Organometallic Complexes

- (16) P. Coggon, A. T. McPhail, F. E. Mabbs, A. Richards, and A. S. Thornley, J. Chem. Soc. A, 3296 (1970).
- M. Calvin, Science, 184, 375 (1974), 185, 376 (1974)
- (18) H. A. Goodwin and R. N. Sylva, Aust. J. Chem., 20, 629 (1967).
- (19) D. L. Hoof, D. G. Tisley, and R. A. Walton, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.,
- 9, 571 (1973). (20) J. H. Burness, J. G. Dillard, and L. T. Taylor, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 10, 387 (1974).

Contribution from the McPherson Chemical Laboratory, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Organometallic Complexes Derived by Reaction of Transition Metal-2-Alkenyl and -2-Alkynyl Compounds with Hexafluoroacetone¹

DALE W. LICHTENBERG and ANDREW WOJCICKI*

Received September 16, 1974

AIC406533

A series of transition metal-vinyl derivatives containing a substituted dihydrofuranato ring, $[M]\bar{C} = C(R)C(CF_3)2OCH_2$ (I), and of transition metal-alkyl derivatives containing a substituted tetrahydrofuranato ring, [M]C(R)C(R')(R'')C(CF₃)2OCH₂ (II), were prepared by reaction of the appropriate 2-alkynyl and 2-alkenyl complexes, respectively, with hexafluoroacetone. The new compounds include I, where [M] = Mn(CO)s and η^5 -C5H5Fe(CO)2, R = CH3 and C6H5; $[M] = \eta^5 - C_5 H_5 M_0(CO)_3$, $R = C_6 H_5$; and II, where $[M] = M_1(CO)_5$ and $\eta^5 - C_5 H_5 M_0(CO)_3$, R = R' = H, $R'' = C_6 H_5$; $(CF_3)_2CH_2C(=CH_2)CH_2C(CF_3)_2OH$. Complexes of type II with [M] = η^5 -CsHsFe(CO)₂, R = H, and R' \neq R' show four infrared ν_{CO} bands in pentane; possible explanations for this phenomenon are considered. Photolysis in the presence of triphenylphosphine of some complexes I and II containing [M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂ yields the corresponding substituted monocarbonyls. The aforementioned reactions with hexafluoroacetone are compared with other related (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions involving transition metal-carbon σ -bonded complexes. The infrared ν CO absorptions of a number of products of the type η^5 -CsHsFe(CO)2C=C(C6Hs)ENCH2 (E=N = electrophilic molecule) have been tabulated for comparison.

Introduction

The addition of electrophilic reagents of the type E=N (E = electrophilic part, N = nucleophilic part) to transition metal-2-alkenyl and -2-alkynyl complexes has afforded a number of organometallic products.^{2,3} With the 2-alkynyls, (3 + 2) cycloaddition accompanied by 1,2 metal migration invariably occurs to yield vinylic species containing a five-membered ring (eq 1). However, with the 2-alkenyls, either

$$[M] CH_2 C \equiv CR + E = N \rightarrow [M] C \bigvee_{CH_2} E$$

$$CH_2 \bigvee_{CH_2} N$$
(1)

e.g., [M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂, η^5 -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃, Mn(CO)₅; R = CH_3 , C_6H_5 ; $E=N=SO_2$, SO_3 , $CCN)_2C=C(CN)_2$, $CCCO_2$ NCO,7 CH3OC(O)NSO28

analogous cycloaddition (eq 2) or insertion (eq 3) has been

e.g., [M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂, η^5 -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃; R, R', R'' = $H, CH_3, C_6H_5; E=N = (CN)_2C=C(CN)_2,^{6,9} ClSO_2NCO,^7$ CH₃OC(O)NSO₂²

$$[M] CH_2C(R) = CR'R'' + E = N \rightarrow [M]N - E - CH_2C(R) = CR'R''$$
 and/or [M] $N - E - C(R')(R'')C(R) = CH_2$ (3)

e.g., [M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂, η^5 -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₂P(OC₆H₅)₃, $Mn(CO)_5$; R, R', R'' = H, CH₃, C₆H₅; E=N = $SO_2^{3,10}$, (CN)₂C=C(CN)₂,⁶ ClSO₂NCO,^{7a} SnCl₂¹¹

reported.

As part of a continuing investigation of these reactions we studied the behavior of the electrophile hexafluoroacetone. Electrophilic reactions of hexafluoroacetone with transition metal complexes are well documented. For example, this ligand is known to undergo oxidative addition to nickel(0), palladium(0), and platinum(0) complexes to yield products with metal-containing three- and five-membered rings. 12 Cycloaddition of hexafluoroacetone to the η^4 -cycloheptatriene and η^4 -cyclooctatetraene rings in the respective iron tricarbonyl complexes has also been reported. 13 With various η^4 -1,3-diene complexes of iron and rhodium, hexafluoroacetone undergoes mono- or diinsertion reactions into metal-carbon bonds.14

We here report in detail the results of our study on the addition of hexafluoroacetone to transition metal-2-alkenyl and -2-alkynyl complexes.

Experimental Section

Materials. Hexafluoroacetone gas (bp -27°) was obtained from Columbia Organics and was used as received. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and pentane were distilled under nitrogen from LiAlH4 and CaH2, respectively, prior to use. Other chemicals and solvents procured commercially were reagent grade and were used without further

 η^{5} -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂C \equiv CR (R = CH₃^{4a} and C₆H₅I₅), η^{5} - $C_5H_5M_0(CO)_3CH_2C = CC_6H_5$, $4cM_n(CO)_5CH_2C = CR(R = CH_3)^4$ and C₆H₅^{4c}), η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂C(R)=CR'R'' (R = R' = H, R'' = H, ¹⁶ CH₃, ¹⁶ C₆H₅, ¹⁷ and Cl; ¹⁷ R = H, R' = R'' = CH₃; ¹⁷ R = CH₃, $R' = R'' = H^6$), η^5 -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃CH₂CH=CHC₆H₅,¹⁷ and Mn(CO)₅CH₂CH=CHC₆H₅¹⁸ were prepared by the specified literature methods. Samples of η^5 -C₅H₅W(CO)₃CH₂CH=CHR (R = H and CH₃) were supplied by Dr. S. R. Su.

