

An Interrupted Schmidt Reaction: C–C Bond Formation Arising from Nitrilium Ion Capture

Manwika Charaschanya,[†] Kelin Li, Hashim F. Motiwala,[‡] and Jeffrey Aubé*®

Division of Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, UNC Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-7363, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The rerouting of the nitrilium ion formed in the Schmidt reaction of ketones and $TMSN_3$ to encompass C–C bond formation with an electron-rich aromatic group is reported. Thus, when the reaction is carried out in HFIP using AlCl₃ or AlBr₃ as the promoter, imines, iminium ions, or enamide derivatives are obtained through one-pot procedures. The scope and possible mechanisms of these new transformations are considered.



I n its original and best-known form, the Schmidt reaction is the acid-mediated conversion of a ketone to an amide using hydrazoic acid or its equivalent.¹ The most commonly accepted mechanism of the reaction, as proposed by P. A. S. Smith in 1948,² involves addition of the azide component to the carbonyl followed by dehydration to afford a diazoiminium ion intermediate, which in turn undergoes loss of N₂ along with migration of an alkyl group to afford a key nitrilium ion intermediate (Scheme 1). The "normal" Schmidt product is





the amide obtained from the addition of water to the nitrilium ion. As reported by K. F. Schmidt in the very first disclosure of this chemistry,³ these reactions may also afford a tetrazole product under some conditions (e.g., at high concentrations of azide). In the intervening decades, very few examples of

Table 1. Survey of Reaction Conditions⁴



^{*a*}Results of representative experiments are presented; see Tables S1 and S2 for full results. ^{*b*}See the SI for detailed procedures; 1 equiv of acid and NaHCO₃ workup unless otherwise noted. ^{*c*}% conversion as determined by NMR analysis. ^{*d*}Isolated yields. ^{*e*}A single preparation of this example was carried out on a 1.2 mmol scale; an 80% yield was obtained in that reaction.

Received: August 7, 2018



Figure 1. Studies of the reaction scopes of (a) ketones and (b) nucleophiles. The reactions were carried out as described in Table 1, entry 9; see the SI for reaction details. Isolated yields are shown, unless otherwise noted. Notes: ^{*a*}NMR yield. ^{*b*}Accompanied by a 2–19% yield of the corresponding tetrazole.

intercepting the nitrilium ion with other oxygen or nitrogen but not carbon—nucleophiles have been noted.⁴ In contrast, similar intermediates obtained through the Beckmann rearrangement of oximes are known to react with allylsilanes,⁵ organometallics,⁶ and other reagents.⁷ Such "interrupted" reactions, a class that also includes Nazarov,⁸ Pummerer,⁹ Fischer,¹⁰ and Bischler–Napieralski¹¹ variations, enhance synthetic efficiency by combining multiple bond formations in a single step.

We recently examined the Schmidt reaction of ketones with trimethylsilyl azide to investigate the effect of the highly hydrogen-bonding solvent hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) on the reaction.^{4c} In that work, we found that the main product of the reaction carried out in HFIP was the tetrazole rather than

the lactam, with little dependence on the stoichiometry. These results suggest that if an azide nucleophile more efficiently reacts with a nitrilium ion in HFIP relative to other solvents, other nucleophiles may do so as well, thus opening the door to an interrupted Schmidt reaction accompanied by C–C bond formation at the position of the nitrilium ion. Here we describe the successful realization of this concept and its application to the synthesis of imines, iminium ions, and enamides, all of which are staples in preparative nitrogen chemistry.

We first sought appropriate mediators for the reaction of 4-phenylcyclohexanone (1a) with trimethylsilyl azide and 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (2a) in HFIP. The most promising results obtained from screening of 22 acids are shown





in Table 1 (the full list is provided in Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information (SI)). These attempts clearly demonstrate that the nitrilium ion presumably formed under these conditions can lead to C-C bond formation, with particularly good results obtained using AlCl₃ and AlBr₃ (Table 1, entries 5 and 8). Optimization experiments led us to identify slow addition and 1 equiv of AlBr₃ (as opposed to 2 equiv of AlCl₃) for these experiments (see Tables S3 and S4 for details). The reactions afforded iminium ions 3b and 3c, respectively, as the primary products, which could be isolated or converted to free base 3a with a basic workup (cf. entries 5 and 7 and entries 8 and 9). An X-ray structure of compound 3c was obtained (CCDC 1832152; also see the SI). We presume that the salts arise from the reaction of the AlX₃ promoter with HFIP solvent to provide a source of HX. Diluting HFIP with either trifluoroethanol or toluene greatly diminished the reaction conversion, as did omitting the slow addition of azide (entries 11 and 12). Under some conditions, tetrazole product 4a was noted as a minor byproduct, but the typical Schmidt product, 5-phenylcaprolactam (5a), was rarely observed (Tables S1 and S2).

