

Published on Web 09/30/2006

Oxazole-Based Peptide Macrocycles: A New Class of G-Quadruplex Binding Ligands

Katja Jantos, Raphaël Rodriguez, Sylvain Ladame, Pravin S. Shirude, and Shankar Balasubramanian*

The University Chemical Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, U.K.

Received July 12, 2006; E-mail: sb10031@cam.ac.uk

During the past decade, there has been growing interest in the structure, recognition, and function of DNA G-quadruplexes. The best-studied example is the human telomeric quadruplex, which is associated with the inhibition of telomere elongation by telomerase, an enzyme up-regulated in cancer cells.¹ Furthermore, a large number of other putative quadruplex forming sequences have been identified in the human genome.² We have recently identified a quadruplex forming sequence in the promoter of the c-kit oncogene with potential to regulate the expression of the c-kit gene at the transcription level.³ We have demonstrated that this quadruplex predominantly exists in a parallel conformation in vitro under near physiological conditions. In contrast, the human telomeric quadruplex has been shown to adopt different conformations in the presence of either sodium or potassium. Structural studies have reported that the human telomeric quadruplex can exist as a parallel,⁴ antiparallel,⁵ or even a mixed-type hybrid structure.⁶ Hitherto, chemists have been addressing the design of small molecule ligands that selectively recognize quadruplex DNA in preference to double-stranded DNA. However, owing to the increasing number of quadruplexes being identified in the human genome, an emerging goal is to elucidate quadruplex recognition by small molecules in order to design ligands that can discriminate between quadruplex sequences and/or quadruplex folds. A number of other classes of macrocyclic molecules have been reported in the literature that have shown good potential to bind quadruplexes.⁷

Herein, we report on the quadruplex binding properties of oxazole-based peptide macrocycles of general structure 1 (Figure 1). In particular, we have studied their interaction with the c-kit quadruplex in comparison to the human telomeric quadruplex. Structural studies on related, biologically active cyclopeptides using molecular modeling and X-ray crystallography studies concluded that macrocycles of structure 1 are generally planar.⁸ This information together with a consideration of the size complementarity led us to reason that such structures have potential to recognize quadruplexes via interactions with the top tetrad. The macrocycles 1a-d are chiral and functionalized with alkylamines to provide water solubility and potentially also participate in favorable interactions with the DNA scaffold. As part of the study we have investigated the influence of stereochemical variations as well as the importance of the lateral side chains on quadruplex recognition and stabilization.

Macrocycles of general structure **1** were synthesized by assembly of three amino acid building blocks (**2**, Figure 1) (see Supporting Information). Their potential as G-quadruplex ligands was first investigated using surface plasmon resonance (SPR), which has proven to be a valuable method to examine DNA-small molecule interactions.^{7c-d,9} The experiments were performed using three different immobilized DNA targets: two quadruplexes formed from either the human telomeric sequence d(biotin-[GT₂A(G₃T₂A)₄G₂]) (hTelo) or d(biotin-[C₃G₃CG₃CGCGAG₃AG₄AG₂]) (c-kit) and a



Figure 1. Structures of oxazole-based peptide macrocycles 1a-d.

double stranded DNA (ds DNA) comprising the oligonucleotide $d(biotin-[G_2CATAGTGCGTG_3CGT_2AGC])$ hybridized with its complementary sequence. The results are summarized in Table 1.

It is noteworthy that while ligands 1a-d all bind quadruplex DNA, none of the macrocyles showed detectable binding to doublestranded DNA, even at high ligand concentration (300 µM), thus this class of molecules is highly selective for quadruplex as compared to duplex. The enantiomers 1a,b were found to bind hTelo with comparable dissociation constants (K_D) of 15 and 12 μ M, respectively. The SPR data showed a 1:1 stoichiometry for ligand-quadruplex binding, which is suggestive of a specific ligand-quadruplex interaction with a single guanine tetrad as proposed for other classes of quadruplex binding ligands.7 1a,b each contain three butylamine side chains exclusively on one face of the macrocycle, leaving the opposite face unhindered and therefore accessible for $\pi - \pi$ interactions with the top tetrad of the quadruplex. The comparable binding affinities for **1a**,**b** suggest that an inversion of all three stereocenters does not affect homochiral quadruplex structure recognition. However, inversion of only one stereocenter presents side chains on both faces of the macrocycle, which led to a 4- to 6-fold drop in binding affinity for diastereoisomer 1c, as compared to 1a and 1b, for hTelo and c-kit quadruplexes (Table 1). This result is also consistent with tetrad based quadruplex recognition by 1a,b. Moreover, it is noteworthy that for the macrocycles **1a**,**b** a 3-fold selectivity for c-kit vs hTelo was observed.