Analyses and Physical Measurements. Elemental analyses were performed by Dr. F. Pascher, Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium, Bonn, Germany. Melting points were taken on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Hydrogen-1 NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian Associates A-60A spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as reference. Fluorine-19 NMR spectra were obtained by Dr. J. L. Peterson and Mr. J. M. Geckle with a Varian Associates HA-100 spectrometer at 94.1 MHz using C₆H₅CF₃ as the solvent and lock. All tabulated infrared $\nu_{\rm CO}$ values were obtained using a Beckman IR-9 spectrophotometer; routine infrared spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer Model

337 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained by Mr. C. R. Weisenberger with an AEI Model MS-9 spectrometer.

Preparation of Metal-Etherato Cycloaddition Complexes. Method A. In Neat Hexafluoroacetone. In a typical reaction, η⁵-C₅H₅Fe-(CO)₂CH₂C≡CC₆H₅ (0.35 g, 1.2 mmol) in ca. 6 ml of liquid hexafluoroacetone was stirred for 9 hr in a nitrogen atmosphere under a Dry Ice condenser, with the hexafluoroacetone refluxing continuously. The excess hexafluoroacetone was then allowed to evaporate and the resultant orange solid was dissolved in a minimum of chloroform. This solution was eluted with chloroform over a 2.5 × 25 cm column of Florisil to produce a broad yellow band. The band was collected and the effluent was concentrated to yield an orange-yellow solid. After washing with three 30-ml portions of pentane, 0.18 g (33% yield) of yellow microcrystals of the product was obtained.

Details of the synthesis as well as analytical data and physical properties of this and all other new metal-etherato cycloaddition complexes prepared herein are provided in Table I.

When a similar reaction was run for ca. 15 min, only a 5% yield of the cycloaddition product was obtained. The remainder of the starting metal-2-alkynyl complex was recovered unreacted.

Attempts at reaction between hexafluoroacetone and various transition metal-2-alkenyl complexes in refluxing neat hexafluoroacetone were unsuccessful. In each case the 2-alkenyl complex was recovered in quantitative yield.

Method B. In Organic Solvents. (a) General Preparation. An excess of hexafluoroacetone (ca. 1 ml of liquid) was condensed onto η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂CH=CHC₆H₅ (1.15 g, 3.92 mmol) in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂ and the resulting solution was stirred for 30 min under a Dry Ice condenser in a nitrogen atmosphere. Concentration at 25° (ca. 20 Torr) afforded a red oil which was dissolved in 150 ml of 1:5 (v/v) CH₂Cl₂-pentane. The resulting solution was filtered through 3 cm of alumina (10% H₂O) and the filtrate was evaporated to an orange solid. Washing with two 25-ml portions of pentane and air-drying left yellow crystals, 1.43 g (79% yield). Concentration of the washes yielded 0.08 g more of slightly darker, yellow microcrystals.

A similar reaction in benzene for ca. 1 hr afforded the cycloaddition product in 77% yield.

(b) η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂C(CH₃)=CH₂. Excess hexafluoroacetone was condensed onto a solution of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂C-(CH₃)=CH₂ (2.21 g, 9.55 mmol) in 10 ml of CH₂Cl₂ under nitrogen. The initial reaction appeared to be exothermic, in contrast to the other addition reactions of hexafluoroacetone in which no significant heating was observed. The hexafluoroacetone was allowed to reflux under a Dry Ice condenser for 30 min and volatile materials were then evaporated in vacuo to leave a dark red, viscous oil, 4.36 g. This yield corresponds to approximately 1.3 molecules of hexafluoroacetone for every molecule of the 2-alkenyl complex employed.

The oil was dissolved in a minimum of 1:1 (v/v) CH₂Cl₂-pentane and this solution was filtered through 3 cm of alumina (6% H₂O). The alumina was washed with more 1:1 (v/v) CH₂Cl₂-pentane and the filtrate was concentrated to a red oil. Washing the oil rapidly with pentane left a mustard-colored solid, 0.75 g (20% yield), identified as η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂C(CH₃)CH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂. This solid was readily purified by recrystallization from 1:4 (v/v) CH₂Cl₂-pentane or by column chromatography on alumina to give golden yellow crystals.

The pentane washes from the above work-up were concentrated to yield a dark red solid, 1.09 g. This material was composed of a small amount of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂C(CH₃)CH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ and another, red product. The latter product could be obtained pure with difficulty by crystallization from pentane to afford red crystals, 0.84 g (16% yield), mp ca. 95° dec. The crystals analyze for η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂C₄H₇·2(CF₃)₂CO. Anal. Calcd for C₁7H₁₂F₁₂FeO₄: C, 36.20; H, 2.14. Found: C, 36.46; H, 2.27. Ir spectrum (pentane, ν -co region, cm⁻¹): 2065 vs, 2023 vs. ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, τ): 7.5–6.8 (m, 4 H, -CH₂-), 5.55–5.1 (m, 3 H, =CH₂ and -OH), 4.91 (s, 5 H, C₅H₅). ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (C₆H₅CF₃, ϕ): 72.2 (s, 6 F), 77.2 (s, 6 F).

Thermal Decomposition of η^5 -C5H5Fe(CO)₂C(CH₃)CH₂C-(CF₃)₂OCH₂. A sample of η^5 -C5H5Fe(CO)₂C(CH₃)CH₂C-(CF₃)₂OCH₂ was heated at 90° under nitrogen for 24 hr. Red needles of $[\eta^5$ -C5H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂ sublimed in low yield (<10%). No other carbonyl-containing product was noted.

