3,493,588 (Feb 3, 1970).

- (3) E. L. Shapiro, L. Weber, H. E. Harris, C. J. Miskowicz, R. Neri, and H. L. Herzog, J. Med. Chem., 15, 716 (1972).
- (4) K. Bruckner, B. Hampel, and U. Johnsen, Chem. Ber., 94, 1225 (1961).
- (5) G. Teutsch, E. L. Shapiro, and H. L. Herzog, J. Med. Chem., 13, 750 (1970).
- (6) G. Drefahl, K. Ponsold, and G. Schubert, J. Prakt. Chem., 311, 919 (1969).
- (7) H. L. Herzog, J. Korpi, E. L. Shapiro, G. Teutsch, and L. Weber, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 72 (1973).
- (8) J. Hayami, N. Ono, and A. Kaji, Tetrahedron Lett., 11, 1385 (1968).
- (9) H. G. Lehmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 4, 783 (1965);
 G. W. Moersch, D. E. Evans, and G. S. Lewis, J. Med. Chem., 10, 254 (1967).
- (10) E. L. Shapiro, T. Legatt, L. Weber, M. Steinberg, A. Watnick, M. Eisler, M. G. Hennessey, C. T. Coniglio, W. Char-

ney, and E. P. Oliveto, J. Med. Pharm. Chem., 5, 975 (1962).

- (11) J. Hayami, N. Ono, and A. Kaji, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 44, 1628 (1971).
- (12) E. L. Shapiro, T. L. Popper, L. Weber, R. Neri, and H. L. Herzog, J. Med. Chem., 12, 631 (1969).
- (13) K. Ponsold and G. Schubert, Z. Chem., 8, 465 (1968); Chem. Abstr., 70, 47682j (1969).
- (14) L. S. Luskin, G. E. Gantert, and W. E. Craig, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78, 4965 (1956).
- (15) H. P. Faro, R. E. Youngstrom, T. L. Popper, R. Neri, and H. L. Herzog, J. Med. Chem., 15, 679 (1972).
- (16) R. Rausser and R. Tiberi, U. S. Patent 3,629,303 (Dec 21, 1971).
- (17) T. L. Popper, H. P. Faro, F. E. Carlon, and H. L. Herzog, J. Med. Chem., 15, 555 (1972).
- (18) British Patent 951,460 (March 4, 1964); Chem. Abstr., 61, 5733g (1964).

N-Alkylnorketobemidones with Strong Agonist and Weak Antagonist Properties

Tokuro Oh-ishi† and Everette L. May*

Laboratory of Chemistry, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. Received July 2, 1973

Replacement of the N-methyl group of ketobemidone (1) with propyl, cyclopropylmethyl, or allyl (cf. 6, 11, and 13) does not produce antagonists but weak to medium strength analgesics with high physical dependence capacity (Rhesus monkeys). When the N-substituent is amyl (8) strong analgesic and atypical properties of antagonism are exhibited. N-Hexyl- and -heptylnorketobemidones (9 and 10) are between morphine and pethidine in analgesic potency, and both are weak, long-acting, nalorphine-like antagonists in monkey and guinea-pig ileum experiments. N-Ethylnorketobemidone (5) is a weak agonist, but the N-butyl homolog 7 is as potent as morphine with low physical dependence capacity.

It is well known that strong analgesics of fused tricyclic to hexacyclic systems (benzomorphans to *endo*-ethenooripavines) can be converted to potent antagonists by replacement of methyl on the nitrogen with allyl, propyl, or cyclopropylmethyl. More recently it was demonstrated that the strong analgesic, 5-*m*-hydroxyphenyl-2-methylmorphan,¹ bicyclic in character, gave only weak antagonists by this simple change despite a favorable location of the phenolic hydroxyl. We now wish to report complete failure to obtain antagonists from the powerful analgesic, ketobemidone (monocyclic), with these three N-substitutions and the preparation of strong analgesics containing properties of antagonism by higher N-alkyl substitution.

