: 1-methylimidazole (1.00 mL, 12.5 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in CH₂CN (8 mL) and cooled down to 0 °C with an ice bath. 1,4-butanesultone (1.28 mL, 12.5 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was added dropwise and the mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 10 minutes. Then, it was stirred at 85 °C under reflux for 3 days. It was then cooled down to -20 °C and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried under high vacuum. 1-butylsulfonate-3methylimidazolium was obtained as a white solid (2.29 g, 84% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.77 (s, 1-H, H-2), 7.53 (s, 1H, H-5*), 7.47 (s, 1H, H-4*), 4.28 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 3.92 (s, 3H, NCH₂), 2.97 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, N(CH₂)₃CH₂SO₃), 2.16-1.97 (m, 2H, N(CH₂)₂CH₂), 1.83 - 1.72 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D_2O) δ 136.0 (C-2), 123.7 (C-5^{*}), 122.2 (C-4^{*}), 50.0 (N(CH₂)₃CH₂SO₃), 48.9 (NCH₂), 35.7 (NCH₃), 28.1 (NCH_2CH_2) , 20.9 $(N(CH_2)_2CH_2)$.²⁵

Synthesis of 1-butylsulfonate-3-vinylimidazolium zwitterion:1-butylsulfonate-3-vinylimidazolium was prepared employing the same general synthetic method described the synthesis of 1-butylsulfonate-3for methylimidazolium, employing 1-vinylimidazole instead of 1-methylimidazole, and obtained as a white solid (1.61 g, 63% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 9.05 (s, 1H, H-2), 7.74 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-5*), 7.57 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-4*), 7.10 (dd, J = 15.6, 8.7 Hz, 1H, NCH=CH₂), 5.76 (dd, J = 15.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H, NCH=CHH), 5.38 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H, NCH=CHH), 4.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 2.92 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, N(CH₂)₂CH₂SO₂), 2.16 - 1.87 (m, 2H, $N(CH_{2})_{1}CH_{2}$, 1.90 – 1.55 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O) δ 134.5 (C-2), 128.2 (C-5*), 122.7 (C-4*), $(NCH=CH_{2}),$ $(NCH=CH_{2}),$ 119.5 109.2 49.9 (N(CH₂)₃CH₂SO₃), 49.2 (NCH₂), 27.9 (NCH₂CH₂), 20.8 $(N(CH_2)_2CH_2)^{26}$

General procedure for the synthesis of the sulfoethylmethacrylate ionic liquids



Synthesis of 1-butylsulfonate-3-methylimidazolium 2sulfoethylmetacrylate: 2-sulfoethyl methacrylate (1.84 g, 9.5 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in CH_3CN and added over 1-butylsulfonate-3-methylimidazolium (2.29 g, 10.5 mmol, 1.10 eq.). The mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight and then filtered to remove the unreacted excess of the zwitterion. The organic phase was collected and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure at room temperature, in order to avoid product decomposition. The resulting IL was dried under high vacuum to obtain 1-butylsulfonic-3-methylimidazolium 2-sulfoethyl meth-

acrylate as a viscous ionic liquid (3.91 g, 99% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D_2O) δ 8.59 (s, 1H, H-2), 7.35 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-4*), 7.29 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-5*), 6.01 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H, $CH_3C(CHH)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3$), 5.57 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H, $CH_3C(CHH)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3$), 4.37 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, $_{2H_{2}}CH_{2}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{3}$, 4.10 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 3.74 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 3.16 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, $CH_{3}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{3}), 2.85 - 2.75 (m, 2H, 2H)$ $N(CH_{,}), CH_{,}SO_{,}H),$ _ 1.94 1.82 (m, 2H, $N(CH_2)_2CH_2CH_2SO_3H$, 1.77 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, зΗ, $CH_3C(CH_2)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3)$, 1.65 – 1.54 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂SO₃H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O) δ 169.3 (CH₃C(CH₂)CO₂CH₂CH₂SO₃), 135.9 (C-2), 135.6 $(CH_3C(CH_2)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3),$ 127.0 (CH₂C(CH₂)CO₂CH₂CH₂SO₃), 123.6 (C-5*), 122.1 (C-4*), $(CH_{2}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{2}),$ 60.0 50.0 $(N(CH_2)_3CH_2SO_3), 49.5 (CH_3C(CH_2)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3),$ 48.8 (NCH₂), 35.6 (NCH₃), 28.0 (NCH₂CH₂), 20.8 (N(CH₂)₂CH₂), 17.2 (CH₃C(CH₂)CO₂CH₂CH₂SO₃); ESI-MS m/z (%) 219.07986 ([C₈H₁₅N₂SO₃]⁺, calcd. for C₈H₁₅N₂SO₃ = 219.08001, 17), 437.15242 $([(C_8H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_8H_{14}N_2SO_3)]^+,$ cald. for $C_{16}H_{29}N_4O_6S_2 = 437.15230, 100$, 438.15587 $([(C_8H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_8H_{14}N_2SO_3) + 1]^+,$ 17) 655.22503 $([(C_8H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_8H_{14}N_2SO_3)_2]^+,$ 656.22876 33), $([(C_8H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_8H_{14}N_2SO_3)_2 + 1]^+, 8).$

Synthesis of 1-butylsulfonate-3-vinylimidazolium 2sulfoethylmetacrylate: 2-sulfoethyl methacrylate (2.45 gr, 12.6 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in CH₃CN and added over 1-butylsulfonate-3-vinylimidazolium (3.22 gr, 14 mmol, 1.10 eq.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then, it was filtered. The organic phase was collected and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure at room temperature, in order to avoid product decomposition. The resulting IL was dried under high vacuum to obtain 1-butylsulfonic-3methylimidazolium 2-sulfoethyl methacrylate as a viscous ionic liquid (4.85 g, 91% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.96 (s, 1H, H-2), 7.67 (s, 1H, H-5*), 7.49 (s, 1H, $H-4^{*}$), 7.03 (dd, J = 15.6, 8.7 Hz, 1H, , NCH=CH₂), 6.04 (s, 1H, CH₃C(CHH)CO₂CH₂CH₂SO₃), 5.69 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H, NCH=CHH), 5.61 (s, 1H, $CH_3C(CHH)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3$), 5.31 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, NCH=CHH), 4.40 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H, $CH_3C(CH_2)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3$, 4.18 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, NCH_{2}), (t, Hz, 2H, 3.19 J = 5.9 $CH_{3}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{3})$, 2.84 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, $N(CH_{2})_{2}CH_{2}SO_{2}H)_{1}$ 2.01 1.89 (m, _ 2H, $N(CH_{1}), CH_{1}, CH_{2}, SO_{1}, H),$ 1.81 (s, зH, $CH_{3}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{3}), 1.72 - 1.59$ (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂SO₃H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O) δ 169.3 (CH₃C(CH₂)CO₂CH₂CH₂SO₃), 135.6 (C-2), 134.4 $(CH_3C(CH_2)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_3),$ 128.2 (C-5*), 127.0 $(CH_{2}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{3}),$ 122.8 (C-4*), 119.5 $(NCH=CH_2),$ $(NCH=CH_{2}),$ 60.0 109.3 $(CH_{3}C(CH_{2})CO_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}SO_{3}), 50.0 (N(CH_{2})_{3}CH_{2}SO_{3}),$ 49.5 (CH₃C(CH₂)CO₂CH₂CH₂SO₃), 49.2 (NCH₂), 27.9 $(N(CH_2)_2CH_2),$ $(NCH_2CH_2),$ 20.8 17.2 $(CH_2C(CH_2)CO_2CH_2CH_2SO_2);$ ESI-MS m/z (%) 231.08 $([C_0H_{15}N_2SO_3]^+, 8), 461.15 ([(C_0H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_0H_{14}N_2SO_3)]^+,$ 100), 462.15 ([($C_9H_{15}N_2SO_3$)($C_9H_{14}N_2SO_3$) + 1]⁺, 17), 463.15 $([(C_9H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_9H_{14}N_2SO_3) +$ 2]+, 8), 691.22