Thermal Decomposition of η^5 -CsH₅Fe(CO)₂C₄H₇·2(CF₃)₂CO. The title compound, 0.84 g, was heated under nitrogen (760 Torr) for 3

hr at 105–115°. White needles, 0.18 g, were collected by sublimation. The residue showed no carbonyl-containing species other than a very small amount of $[\eta^5-C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2]_2$.

The white solid may be resublimed readily at 65° (760 Torr) or 25° (0.1 Torr) to form larger needles, mp $146-147.5^{\circ}$ (lit. ¹⁹⁶ mp $149-150^{\circ}$). The same white product is noted when the title compound decomposes in solution for several hours or in the solid for several days at room temperature. Ir spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3360 s, 2960 vw, 2935 w, 2860 w, 2480 m, 1640 w, 1635 m, 1276 vs, 1226 vs, 1155 s, 1135 s, 1031 s, 1017 s, 983 m, 952 m, 928 m, 875 w, 829 w, 771 w, 731 m, 706 m, 688 s. ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃ τ): 7.01 (s, 4 H), 5.54 (s, br, 2 H), 4.69 (s, 2 H). ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (C6H5CF3, ϕ): 77.6 (s). The mass spectrum (70 eV, source temperature 60°) shows a parent ion peak at m/e 388, as well as strong peaks due to the loss of H₂O and CF₃ groups from the parent ion. All these data implicate the solid as the known¹⁹ H₂C=C[CH₂-C(CF₃)₂OH]₂.

Preparation of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(C₆H₅)₃]CHCH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂. A solution of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CHCH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ (0.19 g, 0.49 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (0.19 g, 0.72 mmol) in 30 ml of THF was photolyzed at 350 nm in a Rayonet Model RPR-100 reactor (all 16 lamps) for 4 hr under nitrogen. Evaporation to dryness gave a red-orange solid which was then dissolved in 10 ml of 1:4 (v/v) CH₂Cl₂-pentane. This solution was chromatographed on a 2.5 × 12 cm column of alumina (6% H₂O). Elution with 1:1 (v/v) CH₂Cl₂-pentane rapidly moved an orange band which was collected as a deep orange solution and concentrated to an oil. The oil was then dissolved in 50 ml of pentane. Slow cooling and concentration to ca. 1 ml afforded bright red crystals, 0.07 g (22% yield). Once obtained in a pure state this compound is not appreciably soluble in pentane; it is soluble in more polar solvents such as benzene, dichloromethane, and chloroform.

Preparation of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(C₆H₅)₃]CHCH(C₆H₅)C-(CF₃)₂OCH₂. A solution of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CHCH(C₆H₅)C-(CF₃)₂OCH₂ (0.35 g, 0.76 mmol) and P(C₆H₅)₃ (0.21 g, 0.80 mmol) in 45 ml of THF was photolyzed for 2 hr as described above. The resultant red solution was concentrated (ca. 20 Torr) to a viscous oil. The oil was dissolved in a minimum of 1:1 (v/v) benzene-pentane and this solution was eluted over a 2.5 × 8 cm Florisil column with the same solvent mixture. A single bright orange band was collected and concentrated to a red oil. The oil was dissolved in 15 ml of pentane and the resulting solution was slowly concentrated at 25° (ca. 20 Torr) to yield an orange solid, 0.21 g (40%). The pure complex is insoluble in pentane but soluble in benzene and chloroform.

Preparation of $η^5$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(C₆H₅)₃]C=C(C₆H₅)C-(CF₃)₂OCH₂. Triphenylphosphine (0.16 g, 0.61 mmol) and $η^5$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂C=C(C₆H₅)C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ (0.25 g, 0.55 mmol) in 40 ml of THF were irradiated for 2 hr under helium. Evaporation of the solvent gave a red oil which foamed to a red glass. This material was dissolved in a minimum of benzene and the resulting solution was eluted with benzene over a 2.5 × 6 cm column of Florisil. A single red band was collected and the effluent was concentrated to a red oil. Redissolving in benzene, diluting with hexane, and slowly concentrating at 25° (ca. 20 Torr) yielded red crystals, which were washed with pentane; yield 0.18 g (47%).

Reaction of η⁵-CsH₅Fe(CO)₂CHCH(CH₃)C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ with HCl. Hydrogen chloride was bubbled through a solution of the title complex (0.15 g) in 25 ml of pentane at room temperature for 30 min. The yellow solution became cloudy almost immediately and a red oil formed. Evaporation of the pentane at 25° (ca. 20 Torr) gave a red-orange solid. Ir spectroscopy indicated this solid to be ca. 40% starting carbonyl complex and ca. 60% η⁵-C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl.

Reaction of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CHCH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ with HCl. Hydrogen chloride was bubbled through a solution of the title complex (0.08 g) in 10 ml of benzene for 4 hr at room temperature. The solvent was then evaporated to leave a red-orange solid, ca. 0.06 g, shown to be 90% η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl and 10% unreacted carbonyl complex by ir spectroscopy.

Attempted Reaction of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CHCH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ with SO₂. A solution of the title complex (0.10 g) in 3 ml of liquid SO₂ was kept a reflux for 3 hr under a Dry Ice condenser. The SO₂ was then allowed to evaporate to give a sticky orange solid. Recrystallization of this solid from pentane afforded the unreacted carbonyl complex as yellow crystals, 0.08 g. No SO₂ insertion product was observed.

