

Journal of Molecular Structure 328 (1994) 55-75

Journal of MOLECULAR STRUCTURE

Raman and infrared spectra, conformational stability, barriers to internal rotation, and ab initio calculations of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate

J.R. Durig^{a,*}, G.A. Guirgis^{b,1}, K.A. Krutules^{b,2}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Missouri — Kansas City, Kansas City, MO 64110-2499, USA ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

Received 16 May 1994

Abstract

The Raman (2500 to $10 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$) and infrared (2500 to $30 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$) spectra of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate, CF₃C(O)NCO, have been recorded for the gas and solid. Additionally, the Raman spectrum of the liquid has been recorded and qualitative depolarization values obtained. The observed bands are assigned on the basis of a more stable cis conformer (isocyanate group cis to the carbonyl bond) and the less stable trans conformer in the fluid phases and only the cis rotamer is present in the solid. From the variable-temperature studies of the infrared spectrum of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton, the conformer pair at 1796 and 1781 cm⁻¹ has been used to determine the ΔH value of 163 ± 12 cm⁻¹ $(466 \pm 34 \text{ calmol}^{-1})$ which should represent closely the value of ΔH for the gas. The potential function governing conformational interchange has been determined from the asymmetric torsional frequencies and ΔH value. The values of the potential constants are: $V_1 = 356 \pm 14$, $V_2 = 1865 \pm 60$, and $V_3 = -203 \pm 6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Additionally, variabletemperature studies of the Raman spectrum of the liquid give a ΔH of 236 ± 30 cm⁻¹ (675 ± 86 cal mol⁻¹). A complete vibrational assignment is proposed for the cis conformer based on infrared band contours, Raman depolarization data, group frequencies, relative intensities, and normal coordinate calculations. Also, several of the fundamentals of the trans conformer have been assigned. The experimental conformational stability, barriers to internal rotation, structural parameters, and fundamental vibrational frequencies are compared with those obtained from ab initio gradient calculations employing the RHF/3-21G, RHF/6-31G* and/or MP2/6-31G* basis sets and to the corresponding quantities obtained for some similar molecules.

* Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

Recently, we reported [1] the vibrational spectrum of acetyl isocyanate, $CH_3C(O)NCO$, and experimentally determined that the cis conformer (isocyanate group cis to the carbonyl bond) is more stable than the trans conformer by $449 \pm 108 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (1.28 \pm 0.31 kcal mol⁻¹) for the vapor. This result is consistent with both the microwave [2] and electron

¹ Permanent address: Analytical R/D Department, Organic Products Division, Miles, Inc., Bushy Park Plant, Charleston SC 29411, USA.

² Taken in part from the thesis of K.A. Krutules which will be submitted to the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry in partial fulfillment of the Ph.D. degree.

^{0022-2860/94/\$07.00} \odot 1994 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved SSDI 0022-2860(94)08362-L

diffraction [3] data where the investigators of both studies concluded that the cis conformer is the more stable form at ambient temperature in the gaseous state. However, it was determined from our vibrational study [1] that the conformational stability of acetyl isocyanate changes from the gas phase, where the cis conformer is more stable, to the liquid phase, where the trans conformer is more stable, by $370 \pm 60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (1.06 ± 0.17 kcal mol⁻¹). In the crystalline solid only the trans conformer remains.

For chlorocarbonyl isocyanate, ClC(O)NCO, most ab initio calculations [4-8] predict the cis conformer to be more stable than the trans form. However, from the vibrational study [4] of ClC(O)NCO it was determined that the trans conformer was more stable by $254 \pm 48 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $(726 \pm 137 \text{ cal mol}^{-1})$ in the vapor state. Similarly, the trans rotamer is the stable form in the liquid and is the only conformer present in the annealed solid. The vibrational results are consistent with the electron diffraction results [5] where it was also concluded that the trans conformer is the more stable form. However, with a large basis set with electron correlation, MP2/6-311+G**, the trans conformer is predicted [6] to be more stable than the cis conformer by 871 cm^{-1} (2.49 kcal mol⁻¹), but the energy difference is now in error by about the same amount in the other direction.

We have also recently completed the vibrational study of fluorocarbonyl isocyanate [9], where the cis conformer has been determined to be more stable than the trans conformer in the fluid states. Similarly, ab initio calculations also predicted the cis conformer to be more stable than the trans form. From the temperature study of the infrared spectrum of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton, it has been determined that the cis conformer is more stable than the trans conformer by $150 \pm 29 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $(429 \pm 80 \text{ cal mol}^{-1})$. The results from the vibrational study are in agreement with those from the electron diffraction [10] investigation where the cis conformer was found to be more stable than the trans form. However, it should be noted that the trans conformer is the stable form in the solid.

Thus, since the conformer stability may change from one physical state to another for these molecules, which have more than one conformer present at ambient temperature, it is difficult to predict which conformer may be the more stable form. Therefore, as a continuation of our conformational stability studies of organoisocyanates [11-19], the infrared and Raman spectra of CF₃C(O)NCO have been investigated. Additionally, ab initio calculations have been carried out for comparison with the results obtained from the spectroscopic investigation.

2. Experimental

Trifluoroacetyl isocyanate was prepared by reaction of trifluoroacetyl chloride with silver cyanate. Purification was carried out using a lowtemperature, low-pressure fractionation column. The pure sample was then stored at about -5° C under vacuum in a sample tube with a greaseless stopcock. All sample transfers were carried out under vacuum.

The Raman spectra were recorded on a Cary model 82 spectrophotometer equipped with a Spectra-Physics model 171 argon ion laser operating on the 5145 Å line. The spectrum of the gas was recorded using a standard Cary multipass accessory. The spectrum of the liquid was recorded from the sample contained in a Pyrex capillary. The variable-temperature study of the liquid was conducted by cooling the capillary with chilled nitrogen gas passed through a Miller-Harney cell [20]. The spectrum of the annealed solid was recorded by depositing the sample on a blackened brass block which was maintained in a cell fitted with quartz windows and cooled by boiling liquid nitrogen. Typical spectra are shown in Fig. 1, and the reported wavenumbers should be accurate to $\pm 2 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for sharp bands.

The mid-infrared spectra of the gas and annealed solid (Fig. 2) were obtained using a Digilab FTS-14C Fourier transform interferometer equipped with a Ge/KBr beamsplitter and a TGS detector. A 10 cm cell equipped with CsI windows was used to obtain the spectrum of the gas, and a KBr plate cooled with liquid nitrogen was used as the sample substrate for the spectrum of the solid. The spectrum of the annealed solid at a resolution of 1 cm^{-1} was obtained after several cycles of warming and cooling of the sample.



Fig. 1. Raman spectra of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate: (A) gas; (B) liquid; (C) annealed solid.

The FT-Raman spectrum of CF₃C(O)NCO was recorded on a Bruker model IFS 66 interferometer equipped with a model FRA 106 FT-Raman attachment. The spectrum was obtained utilizing a Nd: YAG laser operating on the 1.064 μ m line and a liquid nitrogen-cooled Ge detector. The spectrum of the liquid was recorded from the sample contained in a Pyrex capillary. The sample was placed directly into the sample holder of the Raman attachment and the data were recorded by co-addition of 500 scans at 4.0 cm⁻¹ resolution.

The mid-infrared spectra of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton as a function of temperature were recorded on a Bruker model IFS 66 Fourier transform interferometer equipped with a Globar source, Ge/KBr beamsplitter, and a TGS detector. In all cases, 200 interferograms were collected at



Fig. 2. Mid-infrared spectra of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate: (A) gas; (B) annealed solid.

 $0.5 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ resolution, averaged and transformed with a boxcar truncation function.

For the studies in the liquified noble gas, a specially designed cryostat cell was used which consists of a copper cell with a pathlength of 4 cm with wedged silicon windows sealed to the cell with indium gaskets. It is cooled by boiling liquid nitrogen, and the temperature is monitored with two Pt thermoresistors. The complete cell is connected to a pressure manifold to allow for the filling and evacuation of the cell. After the cell is cooled to the desired temperature, a small amount of the compound is condensed into the cell. Next, the pressure manifold and the cell are pressurized with the noble gas, which immediately starts condensing in the cell, allowing the compound to dissolve.



Fig. 3. Far-infrared spectrum of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate, with the top spectrum that of water.



Fig. 4. Far-infrared spectrum of gaseous trifluoroacetyl isocyanate in the region of the asymmetric torsion, with the top spectrum that of water.

The far-infrared spectrum from which the torsional transitions were measured (Figs. 3 and 4) was recorded on a Bomem model DA3.002 Fourier transform interferometer equipped with a vacuum bench, a Globar source, and a liquid helium-cooled silicon bolometer with a wedged sapphire filter and polyethylene windows. The gaseous sample was contained in a 1 m cell equipped with polyethylene windows and recorded at a spectral resolution of 0.1 cm^{-1} with $6.25 \text{ and } 25 \,\mu\text{m}$ Mylar beamsplitters. Typically, 256 scans were needed to give a satisfactory signal-tonoise ratio. All of the observed infrared and Raman bands are listed in Table 1.