$$\begin{array}{ll} ([(C_9H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_9H_{14}N_2SO_3)_2]^+, & 19), & 692.22\\ ([(C_9H_{15}N_2SO_3)(C_9H_{14}N_2SO_3)2+1]^+, 5). \end{array}$$

Procedure for the synthesis of 1-butylsulfonate-3methylimidazolium vinylphosphonate

$$\neg N_{\textcircled{\tiny O}} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} N_{\textcircled{\tiny O}} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} O_{H} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} N_{\textcircled{\tiny O}} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} N_{O} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} N_{O} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} O_{H} \stackrel{O}{$$

Vinylphosphonic acid (10 mL, 122.6 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was added drop-wise, under inert atmosphere, over a solution of 1-butylsulfonate-3-methylimidazolium (26.7 g, 122.6 mmol, 1.00 eq.) in deionized water (50 mL). The mixture was stirred at 90 °C overnight. Then, solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and he resulting IL was washed with diethyl ether. Residual solvent was eliminated under reduced pressure and the IL was dried by heating at 50 °C under high vacuum for 12 h. 1butylsulfonate-3-methylimidazolium vinylphosphonate was obtained as a colorless viscous liquid (48.0 g, 99%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 8.66 (s, 1H, H-2), 7.41 (m, 1H, H-5*), 7.35 (m, 1H, H-4*), 6.26 - 5.88 (m, 3H, $CH_2 = CHPO_3H$, 4.16 (t, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz, NCH₂), 3.80 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.86 (m, 2H, N(CH₂)₃CH₂), 1.94 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.66 (m, 2H, N(CH₂)₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, D₂O) δ 135.8 (C-2), 133.5 (CH₂=CHPO₃H), 127.4 (d, $J_{(C,P)} = 176.8 \text{ Hz}, CH_2 = CHPO_3H), 123.5 (C-5), 122.0 (C-4),$ 50.0 (N(CH₂)₃CH₂), 48.8 (NCH₂), 35.6 (NCH₃), 28.0 (NCH_2CH_2) , 20.8 $(N(CH_2)_2CH_2)$; ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, D₂O) δ 13.87; ESI-MS m/z (%) 219.07925 ([C₈H₁₅N₂SO₂]⁺, calcd. for $C_8H_{15}N_2SO_3 = 219.07979$, 100), 220 $([(C_8H_{15}N_2O_3S) + 1]^+, 8), 545 ([(C_8H_{15}N_2SO_3)_2(C_2H_4O_3P)]^+,$ 21).

General procedure for the polymerization of protic ionic liquids. Protic ionic liquids were polymerized with UV light. For this purpose, ionic liquid monomers were mixed with 5 wt% of photoinitiator 2-hydroxy-2methyl propiophenone and 5 wt% of crosslinker glycerol dimethacrylate to improve the mechanical stability. The mixture was extended over a flat and flexible surface and exposed to 354 nm UV light (KAIS) for 30 min. After polymerization, the polymer was removed from the surface using a razor blade.

Determination of pK_a of protic ionic monomers. For pK_a determinations in triplicate, a stock solution (0.01 mol/L) of the complex was prepared in degassed water. The solution was then titrated with aqueous KOH solution (0.100 mol/L). The pH of the solution was measured using a calibrated glass electrode on a Metrohm 780 pH meter at 295.0 K. The pK_a for each compound was calculated by the procedure described by Albert and Serjeant.²⁷

NMR characterization. The ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectra of the purified products were recorded in D₂O on a Bruker ARX at 400.1621 (¹H) and 100.6314 (¹³C) MHz. Chemical shifts are given in ppm and coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz). Data for ¹H NMR are reported relative to D₂O (δ 4.79 ppm). ESI mass spectra were record-

ed on an apex-Qe spectrometer. All spectral data were supplied by the Centre of Research Support (CACTI) of the University of Vigo.

Dielectric measurements. Isobaric dielectric measurements at ambient pressure from 10⁻¹ to 10⁶ Hz were carried out using a Novocontrol GMBH Alpha dielectric spectrometer. For the isobaric measurements, the sample was placed between two stainless steel electrodes of the capacitor with a gap of 0.1 mm. The dielectric spectra of polyILs were collected over a wide temperature range. The temperature was controlled by the Novocontrol Quattro system, with the use of a nitrogen gas cryostat. Temperature stability of the samples was 0.1 K. For the pressure dependent dielectric measurements, the capacitor filled with the studied samples was placed in the high-pressure chamber and compressed using the silicone oil. Note that during the measurement the samples were in contact with stainless steel and Teflon. Pressure was measured by the Nova Swiss tensometric pressure meter with a resolution of 0.1 MPa. The temperature was controlled within 0.1 K by means of a liquid flow provided by a Weiss fridge and Julabo thermal bath. The general scheme of the apparatus used to investigate the properties of polyILs under high pressure conditions is presented in ref. [29]. The dielectric experimental data for poly-BuVIm TFSI were taken from ref. [23].

Calorimetric measurements. Calorimetric measurements of the studied materials were carried out by using a Mettler-Toledo DSC apparatus equipped with a liquid nitrogen cooling accessory and a HSS8 ceramic sensor (a heat flux sensor with 120 thermocouples). Temperature and enthalpy calibrations were performed using indium and zinc standards. Using a stochastic temperaturemodulated differential scanning calorimetry (TMDSC) technique the dynamic behavior of the glass–liquid transition of the studied materials was analyzed in the frequency range from 4 mHz to 40 mHz in a single measurement at a heating rate of 0.5 K/min. In these experiments, the temperature amplitude of the pulses of 0.5 K was selected.