Attempted Reaction of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)[P(C₆H₅)₃]C=C(C₆H₅)-

Table 1. Details of the Synthesis, Analytical Data, and Physical Properties of Complexes of the type [M]C(R)C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ (II) and [M]C(R)C(R')(R'')C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ (II)

	wt	Found		414	476	396	į	458	528	664^{f}		478	384	368	110	460	398		418	530	540	554	618	694
Analyses, %	Mol wt	Calcdb		414	476	396	•	458	528	692.		478	384	308	413	460	398		418	530	540	554	618	694
	Н	Found		1.19	1.48	2.41		2.65	2.41	3.98		1.95	225	3.08	3 3 7	3.14	2.98			2.68	1.86	2.15	4.47	4.37
		Calcd		1.22	1.48	2.55	,	2.64	2.30	3.93		1.90	262	3.04	3.43	3.07	3.04			2.67	1.87	2.18	4.08	4.21
	C	Found		34.69	42.96	42.57		49.79	45.68	62.59		42.20	40.26	42 34	43.70	49.53	42.10			45.42	31.15	32.41	59.01	62.29
		Calcd		34.81	42.88	42.46	3	49.81	45.65	62.45		42.70	40.66	42.24	43.72	49.59	42.24		ь	45.47	31.14	32.51	58.27	62.27
		Color		White	White	Golden	yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Red		White	Yellow	Yellow	Vellow	Yellow	Golden	yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Red	Orange
		Mp, °C		107-107.5	112-113	140.5-141.5	071	148-149	150-151 dec	193 dec		118.5-119	73-74	123-124	86-5 96	118-119	105-106		77-79	135.5-136.5	79–82	116-118	161-162	145-146
	Prepn	Yield, %		99	63	55	(20)	88 (33)	57 (18)	47		45	47	85	25	8 7	18		44	43	51	68	22	40
		Time, hr		0.25	3	0.75	(0):3	0.5(9)	0.5(0.3)	2		1	2.5	0.5	1.7	0.5	0.5		1.3	ä	3	_	4	2
		Methoda		A	٧	A	(a) (a)	b (A)	B (A)	၁		8	В	B,	B,	В	В		æ	В	B,,	B	ပ	၁
		R"										C, H,	Н	CH,	CH,	C, H,	Н		ت ت	$C_{ m cH_5}$	Н	$ m CH_3$	Н	C_6H_5
		Έ,										H	Н	H	CH,	, H	Н		Н	H	Н	Н	I	Н
	Complex	R	I	CH,	C_6H_5	CH,	ī	ر 1 اار	C, H,	$C_{\kappa}H_{s}$	ш	Н	Н	Н	Η	H	CH ₃		Ш	H	H	H	Н	Н
		[M]		Mn(CO) _s	Mn(CO) _s	η^3 -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂	"S-C H Fe(CO)	1/ -C_SII_SI C(CO)_2 5 C II M (CO)	n'-C, H, Mo(CU),	η^{2} -C $_{5}$ H $_{5}$ Fe(CO)L d		Mn(CO) ₅	η^5 -C $_5$ H $_5$ Fe(CO) $_2$	η^{5} -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO),	η^{5} -C, H, Fe(CO),	η^{s} -C _s H _s Fe(CO) ₂	η^{5} -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO) ₂		η^2 -C, H, Fe(CO),	η^{5} -C ₅ H ₅ Mo(CO) ₃	η^{2} -C,H,W(CO),	η^3 -C ₅ H ₅ W(CO) ₃	η^2 -C ₅ H ₅ Fe(CO)L \dot{q}	$\eta^{s}\text{-}\mathrm{C}_{s}\mathrm{H}_{s}\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})\mathrm{L}^{d}$

^a Methods A and B; see Experimental Section; methods B' and B'', same as B except in pentane and CHCl₃, respectively; method C, photochemical substitution as detailed in Experimental Section.

^b Calculated for the most common isotopic species. ^c Parent ion in the mass spectrum at 70 eV. ^d L = P(C₆H₅)₃. ^e Compound too unstable for commercial analyses; characterized spectroscopically, f Molecular ion not observed; highest m/e peak due to M⁺ – CO.

C(CF3)2OCH2 with SO2. A solution of the title complex (0.16 g) in a mixture of liquid SO2 (ca. 2 ml) and CHCl3 (5 ml) was maintained at reflux for 6 hr. Evaporation to dryness afforded unreacted carbonyl complex as a red solid, 0.16 g.

Attempted Reaction of η^5 -CsHsFe(CO)[P(C6Hs)3]C=C(C6Hs)-C(CF3)2OCH2 with HCl. To a solution of the title carbonyl complex (0.18 g) in 25 ml of acetone was added 0.25 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid. The resulting solution was stirred for 24 hr and then neutralized with excess NaHCO3. This was diluted with 35 ml of CH₂Cl₂, dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. Concentration of the filtrate yielded red crystals of unreacted carbonyl complex, 0.11 g.

Results and Discussion

Metal-Dihydrofuranato Derivatives. Transition metal-2-alkynyl complexes react with neat hexafluoroacetone or hexafluoroacetone in organic solvents to yield 1:1 adducts as indicated by elemental analyses and mass spectrometry. These products are crystalline solids that sublime at ca. 50° and 0.1 Torr. They are slightly soluble in pentane and very soluble in benzene, chloroform, and acetone. Some physical properties are listed in Table I.

By analogy with the known cycloaddition reactions of these same metal complexes with SO₂,⁴ SO₃,⁵ (CN)₂C—C(CN)₂,⁶ and ClSO₂NCO,⁷ inter alia, structure Ia is expected for the

[M] = Mn(CO)₅,
$$\eta^5$$
-C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂; R = CH₃, C₆H₅
[M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃; R = C₆H₅

isolated products. This structure is confirmed by the spectroscopic properties of the adducts (cf. Table II). The infrared carbonyl stretching frequencies are very similar to those reported for analogous cycloaddition products with different electrophiles^{4–7} and for other, related metal-vinyl complexes.^{20,21} In the proton NMR spectra of the dihydrofuranato derivatives, the CH₂O resonances are observed at τ 5.01–5.34 as generally broad singlets. The position of these signals is not consistent with the isomeric formulation Ib, since in

compounds containing a CH₂C(CF₃)₂ fragment the CH₂ resonance normally falls at τ 6.7–7.5.¹⁹ In contrast, protons of the CH₂O moiety in the analogous SO₂⁴ and SO₃⁵ cycloadducts absorb at τ 4.28–4.94 and 4.84–5.14, respectively.

