Yield of 3a [%]^[c]

<1

<1

<1

<1

Indium-Catalyzed Heteroaryl–Heteroaryl Bond Formation through Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution**

Teruhisa Tsuchimoto,* Mami Iwabuchi, Yuta Nagase, Kenji Oki, and Hiroshi Takahashi

Heteroaromatic molecules bearing heteroaryl-heteroaryl bonds are an important class of building blocks found in a variety of areas; for example, optoelectronic materials,^[1] liquid crystals,^[2] biological compounds,^[3] and ligands for asymmetric catalysis.^[4] Over the past 35 years, transitionmetal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have been chiefly responsible for making (hetero)aryl-(hetero)aryl bonds.^[5] On the other hand, nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) has actually been studied to construct such biaryl linkages since the 1940s.^[6] However, aromatic compounds, which are intrinsically electron-rich, are in general unreactive toward nucleophilic substitution.^[7] Therefore, two aryl substrates with entirely opposite electronic demands must be arranged to realize biaryl synthesis by the S_NAr reaction. Thus, electron-rich aryl nucleophiles with highly electropositive metals (e.g. Li⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺) and/or electron-poor aryl electrophiles with one or more strong electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs; e.g. CF₃, NO₂, CN, CO₂R) have each been the aryl substrate of choice.^[8] More than a stoichiometric amount of promoter is also often necessary.^[8c,p,q] These requisites may have limited the widespread applicability of biaryl synthesis based on S_NAr. We envisioned that catalytic biaryl synthesis by S_NAr independent of such activated aryl substrates would be an attractive alternative to the transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling strategy. Herein, we report the first example of a catalytic heteroaryl-heteroaryl bond-forming reaction based on S_NAr without using both the heteroarylmetal nucleophile and heteroaryl electrophile substituted with EWGs.

Initially, we studied the effect of changing the leaving group X in thiophene derivatives 2 (acting as electrophiles) in the indium-catalyzed reaction of 2-methylindole (1a; acting as a nucleophile; Table 1). On treatment of 1a and 2 bearing various halides (X = I, Br, Cl) with 2 mol % of $In(OTf)_3$ (Tf = SO₂CF₃) in 1,4-dioxane at 85°C for 5 h, no desired reaction occurred. Neither the nitro nor cyano groups, which often behave as leaving groups in S_NAr reactions, worked at all. In

[*]	Prof. Dr. T. Tsuchimoto, M. Iwabuchi, Y. Nagase, K. Oki, H. Takahashi
	Department of Applied Chemistry
	School of Science and Technology
	Meiji University, Higashimita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki, 214-8571 (Japan)
	Fax: (+81) 44-934-7228
	E-mail: tsuchimo@isc.meiji.ac.jp
	Homepage: http://www.isc.meiji.ac.jp/~tsuchi/
[**]	Financial support by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research
	(no. 19750083) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports,
	Science and Technology is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201005750.

		-	

Cl	5
NO ₂	9

2

Conv. of **2** [%]^[b]

3

2

Table 1: Effect of changing X.^[a]

1a

Х

Т

Br

5 CN <1 OMe (2a) 99 35 OAc 24 <1 OTf >99 <1 [a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.325 mmol), 2 (0.250 mmol), In(OTf)₃

Lewis acid (2 mol%)

solvent, 85 °C, 5 h

(5.00 µmol), 1,4-dioxane (1.0 mL), 85 °C, 5 h. [b] Determined by GC analysis. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. $Tf = SO_2CF_3$.

sharp contrast, 2a, having a methoxy group, reacted with 1a to give thienylindole 3a in 35% yield, while the related oxygen-based leaving groups such as OAc and OTf gave disappointing results, despite their better leaving ability compared with OMe.^[9] Next, we tested other solvents in the reaction of 1a with 2a (Table 2). The ethereal solvent DME, which is similar to 1,4-dioxane, was efficient while other solvents made the reaction sluggish. After thorough investigations on a co-solvent for 1,4-dioxane and DME, we found that the yield of **3a** was markedly increased to 80% in a mixed solvent system, consisting of 1.4-dioxane and toluene (25:1). Other indium salts as well as metal triflates were less effective (Table 3). No reaction occurred without a catalyst. With In(OTf)₃ as a catalyst, the fine-tuning of the solvent volume finally raised the yield up to 86%. The results in Table 3 might