3. Conformational analysis

The Raman spectra of the gas and liquid clearly show the presence of both the cis and trans conformers, whereas only one conformer exists in the solid. A number of bands disappear with crystallization. These bands occur at 380, 626, 776, and 1443 cm^{-1} and one of the carbonyl stretches at either 1796 or 1781 cm^{-1} . These data clearly show the presence of two conformers in the fluid states with only one form remaining in the solid. However, there appears to be some conflicting data, making it difficult to determine the conformational stability and to determine which conformer remains in the solid.

Since the assignment of the fundamental vibrations is not straightforward and it is not clear which conformer remains in the solid, ab initio calculated frequencies were obtained with both the MP2/ 6-31G* and RHF/6-31G* basis sets. The predicted frequencies of both conformers were then compared with the experimental frequencies in an attempt to determine which conformer disappears with crystallization. The band which is observed at $808 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of the gas and remains in the Raman spectrum of the solid is assigned to the C-N stretch. This frequency corresponds better to the unscaled frequency of 821 cm^{-1} obtained with the MP2/6-31G* basis set for the cis conformer than the frequency of $779 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ obtained with the same basis set for the C-N stretch of the trans conformer. This predicted frequency of $779 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the C–N stretch of the trans conformer corresponds well with the observed band at $776 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of the gas which disappears from the spectrum of the solid. Additionally, the observed band at $737 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of the gas which also remains in the spectrum of the solid agrees well with the unscaled frequency of $729 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the CF₃ symmetric deformation (MP2/6-31G* basis set) predicted for the cis conformer. Similarly, the observed band at $601 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of the gas which remains in the spectrum of the solid agrees better with the frequency of $597 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ predicted for the cis conformer than with the calculated frequency of 619 cm^{-1} for the trans rotamer. The band at $354 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of the solid also corresponds better with the frequency of $351 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which is the frequency predicted for the cis conformer compared to $380 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ predicted for the trans form. Therefore, from considering the low-frequency bands from 900 to $200 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ which remain in the spectra of the solid, it appears that the cis conformer is the rotamer present in this physical state.

Variable-temperature studies of the Raman

spectra of the gas and liquid were conducted to determine the more stable conformer in the fluid phases. The conformer pair at 808 and 776 cm^{-1} which is assigned to the C–N stretch of the cis and trans conformers, respectively, were used to determine the more stable conformer in the fluid states. The band at 776 cm^{-1} increases in intensity as the temperature is increased (Table 2) for both the gas and liquid. Thus, the trans rotamer is less stable than the cis conformer in the fluid states.

There are two bands at 1796 and 1781 cm^{-1} in the Raman spectrum of the gas that appear in the carbonyl stretching region. With the MP2/6-31G* basis set, the frequency predicted for the CO stretch for the cis conformer is slightly lower than that for the trans conformer. However, since a shift in frequency from the gas to solid is characteristic of the carbonyl stretch, it is difficult to predict which band disappears with crystallization. Therefore, variable-temperature studies of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton (Fig. 5) were carried out and the band at 1781 cm^{-1} , which is assigned to the cis conformer, is indeed found to be the CO stretch of the more stable conformer.

A problem arises with the assignment of the two bands in the NCO symmetric stretching region. There are two bands at 1463 and 1443 cm^{-1} in the Raman spectrum of the gas, with the latter band disappearing with crystallization. In the infrared spectrum of the gas there is a strong band at 1442 cm^{-1} with a shoulder at 1462 cm^{-1} , and in the infrared spectrum of the solid, a sharp band at 1459 cm⁻¹. Using RHF/6-31G* scaled frequencies, the NCO symmetric stretch of the cis conformer is predicted to be about $50 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ lower in frequency than that predicted for the trans conformer. However, with the MP2/6-31G* basis set, the NCO symmetric stretch of the cis conformer is predicted at approximately the same frequency for the corresponding mode of the trans conformer, i.e. 1502 and 1498 $\rm cm^{-1}$, respectively. Thus, it is difficult to make a reliable assignment for the NCO symmetric stretch for the two rotamers based on the calculated frequencies. Variable-temperature studies of the infrared spectrum of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton and of the Raman spectrum of the gas were conducted. The results from the latter study were inconclusive. From the

Table 1							
Observed ^a	infrared and	Raman	frequencies	(cm ⁻¹) fo	r trifluoroac	etyl isocyanate	

Gas Rel. int. Solid int. Rel. int. Gas Rel. int. Liquid and depol. Rel. int. ν_1^b Approximate description int. 2275 max vs 2281 s 2277 w 2273 5.2 280 vw $\nu_5 + \nu_6$ 2235 max s 2232 vs 2235 w 2235 w 2232 w ν_1 NCO antisymmetric stretci 2173 w 2193 m 1796 m ν'_2 CO stretch 1795 max sh 1796 m ν'_2 CO stretch $\nu_7 + \nu_8$ 1785 max s 1760 s 1781 s 1768 100.0,p 1771 s ν_2 CO stretch 1777 max sh s 1543 w 1545 w $\nu_7 + \nu_8$ NCO symmetric stretch 1462 sh 1460 m 1463 m 1466 35.0,p 1463 m ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch 1442 max s 1443 m 1330 sh 1324	Infrared				Raman							gnment
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Gas	Rel. int.	Solid	Rel. int.	Gas	Rel. int.	Liquid	Rel. int. and depol.	Solid	Rel. int.	$\overline{\nu_i^{b}}$	Approximate description
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									2291	sh		
2235 max s 2232 vs 2235 w 2232 w ν_1 NCO antisymmetric stretc 2173 w 2193 m 1796 m ν'_2 CO stretch 1795 max sh 1796 m ν'_2 CO stretch 1795 max sh 1760 s 1781 s 1768 100.0,p 1771 s ν_2 CO stretch 1777 max sh 1760 s 1781 s 1768 100.0,p 1771 s ν_2 CO stretch 1777 max sh 1543 w 1545 w $\nu_7 + \nu_8$ CO symmetric stretch 1462 sh 1460 m 1463 m 1466 35.0,p 1463 m ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch 1442 max s 1443 m 1447 29.5,p ν'_3 NCO symmetric stretch 1402 sh 1403 w 1330 sh 1324 vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 max m 1309	2275 max	vs	2281	s	2277	w	2273	5.2	280	vw		$\nu_{5} + \nu_{6}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2235 max	s	2232	vs	2235	w	2235	w	2232	w	ν_1	NCO antisymmetric stretch
1795 maxsh1796m ν_2' CO stretch1779m1785 maxs1760s1781s1768100.0,p1771s ν_2 CO stretch1777 maxsh1777 maxsh1602sh1460m1463m146635.0,p1463m ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch1442 maxs1443m144729.5,p ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch1402sh1403w1336vw1330sh1324vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 maxm1301s1309m130431.3,p1304m ν_4 CC stretch	2173	w	2193	m								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1795 max	sh			1796	m					ν'_2	CO stretch
1785 maxs1760s1781s1768100.0,p1771s ν_2 CO stretch1777 maxsh1543w1545w $\nu_7 + \nu_8$ 1500w1545w $\nu_7 + \nu_8$ 1462sh1460m1463m146635.0,p1463m ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch1442 maxs1443m144729.5,p ν_3' NCO symmetric stretch1402sh1403w $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ 1336vw1330sh1324vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 maxm1301s1309m130431.3,p1304m ν_4 CC stretch			1779	m								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1785 max 1777 max	s sh	1760	S	1781	S	1768	100.0,p	1771	S	ν_2	CO stretch
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1543	w	1545	w				$\nu_7 + \nu_8$
1462 sh 1460 m 1463 m 1466 35.0,p 1463 m ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch 1442 max s 1443 m 1447 29.5,p ν_3 NCO symmetric stretch 1402 sh 1403 w $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ 1336 vw 1330 sh 1324 vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 max m 1309 m 1304 31.3,p 1304 m ν_4 CC stretch 1243 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh			1500	w								
1442 max s 1443 m 1447 29.5,p ν'_3 NCO symmetric stretch 1402 sh 1403 w $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ 1336 vw 1330 sh 1324 vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 max m 1309 m 1304 31.3,p 1304 m ν_4 CC stretch 1243 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh	1462	\mathbf{sh}	1460	m	1463	m	1466	35.0,p	1463	m	ν_3	NCO symmetric stretch
1402 sh 1403 w $\nu_7 + \nu_9$ 1336 vw 1330 sh 1324 vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 max m 1301 s 1309 m 1304 31.3,p 1304 m ν_4 CC stretch 1243 1222 sh 1222 sh 1222 sh 1223 1222	1442 max	s			1443	m	1447	29.5,p			ν'_3	NCO symmetric stretch
1336vw1330sh1324vw $\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$ 1303 maxm1301s1309m130431.3,p1304m ν_4 CC stretch12431222sh	1402	sh	1403	w								$\nu_7 + \nu_9$
1303 max m 1301 s 1309 m 1304 31.3,p 1304 m ν_4 CC stretch 1243 1222 sh					1336	vw	1330	sh	1324	vw		$\nu_5 + \nu_{14}$
1243 1222 sh	1303 max	m	1301	s	1309	m	1304	31.3,p	1304	m	ν_4	CC stretch
	1243		1222	sh								
1235 max s 1211 s 1240 w,bd 1234 w 1237 w ν_5 CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	1235 max	s	1211	s	1240	w,bd	1234	w	1237	w	ν_5	CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch
1195 R 1176 sh	1195 R		1176	sh								
1192 Q s 1167 s 1195 w 1180 w, 1180 w ν_{15} CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	1192 Q	s	1167	s	1195	w	1180	w,	1180	w	ν_{15}	CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch
1164 s			1164	S								
1120 w 1138 w	1120	w	1138	w								
1027 R 1027 sh 1035 w	1027 R		1027	sh					1035	w		
1025 ctr, Bs1018s1025m102011.6, p1019m ν_6 CF3 symmetric stretch1023 P1014s	1025 ctr,B 1023 P	s	1018 1014	S S	1025	m	1020	11.6,p	1019	m	ν_6	CF ₃ symmetric stretch
1019 sh	1019	sh										
928 w	928	w										
830 vw 834 w 837 vw $\nu_8 + \nu_{14}$	830	vw			834	w	837	vw				$\nu_8 + \nu_{14}$
807 max w 806 s 808 m 808 51.0,p 809 s ν_7 CN stretch	807 max	w	806	S	808	m	808	51.0,p	809	s	ν_7	CN stretch
776 m 776 41.9,p ν'_7 CN stretch					776	m	776	41.9,p			ν_7'	CN stretch
752 max w 750 s 755 vw,bd 760 vw 752 w ν_{16} CC(O)N bend	752 max	w	750	s	755	vw,bd	760	vw	752	w	ν_{16}	CC(O)N bend
738 R 734 Q, A m 727 sh 737 m 735 15.1, p 734 w ν_8 CF ₃ symmetric deformation	738 <i>R</i> 734 <i>Q</i> , <i>A</i>	m	727	sh	737	m	735	15.1,p	734	w	ν_8	CF ₃ symmetric deformation
663 687 w,bd	/30 P		663	S	687	w,bd						
659 654			659 654									
626 m 629 27.7,p w ν'_8 CF ₃ symmetric deformation					626	m	629	27.7,p		w	$ u_8'$	CF ₃ symmetric deformation
618 Q	618 Q											
611 611 604 C 507 c NCO band	611 604 O		611 507	6							1/	NCO bend
612	004 Q		150	3					613		P17	
601 w 600 10.9,p 598 w ν_{9} NCO bend					601	w	600	10.9,p	598	w	$ u_{0}$	NCO bend
570 max w 572 w 571 m 569 21.3,p 565 w ν_{10} CF ₃ antisymmetric deform	570 max	w	572	w	571	m	569	21.3,p	565	w	ν_{10}	CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation

Table 1 (continued)

Infrared				Raman							Assignment		
Gas	Rel. int.	Solid	Rel. int.	Gas	Rel. int.	Liquid	Rel. int. and depol.	Solid	Rel. int.	$\nu_1^{\mathfrak{b}}$	Approximate description		
517 max	w	519	w			525	vw,dp	520	w	ν_{18}	CF3 antisymmetric deformation		
444 max	w												
								428	sh				
418 max	w			420	w	421	14.3,p	425	w	ν_{11}	CC(O)N bend		
				380	w	382	14.2,p			ν'_{11}	CC(O)N bend		
				355	m	356	25.9,p	354	m	ν_{12}	CF ₃ rock		
							-	261	w				
				254	sh,bd	251	w,bd,dp	250	m	ν_{19}	CF ₃ rock		
				232	m	236	19.3,p	240	m	ν_{13}	CCN deformation		
				140	w			137			$2\nu_{20}$		
								115					
93	vw									ν_{20}'	NCO torsion $(1 \leftarrow 0)$		
90	vw			90	vw			92		ν_{14}	CNC bend		
75	vw							79		ν_{20}	NCO torsion $(1 \leftarrow 0)$		
								57			. ,		
								39					

^a Abbreviations used; s, strong; m, medium; v, very; w, weak; sh, shoulder; p, polarized; dp, depolarized; bd, broad; min, minimum; ctr, center; P, Q, and R refer to vibrational-rotational infrared band contours.

^b ν' denotes fundamental arising from the trans conformer.

infrared study, it appears that the band at 1442 cm^{-1} is a fundamental vibration of the more stable conformer. However, there is an interfering band at 1436 cm^{-1} , making it difficult to obtain a

Table 2

Temperature and	i intensity ratios	for the cont	formational	l study
of gaseous and li	quid trifluoroace	tyl isocyana	te	

	T (°C)	$\frac{10^3}{T}$	$K = I_{\rm t}/I_{\rm c}$	— ln <i>K</i>
	(0)	(IX)		
Krypton	-106	5.99	0.421	0.866
••	-114	6.29	0.373	0.985
	-122	6.62	0.339	1.082
	-130	6.99	0.324	1.126
	-138	7.41	0.295	1.221
	-146	7.87	0.263	1.366
Liquid	22	3.39	0.829	0.188
-	9	3.54	0.754	0.282
	-8	3.77	0.692	0.368
	-21	3.97	0.639	0.449
	-39	4.27	0.575	0.553
	-54	4.56	0.548	0.602
	-69	4.90	0.484	0.725

reliable result. Therefore, since all other data suggest that the cis conformer is more stable than the trans form in the fluid states, and this conformer is the only form remaining in the solid, the NCO symmetric stretch of the cis conformer is assigned to the band at 1463 cm^{-1} in the Raman and infrared spectra of the gas.

To obtain the experimental ΔH of CF₃C(O)NCO, variable-temperature studies of the infrared spectrum of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton were conducted. An important advantage of this type of temperature study is that conformer peaks are better resolved and the areas under them more easily measured than bands observed in the infrared spectrum of the gas. For example, two wellresolved bands are observed in the CO stretching region in the infrared spectrum of the liquified noble gas solution (Fig. 5) compared with only one band being observed in this spectral region in the infrared spectrum of the gas (Fig. 2). The conformer pair at 1796/1781 cm⁻¹ were used experimentally to determine the ΔH value. The midinfrared spectra of the liquified noble gas solution



Fig. 5. Temperature dependence (in $^{\circ}$ C) of the mid-infrared spectrum of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate dissolved in liquified krypton for the conformer pair at 1796 (trans) and 1781 (cis) cm⁻¹.

were recorded at six different temperatures ranging from 127 to 167 K (Table 2). By using the van't Hoff isochore, $-\ln K = (\Delta H/RT) - \Delta S/R$, the enthalpy difference between the cis and trans



Fig. 6. Plot of $-\ln(I_{1796}/I_{1781})$ versus 1000/T. The slope of the best-fit line is 235.



Fig. 7. Temperature dependence (in °C) of the Raman spectra of the liquid phase from 900 to 300 cm^{-1} .

conformers can be calculated. A plot of $-\ln K$ versus 1/T, where K is the ratio of the intensity of a band resulting from the trans conformer to one resulting from the cis conformer, has a slope which is proportional to the enthalpy difference. The results are given in Table 2 and graphically displayed in Fig. 6 yield a value of ΔH of $163 \pm 12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($466 \pm 34 \text{ cal mol}^{-1}$). It is clear that the 1781 cm^{-1} band assigned to the CO stretch of the cis conformer increases in intensity as the temperature is decreased and thus is the stable conformer in the gas.

To obtain more information on the conformational stability of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate, a variable-temperature study of the Raman spectrum of the liquid was conducted. Measurements were made at seven different temperatures ranging from -69 to 22°C for the region from 900 to 300 cm^{-1} (Fig. 7). Based on the slope of the best-fit line, the bands at 776/808 cm⁻¹ were used to determine a value of $236 \pm 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (675 ± 86 cal mol⁻¹). From the spectral data, the decrease in the intensity of the Raman lines assigned to the trans conformer as the temperature decreases indicates that the trans conformer is less stable than the cis conformer in the liquid.

Additionally, the gas-phase enthalpy difference was calculated using the relative intensities and the calculated Raman activities of the following conformer pairs: 1796/1781, 1443/1463, 776/808, and 629/737 cm⁻¹. This procedure gave a ΔH value of 164 ± 52 cm⁻¹ (469 ± 149 cal mol⁻¹), which is in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 163 ± 12 cm⁻¹ obtained from the variable temperature studies of the liquified krypton solution.

4. Vibrational assignment

The cis and trans conformers of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate have C_s symmetry and should exhibit 21 fundamental vibrations spanning the irreducible representations 14 A' and 7 A". The A' vibrations are expected to give rise to A, B and A/B hybrid infrared gas-phase band contours and polarized

Raman lines. The A'' vibrations are expected to exhibit C-type infrared band contours and depolarized Raman lines. Assignments are based on infrared band contours, relative intensities, Raman depolarization ratios, and group frequencies, along with support from the normal coordinate calculations.

