

This disproportionation is so rapid that $(\text{Ph}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{-C}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_2$ (**4**) is the major product if $\text{C}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_4$ (**1**) is treated with butyllithium or lithium methoxide and mixed all at once with an equimolar amount of triphenyltin chloride in tetrahydrofuran, then refluxed. However, the yield by this route is only 20% (based on **1**).

The extreme lability of the boron in $\text{Ph}_3\text{SnC}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_3$ (**3**) is further demonstrated by the reaction with ethylene glycol, which replaces one boryl group by a proton while forming the usual cyclic ester with the other two. Treatment of 1.0 g of **3** with 0.4 ml of ethylene glycol in 5 ml of acetone at 25° for 3 hr yielded 0.80 g (90%) of $\text{Ph}_3\text{SnCH}(\text{BO}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)_2$, mp 142–143°; nmr (CDCl_3) τ 2.50 (m, 15, C_6H_5), 6.18 (s, 8, OCH_2), and 8.80 (s, 1, SnCHB_2 , satellites ^{117}Sn J = 78 and ^{119}Sn J = 80 Hz).³ Both $\text{C}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_4$ and $\text{HC}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_3$ have been converted to their ethylene glycol esters without such protodeboronation,⁴ though they are very labile to water.¹

Protodeboronation of 0.78 g of $(\text{Ph}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{C}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_2$ (**4**) by refluxing 2 hr in 10 ml of methanol with 50 mg of sodium methoxide gave 0.4 g (57%) of $(\text{Ph}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{CHB}(\text{OMe})_2$, mp 104–105°; nmr (CCl_4) τ 2.81 (m, 30, C_6H_5), 6.66 (s, 6, CH_3O), and 9.97 (s, 1, Sn_2CHB , too dilute to observe satellites).³

Preliminary work with trimethyltin chloride has yielded $\text{Me}_3\text{SnC}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_3$, bp 105–115° (0.1 mm), structure supported by nmr but analytical purity not yet attained.

Disproportionation in the lead series appears to be even more facile than with the tin compounds, and we have been unable to prepare $\text{Ph}_3\text{PbC}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_3$. Use of triphenyllead chloride in place of triphenyltin chloride in the procedure described for preparation of $\text{Ph}_3\text{SnC}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_3$ yielded 25% (based on **1**) of $(\text{Ph}_3\text{Pb})_2\text{-C}[\text{B}(\text{OMe})_2]_2$, mp 174–175°; nmr (CCl_4) τ 2.85 (m, 30, C_6H_5) and 6.66 (s, 12, CH_3O).³

We expect these boron–tin and boron–lead tetrametallomethanes to prove useful in the synthesis of other new types of organometallic compounds. The qualitative evidence obtained so far also suggests possible anchimeric accelerations of electrophilic displacements in these compounds, an interesting mechanistic question which has previously remained unexplored because of lack of suitable substrates.

(4) Unpublished work with R. J. Wilcsek and J. R. Thomas.

(5) (a) Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow, 1966–1968; (b) this work was supported in part by Public Health Service Grant CA-05513 from the National Cancer Institute; (c) National Institutes of Health Postdoctoral Fellow, 1968–1969.

Donald S. Matteson,^{5a,b} Gerald L. Larson^{6c}

Department of Chemistry, Washington State University
Pullman, Washington 99163

Received August 25, 1969

Reaction of Copper(I) Hydride with Organocopper(I) Compounds¹

Sir:

A number of important transition metal catalyzed organic syntheses are carried out in solutions containing mixtures of intermediate transition metal hydrides

(1) Supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant 7266, and by the International Copper Research Association, Inc.

and σ -alkyls.² The reactivity of transition metal hydrides toward metal alkyls has not been defined. However, the facility with which nontransition metal hydrides react with many σ -organometallic compounds^{3,4} suggests that analogous reactions of transition metal hydrides might be important in the catalytic transition metal systems.

As part of a study of the mechanism(s) of thermal decomposition of copper(I) alkyls, we have prepared copper(I) hydride and examined its reactivity toward organocopper(I) compounds. The reactivity of copper hydride toward copper alkyls is of particular interest as a model for the reactivity of other transition metal hydrides and alkyls: since copper hydride does not catalyze olefin isomerization or deuterium exchange under the conditions used in this study, the structures of hydrocarbon products formed in the presence of copper hydride can be determined without ambiguity. Here we wish to report that hydridic and σ -organometallic derivatives of copper(I) react readily with one another, and that the mechanism of this reaction does not involve intermediate organic free radicals.

