[CONTRIBUTION, ISSUED AS NO. 6583, FROM THE DIVISION OF PURE CHEMISTRY, NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, OTTAWA, CANADA]

Free Radicals by Mass Spectrometry. XXV. Ionization Potentials of Cyanoalkyl Radicals

By R. F. POTTIE¹ AND F. P. LOSSING RECEIVED JULY 10, 1961

The vertical ionization potentials of four cyano-substituted alkyl radicals have been measured by electron impact: CNCH2 10.87, CNCHCH₂ 9.76, CNCH₂CH₂ 9.85 and CNC(CH₄)₂ 9.15 v. The appearance potential of CNCH₂+ from CH₄CN gives $\Delta H_f(\text{CNCH}_2^+) \le 299 \text{ kcal./mole, from which } \Delta H_f(\text{CNCH}_2) \le 48 \text{ kcal./mole and } D(\text{CNCH}_2-\text{H}) \le 79 \text{ kcal./mole.}$ This low bond dissociation energy agrees with other evidence for the resonance stabilization of the CNCH2 radical and its homo-

Introduction

The effect of replacing H atoms in the methyl radical by CH₃ groups is to reduce the ionization potential quite rapidly along the series: methyl, ethyl, isopropyl, t-butyl.2 This effect can be quantitatively accounted for by a semi-empirical group-orbital calculation. For substitution by hetero-groups, however, there is as yet very little data available. The cyano-substituted alkyl radicals are such a series. This series of radicals is also of interest because of the possibility of stabilization by electron delocalization in some cases. An estimation of the C-H bond dissociation energy in CH3CN would provide an indication of the magnitude of such an effect. Although there is chemical evidence for the weakness of C-H bonds in some nitriles, no estimates of the dissociation energies are available.

Experimental

The cyanoalkyl radicals were generated by thermal decomposition of suitable derivatives in a fused silica capillary reactor leading directly into the ionization chamber of the mass spectrometer. This apparatus has been described previously.8 The purified derivatives were held in a trap at 0° or some other convenient temperature and the vapor was admitted to the reactor through a stainlesssteel adjustable valve. In this way the flow of vapor into the reactor could be held constant at a desired level for several hours. The customary pin-hole leak was not employed.

Production of Radicals .- In recent work it was found that the thermal decomposition of the nitrites RCH2ONO was a convenient means for producing a radical R, where R was an alkyl² or cycloalkyl⁴ radical. Attempts to prepare CNCH2CH2ONO from ethylene cyanohydrin and nitrous acid according to the usual methods were, however, unsuccessful. The nitrite decomposed immediately on forma-The nitrate was then prepared by the reaction of ethylene cyanohydrin with nitric acid in the presence of acetic anhydride.6 Although this nitrate decomposed slowly on standing at room temperature, it could be stored without decomposition at 5°.

The decomposition of this, and other nitrates of the series, proceeded as

$$RCH_2ONO_2 \longrightarrow RCH_2O + NO_2$$
 (1)

 $RCH_2O \longrightarrow R + CH_2O$ (2)

In the present reactor, where the contact time is about 10⁻⁸ sec., decomposition became appreciable at 350° and was complete at 700°. This range is the same as that observed for the alkyl nitrites.² The activation energies for decomposition of nitrites and nitrates appear to be roughly the same and are reported to be 35-39 kcal./mole.8 No trace of the unstable intermediate RCH₂O could be found. An excellent yield of CNCH2 radical was obtained. Using 50 v. electrons, about 70% of the m/e 40 peak was attributable to the CNCH2 radical, the remainder arising from fragment ions of the dimer and acetonitrile formed by radical reactions. Using low electron energies, the ion current at m/e 40 increased by a factor of more than 100 as the furnace temperature was raised from 100 to 700°. No decrease from the maximum ion current occurred when the furnace was heated further to 900°.

The CNCH2 radical also was produced by thermal decomposition of succinonitrile and iodoacetonitrile. Although succinonitrile at 1000° was decomposed to the extent of only 20%, a sufficient yield of CNCH2 radical was obtained to allow a further measurement of the ionization potential. In this case the estimated error of measurement was somewhat greater. With iodoacetonitrile, prepared by refluxing chloroacetonitrile with KI in methyl alcohol solution, the yield of radical was rather small. Presumably the back-reaction of CNCH2 with I atoms is quite fast. The yield was sufficient, however, to permit a measurement of I(CNCH₂) to be made in this case as well.

The CNCH₂) to be made in this case as well.