The strong band at 2235 cm^{-1} in the infrared spectrum of the gas is characteristic of the NCO antisymmetric stretch and thus is assigned to this fundamental of the cis conformer. There are two bands in the CO stretching region in the Raman spectrum of the gas and the ab initio calculations predict the CO stretch of the cis conformer to have a lower frequency than that for the trans rotamer. Thus, the lower frequency band at 1781 cm^{-1} in the Raman spectrum of the gas is assigned to the CO stretch of the cis rotamer, whereas the band at 1796 cm^{-1} is assigned to this mode for the trans conformer. There are two bands (1463 and 1443 cm⁻¹) in the Raman spectrum of the gas

Table 3

Structural parameters^a, rotational constants, and dipole moments for trifluoroacetyl isocyanate

Parameter	Cis		Trans ^b		
	RHF/3-21G	RHF/6-31G*	MP2/6-31G*	RHF/6-31G*	MP2/6-31G*
$r(C_1-N)$	1.365	1.385	1.399	1.382	1.395
$r(N=C_3)$	1.189	1.215	1.235	1.204	1.232
$r(C_3 = O_4)$	1.156	1.134	1.174	1.139	1.176
$r(C_1 = O_5)$	1.196	1.179	1.213	1,173	1.209
$r(C_1 - C_6)$	1.511	1.531	1.531	1.535	1.537
$r(C-F_7)$	1.336	1.306	1.334	1.301	1.329
$r(C-F_{8,9})$	1.344	1.316	1.345	1.321	1.350
¢C-N=C	147.4	126.5	127.8	136.2	131.9
$\langle N-C_1=0$	126.2	126.4	126.9	123.8	124.0
¢C-C-N	110.3	111.4	110.2	114.7	113.8
¢F ₇ -C-C	110.5	110.6	110.7	111.5	111.4
$\langle F_7 - C_6 - H_8$	109.0	108.9	109.0	109.2	109.3
∢F₈−C₆−H 9	107.9	108.5	108.4	107.7	107.6
∢N=C=O	175.2	174.4	172.7	174.1	172.1
A	3345	3680	3495	2758	2644
B	1013	1002	980	1376	1385
С	902	911	887	1089	1085
$ \mu_a $	0.874	0.862	0.762	0.710	0.762
$ \mu_b $	0.312	0.923	0.905	1.034	1.021
$ \mu_c $	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$ \mu_{t} $	0.928	1.263	1.183	1.254	1.274
-(E+612)	0.703046	4.105718	5.525306	4.101509	5.523717

⁸ Bond lengths in Ångströms, bond angles in degrees, rotational constants in MHz, dipole moments in Debyes, and energies in Hartree.

^b For the 3-21G basis set there is no stable trans conformer.

that are in the NCO symmetric stretch region and, as indicated earlier, the higher frequency band is assigned to the cis conformer.

The three CF₃ stretches have strong characteristic bands in the infrared spectrum and the one at 1235 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the A' CF₃ antisymmetric stretch. The A" CF₃ antisymmetric stretch is assigned to the band at 1192 cm⁻¹ and the strong *B*-type band at 1025 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the CF₃ symmetric stretch. This assignment is consistent with the frequency order obtained from the ab initio calculations. The assignments for the C-N stretch and CF₃ symmetric deformation for both conformers have been described earlier. The A' CF₃ antisymmetric deformation is assigned to the band at 571 cm^{-1} and the weak band at 517 cm^{-1} to the corresponding A" mode for the cis conformer.

The A" CC(O)N bend is assigned to the band at 752 cm⁻¹ in the infrared spectrum of the gas. The band at 420 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum of the gas which has a corresponding band at 425 cm⁻¹ in the

 Table 4

 Symmetry coordinates for trifluoroacetyl isocyanate

Raman spectrum of the solid is assigned to the A' CC(O)N bend of the cis conformer. Thus, the band at 380 cm^{-1} in the Raman spectrum of the gas which does not have a counterpart in the solid is assigned to the A' CC(O)N bend of the trans conformer. The A" NCO bend is assigned to the band at 611 cm^{-1} in the infrared spectrum of the gas, whereas the A' mode is assigned to the polarized band at 600 cm^{-1} in the Raman spectrum of the liquid.

The A' CF₃ rock is assigned to the 355 cm^{-1} band and the corresponding A" mode is assigned to the band at 254 cm^{-1} , whereas the band at 232 cm^{-1} is assigned to the CCN deformation. The CNC bend is assigned to the weak band at 90 cm^{-1} and the NCO torsion of the cis conformer is assigned to the band at 75 cm^{-1} . The corresponding fundamental of the trans conformer is assigned to the band at 93 cm^{-1} . These assignments are summarized in Table 1 and are supported by the normal coordinate calculations.

Description	Symmetry coordinate ^a
NCO antisymmetric stretch	$S_1 = P - T$
CO stretch	$S_2 = R$
NCO symmetric stretch	$\overline{S_3} = P + T$
CC stretch	$S_A = D$
CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	$S_5 = 2r_1 - r_2 - r_3$
CF ₃ symmetric stretch	$S_6 = r_1 + r_2 + r_3$
CN stretch	$S_7 = Q$
CF ₃ symmetric deformation	$S_8 = \overline{\alpha_1} + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3$
NCO bend	$S_9 = \mu$
CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation	$S_{10} = 2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$
CC(O)N bend	$S_{11} = \gamma - \epsilon$
CF ₃ rock	$S_{12} = 2\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3$
CCN deformation	$S_{13} = 2\delta - \epsilon - \gamma$
CNC bend	$S_{14} = \sigma$
Redundancy	$S_{1\mathbf{R}} = \gamma + \epsilon + \delta$
Redundancy	$S_{2\mathbf{R}} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$
CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	$S_{15} = r_2 - r_3$
CC(O)N bend	$S_{16} = \omega$
NCO bend	$S_{17} = \pi$
CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation	$S_{18} = \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$
CF ₃ rock	$S_{19} = \beta_2 - \beta_3$
NCO torsion	$S_{20} = \tau_2$
CF ₃ torsion	$S_{21} = \tau_1$
	Description NCO antisymmetric stretch CO stretch NCO symmetric stretch CC stretch CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch CF ₃ symmetric stretch CF ₃ symmetric stretch CF ₃ symmetric stretch CF ₃ symmetric deformation NCO bend CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation CC(O)N bend CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch CNC bend Redundancy Redundancy CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch CC(O)N bend NCO bend CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch CC(O)N bend NCO bend CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation CF ₃ torsion

^a Not normalized.



Fig. 8. Molecular diagram showing internal coordinates for the cis conformer of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate.

5. Ab initio calculations

The LCAO-MO-SCF restricted Hartree–Fock calculations were performed with the GAUSSIAN-90 program [21] with Gaussian-type basis functions. The energy minima with respect to the nuclear coordinates were obtained by the simultaneous relaxation of all the geometric parameters using the gradient method of Pulay [22]. Calculated structural parameters determined with the RHF/ 3-21G, RHF/6-31G* and MP2/6-31G* basis sets are given in Table 3 for the cis and trans conformations. The energy differences that resulted from the RHF/6-31G* and MP2/6-31G* basis sets are 924 cm⁻¹ (2.6 kcal mol⁻¹) and 349 cm⁻¹ (998 cal mol⁻¹), respectively, with the cis form lower in energy than the trans conformer.

For the normal coordinate analysis, the force field in Cartesian coordinates was calculated by the GAUSSIAN-90 program [21] with the MP2/6-31G* basis set. Internal coordinates were defined as shown in Fig. 8, and were used to form the symmetry coordinates listed in Table 4. The Cartesian coordinates obtained for the optimized geometry were used to calculate the **B**-matrix elements with the **G**-matrix program Schachtschneider [23]. These B-matrix elements were used to convert the ab initio force field in Cartesian coordinates to a force field in desired internal coordinates. The resulting force fields for the cis and trans conformers are given in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. These force fields were used in a mass-weighted Cartesian coordinate calculation to reproduce the ab initio vibrational frequencies and to determine the potential energy distribution

(P.E.D.) which is given in Table 7 for the two conformers. The normal coordinate analysis was repeated with the RHF/6-31G* basis set and the results are given in Table 8 for the two conformers. The diagonal elements of the force field in internal coordinates were then assigned scaling factors of 0.9 for stretching, 0.8 for bending and 1.0 for torsional coordinates and the calculation repeated to obtain the fixed scaled force field and scaled vibrational frequencies. The scaled frequencies are in better agreement with the experimentally observed frequencies than the unscaled with 5 or 6% average disagreement rather than 15% or more disagreement displayed by the unmodified ab initio results. Nevertheless, these data are not as good as the calculated wavenumbers obtained with the MP2/6-31G* basis set, but for many molecules they are sufficient to support the vibrational assignments and conformer identifications.