Copper(I) hydride was prepared by treating 1 equiv of copper(I) bromide dissolved in 100 equiv of pyridine with 1.1 equiv of diisobutylaluminum hydride (20% in heptane) at –50°. Vigorous mixing produced a homogenous, dark brown solution, from which copper(I) hydride could be precipitated by dilution with ~300 equiv of ether. Centrifugation, separation of the supernatant liquid, and repeated washing of the precipitate with ether, all at –78°, permitted isolation of copper(I) hydride as a brown solid in greater than 90% yield.⁵ The ratio of hydride to copper in this material is 0.96 ± 0.04 ; it contains less than 0.5% aluminum or bromine but retains ~25% pyridine, based on copper.

Pure, anhydrous copper(I) hydride decomposes to hydrogen and metallic copper above –20°; it is indefinitely stable at –78°. Suspensions of copper(I) hydride in ether are relatively air insensitive; the dry solid is pyrophoric. Tri-*n*-butylphosphine and copper(I) hydride form a 1:1 complex, the high solubility of which has prevented its isolation. Attempts to detect a metal–hydrogen stretching vibration in the solution ir spectrum of copper hydride, or a hydride resonance in its nmr spectrum, have so far been unsuccessful.⁸

Hydrido(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) reduces primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl-, vinyl-, and aryl-copper(I) compounds to the corresponding hydrocar-

(2) For reviews of representative reactions, see R. Heck, *Advan. Organometal. Chem.*, **4**, 243 (1966); L. Reich and A. Schindler, "Polymerization by Organometallic Compounds," Interscience Publishers, New York, N. Y., 1966, Chapter IV; R. Cramer, *Accounts Chem. Res.*, **1**, 186 (1968).

(3) N. G. Gaylord, "Reduction with Complex Metal Hydrides," Interscience Publishers, New York, N. Y., 1956, Chapter 4; T. G. Traylor, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 1223 (1959).

(4) D. J. Pasto and J. A. Gontarz, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **91**, 719 (1969); L. I. Zakharkin and I. M. Khorlina, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, **32**, 2783 (1962).


(5) This procedure is based on that developed by Wiberg and Henle,⁶ but yields CuH of higher purity than that obtained using LiAlH_4 as a reducing agent.⁷ The results of our examination of the material produced by the Wiberg–Henle procedure are in general agreement with those reported by Shriver;⁷ in particular, we concur that this material is CuH, howbeit extensively contaminated.

(6) E. Wiberg and W. Henle, *Z. Naturforsch.*, **7b**, 250 (1952).

(7) J. A. Dilts and D. F. Shriver, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **90**, 5769 (1968); **91**, 4088 (1969).

(8) See also ref 7.

Scheme I



bons in high yield under very mild conditions.⁹ We have explored the intermediacy of free radicals in these reductions by examining the stereochemistry of the products obtained upon reduction of *endo*-2-norbornyl-(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) (2) and of *cis*- and *trans*-2-butenyl(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) with derivatives of CuH. In each of the reactions studied the result obtained was that, within our limits of detection, the reduction of the organocopper compound occurred with complete *retention* of configuration at carbon. Under these conditions, HCuPBu₃ does not reduce norbornene or 1- or 2-butene.

Typically, 2 was prepared by reaction of *endo*-2-norbornylmagnesium bromide (1)¹⁰ with bromo(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) in ether at -78° (Scheme I). The stereochemistry of 2 could be established by conversion to the corresponding methyl ate complex 3 on treatment with 3–5 equiv of methyllithium, followed by oxidative coupling by reaction with nitrobenzene at -78° to yield 2-methylnorbornane (98% *endo*, 2% *exo*).¹¹ The same stereochemical result was obtained on nucleophilic coupling of methyl tosylate with the ate complex 4 formed on addition of 3–5 equiv of *n*-butyllithium to 1 equiv of 2.¹² Control reactions carried out using equilibrium mixtures (55–60% *endo*)¹⁰ of epimeric 2-norbornyl Grignard reagents yielded mixtures of 2-methylnorbornanes containing ~60% of the *endo* isomer. Taken together, these results establish

that conversions of 1 to 2, and of 3 and 4 to 6, take place with high stereoselectivity, presumably with retention of configuration in each step.