The procedure of water content evaluation in ILs: The protic polyIL samples were prepared in an open aluminum crucible (40 µL) outside the DSC apparatus. Next, several calorimetric measurements in the temperature range of 223 – 523 K at the heating rate of 10 K/min under dry nitrogen purge (60 mL/min) were performed. Each measurement was finished with a 5-minute long isothermal scan of the sample at a temperature of 523 K to remove water residue. The examined material was weighed before each measurement, and the content of water remaining in the sample was determined. The above procedure was repeated until the polymer mass and T_a value had become stable. The determined water content in the examined polymer membranes is presented in the Table 1. The obtained results are in good agreement with the water content estimated from TGA analysis.

59

60

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

NMR relaxometry studies. The 'H spin-lattice relaxation data were collected by a STELAR Fast Field Cycling (FFC) relaxometer in the frequency range of 10kHz – 25MHz, at 283K, 288k, 294K and 303K. The data are shown in Supplementary Information (Fig.S1).

DFT calculations. DFT calculations were used to determine the pK_a of acids and based used to prepare protic ionic samples. The calculations were performed in water environment, therefore the pK_a values are related to the situation when the proton is solvated by water. The $G(A^{-})$ and G(AH) energies were calculated using the Orca 4 program.²⁸ The geometry optimizations of all acids and related bases were done on the B3LYP/6-311G(2d,2p) level of theory. For final energy evaluation, PBEo gradient functional and 6-311G(2d,2p) basis set was used. The solvent model CPCM was used during optimization and energy evaluation run. Vibrational, thermal and entropic corrections were evaluated on the B3LYP/6-311G(2d,2p) level of theory. The energy of a proton was calculated analytically based on literature values. Free energy of proton used in the calculations was equal to -270.29 kcal/mol.

RESULTS

Samples characterization. The materials examined herein were designed as model systems in order to understand the molecular mechanism of charge transport in polymerized ionic liquids We can classify them into three categories: protic polyanions, aprotic polycations and polymer blends, composed of cations and anions covalently attached to the polymer chains.

The first group is represented by imidazolium-based polycations with mobile TFSI anion and the covalently attached to the polymer chain anions with limited mobility. Such chemical design favors a single ion conductivity in these polyILs. The second group of polyILs studied herein includes two novel polyanions with the chemical moieties promoting proton transport. Specifically, the butyl-imidazolium cation terminated by the sulfonate group (SBIm) was chosen to create two Brønsted pairs with sulfoethylmetacrylate (SEM) and vinylphosphonic poly-acids, respectively. There are at least two reasons to justify this selection. Firstly, the chemical structure of the sulfobuthylimidazolium cation has a potential to favor proton hopping in ionic systems. It is well-known that the terminal acidic groups SO₃H located in the side chains of polymer electrolyte membranes such as Nafion exposed to water dissociate into immobile SO3- anions and free protons that move through the hydrogen-bonded network and thereby are responsible for the high conductivity of the system. Likewise, of great importance is vinylphosphonic acid, due to the highly efficient Grotthuss mechanism confirmed in phosphoric acid. Furthermore, sulfoethylmethacrylate anion was chosen to duplicate the amount of proton acceptor groups in SBMIm SEM system which could result in enhancement in conductivity. Another great advantage of SEM is the possibility of formation of a structure of polymer blend with complex architecture that advantageous for fundamental understanding of the parameters affecting conductivity. In the poly-SBVIm poly-SEM system, where both cations and anions are covalently bonded to two different vinyl chains, the structural reorganization is strongly suppressed. This together with the fact that there are no others freely mobile ions besides OH^- and H_3O^+ has resulted in the development of novel material with pure proton conductivity. This is in contrast to polyanions mentioned above where the SBMIm cation also contribute to dc-conductivity and thus it is difficult to unambiguously recognize the dominating charge transport mechanism.

To analyze the ability of the studied materials to attach/detach proton we have performed DFT calculations of the SBMIm cation, SEM, and vinyl-HPO₂ anions. The SEM demonstrates the strongest acidity with $pK_a=-2.16$. Slightly weaker acid properties is present in SBMIm with pK_a=-1.42, while vinylHPO₃ is a very weak acid with first pK_{a1} equal to 9.02. It should be noted that the strong interactions e.g. hydrogen bonds between polar groups in polyanions can change the pK_a value. Therefore, influence of hydrogen bonds on pK_a of dimers has been also studied. In case of SBMIm, an additional conformation with the SO₃H group in the close vicinity of the imidazole ring has been also evaluated (see Table 1 in SI). We have found that acidity of vinyl-HPO₃ dimers is suppressed i.e. the pK_a value is increasing from 9.02 to 13.94. The increase in the acidity has been found in SEM dimer (pKa decreases from -2.16 to -4.76) as well as in SBMIm compound. For the conformation C2 of SBMIm pK_a has been changed from -1.42 to -4.56. Very low values of pK_a found for both SEM and SBMIm ions suggest that the proton can be easily dissociated. Furthermore, terminal HSO₃ groups in cations exposed to water can also generate protons. Consequently, SEM-based polymer membranes are mixture of imidazolium-based zwitterions (being mobile in SBMIm poly-SEM and linked to the alkyl chain in polymer blend), poly-SO₃⁻ anions and H_3O^+ . On the other hand, high pK_a value of HPO₃ suggests that the protons are likely transferred from SBMIm to polyanion and are not moving along the polymer chains. As a result, the water saturated SBMIm poly-HPO₃ membrane is composed mostly of zwitterions, neutral poly-H₂PO₃ structure and water molecules that strongly participate in proton transport.

To characterize materials we employed TGA and calorimetric measurements. The DSC scans obtained during heating of all polymerized IL are presented in Figure 1. As can be seen, the DSC curves of polyILs reveals heat flow jump which is a characteristic of the liquid-glass transition. The exact values of Tg are listed in Table 2. Since the examined protic polyILs are water saturated, in the thermograms one can also observe broad endotherms related to the water evaporation. However, it can be easily discerned that in SBMIm polyHPO₂ this effect is less pronounced, comparing to two other protic polyILs with lower water content. The water fraction in the examined protic polyILs was determined by the weight difference between not-dried and dried sample (see the Experimental Section for details). We have found that the sulfoethylmetacrylate-based polyILs contain 13.8 wt % of water, while the material

with the poly-HPO₃ group is characterized by a water content equal to 11 wt %. From Figure 1, the glass transition for anhydrous membranes is 60-70K higher comparing to hydrated samples.

In contrast to protic polyILs, special care was taken to remove any water from TFSI conductors. Before the measurements the samples were extensively dried in a vacuum oven at temperature above 393K for 3-4 days. Additionally, in the DSC system polyILs were further pre-condition at ~393K for 30-60 min in nitrogen atmosphere to remove surface water. Several heatingcooling scans were performed to ensure that both T_g of a sample and its mass do not change. As presented in the Figure 1, the obtained DSC scans of aprotic ionic liquids studied herein reveal only liquid-glass transition without any sign of the presence of water.



Figure 1 DSC curves of polyILs. The green arrows indicate the liquid-glass transition of given system.