Raman and infrared spectra were calculated (Figs. 9 and 10) using frequencies and infrared intensities determined from the MP2/6-31G* basis set and Raman scattering activities determined from the RHF/6-31G* basis set. The Raman scattering cross-sections, $\partial \sigma_j / \partial \Omega$, which are proportional to Raman intensities, can be calculated from the scattering activities and the predicted frequencies for each normal mode using the relationship [24]:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial \Omega} = \left(\frac{2^4 \pi^4}{45}\right) \left(\frac{(\nu_0 - \nu_j)^4}{1 - \exp\left[\frac{-hc\nu_j}{kT}\right]}\right) \left(\frac{h}{8\pi^2 c\nu_j}\right) S_j$$

where ν_0 is the exciting frequency, ν_j is the vibrational frequency of the *j*th normal mode, and S_j is the corresponding Raman scattering activity. To obtain the polarized Raman scattering cross-sections, the polarizabilities are incorporated into S_j by $S_j[(1 - \rho_j)/(1 + \rho_j)]$ where ρ_j is the depolarization ratio of the *j*th normal mode. The Raman scattering cross-sections and calculated frequencies were used together with a Lorentzian function to obtain the calculated spectrum. Since the calculated frequencies are approximately 10% higher than those observed, the frequency axis of the theoretical spectrum was shifted by a factor of 0.9. The predicted Raman spectra of the cis and

	Q	Р	Τ	R	D	<i>r</i> 1	<i>r</i> ₂	<i>r</i> ₃	γ	μ	σ	δ
0	5.929	0.258	-0.451	1.240	0.242	0.105	0.129	0.129	-0.365	0.008	0.371	0.263
P		13.519	0.717	-0.250	-0.017	0.013	-0.018	-0.018	0.065	0.359	0.800	0.205
Τ			16.375	0.193	0.000	0.044	0.025	0.025	-0.042	0.047	-0.235	-0.024
R				13.279	0.446	0.033	0.070	0.070	0.158	0.042	0.092	-0.357
D					4.580	0.222	0.267	0.267	0.054	0.001	0.007	0.171
r_1						6.996	0.752	0.752	0.123	-0.003	0.018	0.154
r_2							6.646	0.755	0.098	0.007	0.003	-0.038
r_3								6.646	0.098	0.007	0.003	-0.038
$\tilde{\gamma}$									0.661	-0.012	-0.030	-0.283
μ́										0.695	0.034	-0.006
, σ											0.377	0.021
δ												0.698
ε												
ß,												
B												
B2												
α_1												
α ₂												
α ₂												
3 ω												
π												

Table 5 Ab initio harmonic force constants (mdyn $Å^{-1}$) for the cis conformer of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate

Table 6

 $au_1 \ au_2$

Ab initio harmonic force constants (mdyn $Å^{-1}$) for the trans conformer of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate

	Q	Р	Т	R	D	r ₁	<i>r</i> ₂	<i>r</i> ₃	γ	μ	σ	δ
0	6.057	0.216	-0.382	1.264	0.272	0.062	0.164	0.164	-0.407	-0.015	0.446	0.221
Ĩ.		13.754	0.709	-0.037	-0.071	-0.065	-0.050	-0.050	-0.024	0.371	0.838	-0.153
Т			16.243	0.085	-0.003	0.039	0.080	0.080	-0.036	0.054	-0.196	0.004
R				13.557	0.500	0.041	0.071	0.071	0.164	0.035	0.023	-0.357
D					4.371	0.203	0.277	0.277	0.045	0.004	0.077	0.207
r_1						7.142	0.736	0.736	-0.119	-0.003	-0.009	0.133
r_2							6.469	0.750	0.081	0.013	0.043	-0.013
ř3								6.469	0.081	0.013	0.043	-0.013
γ									0.685	0.003	-0.100	-0.336
μ		·								0.716	-0.029	0.011
σ											0.485	0.143
δ												0.772
E												
β_1												
β_2												
β_3												
α_1												
α_2												
α_3												
ω												
π												
$ au_{\mathbf{l}}$												
T2												

ε	$oldsymbol{eta}_1$	β_2	β_3	α_1	α2	$lpha_3$	ω	π	$ au_{l}$	$ au_2$
0.102	0.158	-0.004	-0.004	-0.089	-0.034	-0.034	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.270	0.053	-0.052	-0.052	-0.007	0.029	0.029	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.065	0.007	0.032	0.032	-0.012	-0.030	-0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.199	-0.120	0.069	0.069	0.029	-0.023	-0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.225	0.234	0.087	0.087	-0.112	-0.153	-0.153	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.031	0.274	-0.298	-0.298	-0.283	0.301	0.301	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.059	-0.304	0.322	-0.284	0.280	0.296	-0.298	-0.065	-0.001	0.004	-0.001
-0.059	-0.304	-0.284	0.322	0.280	-0.298	0.296	0.065	0.001	-0.004	0.001
-0.378	-0.028	0.041	0.041	-0.015	-0.020	-0.020	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.017	0.002	-0.001	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.009	0.017	-0.005	-0.005	-0.005	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.415	0.074	-0.015	-0.015	-0.016	-0.015	-0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.793	-0.046	-0.026	-0.026	0.031	0.035	0.035	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.087	-0.118	-0.118	-0.421	-0.231	-0.231	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		0.922	0.010	-0.211	-0.239	-0.379	-0.097	-0.001	-0.015	-0.004
			0.922	-0.211	-0.379	-0.239	0.097	0.001	0.015	0.004
				1.284	-0.202	~0.202	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
					1.275	~0.211	-0.018	0.000	0.000	-0.002
						1.275	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.002
							0.431	0.003	-0.010	0.010
								0.018	0.000	0.003
									0.006	-0.001
										0.014

ε	β_1	β_2	β_3	$lpha_1$	α2	α_3	ω	π	$ au_1$	$ au_2$
0.186	0.147	0.004	0.004	-0.110	-0.028	-0.028	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.176	-0.032	-0.045	-0.045	0.042	0.041	0.041	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.032	0.070	0.012	0.012	-0.063	-0.018	-0.018	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.193	-0.125	0.075	0.075	0.034	-0.027	-0.027	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.252	0.207	0.118	0.118	-0.112	-0.172	-0.172	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.014	0.247	-0.294	-0.294	-0.276	0.301	0.301	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.068	-0.328	0.354	-0.283	0.318	0.255	-0.294	-0.086	-0.001	-0.021	-0.016
-0.068	-0.328	-0.283	0.354	0.318	-0.294	0.255	0.086	0.001	0.021	0.016
-0.349	-0.025	0.025	0.025	-0.010	-0.008	-0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.015	0.005	0.001	0.001	-0.011	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.043	0.016	0.013	0.013	-0.022	-0.010	-0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-0.436	0.064	0.011	0.011	-0.028	-0.030	-0.030	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.785	0.039	-0.036	-0.036	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	1.049	-0.129	-0.129	-0.409	-0.217	-0.217	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		0.962	0.011	-0.215	-0.253	-0.384	-0.089	0.001	-0.017	0.006
			0.962	-0.215	-0.384	-0.253	0.089	-0.001	0.017	-0.006
				1.306	-0.203	-0.203	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
					1.270	-0.208	-0.013	0.000	0.005	0.004
						1.270	0.013	0.000	-0.005	-0.004
							0.411	-0.006	-0.011	~0.022
								0.024	0.000	0.002
									0.007	-0.001
								_		0.008

2	
ble	
Ta	ł

Observed and calculated frequencies (cm⁻¹) and potential energy distribution (P.E.D.) calculated with the MP2/6-31G* basis set for the cis and trans conformers of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate J

