

# STEROIDS FROM *EUPHORBIA* AND OTHER LATEX-BEARING PLANTS

PETER E. NIELSEN,\* HIROYUKI NISHIMURA,† YITMIN LIANG and MELVIN CALVIN

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics; and the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

(Received 21 September 1977)

**Key Word Index**—*Euphorbia*; Euphorbiaceae; latex; steroids; chemotaxonomy.

**Abstract**—Steroids in latices isolated from 15 *Euphorbia* species and four other latex-bearing plants were analysed (in terms of their chemotaxonomy) using computerized GC–MS. Steroid patterns divided these plants into six groupings.

## INTRODUCTION

Recently the identification of sterols from plant latices has been given much attention, because they can be used as a tool in the taxonomic grouping of the species concerned. Both isolation and chemical identification of the individual sterols are rather tedious and time-consuming procedures using conventional methods. However, the task can be more conveniently done with the aid of GC–MS. We have analysed a number of *Euphorbia* and some other species for sterols by this method, some of

which have not previously been reported in the literature [1–7] and report the results herein.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The GC–MS method has its limitations in that only known compounds can be identified easily. Fortunately, most of the major sterols from the Euphorbiae have already been described [1]. However, as is apparent from Table 1, several sterols were found in the nineteen species examined which, as yet, we have been unable to identify. In spite of this, most of the species (13/19) are easily placed in the six taxonomic groups described by Ponsinnet and Ourisson [1], and only four of the others cannot be assigned to any of these groups (Table 1).

\*Biochemical Institute B. The Panum Institute, University of Copenhagen, DK 2200, Denmark.

†Department of Agricultural Chemistry Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan.

Table 1. Steroids from plant latex

Species	Relative amount of sterols‡												Group
	a	b	c	d	e1	e2	f	g	h	i	j1	j2	
1 <i>Euphorbia aphylla</i>		0.37		0.10	0.82		1.0	0.46					C <sub>1</sub>
2 <i>Euphorbia arbuscula</i>	1.0	0.19											B
3 <i>Euphorbia balsamifera</i>							1.0				0.80		C <sub>2</sub>
4 <i>Euphorbia characias</i>				0.13	1.0		0.68	0.27					C <sub>1</sub>
5 <i>Euphorbia coerulescens</i>	1.0	0.10	0.20										A
6 <i>Euphorbia cylindrifolia</i>	1.0	0.25				0.50							?
7 <i>Euphorbia globosa</i>								0.30			1.0	1.0	?
8 <i>Euphorbia ingens</i>	1.0	0.30											B
9 <i>Euphorbia lathyris</i>	0.12			0.80	0.50		0.30	1.0					C <sub>1</sub>
10 <i>Euphorbia marlotthii</i>							0.41			0.32		1.0	C <sub>2</sub>
11 <i>Euphorbia misera</i>	0.69	0.32		0.66			1.0						C <sub>4</sub>
12 <i>Euphorbia obtusifolia</i>	0.05				1.0	1.0	0.80	0.50					(C <sub>1</sub> )
13 <i>Euphorbia stenoclada</i>	1.0	0.16							0.29	0.17			(B)
14 <i>Euphorbia tirucalli</i>	1.0	1.0											B
15 <i>Euphorbia trigona</i>	1.0						0.61						?
16 <i>Elaeophorbia drupifera</i>	1.0	0.16	0.20										A
17 <i>Achras sapote</i>									1.0*	0.20*			E
18 <i>Asclepias</i> sp.									1.0*	0.20*			E
19 <i>Synadenium grantii</i>	0.25	0.20	0.10		0.40	†							?

\* Found as acetates.

† The major sterol was found to be a lanosterol isomer different from e1 and e2.

‡ The steroids were identified as described in the experimental section, and the amount estimated from the area of the GLC peaks. Key to the steroids: a: euphol, b: tirucallol, c: euphorbol, d: lanosterol, e: lanosterol isomers, f: cycloartenol, g: 24-methylenecycloartenol, h:  $\alpha$ -amyrin, i:  $\beta$ -amyrin, j: pentacyclic steroids. The taxonomic groups are defined as follows (see also [1]). A: euphol, tirucallol and euphorbol; B: euphol and tirucallol only; C<sub>1</sub>: cycloartenol, 24-methylenecycloartenol and lanosterol; C<sub>2</sub>: cycloartenol + pentacyclic steroids; C<sub>4</sub>: cycloartenol + euphol and tirucallol; E:  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -amyrin without any tetracyclic sterols.