The CNCHCH₃ radical was produced from the corresponding nitrate, CNCH(CH₃)CH₂ONO₂. This nitrate was prepared as follows. Dry HBr was reacted with methacrylonitrile, according to the method of Stevens, 10 to give β -bromoisobutyronitrile. The latter was dissolved in acetonitrile and AgNO₃ was added. After five days the precipitate was removed and the solution was distilled under vacuum. A small yield of CNCH(CH₃)CH₂ONO₂ was obtained, which was further purified by fractionation at low pressures. This nitrate decomposed cleanly in the furnace to give a good yield of the CNCHCH₃ radical, according to reactions 1 and 2 given above. As for CNCH₂, no decrease in the parent ion current for the radical was observed from 700–900°. Evidently this radical is rather stable with respect to loss of H to form acrylonitrile.

The CNCH2CH2 radical was obtained from the thermal decomposition of CNCH₂CH₂CNO₂. This nitrate was prepared from α -bromobutyronitrile by the action of AgNO₃. A good yield of radical was obtained by thermal decomposition of the nitrate. In contrast with the CNCH₂ and CNCHCH, radicals, however, a decrease in the parent ion current for the radical at low electron energies was observed above 550°. A corresponding large increase in the m/e 53 peak occurred above this temperature, evidently as a result of formation of acrylonitrile. Since no possibility exists for resonance stabilization in the CNCH₂CH₂ radical, the stability with respect to H loss is much less than for the other radicals.

The CNC(CH₁)₂ radical was prepared by the thermal

decomposition of azo-bis-isobutyronitrile (AIBN). difficulty was encountered in the purification of this compound, and a clean spectrum at low furnace temperatures could not be obtained. Moreover, a small amount of dimer was formed, which could be a mixture of three

⁽¹⁾ National Research Council Postdoctorate Fellow, 1950-1960.

⁽²⁾ F. P. Lossing and J. B. de Sousa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 281 (1959).

⁽³⁾ J. B. Farmer and F. P. Lossing, Can. J. Chem., 33, 861 (1955).

⁽⁴⁾ R. F. Pottie, A. G. Harrison and F. P. Lossing, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 3204 (1961).

^{(5) &}quot;Organic Syntheses," Vol. XVI, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1936.

⁽⁶⁾ G. Desseigne, Bull. soc. chim. France, 98 (1946).
(7) J. B. Levy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 3254, 3790 (1954).

⁽⁸⁾ P. Gray and A. Williams, Chem. Revs., 59, 239 (1959).

⁽⁹⁾ S. Loy and S. F. Acree, Am. Chem. J., 45, 224 (1911).
(10) C. L. Stevens, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 165 (1948).

⁽¹¹⁾ A. F. Ferris, K. W. McLean, I. G. Marks and W. D. Emmons. ibid., 75, 4078 (1953).

different compounds as a result of the hybrid structures for the radical

$$CH_3$$
— C — C = $N \longleftrightarrow CH_3$ — C = C = N
 CH_3
 CH_3

The formation of the "abnormal" dimer, dimethyl-N-(2, cyano-2, propyl) keteneimine, as an unstable intermediate in the reaction of cyanoisopropyl radicals has been demonstrated.12 Since the spectra of these dimers is unknown, it was not possible to calculate the net parent peak intensity for the radical. Nevertheless, the cyanoisopropyl radical was formed in good yield, as shown by a large increase of the ion current of m/e 68 at low electron energies with furnace temperatures above 350°. An approximate calculation of the net radical peak with 50 v. electrons, based on matching the slope of the lower part of ionization efficiency curves for m/e 68 and for the xenon reference gas, showed that about 75% of the m/e 68 peak could be attributed to the radical.

Measurement of Ionization Potentials.—The method used for measuring the ionization efficiency curves for the radical parent ion and the standard gas has been described previously.² For all except the CNC(CH₃)₂ radical, the parent peak intensity for the radical with 50 v. electrons was calculated by subtracting the contributions of other products. The normalized ionization efficiency curves for radical and standard gas were then found to be parallel within ± 0.05 v. over the range from 0.1 to 5% of the 50 v. peak height. Since the net 50 v. peak height for the CNC(CH₃)₂ radical could not be calculated for the reasons given above, the ionization efficiency curves of radical and standard were matched in curvature by vertical displacement. Since the normalized curves for the other radicals were found to be parallel, this procedure appears to be justified.

Results and Discussion

The ionization potentials of the four cyanoalkyl radicals are given in Table I. The limits of error given are average deviations from the experimental mean, and do not represent limits of absolute error. In all cases the values are the mean of three or more determinations. The values for the ionization potential of CNCH2 radicals produced from the three different sources are in close agreement.

