

PII: S0040-4020(97)00553-X

Stereochemistry of Dithiirane 1-Oxides: Optical Resolution, Absolute Configuration, and Racemization and Isomerization of Dithiirane 1-Oxides

Akihiko Ishii, Shin-ya Nakamura, Tsuyoshi Yamada, and Juzo Nakayama*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Saitama University, Urawa, Saitama 338, Japan

Abstract: Optical resolution of (1RS, 3SR)-(1) and (1RS, 3RS)-(2) dithiirane 1-oxides was achieved by HPLC equipped with a chiral column to give 1a and 1b and 2a and 2b, respectively. X-ray analyses proved absolute configurations of 1a and 1b to be (1R, 3S) and (1S, 3R), while those of 2a and 2b were determined to be (1R, 3R) and (1S, 3S), respectively, since 2a and 2b isomerized stereospecifically to 1b and 1a, respectively. Enantiomeric dithiirane 1-oxides 1a and 1b racemized to each other whereas 2a and 2b did not. To the racemization (oxygen migration) is proposed a mechanism involving homolysis of the S(O)-S bond at the initial step followed by a ring closure giving a 1,2,4-oxathietane intermediate. Isomerization between 1 and 2 was inhibited by a radical scavenger, DPPH. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of thiosulfinates has drawn considerable attention not only from their biological activities, e.g., allicin isolated from garlic, but also as precursors and condensation products of sulfenic acids.¹ Until today, some optically active thiosulfinates have been prepared.^{2–11} Kice² and Fava³ independently prepared optically active *S*-aryl arenethiosulfinates by asymmetric oxidation of diaryl disulfides though in very low optical yields. Later, asymmetric oxidation with a chiral oxaziridine,⁴ a chiral titanium complex,⁵ and an enzyme⁶ gave optically active thiosulfinates in low to moderate optical yields. On the other hand, Mikołajczyk and Drabowicz prepared optically active thiosulfinates by asymmetric condensation.^{7,8} While optical resolution of racemic thiosulfinates via β -cyclodextrin inclusion complexes gave optically active thiosulfinates in 2.5–13.6% ee,⁹ complete optical resolution of *S*-aryl arenethiosulfinates was achieved by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a chiral column.¹⁰

Recently, we found the formation of the first isolable dithiirane 1-oxide derivatives 1 and 2 by the reaction of 6,7-dithiabicyclo[3.1.1]heptane 6-oxide 3 with $2KHSO_5 KHSO_4 K_2SO_4$ (OXONE[®], Aldrich) (Eq. 1).¹² This finding promoted the chemistry of dithiiranes to the new stage, and thus we have succeeded in the synthesis of the first isolable, unoxidized dithiirane derivative 4.¹³ Dithiirane 1-oxides 1 and 2 were also obtained by oxidation of 4 with MCPBA (Eq. 2).^{13a,d}

A. ISHII et al.



Dithiirane 1-oxides correspond to three-membered cyclic thiosulfinates and have two asymmetric centers in the case of the two substituents on the carbon atom being nonequivalent; one is the dithiirane carbon and the other is the sulfinyl sulfur. Therefore, there exist a pair of diastereomers and two pairs of enantiomers with respect to each diastereomer (Fig.1). In the case of dithiirane 1-oxides 1 and 2 ($R^1 = Ph$; $R^2 = CMe_2CH_2CMe_2COPh$ in Fig.1), the (1RS, 3SR)-dithiirane 1-oxide 1 and the (1RS, 3RS)-isomer 2 are diasteromeric to each other; they are separable by silica-gel column chromatography and the structures of 1 and 2 were unequivocally determined by X-ray single-crystal analysis.^{13d}



Fig. 1. Diastereomers and enantiomers of dithiirane 1-oxides (R¹>R²).