With AlBr₃ as the promoter, a range of cyclic and acyclic substrates were successfully reacted to afford iminium salts following nonbasic workup (Figure 1a). Reactions were performed with slow addition of TMSN₃ and stirring overnight (some reactions also benefitted from heating to 35 °C; conditions for specific compounds are available in the SI). In general, the reaction was most useful for five- to seven-membered cyclic ketones, although highly hindered examples and smaller-ring ketones, many of which are known to be problematic in Schmidt chemistry,^{1,12} gave poorer results. Among the heterocyclic ketones tested, tetrahydropyran-4-one gave 3k in 63% yield, whereas basic 1-methylpiperidine-4-one did not afford the corresponding imine. Instead, the ketone reacted with 1,3, 5-trimethoxybenzene via an addition-elimination reaction to afford 31. Attempted reactions on two aromatic substrates, flavanone and α -tetralone, led to quantitative recovery of the starting ketones. Acyclic ketones also provided good conversions, affording 3r-u. Unsurprisingly, the unsymmetrical substrate 2-hexanone gave a mixture of constitutional isomers (3u + $3\mathbf{u}'$), as did the steroidal substrate 5α -cholestane $(3\mathbf{v} + 3\mathbf{v}')$.

In contrast to the wide scope of ketone reactants, the reaction is currently limited to highly electron-rich aromatic nucleophiles (Figure 1b). In addition to 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene, we were able to achieve good conversions using 1,3-dimethoxybenzene, pyrrole, and a number of substituted indoles. Unsuccessful nucleophiles attempted under the present protocol include 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene, anisole, benzothiophene, furan, and allyltrimethylsilane. Here we report 25 successful examples of this reaction.



The iminium ions formed in this process are versatile synthetic intermediates. For example, the iminium ion obtained from the reaction of 1a with 2a is readily and stereoselectively reduced with NaBH₄ to afford 6a without isolation or purification of the imine intermediate (Scheme 2).

This ring-expansion/arylation sequence was readily extrapolated to enable an unprecedented one-pot conversion of

Organic Letters

ketones to ring-expanded enamide derivatives (Scheme 3). Enamides are useful synthetic intermediates with application to natural product chemistry that warrant expanded synthetic access.¹³ Thus, iminium ion **3c** was generated from ketone **1a** by treatment with TMSN₃ under AlBr₃ promotion and subsequently reacted with a series of electrophiles to afford enamide, sulfonamide, or urea derivatives.

Our initial hypothesis rested on the idea that the nitrilium ion was more persistent or more reactive in HFIP compared with other solvents. We have now reconsidered this, especially given the narrow scope of Lewis acids that can promote this kind of interrupted Schmidt reaction. In particular, the success of AlCl₃ and especially AlBr₃ suggests that these reagents, which are used in stoichiometric amounts, may intercept the nitrilium ion to generate an iminyl chloride or bromide. Either the nitrilium ion or the iminyl halide could in principle react with the nucleophilic aromatic reaction partner (Scheme 4a).

Scheme 4. Mechanistic Possibilities



The iminium ion could also react, possibly reversibly, with HFIP solvent (where X in Scheme 4 would be $O(CF_3)_2$), although this was not observed and may be considered unlikely given the low nucleophilicity of this solvent.

The allowable intermediacy of the iminyl halide was demonstrated by preparing a solution of iminyl chloride 10 from 4-phenylcaprolactam and triphosgene.¹⁴ A 23% yield of the arylation product was obtained when 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene was added along with AlCl₃, showing that the iminyl chloride can react in this Friedel-Crafts (FC) process (Scheme 4b). Interestingly, a higher yield of coupled product was obtained when AlCl₃ was omitted from this reaction, indicating that the FC reaction between a suitably activated aromatic moiety and iminyl chloride is possible in HFIP even without additional promoters. Moreover, no arylation was observed when the analogous reaction of anisole—found not to work using our interrupted Schmidt/arylation protocol—was attempted. It is worth noting that this type of iminyl chloride is generally prepared and reacted in situ, underlining the attractiveness of making compounds such as 3a through the presently reported process.¹

The nitrilium ion was proposed as an intermediate in the Schmidt reaction by P. A. S. Smith 70 years ago. Since then, it has been nearly exclusively used to react with water (to make amides or lactams) or with azide species (to form tetrazoles, either deliberately or as a nuisance byproduct). The present demonstration that this intermediate, either directly or through an iminyl halide species, can be leveraged for C–C bond formation extends the utility of Schmidt chemistry to afford synthetically useful iminium ions in addition to amides.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.8b02531.