Table 2: Effect of solvents.[a]

Solvent	Conv. of 2a [%] ^[b]	Yield of 3 a [%] ^[c]	
1,4-dioxane	99	35	
DME	71	53	
CH ₃ CH ₂ CN	44	25	
CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	36	21	
PhCl	10	2	
PhCH ₃	12	8	
1,4-dioxane/PhCH ₃ ^[d]	99	80	
DME/PhCH ₃ ^[d]	95	73	

[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.325 mmol), 2a (0.250 mmol), In(OTf)₃ (5.00 µmol), solvent (1.0 mL), 85 °C, 5 h. [b] Determined by GC analysis. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [d] The solvent ratio is 25:1 (1.0 mL:40 μ L). DME = dimethoxyethane.

Communications

Table 3: Effect of Lewis acids.^[a]

Lewis acid	Conv. of 2a [%] ^[b]	Yield of 3 a [%] ^[c]	
In(OTf) ₃	99	80	
In(ONf)₃	>99	74	
$ln(NTf_2)_3$	38	18	
InCl ₃	<1	<1	
Cu(OTf) ₂	81	59	
AgOTf	99	73	
Bi(OTf) ₃	98	73	
Sc(OTf) ₃	45	18	
Y(OTf) ₃	13	4	
Yb(OTf)₃	24	8	
none	< 1	<1	
In(OTf) ₃ ^[d]	>99	86	

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.325 mmol), **2a** (0.250 mmol), Lewis acid (5.00 μ mol), 1,4-dioxane/PhCH₃ (1.0 mL:40 μ L), 85 °C, 5 h. [b] Determined by GC analysis. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [d] Used 1,4-dioxane/PhCH₃ [0.5 mL:40 μ L (12.5:1)]. Nf=SO₂C₄F₉.

indicate that Lewis acids consisting of a rather soft metal and strong electron-withdrawing ligands tend to be favorable as catalysts, owing to the lower catalytic activity of the hard Lewis acids with strong electron-withdrawing ligands such as Sc-, Y-, and Yb(OTf)₃ and of the soft Lewis acid with weaker electron-withdrawing ones like $InCl_3$.^[10] Notably, **3a** is accessible in one step from commercially available **1a** and **2a**; this is important because presynthesis of heteroarylmetal nucleophiles and heteroaryl electrophiles substituted with EWGs is not desired.

We next examined the substrate scope. As shown in Scheme 1, indoles 1 with Me, nBu, OMe, Br, Ph, and/or p-MeOC₆H₄ groups displaced the OMe group from 2a to afford **3b–3j**, where 1,2,3-triarylindole **3j**, having a fully extended π conjugation system, is included. The OMe groups on indole substrates remained intact, thus showing remarkable chemoselectivities (see 3b, 3c, 3i, 3j, and 3l). 3-Methoxythiophene (2b) also reacted with 1 to give 3k and 3l. Even more electron-rich 2,5-dimethoxythiophene (2c; as compared with 2a) also participated in this reaction (Scheme 2). The interesting aspect is that the appropriate choice of reaction conditions enables exclusive access to either the single or double substitution of 2c, thus leading to 3m or 3n, respectively.^[11] The single substitution even proceeded at room temperature in a higher yield. Potentially useful quaterheteroaryl 30 was obtained directly by the double substitution of **2d** with **1b** [Eq. (1)].





Scheme 1. Indium-catalyzed S_NAr reaction of various indoles 1 with 2a or 2b. Yields of isolated 3a-3l are shown here. Further details on reaction conditions for each reaction are provided in the Supporting Information. [a] In(ONf)₃ (10 mol%) instead of In(OTf)₃ was used.



Scheme 2. Indium-catalyzed S_NAr reaction of 1 a with 2 c.