Here we report the optical resolution of (1RS, 3SR)-dithiirane 1-oxide 1 and (1RS, 3RS)-isomer 2 by HPLC equipped with a chiral column and the determination of the absolute configurations of the four stereoisomers. During the course of the study, we have observed racemization (oxygen migration) of the enantiomers and isomerization (inversion at the sulfinyl sulfur) between 1 and 2. We also discuss the mechanisms of the racemization and the isomerization.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optical resolution of (1RS, 3SR)- and (1RS, 3RS)-dithiirane 1-oxides 1 and 2 and determination of the absolute configurations of the enantiomers

(*IRS*, 3SR)-Dithiirane 1-oxide 1. An X-ray analysis of the racemic dithiirane 1-oxide 1 (m.p. 124-125 °C decomp) disclosed that the space group is PI and the Z value is 2, indicating that the enantiomers

make a pair in a unit cell. This means that optical resolution of 1 by fractional recrystallization is impossible. Therefore, we examined the separation of the enantiomers by HPLC equipped with a chiral column. As a result of screening of some chiral columns,¹⁴ it was found that 1 was completely resolved by using a column packed with amylose tris(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) coated on silica gel as the stationary phase.¹⁵ An HPLC chromatogram of 1 was depicted in Fig. 2, where (1RS, 3RS)-isomers 2, dicarbonyl compound 5, and thicketone S-oxide 6 (overlapped with the peak of 1a in Fig. 2) were also detected as contaminants.



Fig. 2. HPLC chromatogram of dithiirane 1-oxide 1.

Colorless crystals (1a, m.p. 112–115 °C decomp) obtained from the first fraction ($t_{\rm R} = 11.1$ min) showed a specific rotation of -210° (c 0.305, CHCl₃, 22 °C). The HPLC analysis of the crystals, however, indicated that the enantiomeric excess (ee) was 97% and their ¹H NMR showed that they are composed of 86% of 1, 7% of the isomer 2, and 7% of thicketone S-oxide 6. The contamination of 6 in this fraction is mostly caused by incomplete separation of the HPLC fractionation. On the other hand, colorless crystals (1b, mp. 113–115 °C, decomp), obtained from the second fraction ($t_R = 21.8 \text{ min}$), showed a specific rotation of +226° (c 0.305, CHCl₃, 22 °C) and are composed of 93% of 1 (95.5% ee), 6% of 2, and 1% of 6.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of the enantiomers 1a and 1b are presented in Fig.3. The (-)enantiomer 1a exhibits a negative Cotton effect at 307 nm, a positive second Cotton effect at 270 nm, and a negative third Cotton effect at 229 nm. The (+)-enantiomer 1b shows a CD spectra symmetric to that of 1a.



Fig.3. CD spectra of 1a and 1b.

Absolute configurations of 1a and 1b were determined by X-ray single-crystal structure analysis, in which the value of η defined by Rogers was the criterion of the determination.¹⁶ The analysis on 1a showed that its absolute configuration is R on the sulfinyl sulfur and S on the dithiirane carbon [triclinic, P1, Z = 2, R = 0.0580, $R_w = 0.0587$, and $\eta = 0.8(1)$]. An ORTEP drawing of 1a is depicted in Fig. 4a. Thus, 1a was determined to be (1R, 3S)-(-)-dithiirane 1-oxide. Similarly, the X-ray analysis on 1b [triclinic, P1, Z = 2, R = 0.0498, $R_w = 0.0516$, $\eta = 0.9(1)$] gave the absolute configuration, (1S, 3R), reasonably opposite to that of 1a (Fig. 4b).



Fig. 4. ORTEP drawings of 1a (a) and 1b (b).

Both 1a and 1b thus obtained were not enantiomerically pure because they lost their ee gradually in solution; (+)-1b in 1,2-dichloroethane ($c = 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³) lost optical activity almost completely after 5-6 days at 25 °C ($k = 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ s⁻¹). On the other hand, the contamination of 6-7% of the isomer 2 is partly due to isomerization of 1 to 2 during a series of operations. The racemization and the isomerization are discussed later.