Treatment of 2 (>98% *endo*) with a limiting amount of deuterio(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I)¹³ in ether at -30° yielded norbornane-2-*d*₁ ($98 \pm 5\%$ *endo*).¹⁴ Reduction of a mixture of *endo*- and *exo*-2-norbornyl-(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) (60% *endo*) under similar conditions produced norbornane-2-*d*₁ (~60% *endo*). Thus, reduction of 2 to 5 takes place with *retention* of stereochemistry. Since the intermediacy of a free 2-norbornyl radical in this reaction would lead to products with loss of stereochemistry at the 2 position, reaction of 2 with DCuPBu₃ must take place by a non-radical pathway.¹⁶

The conclusion that free organic radicals are not intermediates in the reduction of organocopper(I) compounds by HCuPBu₃ is supported by two related observations: first, reduction of either *cis*- or *trans*-2-butenyl(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) in ether at -30° yields the corresponding 2-butene with >97% retention

(13) Copper(I) deuteride was prepared using diisobutylaluminum deuteride synthesized by a procedure described for the preparation of diethylaluminum deuteride: G. Wilke and H. Muller, *Ann.*, **629**, 222 (1960). Isotopic purity of the CuD was established by analysis of the mixture of H₂ (3.5%), HD (95.1%), and D₂ (1.3%) obtained on protonolysis at -30° with methanolic HCl.

(14) The epimeric compositions of the norbornane-2-*d*₁ from the reaction mixtures were determined by comparison of their ir spectra with spectra of mixtures of *endo*- and *exo*-norbornane-2-*d*₁ of known epimeric composition. The presence of norbornane-*d*₀ among the reaction products made it impossible to use the fingerprint region¹⁵ for these analyses, and the relative insensitivity of the C–D stretching region to changes in the ratio of *endo*- to *exo*-norbornane-2-*d*₁ limited the accuracy of ir analysis to $\sim \pm 5\%$ in samples containing >90 mole % *endo* epimer.

(15) A. Nickon and J. H. Hammons, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 3322 (1964).

(16) The results of Scheme I also exclude free norbornyl radicals as intermediates in the oxidative or nucleophilic coupling reactions of the copper(I) ate complexes 3 and 4. By contrast with the conversion of 2 to 5, reduction of either *exo*- or *endo*-2-chloronorbornane with tri-*n*-butyltin deuteride under radical conditions (AIBN, *h* ν , 20°) yields mixtures of the epimers of norbornane-2-*d*₁ containing $84 \pm 5\%$ *exo* isomer.

(9) Copper(I) hydride and its derivatives also act as reducing agents toward a number of other organic and organometallic substrates. For example, hydrido(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) reduces iodobenzene to benzene (80%), and benzoyl chloride to benzaldehyde (50%) in 2 hr at 25° in ether, and diphenylmercury(II) to benzene quantitatively at -50° .

(10) F. R. Jensen and K. L. Nakamaye, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **88**, 3437 (1966).

(11) G. M. Whitesides, J. San Filippo, Jr., C. P. Casey, and E. J. Panek, *ibid.*, **89**, 5302 (1967).

(12) E. J. Corey and G. H. Posner, *ibid.*, **90**, 5615 (1968); G. M. Whitesides, W. F. Fischer, Jr., J. San Filippo, Jr., R. W. Bashe, and H. O. House, *ibid.*, **91**, 4871 (1969), and references therein.

of configuration;¹⁷ and second, reduction of neophyl-(tri-*n*-butylphosphine)copper(I) under similar conditions leads to *t*-butylbenzene, with no observable rearrangement to isobutylbenzene.

The detailed nature of the C-H bond forming step in these reductions has not been established, although it could plausibly proceed by intramolecular reaction of hydrido and alkyl ligands coordinated to a common cluster of copper(I) atoms.^{17,18} Studies of the mechanism of this and related reactions, and of the role of copper hydride in the thermal decomposition of alkyl-copper(I) reagents, will be discussed in later papers.