Species	Vib.	Fundamental	Cis				Trans			
	no.		Ab initio	IR int. ^a	Obs. ^b	P.E.D.	Ab initio	IR int.ª	Obs. ^b	P.E.D.
A'	1	NCO antisymmetric stretch	2361	1002.1	2235	99S ₁	2373	985.2		99S1
	. v2	CO stretch	1811	184.6	1781	91.52	1827	385.1	1796	91 <i>S</i> 2
	5	NCO symmetric stretch	1502	235.9	1463	$43S_3, 32S_7, 12S_4$	1498	130.0	1443	$52S_3, 33S_7$
	44	CC stretch	1360	159.6	1309	$23S_4, 22S_3, 18S_6, 14S_8, 13S_5$	1356	150.0		19S ₄ , 27S ₅ , 17S ₆ , 13S ₃ , 12S ₈
	V5	CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	1296	210.4	1242	67S ₅	1295	126.1		54S ₅ , 11S ₄
	ν_6	CF ₃ symmetric stretch	1051	340.4	1025	$25S_6, 26S_7, 21S_3, 15S_{11}$	1059	247		23 <i>S</i> ₆ , 25 <i>S</i> ₇ , 23 <i>S</i> ₁₁ , 16 <i>S</i> ₃
	4	CN stretch	821	27.1	808	21 <i>S</i> ₇ , 25 <i>S</i> ₆ , 11 <i>S</i> ₄ , 11 <i>S</i> ₉	<i>6LL</i>	15.2	776	$10S_7, 47S_6, 16S_4, 19S_8$
	8/1	CF ₃ symmetric deformation	729	91.6	737	$33S_8, 24S_6, 18S_{11}, 14S_9$	625	21.6	626	$20S_8, 21S_{13}, 11S_{11}$
	6M	NCO bend	598	12.4	601	$28S_9, 22S_{10}, 14S_{13}, 12S_{12}, 10S_5$	656	7.4		61 <i>S</i> ₉
	V10	CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation	564	0.4	571	$26S_{10}, 35S_9, 13S_7, 10S_{11}$	567	9.0		35S ₁₀ , 18S ₉ , 14S ₇
	۲II	CC(0)N bend	420	1.6	420	23S ₁₁ , 33S ₁₀ , 12S ₄ , 11S ₁₂ , 10S ₈	383	0.2	380	$31S_{11}, 36S_4, 25S_8$
	ν_{12}	CF ₃ rock	359	1.6	355	24S ₁₂ , 24S ₄ , 16S ₈ , 11S ₁₁	256	8.3		53 <i>S</i> ₁₂ , 24 <i>S</i> ₁₃ , 13 <i>S</i> ₁₄
	ν_{13}	CCN deformation	230	0.6	232	36S ₁₃ , 35S ₁₂ , 15S ₁₄	422	5.9		$20S_{13}, 43S_{10}, 14S_{12}$
	ν_{14}	CNC bend	100	0.8	6	72S ₁₄ , 16S ₁₃	98	0.05		73S ₁₄ , 17S ₁₃
Α″	V15	CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	1252	262.7	1195	84S ₁₅ , 10S ₁₈	1228	256.8		84S ₁₅
	V16	CC(O)N bend	766	12.9	752	$63S_{16}, 24S_{19}$	752	9.0		$60S_{16}, 25S_{19}$
	ν_{17}	NCO bend	607	25.6	611	$92S_{17}$	584	19.6		93S ₁₇
	ν_{18}	CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation	513	5.8	517	85S ₁₈	508	9.4		83 <i>S</i> ₁₈
	61/1	CF ₃ rock	251	3.7	254	$69S_{19}, 18S_{16}$	250	5.4		$71S_{19}, 21S_{16}$
	1/20	NCO torsion	76	0.3	75	$80.S_{20}$	95	0.8	93	$63S_{20}, 31S_{21}$
	121	CF ₃ torsion	41	0.6	ļ	91S ₂₁	26	0.2		$60S_{21}, 34S_{20}$

^a Calculated with the MP2/6-31G* basis set in km mol⁻¹. ^b Frequencies are taken from the infrared or Raman spectra of the gas.

Table 8	
Observed and calculated frequencies (cm ⁻¹)) and potential energy distribution (P.E.D.) calculated using the RHF/6-31G* basis set for the cis and trans conformers of
trifluoroacetyl isocyanate	

						ĺ				1	ĺ			
Species	Vib.	Fundamental	Cis						l rans					
	Ö		Ab nitio	Fixed scaled ^a	int. ^b	Raman act. ^c	Obs. ^d	P.E.D.	Ab nitio	Fixed scaled ^a	IR int. ^b	Raman act. ^c	Obs. ^d	P.E.D.
Α'	7	NCO antisymmetric stretch	2481	2354	1577.4	4.3	2235	98S ₁	2504	2375	1715.6	3.1		97S ₁
	2	CO stretch	2054	1945	332.5	6.71	1781	92S,	2079	1969	725.7	39.5	1796	92S,
	<u>ک</u> ر ا	NCO symmetric stretch	1624	1533	330.2	9.0	1463	48S ₃ , 32S ₇	1668	1580	183.0	15.1	1443	61S ₃ , 31S ₇
	V4	CC stretch	1480	1387	205.7	3.6	1309	23S ₄ , 19S ₆ , 19S ₅ , 18S ₃ , 11S ₈	1492	1396	147.7	3.1		18S ₄ , 38S ₅ , 16S ₆
	V.5	CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	1427	1341	269.6	1.0	1242	66 <i>S</i> ₅	1425	1337	169.7	2.2		47S ₅ , 16S ₄ , 12S ₆
	₽6 8	CF ₃ symmetric stretch	1148	1075	401.1	2.7	1025	28S ₆ , 31S ₇ , 18S ₃ , 12S ₁₁	1144	1065	348.7	1.0		27S ₆ , 28S ₇ , 21S ₁₁ , 14S ₃
	<i>1</i> -1	CN stretch	918	849	66.2	6.7	808	19S7, 23S6, 14S8, 13S4	850	795	27.3	6.3	776	12S ₇ , 41S ₆ , 20S ₈ , 17S ₄
	%	CF ₃ symmetric deformation	812	739	102.5	1.5	737	34S ₈ , 21S ₆ , 18S ₁₁ , 17S ₉	682	619	25.2	3.1	626	23S ₈ , 22S ₁₃ , 14S ₁₁
	61	NCO bend	663	597	26.1	0.7	601	41S ₉ , 14S ₁₃ , 11S ₈ , 10S ₁₀	738	. 199	27.2	0.5		75S ₉
	01 ¹ 0	CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation	624	566	0.7	1.3	571	40S ₁₀ , 16S ₉ , 11S ₅ , 10S ₇ , 10S ₁₁	623	568	10.7	2.3		41S ₁₀ , 13S ₇ , 11S ₅ , 10S ₁₁
	11/4	CC(0)N bend	460	415	2.2	0.7	420	24S ₁₁ , 33S ₁₀ , 13S ₄ , 11S ₈ , 10S ₁₂	416	380	0.4	2.3	380	25S ₁₁ , 31S ₄ , 25S ₈ , 10S ₁₃
	V12	CF ₃ rock	386	351	2.0	1.8	355	27S ₁₂ , 21S ₄ , 16S ₈ , 10S ₁₀ , 10S ₁₁	460	414	8.9	0.6		14S ₁₂ , 42S ₁₀ , 16S ₁₃ , 15S ₁₁
	ν_{13}	CCN deformation	248	222	0.6	0.8	232	38 <i>S</i> ₁₂ , 34 <i>S</i> ₁₂ , 15 <i>S</i> ₁₄	271	243	11.8	0.2		29S ₁₃ , 52S ₁₂ , 12S ₁₄
	₽I4	CNC bend	107	96	0.9	2.0	8	73S ₁₄ , 16S ₁₃	94	84	0.3	1:1		81S ₁₄ , 12S ₁₃
Α"	V15	CF ₃ antisymmetric stretch	1385	1304	304.2	1.8	1195	88.S ₁₅	1361	1280	303.3	1.6		88 <i>S</i> 15
	P16	CC(0)N bend	854	768	33.6	0.1	752	66S ₁₆ , 23S ₁₉	839	754	21.1	0.6		64S ₁₆ , 25S ₁₉
	717	NCO bend	707	637	57.7	0.3	611	91 <i>S</i> 17	687	617	58.0	0.4		95S ₁₇
	1/18	CF ₃ antisymmetric deformation	564	507	9.6	0.7	517	865 ₁₈	559	502	14.0	1.0		86S ₁₈
	61 <i>4</i>	CF ₃ rock	279	252	5.4	0.1	254	69 <i>S</i> ₁₉ , 16 <i>S</i> ₁₆	274	246	8.2	1.0		73S ₁₉ , 20S ₁₆
	1/20	NCO torsion	8	62	0.6	1.9	75	75S ₂₀	93	93	0.9	0.04	93	61S ₂₀ , 34S ₂₁
	12/1	CF ₃ torsion	47	47	0.8	0.6		88S ₂₁ , 11S ₂₀	21	21	0.2	0.9		58S ₂₁ , 37S ₂₀

* Scaled ab initio calculations with factors of 0.9 for stretches and 0.8 for bends, and 1.0 for torsion using the RHF/6-31G* basis set. ^b Calculated infrared intensities in km mol⁻¹. ^c Calculated Raman activities in Å⁴ amu⁻¹.



Fig. 9. Raman spectra of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate: (A) experimental Raman spectrum of the liquid; (B) calculated spectrum of the mixture of both conformers; (C) calculated spectrum of the trans conformer; (D) calculated spectrum of the cis conformer.

trans pure conformers are shown in Figs. 9(D) and 9(C), respectively. In Fig. 9(B), the mixture of the two conformers with an assumed ΔH of 163 cm⁻¹ is shown. This spectrum should be compared to the experimental Raman spectrum of the liquid (Fig. 9(A)). In comparison, the calculated spectrum is remarkably similar to the experimental spectrum and provides support for the assignment of the observed bands.