The strategy is also applicable to the synthesis of other biand ter-heteroaryls. While the $In(OTf)_3$ -catalyzed reaction of **1a** with **2e** bearing the OMe group delivered no desired product, replacing **2e** with **2f** having the OTf group and replacing $In(OTf)_3$ with $Bi(OTf)_3$ altered the result drastically, giving pyridylindole **3p** in 67% yield [Eq. (2)]. 3-Acetoxyindole (**2g**) is an excellent electrophile in terms of the reaction efficiency, but unfortunately not with respect to the regiochemistry [Eq. (3)].^[12] We then found that pyrroles **1c**

1376 www.angewandte.org

© 2011 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



and **1d** also work well as nucleophiles [Eqs. (4) and (5)]. As Equation (5) shows, the substitution of the OMe group in **3m**, which was prepared as shown in Scheme 2, with 1,2-dimethylpyrrole (**1d**) provided ter-heteroaryl **3s** that is connected regularly in the order of pyrrole, thiophene, and indole rings. In this case, $In(ONf)_3$ was a superior catalyst to its triflate salt.^[13]

A possible route for this reaction is depicted in Scheme 3, which exemplifies the reaction of 2a with HetAr–H 1. At least three coordination modes of 2a to InX₃ (*In*; complexes A, B, and C) appear to be possible as triggers of this reaction. To gain insight into the details, we performed the reaction of deuterium-labeled indole [D]-1e (95% [D]) with 2a under the standard conditions [Eq. (6)]. The reaction gave [D]-3g and [D]-3g' incorporating a deuterium atom on the C3'- or C5'-poition of the thiophene ring with 72% total deuterium content, thus suggesting that a carbon–indium bond trapped by D⁺ is formed during the reaction. Therefore, complex A in which the carbon atoms of 2a coordinate directly to the *In*



Scheme 3. A possible reaction route through complexes A, B, or C.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1375–1379

D 35%[D 37%[D] In(OTf)₃ D (2 mol%) 22 (6)1,4-dioxane/ 1.3:1 PhCH₃ [D]-1e 85 °C, 1 h [D]**-3g** [D]**-3g'** 95%[D] total: 70% yield, 72%[D]

appears to be the most plausible, as well demonstrated in the S_NAr reaction via π complexes between transition metals and arenes.^[14] Heteroaryl rings with higher π -electron density are thought to be more favorable for complexation with an electrophilic *In*^{III} atom,^[15] thereby they are activated more efficiently. Accordingly, the findings that **2c** with two methoxy groups is much more reactive than **2a** and that thiophenes **2** with electron-withdrawing groups (halides, NO₂, CN, OTf) are inactive also support the validity of the reaction proceeding via complex **A** (Scheme 1, Scheme 2, and Table 1).

Taking the above observations into consideration, possible mechanisms are depicted in Scheme 4, which shows the reaction of HetAr–D 1 and 2a.^[16] Although it is still unclear at



Scheme 4. Possible reaction mechanisms.

present which one of either path a or path b is in operation, allylindium-type intermediates **4** and/or **4'** would be formed first by the nucleophilic attack of **1** to complex **A**,^[17] in which the *In* moiety can be regarded as a tentative EWG to make **2a** electrophilic enough to react. Subsequent transfer of the deuterium atom from the HetAr⁺–D to the α site and/or γ site in the allylindium unit would give **5** and/or **5'**.^[18] The elimination of MeOH(D) for the re-aromatization would lead to [D]-**3** and [D]-**3'**. The 23 % loss of the D atom (95 % deuteration of [D]-**1e** to total 72 % deuteration of [D]-**3g** and [D]-**3g'**) should be ascribed to the final step in which both MeOH and MeOD would be eliminated.

In summary, for the first time, we have achieved catalytic heteroaryl-heteroaryl bond formation based on the S_NAr reaction using two easily available heteroaryl substrates that require no activating groups. We also considered the reason why heteroaryl electrophiles **2** without EWGs work well, based on the mechanistic studies on which complex **A** is the

Communications

plausible coordination mode. In general, transition-metalcatalyzed cross-coupling using indolyl- and pyrrolylmetal compounds is impractical since their presynthesis requires multiple steps.^[19] Our strategy will thus be highly useful in such cases.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of **3a** (Scheme 1): $In(OTf)_3$ (2.8 mg, 5.0 µmol) was added to a 20 mL Schlenk tube, which was heated at 150 °C in vacuo for 2 h and then filled with argon. To this were added 1,4-dioxane (0.5 mL) and toluene (40 µL), and the resulting solution was stirred at RT for 10 min before 2-methylindole (42.6 mg, 0.325 mmol) and 2-methoxythiophene (28.5 mg, 0.250 mmol) were added successively. After stirring at 85 °C for 5 h, a saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution (0.5 mL) was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (5 mL × 3). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Filtration and evaporation of the solvent and subsequent column chromatography on silica gel (*n*hexane/EtOAc = 6:1) gave 2-methyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)-1*H*-indole (**3a**; 45.6 mg, 82%).