Table. 2 Characteristics of polyILs used in this study.

polyILs	T _{dec} /K	T _g /K	σ _{dc} at 300K S/cm	σ _{dc} at T _g S/cm	R(T _g)
Poly- SBVIm poly-SEM	529	229*	9.5·10 ⁻⁶	9·10 ⁻¹¹	5
SBMIm poly-SEM	533	224*	2.5 ·10 ⁻⁵	4.7.10 ⁻¹²	3.8
SBMIm poly-HPO3	-	224*	5.5·10 ⁻⁶	9.10 ⁻¹³	3
Poly-EtVIm TFSI	520	344	2.9 ·10 ⁻¹¹	1.7·10 ⁻⁹	6.5
Poly- BuVIm TFSI	-	335	9.1·10 ⁻¹¹	1.7·10 ⁻⁹	6.5
Poly-EGIm TFSI	551	259	3.9·10 ⁻⁷	1.5.10-11	4
PDMS TFSI	417	233	4.6.10-5	7.4.10-14	2

 $T_g,$ glass transition temperature (from DSC, heating rate 10K/min); *Tg of hydrated sample; T_{dec} decomposition temperature obtained from TGA measurements; σ_{dc} dc-conductivity; R(Tg) decoupling index calculated at T_g

Dielectric data at ambient pressure. In general, the dielectric experiments performed in the external electric

field with frequency interval of milli- to megahertz and a wide temperature range, covering the supercooled liquid regime as well as glassy state, enables a thorough analysis of relaxation dynamics of any macromolecular system. For most conventional polymers, valuable insight into segmental relaxation and local intramolecular motions can be obtained from dielectric loss ε "(f) spectra. However, if the molecular dynamics are strongly dominated by translational displacement of charge carrying species or proton transport, as observed for poly-ILs, dc conductivity gives rise to a sharp increase in the dielectric loss function and completely masks the relaxation of polymer segments. Therefore, information obtained from dielectric studies of polyILs is limited to conductivity behavior.

The most convenient approach to define conductivity contribution to dielectric response, and thereby to characterize the translational motions of charge carriers in the studied material is related to analysis of complex electric modulus, $M^*(f)=M'(f)+iM''(f)=1/\epsilon^*(f)$ and electric conductivity $\sigma^*(f)=\sigma'(f)+\sigma''(f)$ formalisms.^{29,30} The dielectric data of SBMIm polySEM are presented in Fig.2.



Fig.2 Dielectric loss modulus (A) and conductivity (B) spectra of SBMIm polySEM recorded over a wide temperature range. Spectrum recorded at T_g is denoted by circles.

As can be seen, the imaginary part of modulus function M''(f) has the form of a well-resolved asymmetric peak, the so-called conductivity relaxation peak ascribed to the translational ionic motions. On the other hand, two clearly visible regions characterize the real part of the complex conductivity spectra: the power law behavior observed at higher frequencies and frequency-independent plateau that corresponds to the dc-conductivity σ_{dc} . Note that σ_{dc} , directly related to the number of free ions and their mobility, is inversely proportional to the conductivity relaxation times τ_{σ} estimated from the frequency of M'' peak maximum $\tau_{\sigma} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s / \sigma_{dc} = 1/2 \pi f_{max}$, where ε_s is static permittivity.^{29,30}

Typically, the position of the modulus peak maximum (as well as dc-conductivity) is strongly dependent on thermodynamic conditions i.e. σ -mode moves toward lower frequencies with cooling/squeezing and approaches f≈0.15mHz (τ_{σ} ≈1000s) at the liquid-glass transition, if only the ion transport is fully controlled by viscosity - like in the case of classical low-molecular ILs. However, as can be clearly seen in Fig.2 M"(f) of SBMIm poly-SEM collected at T_g appears at frequencies marked-ly higher than f_{max} of ideally coupled systems.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Additionally, from Figs. 3A it appears that the M"(f) spectra of all studied herein polyILs recorded at their calorimetric T_g cover the frequency range of about five decades, and importantly none of them is close to the frequency expected for a coupled systems (solid line). At the same time, in each examined case the $\sigma_{dc}(T_g)$ is higher than 10⁻¹⁵ Scm⁻¹ – the value typical for ionic conductors with the charge transport strongly coupled to structural relaxation (see Fig. 3B). These are the first signs that generally the rate of charge diffusion is different than the motions of polymer segment, and specifically the efficiency of this process is changing among examined samples. Nevertheless, to characterize the ion dynamics in more details the dielectric data of all polymers tested herein both in the supercooled liquid regime as well as in the glassy state need to be analyzed.



Figure 3 Dielectric loss modulus (A) and conductivity (B) spectra of seven polyILs measured at their calorimetric T_g . The dotted lines in panel **B** are fit of the conductivity data to the Dyre model [³⁴]. The solid lines show spectra expected for systems with ion dynamics strongly coupled to segmental relaxation.

The temperature dependences of conductivity relaxation time of all seven polyILs exhibit qualitatively similar behavior (Figs. 4A, 4B), i.e. a well-defined crossover from the Vogel-Fulcher-Tamman (VFT) to Arrhenius like behavior at the glass transition temperature T_g, being a typical behavior observed in ionic systems.^{6,32,33} More importantly, $\tau_{\sigma}(T_{\varphi})$ differs in studied polyILs, but in all cases it is faster than 102-103 s usually identified with the freezing point of the structural/segmental relaxation at T_g. The direct comparison between the results of temperature modulated DSC experiments (see stars in Fig. 4A) - representing the time scale of segmental dynamics, and conductivity relaxation data clearly indicate that the ion diffusion is significantly faster than the rate of segmental motions at T_g in studied polyILs. According to many studies, a simple way to quantify the decoupling of charge diffusion from structural relaxation in the vicinity of the glass transition temperature is to calculate the decoupling index defined as $R_{t}(T_{g})=3$ - $\log \tau_{\sigma}(T_{g})$.

Importantly, the time scale separation between τ_{σ} and τ_{α} is also reflected in the conductivity behavior. In Fig. 5 σ_{dc} data taken directly from the frequency-independent part of $\sigma'(f)$, are plotted as a function of inverse temperature. As can be seen $\sigma_{dc}(T_g)$ is significantly higher than expected for coupled systems ~10⁻¹⁴-10⁻¹⁵ S/cm, and the difference is similar to that reported

between τ_{σ} and τ_{α} . The values of σ_{dc} measured at T_g of all polyILs investigated in this paper are listed in Table 2.



Figure 4 The conductivity relaxation times determined from M"(f) spectra, presented vs. 1000/T for polyBuVIm TFSI and SBMIm poly-SEM(A), and vs T_g/T for all studied polyILs (B). Open stars are τ_{α} data determined from TM DSC technique. Symbols presents the same samples as in the Fig. 3A.



Figure 5 The temperature dependence of dc-conductivity for protic and aprotic polyILs determined by means of BDS technique.

Among the studied polyILs, PDMS TFSI shows the highest value of dc-conductivity at room temperature conditions (Fig. 5). The σ_{dc} around 5×10^{-5} S cm⁻¹ obtained at 303K most likely results from the combination of the low T_g of the siloxane backbone and highly delocalized, non-coordinating and asymmetric TFSI anion. Interestingly, the room temperature conductivity of studied protic polyILs is also high (see Table 2), even in the case of polymer blend where only fast proton transfer is expected to give a contribution to charge transport.