The broadness of the CH₂, and also of the R = CH₃ signals, is likely a result of spin-spin coupling between these nuclei, as well as with fluorine-19. The former coupling $(J_{\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_3})$ is expected to be ca. 1.5-2.0 Hz⁴⁻⁶ whereas the latter, long-range coupling $(J_{\text{H-F}})$ must be no greater than about 0.5 Hz as inferred from similar known hydrogen-fluorine coupling constants.²² By comparison with the broad CH₂ and CH₃ resonances, the signals due to C₅H₅ appear as sharp singlets in these same spectra.

The fluorine-19 NMR spectra of these dihydrofuranato complexes show, as expected, only singlet resonances in the range of ϕ 73.6–75.6. Organic molecules containing a C(CF₃)₂O linkage similarly display ¹⁹F resonances near ϕ 75.¹⁹

The photochemical decarbonylation of an iron-dihydrofuranato complex in the presence of triphenylphosphine afforded a substituted monocarbonyl derivative, as shown in eq 4. The product contains a chiral metal, and this structural

$$\eta^{5} - C_{5} H_{5} FeC C CF_{3} + P(C_{6}H_{5})_{5} \frac{h\nu}{THF}$$

$$C CH_{2} CF_{3} + P(C_{6}H_{5})_{5} \frac{h\nu}{THF}$$

$$O CF_{3} + P(C_{6}H_{5})_{5} \frac{h\nu}{THF}$$

$$O CF_{3} + CF_{3} CF_{5}$$

$$O CF_{3} + CO$$

$$C CF_{4} + CO$$

$$C CF_{5} + CO$$

feature is reflected in the nonequivalence of the two CF₃ groups in its ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (cf. Table II). The iron-carbon bond in this complex is unaffected by concentrated hydrochloric acid and by sulfur dioxide, thus further underscoring the stability of transition metal--vinyl linkages toward electrophilic cleavage.^{4a}

Metal—Tetrahydrofuranato Derivatives. Transition metal—2-propenyl and —2-alkenyl complexes that bear alkyl or aryl substituents at the 3 carbon react with hexafluoroacetone in organic solvents to yield 1:1 adducts. However, for unknown reasons, no reaction was observed between these same complexes and neat hexafluoroacetone.

The isolated adducts, whose formulation is based on elemental analyses and mass spectral data (Table I), are crystalline solids that sublime at ca. 50° and 0.1 Torr. Their solubility properties are similar to those of the dihydrofuranato analogs.

The spectroscopic properties, given in Table II, support structure IIa for these complexes. Thus the infrared carbonyl

$$\begin{split} &\text{IIa} \\ [M] = &\text{Mn(CO)}_5, \ \eta^5 \text{-} C_5 \text{H}_5 \text{Mo(CO)}_3; \ R = R' = H, \ R'' = C_6 \text{H}_5 \\ [M] = & \eta^5 \text{-} C_5 \text{H}_5 \text{Fe(CO)}_2; \ R = H, \ R' = R'' = \text{CH}_3; \ R = R' = H, \\ R'' = & H, \ CH_3, \ C_8 \text{H}_5, \ Cl \\ [M] = & \eta^5 \text{-} C_5 \text{H}_5 \text{W(CO)}_3; \ R = R' = H, \ R'' = H, \ CH_3 \end{split}$$

stretching frequencies fall in the range reported for analogous transition metal-alkyl derivatives.^{6,7,23,24} As for the dihydrofuranato complexes, the position of the CH₂O proton resonances is consistent with structure Ha but not with Hb.¹⁹

With the exception of sharp singlets for the CsHs protons, the ¹H NMR resonances are quite broad owing to hydrogen-hydrogen and hydrogen-fluorine coupling. Hence they are of limited assistance in the elucidation of structure.

The ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the complexes under discussion are each composed of two quartets of equal intensity in the region ϕ 71.4–81.0, with $J_{CF_3-CF_3} = 8.5$ –10.3 Hz. Such spectra are characteristic of compounds containing nonequivalent CF3 groups in a C(CF₃)₂ moiety.^{13,25} Significantly, the chemical shift difference ($\Delta\phi$) is less than 1.0 ppm for the complexes IIa with R' = R'' and more than 3.3 ppm for those with R' \neq R''. In the former compounds, the asymmetric center nearest to C(CF₃)₂ is the carbon bonded to the metal (C(2); cf. IIa). However, in the latter compounds, the nearest asymmetric center is one bond closer to the C(CF₃)₂ fragment (C(3); cf. IIa). A smaller difference between the two values

Table II. Infrared ν_{CO} Absorptions and 'H and 'F NMR Spectra of Complexes of the Type [M]C=C(R)C(CF₃),OCH₂ (I) and [M]C(R)C(R')(R')C(CF₃),OCH₂ (II)

$R' = R'' = Ir, \nu_{CO}, \alpha \text{ cm}^{-1} = C_s H_s = OCH_2$
2121 w, 2059 vw, 2031 vs, 2012 m 5.16 s, br
2025 vs, 2008 m-s
2033 vs, 1984 vs 5.34 s, br
C, H, 2116 w, 2022 vs, 2004 m 6.6-5.2 m, br
5.18 s
2022 s, 2019 sh, 1973 s, 1968 s 5.19 s
2016 vs, 1966 vs 5.15 s
$C_s H_s = 2023 \text{ s}, 2017 \text{ s}, 1972 \text{ s}, 1966 \text{ s}$
2018 vs, 1968 vs 5.18 s
Cl 2028 s, 2023 m, 1984 m, 1978 vs, 1972 m f 5.10 s, 6.5–5.6 m, br 5.06 s f
2028 s, 1960 w, 1951 sh, 1944 s 5.01 s
6 s 4.52 s
1930 vs ^e

^a In pentane solution unless otherwise indicated. Abbreviations: vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak; vw, very weak; sh, shoulder. ^b In CDCl₃ solution. All signals integrate for the proper number of protons. Phenyl protons of P(C₆H₅)₃ are not tabulated. Abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, complex multiplet; br, broad. ^c Relative to CFCl₃ taking φ_{C₆H₅CF₃ to be 63.7 ppm. Quartets are of equal intensity unless otherwise indicated. ^d L = P(C₆H₅)₃. ^e In CHCl₃ solution. ^f One absorption may be due to an extraneous material. ^g J_{H-p} = 1.3 Hz. ^h J = 6.5 Hz. ⁱ Likely due to overlap of two doublets, J_{H-p} = 1.2 Hz. ^j Not measured. ^k Observed as an approximate 1:3:4:3:3:1 sextet. The combined signal is as intense as that at 76.5 ppm.}

of $\Delta \phi$ would be expected for the isomeric structure IIb.