Received: September 14, 2010 Published online: December 30, 2010

Keywords: aromatic substitution · heteroarenes · heterocycles · indium · Lewis acids

- For selected recent examples, see: a) Y. Matano, T. Miyajima, T. Fukushima, H. Kaji, Y. Kimura, H. Imahori, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 8102–8115; b) N. Blouin, A. Michaud, D. Gendron, S. Wakim, E. Blair, R. Neagu-Plesu, M. Belletête, G. Durocher, Y. Tao, M. Leclerc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 732–742; c) J. Liu, R. Zhang, G. Sauvé, T. Kowalewski, R. D. McCullough, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 13167–13176; d) H.-L. Wong, C.-C. Ko, W. H. Lam, N. Zhu, V. W.-W. Yam, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, *15*, 10005–10009.
- [2] For selected recent studies, see: a) A. S. Matharu, S. J. Cowling, G. Wright, *Liq. Cryst.* 2007, *34*, 489–506; b) S. Ellinger, U. Ziener, U. Thewalt, K. Landfester, M. Möller, *Chem. Mater.* 2007, *19*, 1070–1075; c) M. Stępień, B. Donnio, J. L. Sessler, *Angew. Chem.* 2007, *119*, 1453–1457; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 1431–1435; d) Y. A. Getmanenko, R. J. Twieg, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, *73*, 830–839; e) J. Luo, B. Zhao, J. Shao, K. A. Lim, H. S. O. Chan, C. Chi, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2009, *19*, 8327–8334.
- [3] For selected recent reports, see: a) W. Jiang, J. J. Fiordeliso, X. Chen, Z. Sui, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2006, 43, 1391–1396; b) Y. Sugimoto, A. Shimizu, T. Kato, A. Satoh, S. Ozaki, H. Ohta, O. Okamoto, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 3569–3573; c) W. T. McElroy, P. DeShong, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 6945–6954; d) U. Jacquemard, N. Dias, A. Lansiaux, C. Bailly, C. Logé, J.-M. Robert, O. Lozach, L. Meijer, J.-Y. Mérour, S. Routier, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008, 16, 4932–4953.
- [4] For selected recent reviews, see: a) T. T.-L. Au-Yeung, A. S. C. Chan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 248, 2151–2164; b) H. Shimizu, I. Nagasaki, T. Saito, *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 5405–5432.
- [5] For representative recent reviews, see: a) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, 102, 1359–1469; b) A. de Meijere, F. Diederich, *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; c) T. Satoh, M. Miura, *Chem. Lett.* 2007, 36, 200–205; for a recent special issue on the cross-coupling reaction, see: d) *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008, 41, 1439–1564, see also a brief historical note on the

cross-coupling reaction: e) K. Tamao, T. Hiyama, E.-i. Negishi, J. Organomet. Chem. **2002**, 653, 1–4.