Dielectric data at elevated pressure. According to the standard experimental protocol, all polyILs were compressed isothermally at several different temperatures above the calorimetric T_g . Although both modulus M"(f) and conductivity $\sigma'(f)$ formalisms provide the same information about the ion dynamics (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), the former enables a more accurate analysis close to the liquid-glass transition and therefore it was chosen for use in presenting the high pressure data for polyILs. The representative high pressure results are depicted in Fig. 6. It is apparent that isothermal compression has basically the same effect on ion dynamics as isobaric cooling. Namely, the conductivity relaxation times τ_{σ} of supercooled polyILs are getting longer with squeezing

and markedly slow down when a material solidifies. Consequently, the experimental points exhibit two linear regimes that intersect at the glass transition pressure, P_{g} . Interestingly, the markedly lower pressure sensitivity is evident for τ_{σ} of hydrated membranes. This agrees with the general rule indicating that systems with wellexpanded H-bonded network are characterized by weaker sensitivity to a compression.35 The further inspection of high pressure conductivity relaxation data reveals some universal features of ion dynamics in tested polyILs. Namely, it is evident that the value of conductivity relaxation time at the inflection point, being a manifestation of the glass transition, is continuously changing with pressure. This fact, together with the recent report demonstrating that regardless of T-P thermodynamic conditions the characteristic crossover of $\log \tau_{\sigma}$ occurs at isochronal structural relaxation time $(\tau_{\alpha} \approx 10^{3} \text{s})^{36}$, indicates that compression strongly affects the decoupling between τ_{σ} and τ_{α} in the studied polyILs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60



Figure 6 Pressure dependence of ion dynamics. Frames (A) and (B) present the pressure behavior of conductivity relaxation times measured at isothermal conditions for protic and aprotic ionic polymer, respectively. The single experimental point at $\log \tau_{\sigma} \sim 1$ indicates the value of $\tau_{\sigma}(T_g)$ recorded at 0.1 MPa.

As presented in Figs. 6 and summarized in Fig. 7, the application of pressure as an external thermodynamic variable makes the $\tau_{\sigma}(P_g)$ faster in protic ionic polymers, and thus enhances the time scale separation between charge transport and segmental dynamics in these systems. However, in the cases of TFSI-based materials isothermal compression brings the crossover of $\log \tau_{\sigma}(P)$ to longer conductivity relaxation times, and thereby the decoupling between τ_σ and τ_α becomes markedly reduced under pressure. Interestingly, at high enough pressure the time scales of charge transport and segmental dynamics became practically coupled, as it is shown for poly-EGIm TFSI at ~1GPa. These results stand in sharp contrast with the behavior of PDMS TFSI, where the time scale of conductivity relaxation is almost the same as for segmental dynamics. In this special case, compression of the sample up to 200 MPa does not affect $\tau_{\sigma}(P_{g})$, and ion dynamics remains coupled to segmental dynamics.



Figure 7. Frames (A) and (B) present the pressure variations of τ_{σ} measured at P_g of protic and aprotic ionic polymers, respectively (closed symbols). In addition, the results of another 11 low-molecular ionic systems were taken from literature (open symbols). Abbreviations are as follows: prilocaine HCl (PR-HCl)³⁷, lidocaine HCl (LD-HCl)³⁸, carvedilol HCl (C-HCl)⁴⁵, acebutolol HCl (ACB-HCl)³⁶, lidocaine succinate (LD-SUCC), chlorpromazine HCl (CLR-HCl), sumatriptan succinate (STR SUCC)³⁹, carvedilol dihydrogen phosphate (C-H₂PO₄⁻)⁴⁵, lidocaine docusate, Ca(NO₃)₂-KNO₃ (CKN)⁴⁰,⁴¹, (butylmethyl imidazole TFSI) BuMIm TFSI)⁴².

DISCUSSION

To provide the physical explanation for experimental results one needs to consider the charge transport mechanism in all of the studied polymerized ionic liquids. From the chemical point of view, polyILs (except for the polymer blend), can be treated as single-ion conductors due to the only one type of freely moving ions (TFSI anion or SBIm cation). As a consequence, the origin of the decoupling phenomenon can be ascribed to the different contribution of cations and anions to the ionic conductivity and segmental relaxation. Namely, the motions of charged polymeric segments are expected to govern segmental dynamics but at the same time these are too slow to provide significant contribution to σ_{dc} . The latter parameter is dominated by the diffusion of counterions, presumably through free volume associated with frustration in polymer chains packing. As a consequence of such an assumption any reduction of free volume, including compression-induced, should result in slowing down of charge transport at the same segmental relaxation time, and hence increase of τ_{σ} at P_g. However, the experimental data collected in Figs. 7 clearly demonstrate that only three of seven poly-ILs follow this assumption ; these are BuVIm, EtVIm and EGIm polycations with TFSI counterion dominating charge transport. Interestingly, the PDMS-based polyIL with the same TFSI counterion exhibits the smallest decoupling, consistent with the expectation that the most flexible chain (siloxane-based) should reveal better packing and lower free volume. Moreover, in this particular case the decoupling appears to be independent of T-P conditions (Fig.7B), again consistent with the idea of free volume controlling the decoupling.

Most important, in contrast to aprotic polyILs, an increase of pressure strongly accelerates $\tau_{\sigma}(P_g)$ in all water saturated membranes. This result clearly demonstrates a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

significant difference in conductivity mechanisms between aprotic polyILs and hydrated protic polyILs: It reveals that translational diffusion of free ions is no longer crucial and suggests that an effective proton transfer is involved in charge transport of examined water saturated polymer membranes. This is because only the proton transfer speeds up when hydrogen bond length decreases⁴³ and the H-bonded network is stressed,⁴⁴ both taking place under high pressure conditions. An additional argument emerges from the recent studies of low molecular weight protic ionic liquids and solids, showing that $\tau_{\sigma}(P_g)$ becomes faster under high pressure only if the conductivity is governed by a fast proton transfer mechanism.⁴⁵ The comparison of high pressure data for low-molecular and macromolecular ionic systems is presented in Fig. 7.

Surprisingly, there is no correlation between the value of the decoupling index at ambient conditions and its pressure dependence. For example, the HPO₃-based protic polyIL with relatively small time scale separation between τ_{σ} and τ_{α} at ambient conditions exhibits a very strong change in decoupling under elevated pressure (Fig.7A). Furthermore, despite the fact that $R_{\tau}(T_g)$ is smaller for poly(EGIm)-TFSI than in poly(EtVIm)-TFSI and poly(BuVIm)-TFSI, it reduces in the similar way with pressure (Fig.7B). Interestingly, the lack of correlation between $R_{\tau}(T_g)$ and its pressure behavior can be also found for low-molecular ionic systems.