Chemistry. The starting material, 2, for the preparations described herein was obtained in double the yield and one-fifteenth the time reported by Avison, *et al.*,² in the reaction of EtMgI with 4-cyano-4-*m*-methoxyphenyl-1-methylpiperidine, simply by very efficient stirring. Conversion of 2 to 3 was effected by the ethyl chloroformate method.³

Reaction of 3-HCl with alkyl bromides or iodides in boiling 2-butanone or DMF at 90° (K_2CO_3) followed by treatment of the resultant N-alkyl methyl ethers with boiling 48% HBr gave phenols 5-10. Compound 11 was similarly obtained using allyl bromide.

The cyclopropylmethyl analog, 13, was synthesized by a less direct route. Phenol 4, prepared from 3 with boiling 48% HBr, and cyclopropylcarbonyl chloride gave the O,N-diacyl derivative which was reduced to carbinol 12 with LiAlH₄. Oxidation of 12 to 13 was effected with DMSO-Ac₂O⁴ or by the Oppenauer method.



Pharmacology. In Table I are given analgesic activities (hot-plate and Nilsen),⁵ physical dependence capacities (PDC),⁶ and properties of narcotic antagonism for ketobemidone (1) and pentazocine (standards) and analogs of 1. Predictably, a change from methyl to ethyl with respect to the N-substituent (1 vs. 5) nearly abolishes the CNS effects seen in the strongly active 1, partially restored in the N-propyl homolog 6 and its O-acetyl derivative. N-Butyl homolog 4 is half as potent (as an analgesic) as 1 but is more toxic and has little PDC. The N-amyl derivative 8 is nearly three times stronger than 1 but will not sustain morphine dependence in monkeys; in fact, it displays some (atypical) properties of antagonism. The hexyl and heptyl homologs 9 and 10 are typical nalorphine-like antagonists with a longer duration of action, a steeper

[†] Visiting Associate from Tokyo, Japan. On leave from Tanabe Seiyaku Co., Ltd. Saitama, Japan.

Table I. Pharmacology	' of	Ketobemidone	Anal	ogs
-----------------------	------	--------------	------	-----

Compound ^a	ED_{50} , n		Antag	
	Hot-plate	Nilsen ^b	PDC °	act.d
Ketobemidone (1)	0.8 (0.7–0.9)		High	No
5	23,0 (17.8-29.8)	44.7(35.7-56.0)	No	No
6	5,7(4.7-6.8)	4,2(2,7-6,5)	Intermediate ⁷	No
6-OAc	4.5 (3.7-5.5)		Intermediate ⁷	No
7	1.7(1.4-2.2)		Low^{g}	No
8	0.3(0.2-0.4)	0.4(0.3-0.6)	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{o}^h$	$\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{es}^h$
9	3.0(2.2-4.1)	2.4(1.8-3.4)	No	Yes^i
10	3.7(2.9-4.8)	3,5(2,4-5,0)	No	Yes^i
111	2.9(2.7-3.1)	2.3(1.6-3.2)	High	No
12	Inactive to 100	Inactive to 40	No	No
13	24.8(18.8-32.6)	24.0(15.9-36.2)	Probably high	No
Pentazocine	12.3 (9.3-16.3)	4.7 (2.9-5.1)	No	Yes^k

^aAdministered as HBr or HCl salts. ^bSee ref 5. ^cPhysical dependence capacity (in Rhesus monkeys, ref 6). ^dCapacity to antagonize morphine dependence in Rhesus monkeys (ref 6). ^eR. G. Hardy, Jr., and M. G. Howell in "Medicinal Chemistry," Vol. 5, G. DeStevens, Ed., Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1965, Chapter V. 'Slight suppression of morphine abstinence at 4.0, partial at 8.0 mg/kg; at 16.0 mg/kg there was almost complete suppression plus signs of CNS depression after recovery from complete loss of muscle strength which lasted 1 hr. ^eNo effect or very mild suppression at 8.0 mg/kg (toxic at 16 mg/kg). ^hNo suppression of abstinence at 0.2-3.2 mg/kg and exacerbation at 6.4 mg/kg. In nonwithdrawn monkeys there were long-lasting abstinence-like effects at 6.4-25.6 mg/kg. When these effects have disappeared, injections of morphine do not restore the animals to normal. ⁱLonger acting than nalorphine; ¹/₂₀ as potent with a steeper dose-response curve. ⁱA. Langbein, H. Merz, K. Stockhaus, and H. Wick, First International Conference on Narcotic Antagonists, Airlie House, Warrenton, Va., 1972. ^kSee ref 5, 6, and 8.

dose-response curve, and the potency of pentazocine. They are, however, more potent than pentazocine as analgesics. The results described above with 8, 9, and 10 were essentially corroborated in guinea-pig ileum studies (private communication from Professor H. W. Kosterlitz, University of Aberdeen, Scotland⁷).