Infrared intensities were also calculated based on the dipole moment derivatives with respect to the Cartesian coordinates. The derivatives were taken from the ab initio calculations transformed to normal coordinates by

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{u}}}{\partial Q_{i}}\right) = \sum_{j} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\mathrm{u}}}{\partial X_{j}}\right) L_{ji}$$

where the Q_i is the *i*th normal coordinate, X_j is the *j*th Cartesian displacement coordinate, and L_{ji} is the transformation matrix between the Cartesian displacement coordinates and normal coordinates. The infrared intensities were then calculated by

$$I_{i} = \frac{N\pi}{3C^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{x}}{\partial Q_{i}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{y}}{\partial Q_{i}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{z}}{\partial Q_{i}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

The predicted infrared spectra of the pure cis and trans conformers are shown in Figs. 10(D) and 10(C), respectively, with the mixture of the two conformers shown in Fig. 10(B). The calculated spectrum is in reasonably good agreement with the experimental spectrum of the gas (Fig. 10(A)) and demonstrates the utility of the calculated infrared intensities for analytical purposes.

6. Asymmetric potential function

The torsional dihedral angular dependence of the internal rotation constant $F(\phi)$ can be represented as a Fourier series:

$$F(\phi) = F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^6 F_i \cos i\phi$$

The relaxation of the structural parameters $B(\phi)$ during the internal rotation can be incorporated into the above equation by assuming them to be small periodic functions of the torsional angle of the general type:

 $B(\phi) = a + b \cos \phi + c \sin \phi$

The structural parameters obtained from the optimized geometries for both the cis and trans conformers utilizing the MP2/6-31G* basis set were used to determine $B(\phi)$.

The torsional potential is also represented as a



Fig. 10. Infrared spectrum of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate: (A) experimental infrared spectrum of the gas; (B) calculated spectrum of the mixture of both conformers; (C) calculated spectrum of the trans conformer; (D) calculated spectrum of the cis conformer.

Fourier cosine series in the internal dihedral torsional angle (ϕ) :

$$V(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (V_i/2)(1 - \cos i\phi)$$

The computer program which was employed was developed in our laboratory [25].

Previous calculations on the potential functions for many molecules with a cis-trans equilibrium indicate that only the V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 coefficients are likely to be significant. Thus, these potential coefficients were used to fit the experimental data. The fundamental torsional transition for the cis conformer, the observed fundamental torsional transition for the trans conformer and the experimental enthalpy difference $(163 \pm 12 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ from the temperature study of the infrared spectrum of the sample dissolved in liquified krypton were used to calculate initial values of 356, 1865, and $-203 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the potential constants, V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 , respectively. Since there was only one observable torsional transition for each of the conformers, the enthalpy difference was varied by $\pm 12 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and the calculation was repeated. Therefore, the final values for the potential coefficients with the uncertainties are $V_1 = 356 \pm 14$, $V_2 =$ 1865 ± 60 and $V_3 = -203 \pm 6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ with cis to trans and trans to cis barriers of 1971 cm^{-1} (5.6 kcal mol⁻¹) and 1811 cm^{-1} (5.2 kcal mol⁻¹), respectively. The resulting values for the potential constants are listed in Table 9 and the potential function is shown in Fig. 11.

7. Discussion

It has been determined that the cis conformer is the more stable rotamer in the fluid phases and the only conformer present in the solid. The cis conformer is predominant in the gas, but there is evidence that a second conformer is present. It is clear from the temperature study of the sample

Ta	ble	9
		_

Potential function coefficients (cm^{-1}) for the asymmetric torsion of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate and barriers to interconversion (cm^{-1})

Coefficient	Value ^a	Ab initio
		MP2/6-31G*
$\overline{V_1}$	356 ±.14	222
V_2	1865 ± 60	1009
V_3	-203 ± 6	126
$\Delta H (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	160 ± 16	349
Torsional frequency (cis)	74.0	79
Torsional frequency (trans)	93.0	93
Cis to trans barrier	1971	1185
Trans to cis barrier	1811	836

^a Calculated using $F_0 = 0.86979$, $F_1 = -0.03234$, $F_2 = 0.09152$, $F_3 = -0.00172$, $F_4 = 0.00507$, $F_5 = -0.00007$, $F_6 = 0.00028$ (cm⁻¹) and the assignments of the torsional transitions listed in Table 1.



Fig. 11. Potential function for the interconversion of the conformers of trifluoroacetyl isocyanate.

dissolved in liquified krypton (Table 2) that the band at 1785 cm^{-1} , which is assigned to the CO stretch of the cis conformer, increases in intensity as the temperature is decreased and thus is the stable rotamer in the gas phase. Similarly, from the temperature study of the Raman spectrum of the liquid, the bands at 776, 626, and 380 cm^{-1} , which are assigned to fundamentals of the trans conformer, increase in intensity as the temperature is increased, showing that the trans conformer is also less stable than the cis rotamer in the liquid phase.

It is interesting to compare the conformational stability of $CF_3C(O)NCO$ with $CH_3C(O)NCO$ [1] and FC(O)NCO [9]. In all three molecules, the cis conformer was determined to be the more stable form in the vapor site. However, for $CH_3C(O)NCO$ and FC(O)NCO, it was experimentally determined that the trans conformer is the stable conformer that remains in the solid phase, which is in contrast to what was found for $CF_3C(O)NCO$, where it has been determined that the cis conformer is the stable rotamer in the solid state. However, for $CH_3C(O)NCO$ [1], the trans conformer was the more stable rotamer in the liquid state, whereas for the $CF_3C(O)NCO$ molecule, the cis conformer was the more stable form

in the liquid. For ClC(O)NCO it was determined experimentally [4] that the trans conformer is the stable form in the gas, liquid, and solid phases. Therefore, the molecule with the chlorine atom is the exception for conformational stability in the gas phase for this series of molecules.

There is extensive mixing among the normal modes especially for the ν_4 and ν_6 through ν_{13} fundamentals of the cis conformer as determined from the ab initio calculations with the MP2/6-31G* basis set. The band observed at 808 cm⁻¹ which is assigned to the C-N stretch, has 21% contribution from the C-N stretch and 25% from the CF₃ symmetric stretch. There is extensive mixing between the A' NCO bend and A' CF₃ antisymmetric deformation. The band at $601 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. which is assigned to the NCO bend has 28 and 22% contribution from the NCO bend and CF₃ antisymmetric deformation, respectively. Similarly, the band at $571 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ assigned to the CF₃ antisymmetric deformation has 26% contribution from the CF₃ antisymmetric deformation and 35% contribution from the NCO bend. The observed band at 420 cm^{-1} which has been assigned to the CC(O)N bend actually has more contribution from the CF₃ antisymmetric

deformation. There is also extensive mixing among the fundamentals of the trans conformer, especially for the C–N stretch and CF₃ symmetric deformation. The observed band at 776 cm⁻¹ assigned to the CN stretch has more contribution from the CF₃ symmetric stretch, whereas the band at 626 cm^{-1} assigned to the CF₃ symmetric deformation has an equal contribution from the CCN deformation. Therefore, the simple descriptions given to each of the bands listed in Tables 7 and 8 are more for "bookkeeping" purposes than actual descriptions of the molecular motions.

The force constants from the ab initio calculations for the two rotamers of $CF_{1}C(O)NCO$ are fairly similar with a few notable exceptions. The CNC bend, NCO out-of-plane bend, and CF₂ torsion have values of 0.377, 0.018, and $0.014 \text{ mdyn } \text{\AA}^{-1}$, respectively, for the cis conformer which are significantly different from the values of 0.485, 0.024, and 0.008 mdyn $Å^{-1}$ for the corresponding force constants for the trans form. The force constants for $CF_3C(O)NCO$ are comparable to those obtained for the portion of the molecule which is identical with the acetyl isocvanate molecule. Significant exceptions are the NCO in-plane and out-of-plane bends and the CC(O)N bend of the cis conformer where the values are 0.896, 0.014, and 0.568 mdyn $Å^{-1}$, respectively, for CH₃C(O)NCO [1] which should be contrasted to the values of 0.695, 0.018, and 0.431 mdyn $Å^{-1}$ for the corresponding force constants, respectively, for $CF_3C(O)NCO$. The notable exceptions of the trans conformer are the CNC bend, CC(O)N bend, NCO out-of-plane bend, and the NCO torsion which have values of 0.279, 0.564, 0.015, and 0.004 mdyn $Å^{-1}$ for CH₃C(O)NCO compared with those of 0.485, $0.008 \,\mathrm{mdyn}\,\mathrm{\AA}^{-1}$ 0.411, 0.024, and for $CF_{3}C(0)NCO.$

The calculated infrared spectrum of the mixture of the two conformers (Fig. 10(B)) is comparable to the experimental spectrum of the gas. However, the region from 2500 to $1600 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in the calculated spectrum differs from the experimental spectrum. There are two bands in the NCO antisymmetric stretching region in the experimental spectrum as opposed to only one band in the calculated spectrum. There are two bands in the CO stretching region in the calculated spectrum whereas only one band appears in this region in the experimental infrared spectrum of the gas. When comparing the relative intensities of the bands in the region from 1400 to 400 cm^{-1} , the experimental and calculated spectra are remarkably similar.

