# Spiroleptosphol isolated from Leptosphaeria doliolum 

Masaru Hashimoto*, Taro Tsushima, Takanori Murakami, Masahiro Nomiya, Noboru Takada, Kazuaki Tanaka<br>Faculty of Agriculture and Life Science, Hirosaki University, 3-Bunkyo-cho, Hirosaki 036-8561, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 March 2008
Revised 11 May 2008
Accepted 15 May 2008
Available online 24 May 2008

## Keywords:

Isolation
Structure determination
NMR spectra
CD spectra
Absolute stereochemistry
Degradation
Chiral GC


#### Abstract

A novel $\gamma$-methylidene-spirobutanolide spirolephtoshol (1) was isolated from ascomycetous fungus Leptosphaeria doliolum as a cytotoxic compound. The relative structure was established by the NMR analysis involving the NOE experiments. Absolute structure of the bicyclic moiety was determined by chemical derivation followed by the CD analysis. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of the side chain was established by comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and the chiral GC chromatograms of the degradation product with the synthetic samples.


© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In the course of studies investigating metabolites from fungi with unique ecologies, we isolated spiroleptosphol (1), a novel $\gamma$ -methylidene-spirobutanolide, as a cytotoxic compound from saprophytic ascomycete Leptosphaeria doliolum isolated from mugwort stems. ${ }^{1}$ Here, we report the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ involving its absolute stereochemistry.

Spiroleptosphol (1, Figure 1) was isolated as oil from the fermentation broth of Leptosphareia doliolum by culturing with pota-to-sucrose medium with shaking ( 110 rpm ) for 14 days and the following extraction with ethyl acetate and silica gel column chromatography. ${ }^{2}$ Biological assay revealed that $\mathbf{1}$ exhibited cytotoxicity against P388 murine leukemia ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The EI mass indicated the molecular ion signal at $m / z=336.1905$ suggesting its molecular formula as $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

This molecular formula was supported by observing 19 resonances in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum as shown in Table 1 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum provided 28 proton signals including three exchangeable protons. The COSY spectral analysis disclosed an (E)-3,5-dimethyl-1-heptenyl side chain attached to a methine carbon of which ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance was 3.45 ppm . The $E$-geometry of the double bond in the side chain was established based on a large coupling constants ( $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The triplet signals observed at 4.68 and 4.80 ppm were coupled each other with 2.3 Hz , suggesting an exo-methylene group. These signals were further coupled with a proton appeared at 5.16 ppm both in 2.3 Hz . Detailed anal-

[^0]ysis of HMBC and HSQC spectra suggested a 3-methylidene-2-oxa-spiro[4.5]decan-8-en-1-one framework possessing hydroxy groups at C4, C6, and C7 positions. Strong adsorption at $1785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum indicated the existence of a butanolide ring in the molecule, which consisted with the above assumption. These results led us to propose the planar structure of $\mathbf{1}$.

The stereochemistries of C4, C6, and C7 alcohol groups were studied employing tribenzoate $\mathbf{2}^{3}$ prepared by a reaction with $\mathrm{BzCl} / \mathrm{DMAP}$ in pyridine (Scheme 1). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 2 , the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}$, C6-H, and C7-H signals were shifted to higher frequency ( $\Delta \delta=1.08,2.30$, and 1.73 ppm , respectively), which confirmed the alcohol positions. ${ }^{4}$ The coupling constant between C6-H and C7-H of 2 was 8.1 Hz , suggesting that these protons took pseudo-1,2-diaxial relationship. Irradiation of the signal for C6-H induced the NOEs at C4-H and C10-H to establish the relative stereochemistry around the spirobicyclic moiety. Only the $4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}, 7 R^{*}, 10 R^{*}$ isomer satisfies these results.