(1RS, 3RS)-Dithiirane 1-oxide 2. A racemate of 2 (m.p. 110–114 °C decomp) was also optically resolved in a similar manner. Since some isomerization to 1 and decomposition to dicarbonyl compound 5 and thioketone S-oxide 6 took place during the operations, colorless solids obtained by evaporation of the solvent were purified again by HPLC (silica gel). Thus, it was disclosed that the first fraction ($t_R = 6.7 \text{ min}$, m.p. 104–106 °C decomp) was the (–)-enantiomer (2a) and the second one ($t_R = 11.2 \text{ min}$, m.p. 107–109 °C decomp) (+)-enantiomer (2b). Interestingly, in contrast to 1, the enantiomers of 2 were optically stable; optical purity of (+)-2b (94% ee) in 1,2-dichloroethane did not change for 2 d at 30 °C. Another important observation was that (–)-2a (97% ee) and (+)-2b (>95% ee) were contaminated with a small amount of (+)-1b (>95% ee) and (–)-1a (>95% ee), respectively. The optical stability and the stereospecific isomerization of 2 to 1 is due to inversion at the sulfinyl sulfur without oxygen migration. Therefore, we determined the absolute configurations of 2a and 2b to be (1R, 3R) and (1S, 3S), respectively (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Absolute configurations of stereoisomers, 1a, 1b, 2a, and 2b.

Mechanisms of racemization and isomerization of dithiirane 1-oxides

Optical instability of thiosulfinates in the presence¹⁷ or absence^{3-5,7,9} of catalysts was often observed and aroused controversy on the mechanism.^{9,18,19} These racemization reactions do not involve an oxygen migration and the present isomerization between 1 and 2 holds for these cases. The racemization of optically active dithiirane 1-oxides is practically an oxygen migration which has been observed only in a few cases.^{20,21}

Racemization (oxygen migration) between (1R, 3S)- and (1S, 3R)-dithiirane 1-oxides, 1a and 1b. The progress of the racemization of (1S, 3R)-(+)-dithiirane 1-oxide 1b was traced with HPLC. The racemization obeyed reversible first-order kinetics and the rate constants (k) were calculated by the following equation; $\ln(\% \text{ ee}/100) = -2kt - \ln[([1b]_0 + [1a]_0)/2([1b]_0 - [1b]_\infty)]$, where $[1a]_0$ and $[1b]_0$ are the initial concentrations of 1a and 1b, respectively, and $[1b]_\infty$ is the concentration of 1b after a time of ∞ (Table 1).

Run	Temp.	Solvent	Conc. 10 ⁻³ mol dm ⁻³	$\frac{k}{10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}}$
2	25	CH ₂ Cl ₂	13.0	4.1
3	25	Hexane-EtOH(1:1)	1.3	4.4
4	25	C6H6	3.1	62
5	20.4	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	3.1	1.90
6	25.2	CICH2CH2CI	3.1	4.09
7	30.0	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	3.1	7.76
8	34.8	CICH2CH2CI	3.1	14.0
9	39.8	CICH2CH2CI	3.1	27.7
10 ^{a)}	25	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI	3.1	3.8
11b)	25	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1.3	4.1

Table 1.

a) Under argon atmosphere.

b) 2,6-Di-t-butyl-4-methylphenol was added.

As shown in Runs 1-4, the rate constants were almost independent of concentration and solvent polarity, indicating that the racemization is a unimolecular reaction and does not involve ionic species in the rate-controlling step. Activation parameters are obtained from the rate constants measured in a temperature range from 20.4 to 39.8 °C (Runs 5-9); $\Delta H^{\neq} = 24.3$ kcal mol⁻¹; $\Delta S^{\neq} = -2.0$ cal deg⁻¹.