A change from methyl to allyl or cyclopropylmethyl (cf. 11 and 13) did not produce properties of antagonism. Rather, 11 and 13, like 6, have narcotic properties, 6 and 11 being much stronger than 13. Alcohol 12 is inert.

Thus, it is clear that below the tricyclic (benzomorphan)⁸ system, strong antagonists cannot be obtained from strong agonists simply by replacement of the nitrogen methyl with the (now) classical allyl, cyclopropylmethyl, or propyl radicals.^{1a,8} However, the demonstration of long-lasting properties of antagonism and medium to strong analgesic activity in higher (amyl to heptyl) Nalkylnorketobemidones adds a new dimension to this area of research.

Experimental Section

Melting points were taken in a Hershberg apparatus (total immersion, Anschutz thermometers). Elemental analyses (indicated by C, H, Br, and N when within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values) and mass data are due to the Section on Analytical Services and Instrumentation of this laboratory.

4-m-Methoxyphenyl-1-methyl-4-propionoxypiperidine (2). To the Grignard reagent prepared (in Et₂O) from 23.4 g (0.96 mol) of Mg and 150 g (0.96 mol) of EtI was added (efficient stirring) 55 g (0.24 mol) of 4-cyano-4-m-methoxyphenyl-1-methylpiperidine² (supplied by Ciba-Geigy, Basel) in 400 ml of C₆H₆. The Et₂O was distilled and the mixture was refluxed for 1 hr. After cooling, 80 g of NH₄Cl in H₂O was added. The separated organic layer was washed with dilute NH₄Cl and refluxed for 30 min with 600 ml of 2 N HCl. The aqueous layer was separated, washed with C₆H₆, made alkaline with KOH pellets, and extracted with C₆H₆. The extract was dried[‡] and evaporated to give an oil (46 g): bp 160-161° (2 mm); yield 40.5 g (65%); ν 1710 cm⁻¹.

Avison, et al.,² obtained 2, bp $130-134^{\circ}$ (0.3 mm), in 33% yield, reaction time 15 hr.

4-*m*-Methoxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (3) Hydrochloride. To a stirred solution of 25 g (0.24 mol) of ethyl chloroformate in 80 ml of C_6H_6 was added 20.5 g (0.08 mol) of 2 in 100 ml of C_6H_6 during 30 min. The mixture was refluxed for 2 hr, washed with H₂O and then 10% HCl, dried,‡ and evaporated to dryness to give 23 g (90%) of oily carbamate: ν 1710-1705, 1250 cm⁻¹. From the H_2O and acid washings 2 g (10%) of 2 was recovered.

The 23 g of carbamate and 300 ml of 23% HCl were refluxed together for 12.5 hr, washed with C_6H_6 , made alkaline with NaOH pellets, and extracted with C_6H_6 . Drying[‡] and evaporation of the C_6H_6 gave 11.6 g of an oil which was converted to the hydrochloride. Recrystallization from EtOH gave 11.5 g (52%) of plates: mp 205-207°; ν^{Nujol} 1700 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₁₅H₂₂ClNO₂) C, H, Cl, N.

Compound 3 was prepared in 10-15% overall yield by reaction of 2 and cyclopropylcarbonyl chloride in refluxing toluene, followed by hydrolysis of the resultant N-cyclopropylcarbonyl derivative (obtained in 64% yield)§ with 20% HCl. Similar reaction of 2 with benzoyl, acryloyl, cyclohexanecarbonyl, or propionyl chloride gave no displacement of the nitrogen methyl by acyl. Principally, 2 was recovered.

