

Catalyses of Fe and FeS₂ on the Reaction of Di(1-naphthyl)methaneXian-yong WEI,^{*} Eisuke OGATA, and Etsuo NIKIDepartment of Reaction Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering,
The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113

Reactions of di(1-naphthyl)methane (DNM) over Fe and FeS₂ at 300 °C were carried out to investigate the catalytic activities and selectivities of the two catalysts. Both catalysts showed high activities for the reaction of DNM but Fe catalyzed DNM hydrogenation whereas FeS₂ catalyzed DNM hydrocracking. This difference can be ascribed to the presence of sulfur.

Compared to the conventional coal liquefaction processes performed at high temperature, low-temperature coal liquefaction may be more promising in view of the fact that the formation of gaseous products and coke can be considerably reduced at low temperature. Active catalyst is necessary to accelerate oil formation. Iron-sulfur systems are considered as effective catalysts for practical application because of their high catalytic activities for coal liquefaction and ready availabilities. In this paper, we used DNM as a coal-related model compound and examined the catalytic effects of Fe and FeS₂ on the reactions of DNM.

DNM was synthesized according to the method described in an earlier paper.¹⁾ 1-Methylnaphthalene (1-MN), decalin, sulfur and catalyst Fe (ultra fine particles of Fe) were purchased commercially. Synthetic pyrite FeS₂ was offered by Asahi Chemical Industry Co. Ltd.

Prescribed amount of a substrate (DNM or 1-MN), sulfur, 4.2 mmol of a catalyst and 30 ml of decalin were put into a 90 ml stainless steel, magnetically stirred autoclave. After being pressurized by hydrogen or nitrogen to 10 MPa at room temperature (20 °C), the autoclave was heated up to 300 °C within 15 min and kept at the temperature for 1 h. Then the autoclave was immediately cooled to room temperature in an ice-water bath. Reaction products were identified by GC-MS if necessary and quantified by GC.

Table 1 lists the results of non-catalytic and catalytic reactions of DNM. In the absence of catalyst, DNM was not converted at all even under pressurized hydrogen. Only small amount of DNM was converted in the pre-


Table 1. Catalytic effects of Fe and FeS₂ on DNM hydrocracking^{a)}

Catalyst	Gas phase	DNM conv. %	Selectivity / mol%				
			THN	NpH	MTs	1-MN	H-DNMs
None	H ₂	0	0	0	0	0	0
FeS ₂ ^{b)}	N ₂	1.4	-	-	0	100.0	0
Fe	H ₂	100.0	1.0	0	0.8	0	99.0
FeS ₂ ^{b)}	H ₂	91.7	4.3	95.7	3.6	89.0	2.9

a) DNM 7.5 mmol, catalyst 4.2 mmol, decalin 30 ml, initial H₂ or N₂ pressure 10 MPa, 300 °C, 1 h; b) with 1.6 mmol of sulfur addition; THN: tetralin, NpH: naphthalene, MTs: methyltetralins, 1-MN: 1-methylnaphthalene, H-DNMs: hydrogenated di(1-naphthyl)methanes.

sence of FeS₂ under pressurized nitrogen. DNM conversion was more than 90% in the presence of Fe or FeS₂ under pressurized hydrogen. These results suggest that catalyst and molecular hydrogen play significant roles in the reaction of DNM. In Fe-catalyzed reaction, DNM was completely converted, affording hydrogenated di(1-naphthyl)methanes (H-DNMs) as main products with 99% of total selectivity. 91.7% of DNM was converted in the reaction catalyzed by FeS₂, which was quite different from Fe-catalyzed reaction, overwhelmingly major products were decomposed products such as naphthalene (NpH) and 1-MN, while only a small amount of H-DNMs with less than 3% of total selectivity was observed.