A. ISHII et al.

Taking the kinetic studies into account, a radical (Path A) or a concerted (Path B) mechanism is probably operative in the racemization (Scheme 1). In the Path A, homolysis of the S(O)–S bond of 1a gives the biradical intermediate 7a, which yields the 1,2,4-oxadithietane intermediate 8 by an intramolecular ring closure.^{19,20,22} The S–O bond cleavage of 8 would give another biradical 7b whose ring closure results in the formation of the stereoisomer 1b, and vice versa. In the Path B, the oxygen atom migration to the adjacent sulfenyl sulfur proceeds through a transition state 9.





In the disproportionation of aryl arenethiosulfinates, $2ArS(O)SAr \rightarrow ArSO_2SR + ArSSAr$, oxygen migration from the sulfinyl sulfur to the sulfenyl sulfur was involved and, in addition, some radical trapping experiments using styrene, 1,1-diphenylethylene, and diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) proved the intervention of radical species. Therefore, Fava came to a conclusion that homolytic cleavage of the S(O)-S bond is involved in the disproportionation.^{20,23} Also to our racemization, we propose an S(O)-S homolysis mechanism as the initial step (Path A) for the following reasons, although more rigid experimental evidence for the existence of the biradical intermediate still remains to be found. The rate of the racemization of 1b is not influenced by radical scavengers such as molecular oxygen (Table 1, Run 10 and other Runs), 2,6-di-t-butyl-4methylphenol (Run 11), and DPPH. In addition, remarkable decomposition of racemic dithiirane 1-oxide 1 did not take place when 1 was stirred in the presence of DPPH (CDCl3, r.t., 14 d), 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylphenol (hexane-EtOH 1:1, 25 °C, 3 d), PhSiH₃ (benzene, 60 °C, 2 d), (Me₃Si)₃SiH (C₆D₆, r.t., 6 d) or 1,1diphenylethylene²⁴ (CDCl₃, r.t., 20 d). However, when a solution of the racemic dithiirane 1-oxide 1 in C_6D_6 was stirred in the presence of n-Bu₃SnH at 25 °C for 6 d under argon, there resulted in considerable decomposition of 1 to dicarbonyl compound 5 (28%), thicketone S-oxide 6 (14%), thicketone 10 (7%), and some unidentifiable materials (¹H NMR, Eq. 3). These experiments can be explained in terms of bond strengths: bond dissociation energies (ΔH^{2}_{298}) of PhO-H, PhH₂Si-H, (Me₃Si)₃Si-H, and *n*-Bu₃Sn-H are 86.5,25 88.2,26 79.027 and 73.728 kcal mol-1, respectively. The biradical intermediate 7 corresponds to the biradical generated from a gem-mercaptosulfenic acid [PhRC(SH)SOH] by double hydrogen abstraction. Reported bond dissociation energy of RS-H is 91-92 kcal mol⁻¹ 25.29 and that of RSO-H was estimated to be comparable with that of ArO-H.³⁰ Thus, the intramolecular ring closure of 7 to 8 or 1 is generally more

favorable both in bond energy and steric demand than intermolecular hydrogen abstraction except in the case of n-Bu₃SnH, where the biradical 7 can abstract the hydrogen atom effectively from n-Bu₃SnH, thus promoting the decomposition of 1. However, we can not rule out the possibility that the decomposition of 1 was induced by a radical species generated by decomposition of n-Bu₃SnH. Incidentally, no ESR signal appeared in a solution of dithiirane 1-oxide 1 up to 40 °C,³¹ as Block did not observe any ESR signals in a photochemical reaction of EtS(O)SMe in which homolysis of the S(O)-S bond was proved by other experiments.¹⁹

$$1 \xrightarrow{n-Bu_3SnH} C_6D_6, 25 \text{ °C}, 6 \text{ d} \xrightarrow{Ph} \xrightarrow{X O} Ph + \text{unidentifiable} (3)$$

$$5 : X = O$$

$$6 : X = SO$$

$$10 : X = S$$

It was reported that activation parameters, ΔH^{\neq} and ΔS^{\neq} , for the homolytic cleavage of the S(O)–S bond of PhS(O)SPh were 34.5 kcal mol⁻¹ and 12.1 cal deg⁻¹ (80 °C), respectively,²⁰ and the experimental bond energy of the S(O)–S bond of MeS(O)SMe was 46 kcal mol⁻¹.³² The much lower ΔH^{\neq} of the present racemization ($\Delta H^{\neq} = 24.3$ kcal mol⁻¹) would be reasonably explained in terms of the large ring strain of the three-membered ring.