To further advance our understanding of high pressure behavior of decoupling phenomena we focus on two variables that quantify the pressure sensitivity of both charge transport and glass transition temperature. These are pressure coefficient of decoupling index $dlogR_{\tau}/dP=dlog\tau(P_{\sigma})/dP$ and pressure coefficient of glass transition temperature dT_{o}/dP , both calculated at 0.1 MPa. As illustrated in Fig. 8, the studied herein polyILs (except PDMS TFSI) are characterized by relatively low values of dTg/dP parameter (70-90 KGPa⁻¹); markedly lower than those found for low-molecular ionic conductors (see Fig. 7) and non-ionic polymers⁴⁶. These results reflect weak pressure sensitivity of segmental dynamics that can be explained in terms of poor packing of vinyl backbones under pressure as well as the expanded Hbonded network in PEMFCs. Despite the fact that generally Tg of polyILs does not increase much with squeezing, the substantial changes in charge transport are observed for these systems under pressure (the absolute value of dR_{τ}/dP is between 2 and 4 per GPa). This creates a possibility to obtain polymerized ionic liquids with super protonic properties directly by isothermal compression. This is in contrast to low-molecular weight protic ILs where an efficient proton mobility under pressure can be achieved only for systems with large value of dT_{σ}/dP coefficient.

Interestingly, due to the large value of the decoupling index at ambient pressure conditions ($R_{\tau}(T_g)=5$) and significant change of τ_{σ} accompanying squeezing, the polymer blend (poly-SBVIm poly-SEM) can be regarded as the most efficient proton conductor among the studied here protic systems over a wide T-P thermodynamic space. Since both cations and anions are covalently attached to rigid vinyl chains, the structural reorganization is strongly suppressed in this system. As a consequence, the H-bonds are not prone to breaking and can be treated as highways for fast proton transport. The idea of efficient proton migration in a polymer blend is also supported by experimentally determined $\Delta p K_a$ values. Among the tested protic polyILs, SBVIm SEM structure reveals the smallest $\Delta p K_a$ =1.17 and thereby the lowest free energy of proton dissociation. On the other hand, the largest value of ΔG (corresponding to the highest $\Delta p K_a$ =2.11) characterizes proton transport of HPO₃-based compound. Poor proton dissociation in this system is consistent with the dielectric data revealing the smallest decoupling index for SBMIm poly-HPO₃ at ambient pressure.



Figure 8. The pressure coefficient of decoupling index as a function of dT_g/dP (for procedure of dT_g/dP calculation see ref.[33]). The dashed line presents fully coupled systems and divides the examined materials into two categories of dominating conductivity mechanism: proton transfer (dR_τ/dP <o), and free volume controlled (dR_τ/dP ≥o).

This clearly demonstrate that dielectric studies, especially under conditions of high compression, are ideal experimental tool for empirical verification of dominating conductivity mechanism in ionic systems in general. However, at the same time it should be noted that from dielectric measurements it is difficult to separate contribution of proton motions and simple vehicle transport to overall dc-conductivity. Nevertheless, knowing the temperature behavior of conductivity relaxation times τ_{σ} and the "jump" length λ of ions one can employ the Random Barrier Model (RBM) $D=\lambda^2/6\tau_{\sigma}$ to calculate diffusivity (D) that reflects the translational motions of all conducting species in studied material. Note that RBM provides a good agreement between D parameter estimated by BDS and measured by NMR for various aprotic IL and polymerized ionic liquids⁴⁷. Therefore, to provide more insight into charge transport in studied protic systems we have used SBMIm HPO₃ monomer as a model compound and compared the translational diffusion coming from NMR relaxometry studies and D_{RBM} calculated from BDS data. The obtained NMR results are presented in SI and summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Parameters obtained from the analysis of 'H spin-lattice relaxation data for SBMIm HPO₃ monomer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Т /К	λ/Å	D_{trans}^*/m^2s^{-1}	$D^{RBM} / m^2 s^{-1}$	
283	2.95	$6.64 \cdot 10^{-13}$	8.93· 10 ⁻¹²	
288	2.89	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-12}$	1.36· 10 ⁻¹¹	
294	2.86	$1.03 \cdot 10^{-12}$	1.83. 10-11	
303	2.82	$2.66 \cdot 10^{-12}$	3.19 ∙ 10 ⁻¹¹	
*Because of no significant differences between $D_{\rm trans}$ of cations and anions the presented values denotes ${}_{2}D_{\rm trans}$ of cations.				

Herein, it should be noted that the NMR results for λ , being in good agreement with values reported by Sangoro et al.⁴⁸ for other ILs, were used to calculate D_{RBM}. Interestingly, we have found at least one order of magnitude difference between NMR and BDS diffusivity coefficients. Namely, D_{trans} reflecting only the translational diffusion of SBMIm cations and vinylHPO3 anions is slower than the D^{RBM}. Since both vehicle conduction and proton motions were found to contribute to the dielectric relaxation data one can expect that the observed difference between D_{trans} and D_{RBM} comes from proton hopping between the conducting species. Taking into account that the value of the decoupling index was found to be practically the same for SBMIm HPO₂ monomer and polymer (see Fig. 3 in SI), with a similar activation energy for charge transport in the glassy state, one can assume that proton motions give similar contribution to dc-conductivity in both these compounds. Consequently, D_{RBM} is expected to be faster than D_{trans} also in SBMIm poly-HPO₃.

Another interesting aspect of ion dynamics in protic polyILs is the effect of water on the decoupling between segmental and ionic relaxation. To investigate this issue we have performed additional dielectric measurements of dry SBMIm poly-SEM membrane. As can be clearly seen in Fig. 9 evaporation of water results in dramatic increase of Tg (68K) accompanied by decrease of dcconductivity; however at the same time the crossover of $\log \tau_{\sigma}(1/T)$ dependence occurs at the same conductivity relaxation time. This result clearly indicate that at the liquid-glass transition the charge transport in the annealed protic polyIL is still faster than the segmental dynamics and consequently, the conducting properties of the examined dried-polymer are still governed by the fast proton hopping through the H-bond network. These results are consistent with the data obtained for other protic polyILs published in ref [17].

From closer look at Fig. 9 one can also see that the apparent activation energies determined for conductivity relaxation in the glassy state of anhydrous and hydrated material differs from each other. Namely, it is a little higher for the dried sample. This indicates that below T_g when the segmental dynamics is completely frozen it is more difficult for proton to hop through the dry material. That can be very easily explained in terms of the amount of proton active sites which is continuously decreased with decrease of water content.



Figure 9. The conductivity relaxation times presented vs. 1000/T for SBMIm poly-SEM. Open symbols are τ_{α} data for anhydrous sample.