The calculated Raman spectrum of the mixture of the two conformers (Fig. 9(B)) is also comparable to the experimental spectrum of the liquid. As in the experimental infrared spectrum of the gas, there are two bands present in the experimental Raman spectrum of the liquid in the NCO antisymmetric stretching region, where one band is due to two fundamentals. The NCO antisymmetric stretch is predicted about $100 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ higher while the CO and NCO symmetric stretches are predicted only about 30 to 40 cm^{-1} higher in the calculated spectrum. The region from 1000 to $200 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is similar between the calculated and experimental data except for the relative intensity of the CF₃ symmetric deformation which is less than the relative intensity for this fundamental in the experimental spectrum. The lowfrequency fundamentals (CNC bend and NCO and CF₃ torsions) were omitted from the calculated spectra because of their large intensities which, if included, would render all other bands unobservable with the relative scale which was used.

The potential function for the NCO asymmetric torsion of $CF_3C(O)NCO$ has been estimated from the observed torsional transitions and a ΔH value of $163 \pm 12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Only one torsional transition was observed for each conformer, where the transition at 75 cm^{-1} has been assigned to the asymmetric torsion of the cis conformer, and the transition for this mode of the trans conformer has been assigned to the band at 93 cm^{-1} . It should be noted that the CNC bend is predicted in this region at about $100 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The NCO torsion of the cis and trans conformers of $CF_1C(O)NCO$ were observed in the same region of the infrared spectrum of the gas as those observed for some similar molecules. For acetyl isocyanate [1], the torsional mode of the cis conformer was observed at 79 cm^{-1} in the infrared spectrum of the gas with two excited states falling to lower frequency. However, the asymmetric torsion of the less stable trans conformer was not observed. The NCO torsion of the cis conformer of fluorocarbonyl isocyanate [9] was observed at 82 cm^{-1} with six excited states falling to lower frequencies, whereas this mode of the less stable trans conformer was tentatively assigned to the weaker band at 88 cm^{-1} . Similarly, this mode of the more stable trans conformer of CIC(O)NCO [4] was observed at 83 cm^{-1} in the infrared spectrum of the gas with two excited states falling to lower frequencies, while the asymmetric torsion of the cis conformer was not observed.

Because there was only one observable torsional transition for the NCO torsion of each conformer, the uncertainties of the potential coefficients were estimated by varying the experimental ΔH value of 163 cm^{-1} by $\pm 12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The resulting potential coefficients and their uncertainties are listed in Table 9. The potential function obtained from ab initio calculations with the MP2/6-31G* basis set is considerably different to that determined from the experimental data. The ΔH value obtained with the MP2/6-31G* basis set is twice as large as the experimental ΔH . There have been several barriers reported for the NCO asymmetric torsion. The cis to trans and trans to cis barriers for CF₃CONCO are larger than those reported for acetyl isocyanate [1] and chlorocarbonyl isocyanate [4], as well as those for fluorocarbonyl isocyanate [9], which were the largest of those previously reported with cis to trans and trans to cis barriers of 1116 and 991 cm^{-1} , respectively. The significant increases in the barriers for the trifluoroacetyl isocyanate molecule is probably due to the increase in steric hindrance caused by the perfluoromethyl group.

There are only very small differences (0.005 Å) in the bond distances between the two rotamers, but some of the angles are significantly different. For example, the $\Rightarrow C_1-N=C$ increases by 4.1° and the $\Rightarrow C-C-N$ opens by 3.6° on going from the cis to the trans conformation, which again indicates strong steric interaction of the perfluoromethyl group with the isocyanate group. The other angles differ by less than 1° between the two conformers. There are significant differences in the bond distances calculated with the RHF/6-31G* basis set and those with electron correlation, MP2/6-31G*. For the carbonyl bonds, the predicted distances with the MP2/6-31G* basis set will be too long by nearly the amount that the RHF/6-31G* basis set predicts them to be too short [26]. In fact, the RHF/3-21G* predicts these bond distances better.

It is interesting to compare the predicted structural parameters for trifluoroacetyl isocyanate utilizing the MP2/6-31G* basis set with those predicted [2] for acetyl isocyanate utilizing the same basis set. The predicted differences are relatively small, with the $r(C_3=O_4)$ and $r(C_1=O_5)$ calculated to be shorter by 0.005 and 0.004 Å, respectively, for the perfluoro compound, whereas the $r(N=C_3)$ distance is predicted to be longer by 0.003 Å. Therefore, one should be able to take these parameters for the acetyl isocyanate molecule, which were determined by a joint analysis of the electron diffraction and microwave data, and make these adjustments to give realistic distances for these three parameters. The $r(C_1 - N)$ distance is predicted to be 0.025 Å shorter for the trifluoroacetyl isocyanate molecules, whereas the $r(C_1-C_6)$ distance is predicted to be 0.028 Å longer than the corresponding distances for acetyl isocyanate. The only other parameter with a significant difference between these two molecules is the $N-C_1=O$ angle which is predicted to be 2.4° larger for the perfluoro molecule. These differences reflect the effect of the fluorine atoms on these latter three parameters. Thus, one should be able to take the parameters obtained from the ab initio calculations with the MP2/6-31G* basis set and utilize the indicated adjustments and predict structural parameters which should be accurate to about 0.010 Å for distances and about 1.0° for angles for trifluoroacetyl isocyanate.

References

- J.R. Durig, G.A. Guirgis, K.A. Krutules and J.F. Sullivan, J. Raman Spectrosc., 24 (1993) 259.
- [2] B.M. Landsberg and K. Iqbal, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 76 (1980) 1208.
- [3] H.G. Mack, H. Oberhammer and C.O. Della Vedova, J. Mol. Struct., 265 (1992) 359.
- [4] J.F. Sullivan, S.K. Nandy, M.J. Lee and J.R. Durig, J. Raman Spectrosc., 23 (1992) 51.
- [5] H.G. Mack, H. Oberhammer and C.O. Della Vedova, J. Mol. Struct., 200 (1989) 277.
- [6] M.T. Nguyen, M.R. Hajnal and L.G. Vanquickenborne, J. Mol. Struct., 231 (1991) 185.

- [7] H.G. Mack and H. Oberhammer, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), 258 (1992) 197.
- [8] V. Jonas and G. Frenking, Chem. Phys. Lett., 177 (1991) 175.
- [9] J.R. Durig, G.A. Guirgis, K.A. Krutules, H.V. Phan and H.D. Stidham. J. Raman Spectrosc., 25 (1994) 221.
- [10] H.G. Mack, C.O. Della Vedova and H. Willner, J. Mol. Struct., 291 (1993) 197.
- [11] J.R. Durig, M.R. Jalilian, J.F. Sullivan and J.B. Turner, J. Raman Spectrosc., 11 (1981) 459.
- [12] J.R. Durig, K.J. Kanes and J.F. Sullivan, J. Mol. Struct., 99 (1983) 61.
- [13] S. Cradock, J.R. Durig and J.F. Sullivan, J. Mol. Struct., 131 (1985) 121.
- [14] S. Cradock, C.M. Huntley and J.R. Durig, J. Mol. Struct., 127 (1985) 319.
- [15] S. Cradock, J.F. Sullivan and J.R. Durig, J. Mol. Struct., 140 (1986) 199.
- [16] J.F. Sullivan, D.T. Durig, J.R. Durig and S. Cradock, J. Phys. Chem., 91 (1987) 1770.
- [17] J.R. Durig and G.M. Attia, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 44 (1988) 517.

- [18] J.R. Durig, R.J. Berry and C.J. Wurrey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 718.
- [19] J.F. Sullivan, H.L. Heusel, W.M. Zunic, J.R. Durig and S. Cradock, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 50 (1994) 435.
- [20] F.A. Miller and B.M. Harney, Appl. Spectrosc., 24 (1970) 291.
- [21] M.J. Frisch, M. Head-Gordon, G.W. Trucks, J.B. Foresman, H.B. Schlegel, K. Raghavachari, M.A. Robb, J.S. Binkley, C. Gonzalez, D.J. DeFrees, D.J. Fox, R.A. Whiteside, R. Seeger, C.F. Melius, J. Baker, R.L. Martin, L.R. Kahn, J.J.P. Stewart, S. Topiol and J.A. Pople, GAUS-SIAN 90, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1990.
- [22] P. Pulay, Mol. Phys., 17 (1969) 197.
- [23] J.H. Schachtschneider, Vibrational Analysis of Polyatomic Molecules, Parts V and VI, Technical Report Nos. 231 and 57, Shell Development Co., Houston, TX, 1964 and 1965.
- [24] G.W. Chantry, in A. Anderson (Ed.), The Raman Effect, Vol. 1, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, 1971, Chapter 2.
- [25] P. Groner, R.D. Johnson and J.R. Durig, J. Mol. Struct., 142 (1986) 363.
- [26] J.R. Durig, T.J. Geyer, Y.H. Kim, V.F. Kalasinsky and J.K. McDonald, J. Mol. Struct., 244 (1991) 103.