- [6] Biaryl synthesis via the S_NAr process has already been achieved in 1942: R. C. Fuson, S. B. Speck, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64, 2446–2448.
- [7] M. B. Smith, J. March, March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure, Wiley, Hoboken, 2007, pp. 481–482 and 853–857.
- a) R. C. Fuson, F. W. Wassmundt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, [8] 5409-5413; b) J. M. Wilson, D. J. Cram, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4930-4943; c) G. P. Stahly, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3091-3094; d) D. J. Cram, J. A. Bryant, K. M. Doxsee, Chem. Lett. 1987, 19-22; e) M. Shindo, K. Koga, K. Tomioka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8732-8733; f) D. C. Reuter, L. A. Flippin, J. McIntosh, J. M. Caroon, J. Hammaker, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 4899-4902; g) K. Kamikawa, M. Uemura, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6359-6362; h) T. Hattori, M. Suzuki, N. Tomita, A. Takeda, S. Miyano, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1117-1123; i) D. P. G. Norman, A.E. Bunnell, S.R. Stabler, L.A. Flippin, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9301-9306; j) S. Boisnard, L. Neuville, M. Bois-Choussy, J. Zhu, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2459-2462; k) B. A. Moosa, K. A. A. Safieh, M. M. El-Abadelah, Heterocycles 2002, 57, 1831-1840; l) T. Hattori, A. Takeda, O. Yamabe, S. Miyano, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 233-238; m) T. Hattori, Y. Shimazumi, H. Goto, O. Yamabe, N. Morohashi, W. Kawai, S. Miyano, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 2099-2108; n) T. Hattori, H. Iwato, K. Natori, S. Miyano, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 881-887; o) Y. M. Al-Hiari, A. M. Qaisi, M. M. El-Abadelah, W. Voelter, Monatsh. Chem. 2006, 137, 243-248; p) M. Cecchi, A. Micoli, D. Giomi, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 12281-12287; q) M. De Rosa, D. Arnold, M. Medved', Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3991-3994; see also the following reviews: r) G. Bringmann, R. Walter, R. Weirich, Angew. Chem. 1990, 102, 1006-1019; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 977-991; s) T. G. Gant, A. I. Meyers, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 2297-2360; t) T. Hattori, S. Miyano, J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 1997, 55, 121-131.
- [9] M. B. Smith, J. March, March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure, Wiley, Hoboken, 2007, pp. 496-502.
- [10] For a review on the hard and soft acids and bases principle: S. Woodward, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 1017–1050, and references therein.
- [11] A 2,5-bis(3'-indolyl)thiophene structure derived from the double substitution is interesting because of its antitumor properties, see: P. Diana, A. Carbone, P. Barraja, A. Montalbano, A. Martorana, G. Dattolo, O. Gia, L. D. Via, G. Cirrincione, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, *17*, 2342–2346.
- [12] Separate treatment of 3q or 3q' with In(OTf)₃ (10 mol%) in 1,4dioxane/toluene (25:1) at 100 °C for 18 h resulted in quantitative recovery of each starting material 3q or 3q', respectively, thus indicating that no isomerization occurs between 3q and 3q' under the conditions. As previously demonstrated, formation of 3q' may possibly be attributed that a cationic intermediate leading to 3q' is formed via migration of the 2-methylindol-3-yl group from C3' to C2' in a cationic intermediate for 3q: N. P. Grimster, C. Gauntlett, C. R. A. Godfrey, M. J. Gaunt, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 3185–3189; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3125–3129, and references therein.
- [13] Under the same reaction conditions, use of In(OTf)₃ instead of In(ONf)₃ as a catalyst gave 3s in 26% yield.
- [14] Coordination of π systems of arene rings to various transition metals is known to activate the rings toward S_NAr reaction; for stoichiometric reactions: a) M. F. Semmelhack, A. Chlenov in *Transition Metal Arene* π-*Complexes in Organic Synthesis and Catalysis* (Ed.: E. P. Kündig), Springer, Berlin, **2004**, pp. 43–69; for catalytic reactions: b) M. Otsuka, K. Endo, T. Shibata, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 336–338.

1378 www.angewandte.org



- [15] For example, see: E. P. Kündig in *Transition Metal Arene* π-*Complexes in Organic Synthesis and Catalysis* (Ed: E. P. Kündig), Springer, Berlin, **2004**, pp. 3–20.
- [16] In the cases of 2f and 2g, we cannot presently exclude other routes via coordination modes other than the type such as complex A.
- [17] As one reviewer suggested, there is also a possibility of a stepwise route at the first stage, consisting of indium-activated formation of a carbocation on the C2 atom of **2a**, stabilized by the adjacent oxygen and sulfur atoms, and then nucleophilic attack of HetAr-D **1** to the cation.
- [18] Allylindium species are reportedly deuterated by D⁺ sources: T. D. Haddad, L. C. Hirayama, B. Singaram, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 642–649.
- [19] a) I. Kawasaki, M. Yamashita, S. Ohta, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1996, 44, 1831–1839; b) M. Amat, S. Hadida, G. Pshenichnyi, J. Bosch, *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, 62, 3158–3175; c) A. Nishida, N. Miyashita, M. Fuwa, M. Nakagawa, *Heterocycles* 2003, 59, 473–476; d) K. Billingsley, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 3358–3366.