TABLE I

IONIZATION POTENTIALS OF CYANOALKYL RADICALS				
Radical	Radical source	Ionization potential (v.)		
$CNCH_2$	CNCH ₂ CH ₂ ONO ₂	10.87 ± 0.1		
	CNCH2CH2CN	$10.95 \pm .2$		
	CNCH₂I	$10.86 \pm .2$		
CNCHCH3	CNCH(CH ₃)CH ₂ ONO ₂	$9.76 \pm .1$		
CNCH ₂ CH ₂	CNCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ ONO ₂	$9.85 \pm .1$		
$CNC(CH_3)_2$	$CNC(CH_3)_2N=NC(CH_3)_2CN$	$9.15 \pm .1$		

The effect of substitution of H and CH₃ by CN on the ionization potentials of alkyl radicals2 is shown in Table II. It can be seen that substitution of H by CN raises the ionization potential by about 1v. and substitution of CH_3 by CN raises it by about twice this amount. The decrease in ionization potential along the series cyanomethyl, cyanoethyl and cyanoisopropyl is intermediate between the decrease methyl > ethyl > isopropyl and ethyl > isopropyl > t-butyl. Although the fourth cyanoalkyl radical does nor belong in this sequence, its ionization potential raises an interesting point. It seems clear from the evidence given above, i.e. that the CNCH₂CH₂ radical decomposed above 700° while CNCHCH3 did not,

that there is no question as to the ionization potential being assigned to the wrong species as a result of isomerization of CNCH2CH2 radical to CN-CHCH₃ radical. One must conclude, therefore, that the vertical ionization potentials of the two radicals are, in fact, nearly the same. The CNCH2-CH₂ and CNCHCH₃ radicals may be regarded as derivatives of ethyl radical formed by substituting CN for H on carbon atoms β and α , respectively, to the carbon carrying the unpaired electron. On this basis some significant difference in ionization potential would be expected. Moreover, the CNCHCH3 radical is stabilized by electron delocalization while CNCH₂CH₂ is not. Nevertheless these differences in structure, although unquestionably bringing about large differences in the heats of formation of the two radicals, are not reflected in the ionization potential.

TABLE II

7.90

 $(CH_3)_2\dot{C}X$

EFFECT OF SUI	BSTITUTION C	N IONIZATION P	OTENTIAL
Radical	н	Substituent X CH ₃	CN
$\dot{\mathrm{C}}\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{X}$	9.95	8.78	10.87
CH3ĊHX	8.78	7.90	9.76

7.42

9.15

Heats of Formation of CNCH2 Radical and Ion.—The appearance potential of CNCH₂+ ion from CH₃CN was found to be 14.28 ± 0.05 v., in good agreement with an earlier measurement of 14.30 v. ¹³ Using $A(\text{CNCH}_2^+) = 14.30 \pm 0.05 \text{ v.}$, $\Delta H_f(\text{CH}_3\text{CN}) = 21.0 \text{ kcal./mole}^{14}$ and $\Delta H_f(\text{H}) =$ 52.1 kcal./mole¹⁴ and the relation

 $A(CNCH_2^+) \geqslant \Delta H_f(CNCH_2^+) + \Delta H_f(H) - \Delta H_f(CH_3CN)$ one obtains $\Delta H_{\rm f}({\rm CNCH_2^+}) \leq 299$ kcal./mole. Then, from $I(CNCH_2) = 10.87 \text{ v.}$, $\Delta H_f(CNCH_2) \leq$ 48 kcal./mole and $D(CNCH_2-H) \leq 79$ kcal./ mole. This C-H dissociation energy is some 20 kcal./mole weaker than primary C-H bonds in alkanes and is nearly the same as for allylic C-H bonds. 15 This strongly suggests that the cyanomethyl radical possesses resonance stabilization of the same order as do allyl and benzyl radicals. The radical can therefore be written as a hybrid of two structures

$$\cdot CH_2 - C = N \longleftrightarrow CH_2 - C = N \cdot$$

Similarly, two resonating forms may be written for the CNCHCH3 and CNC(CH3)2 radicals but not for CNCH₂CH₂. As noted above, the latter radical decomposed at temperatures above 550°, but the other three were stable to at least 900°

Some chemical evidence for the stabilization of the cyanoalkyl radicals by electron delocalization has also been found. The bromination of nitriles with N-bromosuccinimide occurs smoothly for all members above CH₃CN, and even the latter can be brominated with this reagent under slightly more severe conditions. 16 N-bromosuccinimide is a rela-

⁽¹²⁾ M. Talât-Erben and S. Bywater, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 3710 (1955); M. Talât-Erben and A. N. Isfendiyaroğlu, Can. J. Chem. 36, 1156 (1958).

⁽¹³⁾ C. A. McDowell and J. W. Warren, Trans. Faraday Soc., 48, 1084 (1952).

⁽¹⁴⁾ F. D. Rossini, et al., "Selected Values of Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Hydrocarbons and Related Compounds," Carnegie Press, Pittsburgh, Pa., 1953.

⁽¹⁵⁾ T. L. Cottrell, "The Strengths of Chemical Bonds," 2nd Ed., Butterworths Scientific Publications, London, 1958.