The concerted mechanism (Path B) is seemingly less probable compared with that of the biradical mechanism, although we do not have any experimental evidence at the present stage to exclude this mechanism. If the oxygen migration of dithiirane 1-oxide 1 proceeds through a highly strained transition state like 9 with such a low activation energy, 1,2-oxygen shift of S-oxide derivatives of five-membered di- and trisulfides, such as 12 and 13, should take place more or at least equally easily. However, the dithiolane 1-oxide 12 is stable up to 166 °C³³ and benzotrithiole 2-oxide 13 isomerizes to the 1-oxide 14 only photochemically.²¹ These facts are in agreement with an idea that the ring size of substrates controls the oxygen migration and the S(O)-S bond of the three-membered dithiirane 1-oxide is considerably weakened by the large ring strain.



On the other hand, the enantiomers of 2 were optically stable in contrast to those of 1. This is probably attributed to the steric hindrance due to the bulky tertiary alkyl substituent cis to the oxygen atom, which hinders the approach of the oxygen of the other sulfur atom.

Incidentally, there is a suspicion that the racemization is either induced by adsorption on the surface of a glass vessel or catalyzed by a contaminating acidic material and a trace amount of water. In a dichloromethane solution of 1 b in a Teflon[®] vessel, however, the racemization proceeded at a rate similar to that in a glass vessel. Kice reported the nucleophile- and acid-catalyzed ¹⁸O exchange reactions of PhS(¹⁸O)SPh in 60% dioxane.³⁴ If this is also the case in the present reaction, the reaction in the presence of H₂¹⁸O proceeds as

shown in Scheme 2 and ¹⁸O should be taken into the dithiirane 1-oxide 1 through the *gem*-disulfenic acid intermediate 11. But, none of ¹⁸O was introduced into 1 on standing a solution of dithiirane 1-oxide 1b in dichloromethane wetted with $H_2^{18}O$.



Isomerization (inversion at the sulfinyl sulfur) between (1RS, 3SR)- (1) and (1RS, 3RS)- (2) dithiirane 1-oxides. When a solution of a 9:91 mixture of 1 and 2 in CDCl₃ ($c = 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³) or C₆D₆ ($c = 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ mol dm⁻³) was stood at 30 °C, the molar ratio changed to 52:48 after 15 d or 96:4 after 4 d, respectively, indicating that 1 is thermodynamically more stable than 2. Although kinetic experiments of the isomerization did not give reproducible results, the isomerization of 2 to 1 was faster in a more concentrated solution and was retarded in the dark though not much. Furthermore, the isomerization of 2 to 1 was faster in a catalyzed by a contaminating radical species represented as X• in Scheme 3. This mechanism is in harmony with the stereospecific isomerization between 1 and 2 mentioned above.



Scheme 3

CONCLUSION

We have succeeded in optical resolution of (1RS, 3SR)- (1) and (1RS, 3RS)- (2) dithiirane 1-oxides by HPLC equipped with a chiral column and determined absolute configurations of the four stereoisomers. We found that enantiomeric (1R, 3S)- (1a) and (1S, 3R)- (1b) dithiirane 1-oxides racemize to each other, whereas (1R, 3R)- (2a) and (1S, 3S)- (2b) dithiirane 1-oxides do not. As to the racemization (oxygen migration), we propose a mechanism involving homolysis of the S(O)-S bond at the rate-controlling step. We also found that isomerization between 1 and 2 takes place and is catalyzed by a radical species. The whole interconversion among the four stereoisomers is summarized in Scheme 4.



Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 08640669 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan. The authors wish to thank Saneyoshi Scholarship Foundation for the financial support.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. Melting points were determined on a Mel-Temp capillary tube apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H-NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AM400 (400 MHz) or a Bruker AC200 (200 MHz) spectrometers. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-370 digital polarimeter and circular dichroism spectra on a JASCO J-600 spectropolarimeter.

Dithiirane 1-oxides 1 and 2 were prepared by oxidation of dithiirane 4 with MCPBA or dimethyldioxirane³⁵ as reported previously.^{13a,d}

HPLC procedure. The HPLC was performed by a system equipped with a Hitachi 655 liquid chromatograph, a Hitachi 638-41 variable wavelength UV monitor, and a Hitachi 833A data processor. For the optical resolution of dithiirane 1-oxides 1 and 2 and the analysis of racemization was used a chiral column, CHIRALPAK AD (4.6 mm i.d. \times 250 mm; Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd.) and for the separation of a mixture of 1 and 2 was used a packed SiO₂ column, INERTSIL PREP-SIL (10 mm i.d. \times 250 mm, GC Sciences Inc.). All separations and analyses were carried out at room temperature.

Optical resolution of (1RS, 3SR)-dithiirane 1-oxide 1. An aliquot (ca. 0.5 ml) of a saturated solution of 1 (50 mg) in hexane-EtOH (85:15) was subjected to HPLC (hexane-EtOH 85:15 as the eluent). Each of the separated enantiomers was collected in a round-bottom flask cooled by an ice-water bath and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Accumulation of 34 runs of these operations gave 22 mg of 1a (the first fraction) and 21 mg of 1b (the second one). The colorless solids were washed with hexane several times and then recrystallized from hexane-dichloromethane by cooling in a freezer.

(1*R*, 3*S*)-3-Phenyl-3-(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-4-oxo-4-phenylbutyl)dithiirane 1-oxide (1**a**): colorless crystals, m.p. 112–115 °C decomp (hexane-dichloromethane). $[\alpha]_D (22 °C) = -210° (c \ 0.305, CHCl_3)$. CD: $\lambda_{max} (\theta)$: 307 (-4.5×10³), 270 (4.4×10³), and 229 (7.2×10⁴) ($c = 1.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, hexane-EtOH 98:2).

Optical purity (determined by HPLC) and chemical purity (determined by ¹H NMR) of the crystals were 97.1% ee and 87%, respectively.

X-Ray Crystal Structure Determination of 1a. X-ray data for 1a: $C_{21}H_{24}O_2S_2$, M_w 372.5. Crystal size, 0.42 × 0.30 × 0.22 mm, triclinic, space group P1 (No.1), a = 10.080(3), b = 10.351(2), c = 10.249(4) Å, $\alpha = 86.41(2)$, $\beta = 68.93(2)$, $\gamma = 71.26(2)^\circ$, V = 959.9(4)Å³, $D_c = 1.29$ g cm⁻³, Z = 2, F(000) = 395, μ (Cu-K α) = 25.467 cm⁻¹. Mac Science MXC3K diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å), ω -2 θ scan method in the range 3° < 2 θ < 140°, 4088 reflections measured, 3650 unique reflections. The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR92³⁶ in the CRYSTAN GM program system and refined by a full-matrix least-squares method using 3327 reflections [$I \ge 3\sigma(I)$] for 637 parameters. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The final R , R_w , and η values are 0.0580, 0.0587, and 0.791, respectively.

(1*S*, 3*R*)-3-Phenyl-3-(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-4-oxo-4-phenylbutyl)dithiirane 1-oxide (1b): colorless crystals, m.p. 113-115 °C decomp (hexane-dichloromethane). $[\alpha]_D (22 °C) = +226° (c \ 0.305, CHCl_3)$. CD: $\lambda_{max} (\theta)$: 306 (3.7×10³), 270 (-4.6×10³), and 229 (6.3×10⁴) ($c = 1.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, hexane-EtOH 98:2). Optical purity (HPLC) and chemical purity (¹H NMR) of the crystals were 95.5% ee and 93%, respectively.