Table 2. Identification of steroids from latex\*

Compound	Relative retention time	Characteristic <i>m/e</i> (relative intensity)
Euphol	0.85	468(30), 453(80), 408(10), 393(65), 301(10), 69(100), 43(70)
Tirucallol	0.94	
Euphorbol	1.04	482(30), 467(100), 422(10), 383(15), 323(20), 301(25), 69(60), 43(30)
Lanosterol	1.00	468(10), 453(40), 408(5), 393(45), 301(8), 69(100), 43(80)
Lanosterol isomer 1 (e <sub>1</sub> )	1.05	
Lanosterol isomer 2 (e <sub>2</sub> )	0.93	
Cycloartenol	1.18	468(5), 453(5), 408(25), 393(25), 365(20), 339(20), 286(15), 69(100), 43(90)
24-Methylenecycloartenol	1.29	482(4), 467(3), 422(20), 407(20), 379(0)1, 353(6), 300(7), 69(100), 43(90)
α-Amyrin	1.19	468(15), 453(6), 408(10), 393(6), 218(100), 203(35), 189(50)
β-Amyrin	1.07	468(4), 453(3), 408(4), 398(3), 218(100), 203(70), 189(40)
Taraxerol	1.02	468(2), 208(19), 393(20), 269(40), 418(20), 204(100), 189(45), 69(90)
Lupeol	1.22	468(10), 408(35), 393(10), 365(20), 297(10), 229(10), 218(35), 203(30), 189(55), 43(100)
Multiflorenol	1.32	468(7), 453(7), 408(20), 393(15), 365(10), 339(4), 241(20), 229(25), 218(15), 69(20), 43(100)

\*Relative retention times and characteristic mass fragments (first peak is M<sup>+</sup>) of steroid acetates.

Although the species 17 and 18 are not Euphorbiae, their analyses agree with group 'E' (Table 1). It is noteworthy that five of the sterols—lanosterol, euphol, tirucallol and the lanosterol isomers e<sub>1</sub> and e<sub>2</sub>—have identical mass spectra, and these can therefore only be distinguished by their GLC retention-times. The MS of the steroids j<sub>1</sub> and j<sub>2</sub> show that they are pentacyclic, but by comparing with standards, we can conclude that they are not taraxerol, multiflorenol, or lupeol. Cycloartenol and 24-methylenelophenol are not shown in the table, but were found in *E. balsamifera*.

This work has just begun, but the GC-MS method seems to be a convenient way to expand our knowledge of the chemotaxonomy of Euphorbiae and other latex-bearing plants. A report on the mass spectral fragmentation mechanism of Euphorbiaceae sterols will be presented elsewhere.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

**Plant sources.** *Euphorbia coerulescens* and *E. tirucalli* were obtained from the Botanic garden, Univ. of Calif. at Los Angeles; *E. lathyris*, *E. trigona* and *Achras sapote* were obtained from the Botanic garden, Univ. of Calif. at Berkeley; *E. balsamifera* and *E. ingens* were obtained from T. J. Bahlman, P.O. Box 82, Frankfort 9830, South Africa; the un-named *Asclepias* sp. was collected near the Tri-City Airport, Michigan, 1977; the remaining 11 species listed in Table 1 were obtained from the Botanic garden, Univ. of Calif. of Davis.

The plant latices were obtained by tapping specimens from the collection at the Department of Botany, University of California, Davis. The sterols were extracted from the latex with

hot Me<sub>2</sub>CO and acetylated in Ac<sub>2</sub>O/Py (4:1). The acetylated sterols were subjected to analysis by GLC (3% OV-17 at 280° isothermally) and computerized GC-MS as described elsewhere [6] using a stainless steel column packed with 3% OV-17 coated on Gas Chrom Q. The sterols were identified by coinjection or comparison of *R<sub>s</sub>* and MS with those of standard compounds (Table 2). Wherever ambiguity occurred, coinjections were performed.

**Acknowledgements**—We are indebted to Dr G. L. Webster, Department of Botany, University of California, Davis, for supplying us with several species of Euphorbiae, and also to Mr S. Brown, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, for technical assistance. We are grateful to Dr H. J. Nicholas, Institute of Medical Education and Research, St. Louis, and to Dr H. N. Khastgir, Chemistry Department, North Bengal University, India, who kindly furnished the standard samples of several sterols.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ponsinnet, G. and Ourisson, G. (1968) *Adansonia* Ser. 2, 8, 227.
2. Atallah, A. M. and Nicholas, H. J. (1972) *Phytochemistry* 11, 1860.
3. Starrett, A. N. (1973) *Phytochemistry* 12, 23.
4. Misra, D. R., Naskar, D. B., Ray, T. K. and Khastgir, H. N. (1973) *Phytochemistry* 12, 1819.
5. Gonzalez, A. G., Fraga, B. M., Gonzalez, P. and Ravelo, A. G. (1976) *Phytochemistry* 15, 427.
6. Nishimura, H., Philp, R. P. and Calvin, M. (1977) *Phytochemistry* 16, 1048.
7. Nielsen, P. E., Nishimura, H., Otvos, J. W. and Calvin, M. (1977) *Science* 198, 942.