Primary amines are protonated at physiological pH and may be involved in stabilizing interactions with the grooves and loops of the quadruplex as well as the negatively charged phosphate backbone. To evaluate the role of the amine side chains, we prepared macrocycle **1d**¹⁰ with shorter methylamine side chains. Compared to **1a**, macrocycle **1d** showed a reduced binding affinity for hTelo (4-fold), but an unchanged equilibrium binding to c-kit. This immediately suggests a different potential for the side chains to recognize each quadruplex.

To strengthen and complement the SPR binding studies, we examined the ability of the macrocycles 1a-d to stabilize Gquadruplex DNA by a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay¹¹ (Figure 2) using dual-labeled hTelo and c-kit quadruplexes, in addition to a double stranded DNA (see Supporting Information). It is noteworthy that FRET melting analysis measures the ligand-induced stabilization of a folded quadruplex, rather than

Table 1. Dissociation Constants (K_D) Determined by SPR and Stabilization Potential Determined by FRET Melting

	DNA	1a	1b	1c	1d
$K_{\rm D} \left[\mu { m M} \right]$	hTelo	15 ± 1	12 ± 1	56 ± 11	53 ± 8
	c-kit	5 ± 2	4 ± 2	31 ± 5	8 ± 3
$\Delta T_{\rm m}$	hTelo	5.2	6.4	4.5	0.5
at 1 μM	c-kit	10.8	8.7	6.1	1.2
[°C]	ds DNA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7



Figure 2. (left) SPR binding curve for macrocycle **1a** to hTelo (\blacksquare), c-kit (\blacktriangle), and ds DNA (\bigstar); running-buffer, 50 mM Tris•HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl. (right) FRET assay for hTelo (\blacksquare), c-kit (\bigstar), and ds DNA (\bigstar) in the presence of **1a**; buffer, 60 mM potassium cacodylate pH 7.4.



Figure 3. CD spectra of hTelo (left) and c-kit (right) in K⁺ containing buffer (50 mM Tris•HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl): without ligand (black); folded in the presence of **1a** (red), **1b** (blue), **1c** (green), and **1d** (cyan).

equilibrium binding. For all ligands 1a-d no stabilization of duplex DNA was observed, which is consistent with the SPR observations and confirms the high selectivity for G-quadruplex DNA. For ligands 1a-c the trend for G-quadruplex stabilization effects were consistent with the K_D values obtained by SPR, the tightest binder inducing the strongest thermal stabilization (Table 1). However, macrocycle 1d, which binds to hTelo and c-kit with affinities comparable to that of 1c and 1a, did not induce a significant thermal stabilization, even at high ligand concentration (up to 50 μ M). This suggests that while 1d binds to c-kit with an affinity comparable to those of ligands 1a-c, its mode of binding does not stabilize (or destabilize) the folded c-kit quadruplex structure.