CONCLUSIONS

The studies of seven protic and aprotic polymerized ionic liquids combined with literature data for 11 lowmolecular ionic systems have revealed the great potential of high pressure dielectric techniques for developing fundamental understanding of the conductivity mechanism in ionic glass-formers, in general. It has been demonstrated that the characteristic crossover of $\tau_{\sigma}(P_{g})$ appears at shorter relaxation times with elevating pressure for proton conducting systems. This observation suggests a dominating proton transfer mechanism in newly synthesized hydrated protic polyILs that becomes even more efficient under compression due to shortening and stressing of H-bonds. On the other hand, the opposite behavior, i.e. a decrease in decoupling, was found in aprotic ionic polymers. These results confirm the dominating role of the chain packing and free volume in charge transport of aprotic systems. As a consequence, isothermal compression offers a unique possibility to recognize the dominating charge transport mechanisms as well as to control the conducting properties of polyILs, both being crucial in their potential electrochemical applications. Additionally, the high decoupling between segmental dynamics and ion transport found in poly-SBVIm poly-SEM demonstrates that polymer blends in general provide a possibility to design polyILs with exceptionally high proton conductivity and satisfactory mechanical stability.

ACCOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

The details of NMR relaxonetry measurements, DFT calculations and dielectric data of monomer SBMIm vinylHPO₃ (PDF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* zaneta.wojnarowska@smcebi.edu.pl

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

Any additional relevant notes should be placed here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by Laboratory Directed Research and Development program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy. APS, acknowledge partial financial support by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. Z.W. and M.P. are deeply grateful for the financial support by the National Science Centre within the frameof Opus8 work the project (Grant DEC-2014/15/B/ST3/04246). E. Tojo is grateful to the Xunta de Galicia (REGALIs Network R2014/015) for their financial support, as well as the research support services of the University of Vigo (CACTI) for the NMR and MS divisions work. M.D., A.O. and I.O acknowledge Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness for the project CTQ2015-66078-R (MINECO/FEDER, UE). M. D. is grateful to the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport for the FPU2012-3721.

REFERENCES

¹ Armand, A., Endres, F., MacFarlane, D. R., Ohno, H., Scrosati, B. Ionic-liquid materials for the electrochemical challenges of the future *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, **8** (8), 621–629.

² Bruce, D. W., O'Hare D. I. W. R., *Energy materials.* 1 ed.; Wiley: **2011**.

³ Xu, W & Angell, C. A. Solvent-free electrolytes with aqueous solution-like conductivities *Science*, 2003, 302, 422–425.
 ⁴ MacFarlane, D.R., Forsyth, M., Howlett, P.C., Kar, M., Passerini, S., Pringle, J.M., Ohno, H., Watanabe, M., Yan, F., Zheng, W., Zhang, S., Zhang, J. Ionic liquids and their solid-state analogues as materials for energy generation and storage *Nature Reviews Materials* 2016, 1, 15005.

⁵ Sangoro, J. R. & Kremer, F. Charge transport and glassy

dynamics in ionic liquids Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45 525-32.

⁶ Choi, U. H., Ye, Y. S., de la Cruz, D. S., Liu, W. J., Winey, K. I., Elabd, Y. A., Runt, J., Colby, R. H. Dielectric and Viscoelastic Responses of Imidazolium-Based Ionomers with Different Counterions and Side Chain Lengths *Macromolecules* **2014**, 47, (2), 777-790.

⁷ Nakamura, K., Fukao, K. Dielectric relaxation behavior of polymerized ionic liquids with various charge densities *Polymer* **2013**, **54**, (13), 3306-3313.

⁸ Sangoro, J. R., Iacob, C., Agapov, A. L., Wang, Y., Berdzinski, S., Rexhausen, H., Strehmel, V., Friedrich, C., Sokolov, A. P., Kremer, F. Decoupling of ionic conductivity from structural dynamics in polymerized ionic liquids. *Soft Matter*, 2014, 10, 3536–3540.

⁹ Choi, U. H., Mittal, A., Price Jr, T. L., Lee, M., Gibsonb, H.W., Runt, J., Colby R. H. Molecular Volume Effects on the Dynamics of Polymerized Ionic Liquids and their Monomers *Electrochimica Acta*, **2015**, 175,55-61.

¹⁰ Frenzel, F., Folikumah, M.Y., Schulz, M., Anton, A.M., Binder, W.H., Kremer, F. Molecular Dynamics and Charge Transport in Polymeric Polyisobutylene-Based Ionic Liquids. *Macromolecules* **2016**, 49 (7), pp 2868–2875.

¹¹Fan, F., Wang, W., Holt, A.P., Feng, H., Uhrig, D., Lu, X., Hong, T., Wang, Y., Kang, N-G., Mays, J., Sokolov, A.P. Effect of Molecular Weight on the Ion Transport Mechanism in Polymerized Ionic Liquids, Macromolecules **2016**, 49, 4557 - 4570.

¹² Fan, F., Wang, Y., Hong, T., Heres, M. F., Saito, T., Sokolov A. P. Ion Conduction in Polymerized Ionic Liquids with Different Pendant Groups. *Macromolecules* **2015**, 48 (13), 4461–4470.

¹³ Mizuno, F., Belieres, J. P., Kuwata, N., Pradel, A., Ribes, M., Angell, C. A. Highly decoupled ionic and protonic solid electrolyte systems, in relation to other relaxing systems and their energy landscapes. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, **2006**, 352, 5147-5155.

¹⁴ Angell, C.A. Dynamic Processes in Ionic Glasses. *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, 90, 523-542.

¹⁵ Malcolm, D. Ingram Ionic conductivity and glass structure. *Philosophical Magazine* Part B, **1989**, 60, 6, 729-740. ¹⁶ Díaz, M., Ortiz, A., Ortiz, I. Progress in the use of ionic liquids as electrolyte membranes in fuel cells. *Journal of Membrane Science* **2014**, 469, 379–396.

¹⁷ Wojnarowska, Z., Knapik, J., Diaz, M., Ortiz, A., Ortiz, I., Paluch, M. Conductivity mechanism in polymerized imidazolium-based protic ionic liquid [HSO3–BVIm][OTf]: dielectric relaxation studies. *Macromolecules* **2014**, 47, 4056–65.

4056–65. ¹⁸ Kim, S. Y., Kim, S., Park, M. J. Enhanced proton transport in nanostructured polymer electrolyte/ionic liquid membranes under water-free conditions. *Nat. Commun.* **2010**, 1, **88**.

¹⁹ Angell, C. Dynamic processes in ionic glasses. *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, 90, 523-542.

²⁰ Mangiatordi, F.G., Butera, V., Russo, N. Laage, D.,

Adamo, C. Charge transport in poly-imidazole mem-

branes: a fresh appraisal of the Grotthuss mechanism *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, 14, 10910-10918.

²¹ Wojnarowska, Z., Paluch, K. J., Shoifet, E., Schick, C., Tajber, L., Knapik, J., Wlodarczyk, P., Grzybowska, K., Hensel-Bielowka, S., Verevkin, S. P., Paluch, M. Molecular origin of enhanced proton conductivity in anhydrous ionic systems. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137 (3), 1157–1164.