(17) For a discussion of the stereochemical consequences expected from a free 2-butenyl radical in the related thermal decomposition of these compounds, see G. M. Whitesides and C. P. Casey, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **88**, 4541 (1966).

(18) A. Cairncross and W. A. Sheppard, Abstracts, 157th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Minneapolis, Minn., April 1969, ORGN 118.

(19) (a) National Institutes of Health Predoctoral Fellow, 1966-1969; (b) National Institutes of Health Predoctoral Fellow, 1967-1968; (c) National Science Foundation Predoctoral Fellow, 1963-1967.

George M. Whitesides, Joseph San Filippo, Jr.,^{19a}
Erwin R. Stredronsky,^{19b} Charles P. Casey^{19c}

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Received June 13, 1969

Mass Spectral Rearrangements of Siliconium Ions. Migration of Electronegative Groups from Carbon to Silicon

Sir:

Mass spectroscopy of organosilicon compounds is potentially a most valuable tool for the study of the properties of siliconium ions, since these are not easily produced in solution.¹⁻⁴ In the mass spectrum of




Figure 1. Methyl 3-trimethylsilylpropionate.

methyl 3-trimethylsilylpropionate⁵ we have observed a novel intramolecular migration of a methoxy group

(1) R. West in "Organosilicon Chemistry," International Symposium at Prague, Butterworths, London, 1965, pp 1-9.

(2) L. H. Sommer and F. J. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **76**, 1186 (1954).

(3) L. H. Sommer, *et al.*, *ibid.*, **76**, 801 (1954).

(4) F. C. Whitmore, *et al.*, *ibid.*, **69**, 1976 (1947).

(5) Methyl 3-trimethylsilylpropionate⁵ and the other compounds discussed were prepared from trimethylallylsilane. Hydroboration, followed by oxidation, yielded 3-trimethylsilylpropanol.⁷ This was oxidized with Jones reagent to yield 3-trimethylsilylpropionic acid.^{8,9} This acid was converted to the acid chloride by treatment with thionyl chloride.⁸ The methyl esters were prepared by reaction of the acid chloride with methanol.⁶ The compounds so obtained agreed in physical properties with literature values and had satisfactory infrared and nmr spectra. All compounds were purified by gas chromatography on a 0.25 in. \times 15 ft Carbowax 20M column before use, and were at least 99% pure. All mass spectra were run on a Varian M-66 mass spectrometer at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV.






Figure 2. Methyl-*d*₃ 3-trimethylsilylpropionate.

from the ester carbon to a positively charged silicon center.

The base peak *m/e* 145 in the mass spectrum of methyl 3-trimethylsilylpropionate⁶ results from loss of a methyl group from silicon (Figure 1). Fragmentation at such a highly branched center is the expected process.^{10,11} Furthermore, the next most intense peak, *m/e* 92, is due to the dimethylmethoxysiliconium ion. This ion results from migration of a methoxy group from the ester carbon to the siliconium ion center with simultaneous loss of C₃H₄O, most likely as ethylene and carbon monoxide (eq 1). In order to verify this interpretation,



the mass spectrum of the corresponding methyl-*d*₃ ester was examined (Figure 2). The intense peak at *m/e* 92 is due to the dimethylmethoxy-*d*₃-siliconium ion (Figure 2). In the case of similar carbonium ions, such rearrangements of methoxy groups are not observed (eq 2).¹²



The peak at *m/e* 73 is due to the trimethylsiliconium ion. The fourth most intense peak occurs at *m/e* 105. This fragment may be due to a rearrangement of the *m/e* 145 ion as indicated (eq 3), with loss of C₃H₄ presumably as allene.¹³

This rearrangement of the electronegative group from

(6) A. D. Petrov, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, **29**, 2936 (1959).

(7) J. L. Speier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **74**, 1003 (1952).

(8) L. H. Sommer, *et al.*, *ibid.*, **72**, 1935 (1950).

(9) L. H. Sommer, *et al.*, *ibid.*, **76**, 1609 (1954).

(10) N. Ya. Chernyak, *et al.*, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, **36**, 96 (1966).

(11) N. Ya. Chernyak, *et al.*, *ibid.*, **36**, 89 (1966).

(12) R. Ryhage and E. Stenhagen, *Ark. Kemi*, **15**, 333 (1959); see spectrum of methyl-4,4-dimethyldocosanoate.