X-Ray Crystal Structure Determination of 1b. X-ray data for 1b: $C_{21}H_{24}O_2S_2$, M_w 372.5. Crystal size, $0.50 \times 0.28 \times 0.26$ mm, triclinic, space group P1 (No.1), a = 10.087(2), b = 10.337(3), c = 10.439(2) Å, $\alpha = 86.32(2)$, $\beta = 68.82(2)$, $\gamma = 71.25(2)^\circ$, V = 959.4(4)Å³, $D_c = 1.29$ g cm⁻³, Z = 2, F(000) = 395, μ (Cu-K α) = 25.479 cm⁻¹. Mac Science MXC3K diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å), ω -2 θ scan method in the range 3° < 2 θ < 140°, 4187 reflections measured, 3643 unique reflections. The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR92³⁶ in the CRYSTAN GM program system and refined by a full-matrix least-squares method using 3476 reflections [$I \ge 3\sigma(I)$] for 637 parameters. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The final R, R_w , and η values are 0.0498, 0.0516, and 0.895, respectively.

Optical resolution of (1RS, 3RS)-dithiirane 1-oxide 2. In a manner similar to the case of 1, 12 mg of 2 was resolved and 5 mg of 2a (the first fraction) and 5 mg of 2b (the second one) were obtained as accumulation of 13 runs. Each of the colorless solids was further purified by HPLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂ as the eluent) to give 4.3 mg of 1a and 4.2 mg of 1b, which were recrystallized from hexane-dichloromethane by cooling in a freezer.

(1R, 3R)-3-Phenyl-3-(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-4-oxo-4-phenylbutyl)dithiirane 1-oxide (2a): colorless crystals, m.p. 104-106 °C decomp (hexane-dichloromethane). The exact specific rotation value of 2a could not be determined because of decomposition except that it has a negative sign.

(1*S*, 3*S*)-3-Phenyl-3-(1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-4-oxo-4-phenylbutyl)dithiirane 1-oxide (**2b**): colorless crystals, m.p. 107–109 °C decomp (hexane-dichloromethane). $[\alpha]_D (22 \text{ °C}) = +98^\circ (c \ 0.100, \text{ CHCl}_3, \approx 100\% \text{ ee}).$

Kinetics. The racemization and isomerization reactions were carried out in a glass vessel under air unless otherwise noted. Progress of the racemization was traced by HPLC (hexane-EtOH 98:2 as the eluent) at appropriate intervals until the optical purity decreased to 5–10% ee and that of isomerization by ¹H NMR. Racemization of 1b in the presence of DPPH at 25 °C was traced by taking up an aliquot of the solution after 48.5 and 72 h followed by measuring ¹H NMR with (*R*)-(-)-*N*-(3,5-dinitrobenzoyl)- α -phenylethylamine as the chiral shift reagent.³⁷