The iron dicarbonyl complexes of structure IIa ([M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂) with R' = R'' (H or CH₃) exhibit the expected two infrared ν_{CO} bands in pentane solution. However, when R' (H) \neq R'' (CH₃, C₆H₅, or Cl), a pair of doublets of approximately equal intensity is observed in pentane, hexane, or cyclohexane, with the average separation between the high-and low-frequency components of these doublets being 5 cm⁻¹. In chloroform and other polar solvents, all complexes IIa show two, now broader, ν_{CO} absorptions.

Two possible a priori explanations present themselves for this phenomenon. (1) When $R' \neq R''$, there are two pairs of enantiomers owing to the presence of two chiral centers in IIa, C(2) and C(3). Each pair would have its distinct set of ν CO absorptions. (2) Both pairs of enantiomers may, but need not,²⁷ be present. If both are present, then they have identical ν CO spectra, and the observed four-band pattern arises from conformational isomerism about the iron-carbon bond²⁹ and/or within the tetrahydrofuranato ligand. Conformational isomerism about the iron-carbon bond is well known for complexes of the type η^5 -C5H5Fe(CO)₂X (X = SiCl₂CH₃, η^1 -C5H₅, SO₂CH₃, CH₂C₁₀H₇, inter alia).³⁰ In the present case it would curiously arise only when $R' \neq R''$, i.e., when diastereomers are either present or possible.

In an attempt to elucidate the observed phenomenon, efforts were made to achieve at least partial separation of the possible diastereomers of these complexes by vacuum sublimation, fractional crystallization, and column chromatography. They were all unsuccessful, thus neither supporting nor necessarily refuting the presence of diastereomers. Likewise, all attempts at altering the relative population of the possible conformers when $R' \neq R''$ by varying the temperature appeared unsuccessful. The infrared ν_{CO} bands remained essentially unchanged in position and relative intensity over the temperature range of -78 to $+25^{\circ}$. If the barrier to rotation about the iron-carbon bond were moderately low, the relative conformer population should have varied with the temperature (vco band intensities change); if it were high, only one conformer should have been significantly populated in the first place (only two ν_{CO} bands). Hence no direct support could be obtained for the presence of either the diastereomers or the conformers.

A limited number of reactions of these cycloaddition complexes were investigated. The iron-carbon bond of IIa ([M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂; R = R' = R'' = H and R = R' = H, R'' = CH₃) is cleaved with hydrogen chloride to afford η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂Cl. The fate of the organofluorine moiety was not determined. In contrast, IIa ([M] = η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂; R = R' = R'' = H) is unaffected by SO₂ at reflux. This inertness may be a result of the bulkiness of the tetrahydrofuranato ligand which would prevent backside attack of SO₂ at C(2).²³ Under photochemical conditions, the iron dicarbonyl complexes IIa having R = R' = R'' = H and R = R' = H, R'' = C₆H₅ afford with triphenylphosphine the corresponding monocarbonyl derivatives, η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)-[P(C₆H₅)₃]CHCH(R'')C(CF₃)₂OCH₂.

The reaction of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂C(CH₃)=CH₂ with hexafluoroacetone in either pentane or dichloromethane affords two complexes in about 20% yield each. The cycloaddition product, η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂C(CH₃)CH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, is one of the few known compounds that contain a transition metal-tertiary carbon bond.^{6,9,31} A second, red product is also isolated, with some difficulty, from this reaction. The red color and the high carbonyl stretching frequencies (2065 and 2023 cm⁻¹) suggest that the iron in the η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂ moiety is bonded to an element more electronegative than carbon. The elemental analyses are consistent with a 1:2 adduct of the precursor 2-alkenyl complex and hexafluoroacetone. The

Scheme I

$$\begin{array}{c} (M) \ CH_2 \ C \\ (CH_3) \ CH_2 \ C \\ (CH_2) \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CH_2 \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CF_3 \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CF_3 \ CH_3 \ CH_2 \ CF_3 \ CF_3$$

compound decomposes readily in solution, but its proton NMR spectrum (cf. Experimental Section) shows a singlet C₅H₅ signal, two different CH₂ resonances, and a —CH₂ signal. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum suggests the presence of an equal number of two different types of CF₃ groups. The simplest structure consistent with these data is III.

O CF₃ CH₂ CF₃

$$\eta^5$$
-C₅H₅FeOCCH₂CCH₂COH
C CF₃
O CF₃
UI

This structure receives support from the behavior of the red solid on pyrolysis. Heating the 1:2 adduct at 105° leads to the sublimation of white needles of the known¹⁹ HOC(C-F₃)₂CH₂C(=CH₂)CH₂C(CF₃)₂OH. This organofluorine compound is considered to be formed through cleavage of the Fe-O bond of III followed by addition of hydrogen to the organic fragment. If the 1:2 adduct had a structure other than III and if it decomposed to methylpropene and hexafluoroacetone on pyrolysis, then combination of these latter species in a 1:2 ratio would have afforded two or three isomeric products C₁₂H₈F₁₂O₂.¹⁹ It is noteworthy that thermal decomposition of η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂C(CH₃)CH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂ affords some [η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]₂ but no detectable C₁₂H₈-F₁₂O₂.