²² Kang, S.D., Snyder, G.J, Charge-transport model for conducting polymers. *Nature Materials* **2016**, DOI:

10.1038/NMAT4784

²³ Wojnarowska, Z., Knapik, J., Jacquemin, J., Berdzinski, S., Strehmel, V., Sangoro, S.R., Paluch, M. Effect of pressure on decoupling of ionic conductivity from segmental dynamics in polymerized ionic liquids *Macromolecules*, **2015**, 48, 8660–8666.

²⁴ Wojnarowska, Z. Feng, H., Fu Y., Cheng, S., Kumar, R. Novikov, V. N., Kisliuk, A., Saito, T., Kang, N., Mays, J. Sokolov, A.P., Bocharova, V. Effect of chain rigidity on the decoupling of ion motion from segmental relaxation **Chemistry of Materials**

3	
4	in polymerized ionic liquids: Ambient and elevated
5	pressure studies Macromolecules 2017
6	10 1021/acs macromol 7b01217
7	²⁵ Jeong V Kim D.V. Choi V Ryu I.S. Intramolecular
8	bydroalkovulation in Branetad acidic ionic liquide and
9	its application to the synthesis of (+) controlohing. Ora
10	Ris application to the synthesis of (±)-centrolobile. Org.
11	26 7h and 11 Camp 7 Kamp N Line I. Namp N Fam II
12	Zпоц, H., Song, Z., Kang, X., Hu, J., Yang, Y., Fan, H.,
13	Meng, O., Han, B. One-pot conversion of carbohydrates
14	into gamma-valerolactone catalyzed by highly cross-
14	linked ionic liquid polymer and Co/TiO2. RSC Adv. 5,
10	2015, 15267–15273.
10	²⁷ A. Albert, E. P. Serjeant, The Determination of Ionization
17	Constants: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd ed., Chapman and
18	Hall, New York, 1984
19	^{2°} Neese F., The ORCA program system, Wiley interdisci-
20	plinary Rev. – Comp. Mol. Sci., 2012, 2, 73.
21	²⁹ Paluch, M. Dielectric properties of ionic liquids,
22	Springer: Berlin 2016.
23	³⁰ Kremer, F., Schoenhals, A. Broadband Dielectric Spec-
24	troscopy; Springer: Berlin, 2003.
25	³¹ Dyre, J.C., Maass, P., Roling, B, Sidebottom, D.L., Fun-
26	damental questions relating to ion conduction in disor-
27	dered solids. <i>Rep. Prog. Phys.</i> 2009, 72, 046501.
28	³² Wojnarowska, Z., Roland, C. M., Swiety-Pospiech, A.,
29	Grzybowska, K. & Paluch, M. Anomalous electrical con-
30	ductivity behavior at elevated pressure in the protic
31	ionic liquid procainamide hydrochloride. <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i>
32	2012. 108. 015701
33	³³ Woinarowska Z. Paluch M. Recent progress on die-
24	lectric properties of protic ionic liquids 1 Phys : Con-
25	dens Matter 2015 27 072202
30	³⁴ Hodge IM Ngai KI & Movnihan CT Comments
30	on the electric modulus function I Non-Crist Solids
37	2005 251 104
38	³⁵ Poland C.M. Casalini P. Porgman P. Matteson I
39	Pala of hydrogen hands in the supercooled dynamics of
40	Role of hydrogen bonds in the supercooled dynamics of
41	glass-forming liquids at high pressures. Phys. Rev. 2008,
42	<i>B</i> 77, 012201.
43	^{3°} Wojnarowska, Z., Rams-Baron, M., Knapik, J.,
44	Połatyńska, A., Pochylski, M., Gapinski, J., Patkowski,
45	A., Paluch, M. Experimental evidence of high pressure
46	decoupling between charge transport and structural
47	dynamics in protic ionic glass-former, Scientific Reports
48	2017 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07136-5
49	³⁷ Wojnarowska, C. M. Roland, A. Swiety-Pospiech, K.
50	Grzybowska, and M. Paluch, Anomalous electrical
51	conductivity behavior at elevated pressure in the protic
52	ionic liquid procainamide hydrochloride Phys. Rev. Lett.
53	2012, 108, 015701.
54	³⁸ Swiety-Pospiech A, Wojnarowska Z, Pionteck J, Pawlus S,
55	Grzybowski A, Hensel-Bielowka S, Grzybowska K, Szulc A
56	and Paluch M High pressure study of molecular dynamics
57	of protic ionic liquid lidocaine hydrochloride J. Chem. Phys.
57	2012, 136 224501.
50	³⁹ Wojnarowska, Z., Knapik, J., Rams-Baron, M.,
09	Jedrzejowska, A., Paczkowska, M., Krause, A., Cielecka-
UO	

Piontek, J., Jaworska, M., Lodowski, P., Paluch, M., Mol. *Pharmaceutics*, **2016**, 13 (3), pp 1111–1122

⁴⁰ Paluch, M., Wojnarowska, Z., Hensel-Bielowka, S. Heterogeneous Dynamics of Prototypical Ionic Glass CKN Monitored by Physical Aging Phys. Rev. Lett. 2013, 110, 1.

⁴¹ Wojnarowska, Z., Ngai, K. L., Paluch, M., Invariance of conductivity relaxation under pressure and temperature variations at constant conductivity relaxation time in 0.4Ca(NO3)2-0.6KNO3 Phys. Rev. E 2014, 90, 062315.

⁴² Wojnarowska Z, Jarosz G, Grzybowski A, Pionteck J, Jacquemind J and Paluch M On the scaling behavior of electric conductivity in [C4mim][NTf2] Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2014, 14 20444-50.

⁴³ Kreuer, K.D. On the complexity of proton conduction phenomena. Solid State Ionics 2000, 136–137, 149–160.

⁴⁴ Vilciauskas, L., Tuckerman, M. E., Bester, G., Paddison, S. J. & Kreuer, K. D. The mechanism of proton conduction in phosphoric acid. Nature Chem. 2012, 4 461-6.

⁴⁵ Wojnarowska, Z., Wang, Y., Pionteck, J., Grzybowska, K., Sokolov, A. P. & Paluch, M. High pressure as a key factor to identify the conductivity mechanism in protic ionic liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, 111 225703.

⁴⁶ Roland, C. M., Hensel-Bielowka, S., Paluch, M., Casalini, R. Supercooled dynamics of glass-forming liquids and polymers under hydrostatic pressure *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 2005, 68, 1405.

⁴⁷ Gainaru, C., Stacy, E.W., Bocharova, V., Gobet, M., Holt, A.P., Saito, T., Greenbaum, S., Sokolov, A.P. Mechanism of Conductivity Relaxation in Liquid and Polymeric Electrolytes: Direct Link between Conductivity and Diffusivity J.Phys.Chem.B 2016, 120, 11074-11083. ⁴⁸ Sangoro, J. R.; Iacob, C.; Naumov, S.; Valiullin, R.; Rexhausen, H.; Hunger, J.; Buchner, R.; Strehmel, V.; Karger, J.; Kremer, F. Diffusion in Ionic Liquids: the Interplay Between Molecular Structure and Dynamics. Soft Matter 2011, 7, 1678–1681.