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- For reviews, see: Isenberg, N.; Grdinic, M. Int. J. Sulfur Chem. 1973, 8, 307-320; Kice, J. L. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem., 1980, 17, 65-181; Hogg, D. R. In The Chemistry of Sulfenic Acids and Their Derivatives; Patai, S. Ed; Wiley: New York, 1990; pp. 361-402.
- 2. Kice, J. L.; Large, G. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 3537-3541.
- Savige, W. E.; Fava, A. Chem. Commun. 1965, 417-418; Sagramora, L.; Koch, P.; A. Garbesi, A.; Fava, A. Chem. Commun. 1967, 985-986.
- 4. Davis, F. A.; Jenkins, Jr., R. H.; Awad, S. B.; Stringer, O. D.; Watson, W. H.; Galloy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5412-5418.
- 5. Nemecek, C.; Duñach, E.; Kagan, H. B. Nouv. J. Chim. 1986, 10, 761-764.
- Carrea, G; Redigolo, B.; Riva, S.; Colonna, S.; Gaggero, N.; Battistel, E.; Bianchi, D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1992, 3, 1063-1068.
- Mikołajczyk, M.; Drabowicz, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 220-221; Drabowicz, J.; Mikołajczyk, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5703-5706.
- 8. Drabowicz, J.; Pacholczyk, M. Phosphorus and Sulfur 1987, 29, 257-263.
- 9. Mikołajczyk, M.; Drabowicz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2510-2515.
- 10. Bauer, R.; Breu, W.; Wagner, H. J. Chromatogr. 1991, 541, 464-468.
- Savige, W. E.; Eager, J.; Maclaren, J. A.; Roxburgh, C. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 3289-3293; Kishi, M.; Ishihara, S.; Komeno, T. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 2135-2142.
- 12. Ishii, A.; Akazawa, T.; Ding, M.-X.; Honjo, T.; Nakayama, J.; Hoshino, M.; Shiro, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4914-4915.
- a) Ishii, A.; Akazawa, T.; Maruta, T.; Nakayama, J.; Hoshino, M.; Shiro, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 777-779. b) Ishii, A.; Jin, Y.-N.; Nagaya, H.; Hoshino, M.; Nakayama, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1867-1870. c) Ishii, A.; Maruta, T.; Teramoto, K.; Nakayama, J. Sulfur Lett. 1995, 18, 237-242. d) Ishii, A.; Akazawa, T; Ding, M.-X.; Honjo, T.; Maruta, T.; Nakamura, S.; Nagaya, H.; Ogura, M.; Teramoto, K.; Shiro, M.; Hoshino, M.; Nakayama, J. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1997, 70, 509-523.
- 14. The authors thank Mr. Atsushi Onishi (Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd.) for the screening.
- 15. Okamoto, Y.; Kawashima, M.; Hatada, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5357-5359.
- 16. Rogers, D. Acta Cryst. 1987, A37, 734-741.
- Kice, J. L.; Large, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4069-4076. See also, Kice, J. L.; Guaraldi, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4076-4081; Kice, J. L.; Cleveland, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 109-112.
- 18. Koch, P.; Fava, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 3867-3868.
- 19. Block, E.; O'Connor, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3929-3944.
- 20. Koch, P.; Ciuffarin, E.; Fava, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5971-5977.
- 21. Yomoji, N.; Satoh, S.; Ogawa, S.; Sato, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 673-676.
- 22. In an ab initio calculation, 3,3-dimethyl-1,2,4-oxadithietane has an energy minimum which is 25 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than that of 3,3-dimethyldithiirane 1-oxide at the mp2/6-31g* level. The authors thank Dr. Toshio Shimizu (Tokyo Metropolitan University) for the calculation.

- 23. Barnard, D. J. Chem. Soc. 1957, 4675-4676.
- 24. McPhee, D. J.; Campredon, M.; Lesage, M.; Griller, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7563-7567.
- 25. McMillen, D. F.; Golden, D. M. Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1982, 33, 493-532.
- 26. Walsh, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1981, 14, 246-252.
- Kanabus-Kaminska, J. M.; Hawari, J. A.; Griller, D.; Chatgilialoglu, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5267-5268.
- 28. Burkey, T. J.; Majewski, M.; Griller, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2218-2221.
- 29. Benson, S. W. Chem. Rev. 1978, 78, 23-35.
- 30. Koelewijn, P.; Berger, H. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1972, 91, 1275-1286.
- 31. The authors thank Dr. Takashi Fujihara (Saitama University) for the ESR measurement.
- 32. Block, E.; O'Connor, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3921-3929.
- 33. Wudl, F.; Gruber, R.; Padwa, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 2133-2136.
- 34. Kice, J. L.; Cleveland, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 104-109.
- 35. Adam, W.; Hadjiarapoglou, L.; Smerz, A. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 227-232.
- Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 343– 350.
- 37. Deshmukh, M.; Duñach, E.; Juge, S.; Kagan, H. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3467-3470.

(Received 22 February 1997; accepted 18 April 1997)