Conclusions

The observed behavior of hexafluoroacetone toward transition metal-2-alkenyl and -2-alkynyl complexes confirms the generality of this type of (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction. Moreover, and as previously noted for chlorosulfonyl isocyanate, 7a the reaction of η^5 -C5H5Fe(CO)2CH2C(CH3)—CH2 differs from that of the other iron 2-alkenyls investigated. Here, a product of insertion into the iron-carbon bond is obtained in addition to the product of cycloaddition. A mechanistic rationalization of this behavior is depicted in Scheme I. The different behavior of η^5 -C5H5Fe-(CO)2CH2C(CH3)—CH2 may be attributed to a greater lability of the iron-olefin bond in the dipolar intermediate which favors dissociation and thence apparent insertion. This point was discussed in some detail earlier. 7a

A number of structurally similar cycloaddition products have now been synthesized from transition metal-2-alkynyl complexes and various electrophiles, thus allowing some correlations to be made in their properties. One of such correlations is given in Table III. There various cycloaddition products derived from η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂C=CC₆H₅ are listed in the order of increasing values of their ν_{CO} . Although these frequencies span a narrow range of 10-11 cm⁻¹, they were obtained by the same operator and under identical conditions. Therefore the trend must be considered significant.

The higher values of ν_{CO} reflect stronger electronwithdrawing characteristics of the organic ring system. This in turn appears to be related to the electrophilic nature of E in the EN fragment (cf. eq 1), whose properties, unlike those

Table III. Infrared $\nu_{\rm CO}$ Absorptions of

 η^5 -C₅H₅Fe(CO), $C = C(C_6H_5)ENCH_2$

E=N	$ u_{\mathrm{CO}}$, a cm $^{-1}$	Ref ^b
$C_6 H_5 N = S(O)$	2029, 1978	С
$(C_6H_5), C=C=0$	2029, 1979	d
$(CF_3)_2 C = O$	2033, 1982	This work
$(O)\ddot{S} = O$	2034, 1983	4a
$p\text{-CH}_3C_6H_4S(O)_2N=S(O)$	2035, 1985	e
$(O)_2 S = O$	2038, 1988	5
$CISO_2N=C=O$	2038, 1989	7a
$(CN)_{s}C=C(CN)_{s}$	2039, 1989	6

 a In CHCl $_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$ solution using matched 0.05-mm NaCl cells. Synthesis.
 P. W. Robinson and A. Wojcicki, Chem. Commun.,
 951 (1970).
 Y. Yamamoto, unpublished results.
 D. W. Lichtenberg, Ph.D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1973.

of N, can be transmitted to the metal through the carboncarbon double bond. Interestingly, (CN)₂C=C(CN)₂, CISO₂NCO, and SO₃, known to be among the strongest electrophiles, not only show the highest values of ν_{CO} in their cycloadducts but also appear to react most rapidly with the metal-2-alkynyl complexes. 6,5a,7a

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation for support of this research.

Registry No. [Mn(CO)₅]C=C(CH₃)C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, 54657-47-5; [Mn(CO)₅]C=C(C₆H₅)C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, 54657-48-6; $[\eta^5$ -C₅H₅Fe-[MIII(CO)5]C=C(C6115)C(C13)2OCH2, 54657-49-7; [η^{5} -C5H5Fe-(CO)2]C=C(C6H5)C(CF3)2OCH2, 54657-50-0; [η^{5} -C5H5Mo-(CO)3]C=C(C6H5)C(CF3)2OCH2, 54774-69-5; [η^{5} -C5H5Fe-(CO)(P(C6H5)3)C=C(C6H5)C(CF3)2OCH2, 54657-51-1; $[Mn(CO)_5]CHCH(C_6H_5)C(CF_3)_2OCH_2$, 54724-96-8; $[\eta^5]$ C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]CHCH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, 54657-44-2; $[\eta^5$ -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂]CHCH(CH₃)C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, 54657-45-3; $[\eta^5$ -C₅H₅Fe- $(CO)_2$ CHC(CH₃)₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, 54657-46-4; [η ⁵-C₅H₅Fe-(CO)₂] $\frac{\text{CHCH(C}_6\text{H}_5)\text{C(CF}_3)_2\text{OCH}_2}{\text{CHC}_3\text{CHC}_5\text{C(CF}_3)_2\text{OCH}_2}$, 54657-24-8; $[\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5\text{Fe-(CO)}_2]\frac{\text{C(CH}_3)\text{CH}_2\text{C(CF}_3)_2\text{OCH}_2}{\text{CHCH(CI)C(CF}_3)_2\text{OCH}_2}$, 54657-25-9; $[\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5\text{Mo-CO}_3]\frac{\text{CHCH(CI)C(CF}_3)_2\text{OCH}_2}{\text{CHC}_3\text{CHC}_3\text{CO}_5\text{CHC}_3}$ $(CO)_3$ CHCH (C_6H_5) C $(CF_3)_2$ OCH₂, 54657-27-1; $[\eta^5$ -C₅H₅W- $(CO)_3$ CHCH₂C(CF₃)₂OCH₂, 54657-28-2; $[\eta^5$ -C₅H₅W(CO)₃]- $\frac{\text{CHCH}_{2}\text{C(CF}_{3})_{2}\text{CCH}_{2}^{2}, \text{ 54657-29-3; } [\eta^{5}\text{-C}_{5}\text{H}_{5}\text{Fe}(\text{CO})(\text{P-}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5})_{3})]\text{CHC}_{2}\text{C(CF}_{3})_{2}\text{OCH}_{2}, \text{ 54657-30-6; } [\eta^{5}\text{-C}_{5}\text{H}_{5}\text{Fe}(\text{CO})(\text{P-}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5})_{3})]\text{CHC}_{3}\text{H(C}_{6}\text{H}_{5})\text{C(CF}_{3})_{2}\text{OCH}_{2}, \text{ 54657-31-7; } \eta^{5}\text{-}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5})_{3}\text{C(CF}_{3})_{2}\text{OCH}_{2}, \text{ 54657-31-7; } \eta^{5}\text{-}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5})\text{C(CF}_{3})_{2}\text{OCH}_{2}, \text{ 54657-31-7; } \eta^{5}\text{-}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5})\text{C(CF}_{3})_{2}\text{C(CF}_{3})$ $C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2C_4H_7\cdot 2(CF_3)_2CO$, $54657\cdot 32\cdot 8$; $\eta^5\cdot C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2CH_2C = CC_6H_5$, $33114\cdot 75\cdot 9$; $\eta^5\cdot C_5H_5Fe(CO)_2CH_2C\cdot CO$ (CH₃)=CH₂, 31781-60-9; HCl, 7647-01-0; PPh₃, 603-35-0; η^{5} -C₅H₅Fe(CO)₂CH₂CH=CHC₆H₅, 31798-46-6; hexafluoroacetone, 684-16-2.