To provide further insight into the mode of binding we have used circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy to elucidate ligand effects on the folded conformations of hTelo. In the absence of the ligands, the hTelo oligonucleotide coexists in K⁺-containing buffer as a mixture of parallel and antiparallel G-quadruplex conformations exhibiting two maxima at 265 and 291 nm as well as a minimum at 243 nm (Figure 3, left).¹² When hTelo is folded in the presence of a 10-fold excess of the respective macrocycle **1**, a loss of the antiparallel signal (291 nm) was observed simultaneously with a

significant increase of the band at 265 nm characteristic of a parallel conformation. This effect was much more pronounced for 1a,b, which have been found to be the tightest binders by SPR, than for the weaker binders 1c,d. Addition of the macrocycle to prefolded hTelo quadruplex did not induce significant change in quadruplex conformation, even after 3 h, suggesting that conformational interconversion is slow. This indicates a thermodynamic preference of macrocycles 1a-d for binding to a parallel G-quadruplex structure as compared to an antiparallel conformation. The c-kit quadruplex already exists as a predominantly parallel structure in K⁺-containing buffer and showed no significant change in the CD spectrum upon inclusion of ligands 1a-d (Figure 3, right). These results are consistent with the preference of the macrocycles 1 for the predominantly parallel c-kit quadruplex³ as compared to hTelo which is highly polymorphic. This new class of quadruplex binding ligands represents a versatile and promising scaffold. Further optimization of such structures and an evaluation of biological activity are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Gates Cambridge Trust for a studentship (K.J.) and the Cancer Research U.K. for program funding (R.R. and S.L.) and the BBSRC for funding (P.S.). S.B. is a BBSRC Career Development Research Fellow. We also thank Dr. A. Bugaut for careful reading of the manuscript.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures for the synthesis of the macrocycles **1**, SPR binding curves, experimental details for CD and FRET. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Zahler, A. M.; Williamson, J. R.; Cech, T. R.; Prescott, D. M. Nature 1991, 350, 717.
- (2) (a) Huppert, J. L.; Balasubramanian, S. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005, *33*, 2908.
 (b) Todd, A. K.; Johnston, M.; Neidle, S. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005, *33*, 2901.
- (3) Fernando, H.; Reszka, A. P.; Huppert, J.; Ladame, S.; Rankin, S.; Venkitaraman, A. R.; Neidle, S.; Balasubramanian, S. *Biochemistry* 2006, 45, 7854.
- (4) Wang, Y.; Patel, D. J. J. Mol. Biol. 1993, 234, 1171.
- (5) Parkinson, G. N.; Lee, M. P. H.; Neidle, S. Nature (London) 2002, 417, 876.
- (6) Ambrus, A.; Chen, D.; Dai, J.; Bialis, T.; Jones, R. A.; Yang, D. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006, 34, 2723.
- (7) For recent examples see (a) Dixon, I. M.; Lopez, F.; Estève, J.-P.; Tejera, A. M.; Blasco, M. A.; Pratviel, G.; Meunier, B. *ChemBioChem* 2005, 6, (123. (b) Seenisamy, J.; Bashyan, S.; Gokhale, V.; Vankayalapati, H.; Sun, D.; Siddiqui-Jain, A.; Steiner, A. N.; Shin-ya, K.; White, E.; Wilson, W. D.; Hurley, L. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 2944. (c) Teulade-Fichou, M.-P.; Carrasco, C.; Guittat, L.; Bailly, C.; Alberti, P.; Mergny, J.-L.; David, A.; Lehn, J.-M.; Wilson, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 4732. (d) Schouten, J. A.; Ladame, S.; Mason, S. J.; Cooper, M. A.; Balasubramanian, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 5594.
- (8) Lucke, A. J.; Tyndall, J. D. A.; Singh, Y.; Fairlie, D. P. J. Mol. Graphics Modell. 2003, 21, 341.
- (9) (a) Moore, M. J. B.; Schultes, C. M.; Cuesta, J.; Cuenca, F.; Gunaratnam, M.; Tanious, F. A.; Wilson, W. D.; Neidle, S. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 582. (b) Ladame, S.; Schouten, J. A.; Stuart, J.; Roldan, J.; Neidle S.; Balasubramanian, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 2925.
- (10) Mink, D.; Mecozzi, S.; Rebek, J., Jr. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5709.
- (11) Mergny, J.-L.; Maurizot, J.-C. ChemBioChem 2001, 2, 124.
- (12) Rezler, E. M.; Seenisamy, J.; Bashyam, S.; Kim, M.-Y.; White, E.; Wilson, W. D.; Hurley, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9439.

JA064713E