Scheme 1 illustrates the reaction pathways of DNM with Fe and FeS₂. It is evident that under the reaction condition, Fe catalyzed the hydrogena-



tion of naphthalene rings in DNM whereas FeS_2 catalyzed the cleavage of C-C bond in DNM. Based on the suggestion²⁾ that the hydrogen transfer to the non-ipso positions of DNM does not lead to C-C bond cleavage, Fe is considered to selectively promote the hydrogen transfer to the non-ipso positions of DNM, while selective hydrogen transfer occurs to ipso-position of DNM in FeS_2 -catalyzed reaction.

Figure 1 demonstrates the additive effect of sulfur on Fe-catalyzed reaction of DNM. DNM conversion fell rapidly with sulfur addition until $\text{S/Fe} = 0.04$, but increased with increasing sulfur feed afterwards. On the other hand, the selectivity of H-DNMs decreased monotonously with the increase of sulfur feed. These facts indicate evidently that sulfur added inhibited DNM hydrogenation, but promoted the cleavage of C-C linkage in DNM during the reactions. It is reasonable that the significant difference in selectivities between Fe and sulfur-containing species such as iron sulfides and H_2S resulted in the changes in reactivity and reaction pathway for the reaction of DNM.

Furthermore, we studied the additive effect of sulfur on 1-MN hydrogenation. As Fig. 2 shows, similar change in conversion for 1-MN hydrogenation was observed compared to DNM hydrogenation/hydrocracking (Fig. 1). 1-MN was hydrogenated to methyltetralins (MTs), i.e., 1-methyltetralin (1-MT) and 5-methyltetralin (5-MT). Increasing sulfur feed increased [1-MT]/[MTs] ratio, suggesting that sulfur addition enhanced probability




Fig. 1. Additive effect of sulfur on DNM hydrogenation/hydrocracking. DNM 7.5 mmol, Fe 4.2 mmol, decalin 30 ml, initial H_2 pressure 10 MPa, 300 °C, 1 h.





Fig. 2. Additive effect of sulfur on 1-MN hydrogenation. 1-MN 15 ml, Fe 4.2 ml, decalin 30 ml, initial H_2 pressure 10 MPa, 300 °C, 1 h.

for methyl-substituted moiety of 1-MN to be hydrogenated. It is noteworthy that when S/Fe atomic ratio was 2, further increase of sulfur feed hardly affected either 1-MN conversion or [1-MT]/[MTs] ratio. The cleavage of C-C bond between methyl group and naphthalene ring affording NpH and tetralin can not occur under the reaction condition (Scheme 2), since methyl radical is much less stable than naphthyl-1-methyl radical. Therefore, it is not clear whether the hydrogenation of methyl-substituted moiety in 1-MN occurred preferentially via hydrogen addition to the ipso-position of 1-MN.



The above findings may be helpful in understanding the additive effect of sulfur on coal liquefaction under mild condition. Fe was shown to be an excellent catalyst for the hydrogenation of DNM and 1-MN, but exhaustive desulfurization should be necessary for coal hydrogenation, since even a small amount of sulfur present in coal may decrease the activity of Fe for hydrogenation reaction. Taking into account the fact that $C_{ar}-C_{alk}$ bond scission is very difficult in thermal reaction,³⁾ FeS_2 is worth noting because it selectively and effectively promotes the cleavage of $C_{ar}-C_{alk}$ bond in DNM at the temperature significantly lower than that required for conventional coal liquefaction. FeS_2 has been suggested to facilitate the formation of free radical intermediates such as $H\cdot$ and $\cdot HS$,⁴⁻⁵⁾ whereas Fe-catalyzed hydrogenation of DNM and 1-MN may not involve free radical process.

References

- 1) S. Futamura, S. Koyanagi, and Y. Kamiya, *Fuel*, **67**, 1436 (1988).
- 2) R. Malhotra, and D. F. McMillen, *Energy Fuels*, **4**, 184 (1990).
- 3) L. W. Vernon, *Fuel*, **59**, 102 (1980).
- 4) M. G. Thomas, T. D. Padrick, and F. V. Stohl, *Fuel*, **61**, 761 (1982).
- 5) G. Srinivasan, and M. S. Seehra, *Fuel*, **61**, 1249 (1982).

(Received September 11, 1991)