The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{1}$ was investigated by the CD spectral analysis. Since tribenzoate $\mathbf{2}$ gave only broad positive Cotton curve, as shown in Figure 2, but not distinct exciton coupling probably due to cancellation by existence of more than three chromophores, ${ }^{5} \mathbf{1}$ was converted into dibenzoate $\mathbf{4}$ in order to simplify the CD spectrum. ${ }^{6}$

Hydrogenation using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ catalyst provided 3 as a single diastereomer. ${ }^{7}$ The stereochemistry at C3 position was assigned by an NOE between $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}$. Heating 3 with BzCl/DMAP in pyridine gave the desired $4 .{ }^{8}$ Sterically hindered $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{OH}$ retained under this condition. The coupling constant between C6-H and


Figure 1. Structure of spiroleptosphol (1).

Table 1
NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| Position | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | HMBC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 174.63 | - | 6,10 |
| 3 | 157.11 | - | 4,11 |
| 4 | 68.69 | $5.16(\mathrm{dt}, 6.5,2.3)$ | 11 |
| $4-\mathrm{OH}$ | - | $3.36(\mathrm{brd}, 6.5)$ | - |
| 5 | 58.74 | - | $6,9,10$ |
| 6 | 72.22 | $3.84(\mathrm{brd}, 6.8)$ | 4,8 |
| $6-\mathrm{OH}$ | - | $4.40(\mathrm{br})$ | - |
| 7 | 70.00 | $4.71(\mathrm{br})$ | 6,9 |
| $7-\mathrm{OH}$ | - | $4.39(\mathrm{br})$ | - |
| 8 | 127.73 | $5.75(\mathrm{dt}, 10.2,2.8)$ | 10 |
| 9 | 129.78 | $5.58(\mathrm{ddd}, 1.6,2.8,10.2)$ | 10,12 |
| 10 | 38.95 | $3.45(\mathrm{dq}, 8.4,2.8)$ | $4,8,9,12,13$ |
| 11 | 89.08 | $4.68(\mathrm{t}, 2.3)$ | 4 |
|  |  | $4.80(\mathrm{t}, 2.3)$ |  |
| 12 | 124.32 | $5.33(\mathrm{dd}, 8.4,15.5)$ | $9,10,13,14$ |
| 13 | 141.67 | $5.43(\mathrm{dd}, 7.6,15.5)$ | 10,14 |
| 14 | 34.28 | $2.16(\mathrm{~m})$ | $12,13,15$ |
| 15 | 43.86 | $0.98(\mathrm{ddd}, 5.3,8.0,13.4)$ | $13,14,17,19,20$ |
| 16 | 31.57 | $1.24(\mathrm{ddd}, 5.1,8.6,13.4)$ |  |
| 17 | $1.32(\mathrm{~m})$ | 17 |  |
| 18 | 29.77 | $1.11(\mathrm{~m}) 1.28(\mathrm{~m})$ | $16,18,20$ |
| 19 | 11.89 | $0.85(\mathrm{t}, 7.2)$ | 16,17 |
| 20 | 20.86 | $0.91(\mathrm{~d}, 6.7)$ | $13,14,15$ |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was measured at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.



Scheme 1. Stereochemical determination of the bicyclic moiety.

C7-H in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was 10.3 Hz , which is a typical value for 1,2-diaxial protons in cyclohexanes taking chair conformation. As expected, 4 gave a pair of exciton-split curve with negative Cotton effect at $238 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\Delta \varepsilon_{238}-18.9\right)$ and positive one at $222 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\Delta \varepsilon_{222}+10.9\right)$ in the CD spectrum in MeOH to indicate a negative chirality for the C6-C7 glycol function based on the 'dibenzoate rule'. ${ }^{9}$ These results revealed the absolute stereochemistry around the bicyclic moiety to be $4 R, 5 R, 6 R, 7 R, 10 R$ as depicted (see Figure 1).


Figure 2. The CD spetra of tribenzoate 2 and dibenzoate 4.
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Scheme 2. Stereochemical determination of the side chain.