⁽¹⁶⁾ P. Couvreur and A. Bruylants, J. Org. Chem., 18, 501 (1953).

tively mild brominating agent and is used to replace hydrogen atoms of the allylic type. The displacement takes place mainly on the carbon α to the cyano group, as expected from resonance considerations.

Experimental evidence has been obtained for the existence of a hybrid form for the cyanoiso-propyl radical. In addition to the work of Talât-Erben and co-workers¹² mentioned above, Smith, et al., ¹⁷ have recently identified two individual dimers of this radical as products in the thermal

(17) P. Smith and A. M. Rosenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 2037 (1959); P. Smith and S. Carbone, ibid., 81, 6174 (1959).

and photochemical decomposition of AIBN. The two dimers are tetramethyl succinonitrile and dimethyl-N-(2 cyano-2 propyl)-keteneimine

The third possible dimer, a diimine, was not ob-

served, presumably because of thermal instability.

[Contribution from the Convair Scientific Research Laboratory, San Diego, California, and San Diego State College, San Diego, California]

The Kinetics of the Manganate-Permanganate Exchange by N.m.r.

By Orlo E. Myers and John C. Sheppard Received July 12, 1961

The kinetics of the manganate-permanganate exchange reaction have been measured by the n.m.r. line broadening technique developed by McConnell and Weaver. The results indicate that in 1f potassium and sodium ion the reaction is first order with respect to each reactant. An activation energy of $8.3 \, \text{kcal./mole}$ was found in potassium ion system. These data are consistent with the results found from the tracer study of Sheppard and Wahl.

The kinetics of the manganate-permanganate exchange reaction have been studied extensively by tracer techniques¹ and found to be first order with respect to both manganate and permanganate ion concentrations. The rate was found to depend upon the nature (perhaps size) of the base cation present but was unaffected by the addition of other anions. Most of the work was done near 0° and at small reactant concentrations because of the speed of the reaction. Exchange half-times in the range 0.24-12.0 sec. were observed. Mc-Connell and Weaver² developed the n.m.r. line broadening technique for the measurement of very rapid reactions and studied the rate of electron transfer between copper(I) and copper(II) ions in concentrated hydrochloric acid. A specific rate constant of $5 \times 10^7 f^{-1} \text{sec.}^{-1}$ was observed. Giuliano and McConnell3 studied the vanadium(IV)vanadium(V) reaction in hydrochloric-perchloric acid mixtures by the same technique and found the specific rate constant for this reaction to be $1.5 \times 10^6 f^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$. Both reactions are too rapid to be measured by even the most sophisticated tracer technique available at present.4 It would be desirable to study a reaction where it would be possible to compare the n.m.r. method with the tracer technique. The manganate-permanganate exchange reaction appears to be the experimentally accessible reaction because it is rapid. In addition, manganese-55 possesses the desirable quality, for the purpose of measuring this reaction,

of high n.m.r. sensitivity as well as pure isotopic abundance.

The present investigation was undertaken to study the manganate-permanganate system using n.m.r. techniques for the purpose of comparison with and extension of the results of tracer studies as well as to verify the application of the n.m.r. method to chemical kinetic problems.

The observed width of the Mn-55 line of permanganate ion in sodium or potassium hydroxide solutions is about 20 millioersted (derivative peak-to-peak, corrected for modulation amplitude, modulation frequency, saturation and estimated field inhomogeneities in the Varian V-4012A 12-in. electromagnet^{5,6}) and corresponds to a "natural" transverse relaxation time of $T_2 \approx 8$ milliseconds according to the equation

$$1/T_2 = 0.866\gamma\Delta H \tag{1}$$

The paramagnetic manganate ion yields no observable nuclear resonance. The interaction between the nucleus and the unpaired electron of manganate ion shortens T_2 to such an extent that the line width must be many thousands of oersteds. The "electron-transfer" process

$$MnO_4^- + MnO_4^- = MnO_4^- + MnO_4^-$$

will, therefore, introduce an additional mechanism to the "natural" transverse relaxation. Using the approximation that the life expectancy of a magnetic state after an exchange event is zero, McConnell's treatment^{2,7} reduces to

$$\frac{1}{t_0} = \frac{1}{T_0} + \frac{1}{\tau} \tag{2}$$

⁽¹⁾ J. C. Sheppard and A. C. Wahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 1020 (1957).

⁽²⁾ H. M. McConnell and H. E. Weaver, J. Chem. Phys., 25, 307 (1956).

⁽³⁾ C. R. Giuliano and H. M. McConnell, J. Inorg. and Nuclear Chem., 9, 171 (1959).

⁽⁴⁾ B. M. Gordon and A. C. Wahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 273

⁽⁵⁾ O. E. Myers and E. J. Putzer, J. Applied Phys., 30, 1987 (1959).

⁽⁶⁾ O. E. Myers, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 5, 242 (1960).

⁽⁷⁾ H. M. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys., 28, 430 (1958).