References and Notes

- Presented in part at the 165th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Dallas, Tex., April 1973; see Abstracts, No. INOR

- M. Rosenblum, Acc. Chem. Res., 7, 122 (1974).
 (a) A. Wojcicki, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 12, 31 (1974); (b) A. Wojcicki, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 239, 100 (1974).
 (a) J. E. Thomasson, P. W. Robinson, D. A. Ross, and A. Wojcicki, Inorg. Chem., 10, 2130 (1971); (b) W. D. Bannister, B. L. Booth, R. N. Haszeldine, and P. L. Loader, J. Chem. Soc. A, 930 (1971); (c) J.-L. Roustan and C. Charrier, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 268, 2113 (1969). (a) D. W. Lichtenberg and A. Wojcicki, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 7, 311 (1973); (b) J.-L. Roustan, J.-Y. Merour, J. Benaim, and C. Charrier,
- C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 274, 537 (1972). S. R. Su and A. Wojcicki, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 8, 55 (1974)
- (a) Y. Yamamoto and A. Wojcicki, Inorg. Chem., 12, 1779 (1973); (b) (a) 1. Tamantoo and A. Wockel, *Interf. Chem.*, 12, 1775 (1973); (b)
 (b) W. P. Giering, S. Raghu, M. Rosenblum, A. Cutler, D. Ehntholt, and R. W. Fish, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 94, 8251 (1972).
 (8) S. Raghu and M. Rosenblum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 95, 3060 (1973).
 (9) W. P. Giering and M. Rosenblum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 93, 5299 (1971).

- (10) A. Wojcicki, Acc. Chem. Res., 4, 344 (1971).
- (11) C. V. Magatti and W. P. Giering, J. Organomet. Chem., 73, 85 (1974). (12) (a) H. D. Empsall, M. Green, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton
- Trans., 96 (1972); (b) J. Browning, H. D. Empsall, M. Green, and F. G. A. Stone, ibid., 381 (1973); (c) F. G. A. Stone, Pure Appl. Chem.,
- (13) M. Green, S. Heathcock, and D. C. Wood, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1564 (1973).
- (14) M. Green and B. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 114 (1973).
- J.-L. Roustan and P. Cadiot, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 268, 734 (1969).
- (16) M. L. H. Green and P. L. I. Nagy, J. Chem. Soc., 189 (1963).
- (17) R. L. Downs, Ph.D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1968.
- (18) F. A. Hartman and A. Wojcicki, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2, 289 (1968).
 (19) (a) E. G. Howard, P. B. Sargeant, and C. G. Krespan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 89, 1422 (1967); (b) W. H. Urry, J. H. Y. Niu, and L. G. Lundsted, *J. Org. Chem.*, 33, 2302 (1968).
 (20) D. W. Lichtenberg and A. Wojcicki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 94, 8271 (1972).
- R. B. King and M. B. Bisnette, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2, 15 (1964). L. M. Jackman and S. Sternhell, "Application of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry", 2nd ed, Pergamon Press, New York, N.Y., 1969, pp 348–353. S. E. Jacobson and A. Wojcicki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 6962 (1973).
- J. B. Wilford and F. G. A. Stone, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 389 (1965).
 V. A. Pattison, *J. Org. Chem.*, 34, 3650 (1969).
- When R" = Cl, stability of the cycloadduct in solution is much lower. Thus we suspect that an additional vco infrared band and one of the C₅H₅ proton resonances (cf. Table II) may be due to an impurity. Similar lower stability of complexes derived from reactions of transition metal-3-chloro-2-propenyl complexes with SO2 was noted earlier. 17,3
- (27) Rosenblum^{7b} has recently demonstrated that the cycloaddition of tosyl isocyanate to 2-cycloalkenyliron complexes affords the trans product exclusively. Such retention of stereochemistry about the C(2)-C(3) bond of the allyl fragment is the expected consequence of the proposed two-step mechanism for the reaction of metal 2-alkenyls with electrophiles. Previously Merour²⁸ has shown that η^5 -C₅H₅Fe-(CO)₂CH₂CH=CHR' complexes exist either totally (R'' = C₆H₅) or predominantly (R" = CH_3 , 67-75%) as the trans isomers, regardless of the geometry of the precursor allyl halides. Therefore, one expects the formation of trans tetrahydrofuranato derivatives either exclusively or predominantly. These trans isomers are also sterically more favored than their cis analogs.

$$(CF_3)_2C$$
 $C(1)H_2$
 $C(3)-C(2)$
 $C(3)-C(2)$
 $C(1)H_2$
 $C(3)-C(2)$
 $C(3)-C(2)$
 $C(3)-C(3)$
 $C(3)$
 $C(3)$
 $C(3)$
 $C(3)$

(28) J.-Y. Merour and P. Cadiot, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 271, 83 (1970).

(29) Newman projections of the three conformers are

- (30) (a) W. Jetz and W. A. G. Graham, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 2773 (1967); (b) F. A. Cotton and T. J. Marks, *ibid.*, **91**, 7523 (1969); (c) J. P. Bibler and A. Wojcicki, ibid., 88, 4862 (1966); (d) M. C. Baird and K. Stanley, private communication.
- (31) W. P. Giering and M. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 25, C71 (1970).