Experimental details and copies of spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1832152 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by e-mailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K.; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*jaube@unc.edu

ORCID 💿

Jeffrey Aubé: 0000-0003-1049-5767

Present Addresses

[†]MC: Chevron Science Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, 219 Parkman Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15260.

[‡]H.F.M.: Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 North University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1055.

Author Contributions

J.A. conceived the project and advised the experimentalists, M.C. and K.L. performed experiments, H.F.M. performed proof-of-concept experiments, J.A. and M.C. wrote the manuscript, and all of the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from the University of Kansas (to M.C.) and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (to M.C. and K.L.). We thank Dr. Victor Day (University of Kansas) for X-ray crystallography, Dr. Brandie Ehrmann (UNC Chapel Hill) for HRMS analysis, and an anonymous review of this manuscript for several helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

(1) Wrobleski, A.; Coombs, T. C.; Huh, C. W.; Li, S.-W.; Aubé, J. Org. React. 2012, 78, 1–320.

- (2) Smith, P. A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 320-323.
- (3) Schmidt, K. F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B 1924, 57, 704-706.

(4) (a) Litkei, G.; Patonay, T. Acta Chim. Hung. 1983, 114, 47–56.
(b) Zhang, F.-L.; Wang, Y.-F.; Lonca, G. H.; Zhu, X.; Chiba, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4390–4394. (c) Motiwala, H. F.; Charaschanya, M.; Day, V. W.; Aubé, J. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 1593–1609.

Organic Letters

(5) (a) Schinzer, D.; Bo, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 687–688. (b) Schinzer, D.; Langkopf, E. Synlett 1994, 1994, 375–377. (c) Schinzer, D.; Abel, U.; Jones, P. G. Synlett 1997, 1997, 632–634. (d) Kang, K.-T.; Sung, T.-M.; Jung, H.-C.; Lee, J.-G. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2008, 29, 1669–1670.

(6) (a) Maruoka, K.; Miyazaki, T.; Ando, M.; Matsumura, Y.; Sakane, S.; Hattori, K.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 2831–2843. (b) Sakane, S.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 943–946. (c) Sakane, S.; Matsumura, Y.; Yamamura, Y.; Ishida, Y.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 672–674.

(7) Takuwa, T.; Minowa, T.; Onishi, J. Y.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2004, 77, 1717–1725.

(8) (a) Grant, T. N.; Rieder, C. J.; West, F. G. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5676–5688. (b) Nakanishi, W.; West, F. G. Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2009, 12, 732–751.

(9) (a) Akai, S.; Kita, Y. Top. Curr. Chem. 2007, 274, 35-76.
(b) Yorimitsu, H. Chem. Rec. 2017, 17, 1156-1167. (c) Meng, L.; Zeng, J.; Wan, Q. Synlett 2018, 29, 148-156.

(10) (a) Mo, Y.; Zhao, J.; Chen, W.; Wang, Q. Res. Chem. Intermed. 2015, 41, 5869–5877. (b) James, M. J.; O'Brien, P.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Unsworth, W. P. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 2856–2881. (c) Susick, R. B.; Morrill, L. A.; Picazo, E.; Garg, N. K. Synlett 2017, 28, 1–11.

(11) (a) Medley, J. W.; Movassaghi, M. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 3614–3617. (b) White, K. L.; Mewald, M.; Movassaghi, M. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 80, 7403–7411.

(12) Desai, P.; Schildknegt, K.; Agrios, K. A.; Mossman, C.; Milligan, G. L.; Aubé, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7226–7232.

(13) (a) Courant, T.; Dagousset, G.; Masson, G. Synthesis 2015, 47, 1799–1856. (b) Gopalaiah, K.; Kagan, H. B. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4599–4657. (c) Carbery, D. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3455–3460.

(14) Jurczak, J.; Kozluk, T.; Kulicki, W.; Pietraszkiewicz, M.; Szymanski, J. Synthesis **1983**, 1983, 382.

(15) For recent alternative approaches to similarly reactive nitrilium ions, see: (a) Ramanathan, M.; Wang, Y.-H.; Liu, Y.-H.; Peng, S.-M.; Cheng, Y.-C.; Liu, S.-T. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 6133-6141.
(b) Huang, P.-Q.; Huang, Y.-H.; Xiao, K.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 9020-9027.