On the other hand, $(2 R, 4 R)$-2,4-dimethylhexan-1-ol (nat-5) was obtained by ozonolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ followed by reductive workup as shown in Scheme 2. The relative stereochemistry of nat-5 was estimated to be $2 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral comparison with those of the corresponding epimeric isomers in the literature. ${ }^{10}$ Unfortunately, the reported optical rotation value of 5 was quite small $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24}+3.7\right.$ for $2 R, 4 R$-isomer $) .{ }^{11}$ To make matters worse, vaporization of nat-5 during purification process was not disregardable in such small scale to provide only approximately 6 mg of nat-5 from


Figure 3. Total ion chromatograms of nat-5, $(2 S, 4 S)-\mathbf{5},(2 R, 4 R)-5$, and rac- $\mathbf{5}$ by GCEIMS using chiral capillary column (RESTEC Rt-ßDEXm ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}, 30 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID) at $75^{\circ}$ (constant temperature).

Table 2
Stereochemistries of 3-methylidene-2-oxaspiro[4.5]decan-1-ones in the literature

|  | Compound | C4 | C5 | $\mathrm{C6}$ | C 7 | C 10 | R |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Not described
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The stereochemistry in the text was inconsistent with that in the figure in the literature.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The C 3 is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$, but its stereochemistry was not assigned.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Not assigned.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ The C3 is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$, and its stereochemistry was assigned to be $S^{*}$.
of $1(24 \mathrm{mg})$. This amount was insufficient to judge the absolute chemistry confidently based on its optical rotation.

We dissolved this subject by direct comparison of the chiral GC chromatograms. Prior to the analysis, we prepared both enantiomers $(2 S, 4 S)-5$ and $(2 R, 4 R)-5$ as well as racemate rac-5. The $(2 S, 4 S)-\mathbf{5}$ was synthesized by the Organ's protocol via ( $2 S, 4 S$ )-7. ${ }^{10}$ The enantiomer ( $2 R, 4 R$ )-5 was obtained by the similar methodology but employing racemic alcohol rac-6 ${ }^{12}$ and enantiomeric Evans's auxiliary, (S)-4-benzyl-3-propionyloxazolidin-2-one. ${ }^{13}$ Although the alkylation gave a $1: 1$ mixture of $(2 R, 4 R)-7$ and the corresponding (4S)-isomer, these were successfully separated by HPLC (Develosil 60, AcOEt:hexane $=7: 93$ ). ${ }^{14}$ The racemate rac-5 was readily prepared by combining $(2 R, 4 R)-\mathbf{5}$ and $(2 S, 4 S)-\mathbf{5}$.

As the authentic samples in hand, these were analyzed by GCMS. It was found that a chiral capillary column (RESTEC Rt$\beta \mathrm{DEXm}^{\mathrm{TM}}, 30 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ID) was effective to distinguish these enantiomers with sufficient reproducibility (Figure 3). Both GC peaks provided signals at $m / z=112\left[M-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}, 101\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$, and $57\left[\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}$with the same intensities to confirm the peak assignment. These results clearly proved that the sample derived from the natural product has $2 R, 4 R$ configuration, establishing the ( $3 R, 5 R$ )-(E)-3,5-dimethyl-1-heptenyl side chain attached to the C10 position.

As described, we achieved in disclosing the structure of spiroleptosphol ( $\mathbf{1}$ ) including its absolute configuration as depicted in Figure 1. Several 3-methylidene-2-oxaspiro[4.5]decan-1-one derivatives, oxaspirol, ${ }^{15}$ arthropsolide $\mathrm{A},{ }^{16}$ oxaspirodion, ${ }^{17}$ paecilospirone, ${ }^{18}$ massarigenin $\mathrm{A},{ }^{19}$ mycosporulone, ${ }^{20}$ 6-epi-5'-hydroxymycosporulone, ${ }^{21}$ and rosigenin ${ }^{22}$ have been isolated from basidomycetous and ascomycetous fungi. These exhibited various biological activities. Interestingly, the stereochemistries of this bicyclic unit are diverse in these compounds as shown in Table 2. Although two types of biogeneses for this moiety have been proposed, neither has reached to the final conclusion. ${ }^{22,23}$ Further biological assays and biosynthetic studies of $\mathbf{1}$ are now under investigation in our laboratories. Taking high productivity ( 120 mg from 5.0 L of culture medium) into account, Leptosphaeria doliolum would produce sufficient amount of samples for these investigations.
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