1-Ethyl-4-*m*-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (5) Hydrobromide. EtI (1.2 g), 2.0 g of $3 \cdot$ HCl, 3.0 g of K₂CO₃, and 50 ml of 2-butanone were refluxed (stirring) for 5 hr and evaporated to dryness. The residue was treated with CHCl₃ and H₂O. The CHCl₃ layer was washed with H₂O, dried,‡ and evaporated to give an oil (2.3 g). This and 6 ml of 48% HBr were refluxed for 30 min and evaporated to dryness *in vacuo*. Recrystallization of the residue from EtOH gave 1.9 g (77%) of **5** \cdotHBr, mp 243-244°. Anal. (C₁₆H₂₄BrNO₂) C, H, Br, N.

4-m-Hydroxyphenyl-4-propionyl-1-propylpiperidine (6) Hydrobromide. PrI (1.4 g), 2.0 g of 3 HCl, 2.9 g of K₂CO₃, and 50 ml of 2-butanone gave, as described for 5, 2.0 g (69%) of 6 HBr, mp 195-197°. Anal. ($C_{17}H_{26}BrNO_2$) C, H, N, Br.

The O-acetyl derivative, prepared in 90% yield from 6 (0.6 g), 10 ml of Ac₂O, and 2 ml of pyridine (4 hr, reflux), was characterized as the HCl salt, mp 190-191° (Me₂CO). Anal. (C₁₉H₂₈ClNO₃) C, H, Cl, N.

1-Butyl-4-*m*-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (7) hydrobromide, mp 217-218°, was prepared in 89% yield (using BuI) similarly to 5 and 6. Anal. ($C_{18}H_{28}BrNO_2$) C, H, Br, N.

1-Amyl-4-*m*-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (8) Hydrobromide. AmBr (0.6 g), 1 g of $3 \cdot$ HCl, 1.5 g of K₂CO₃, and 25 ml of DMF were kept at 90° for 6 hr and evaporated to dryness *in vacuo*; the residue was treated with CHCl₃ and H₂O. Evaporation of the CHCl₃ layer gave 1.1 g of oil which was demethylated with 5 ml of 48% HBr (30 min, reflux). Distillation to dryness *in vacuo* and recrystallization of the residue from *i*-PrOH gave 1.1 g (83%) of 8 · HBr, mp 189.5-190°. Anal. (C₁₈H₃₀BrN).

This compound was similarly prepared in slightly lower yield from 4.#

1-Hexyl-4-m-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (9) Hydrobromide. As described in the preparation of 8, the hydro-

 \S We are indebted to Drs. E. Mohacsi and W. Leimgruber. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., Nutley, N. J., for this suggestion (see ref 9).

= Compound 4 was generously supplied by Dr. H. Merz, Boehringer Sohn, Ingelheim, Germany. We prepared 4 from 3 (boiling 48% HBr). bromide was obtained in 85% yield from hexyl bromide and 3-HCl (15 hr. 80°), mp 179–181°. Anal. $(C_{20}H_{32}BrNO_2)$ C, H, N, Br.

1-Heptyl-4-m-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (10) Hydrobromide. This was obtained in 78% yield as described for 9 using heptyl bromide: plates, mp 147-149°, from Me₂CO. Anal. $(C_{21}H_{34}BrNO_2) C, H, N, Br.$

1-Allyl-4-*m*-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (11) Hydrobromide. The yield of 11·HBr (allyl bromide, 7 hr) was 74%; plates, mp 192-193° (from EtOH). *Anal.* ($C_{17}H_{24}BrNO_2$) C. H. Br, N.

1-Cyclopropylmethyl-4-m-hydroxyphenyl-4-(1-hydroxy-

propyl)piperidine (12). A mixture of 2.4 g of 4, 3.8 g of cyclopropylcarbonyl chloride, 12 ml of Et₃N, and 70 ml of CH₂Cl₂ was refluxed for 3 hr and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in C₆H₆ and H₂O. The C₆H₆ layer was washed with 10% HCl. saturated NaHCO₃, and H₂O, successively, dried,† and evaporated to give 4.2 g of the *N*.0-dicarbonyl compound: ν 1750. 1705. 1640 cm⁻¹. This was reduced with 3.6 g of LiAlH₄ in 100 ml of refluxing THF (24 hr) giving, after the usual work-up, 2.7 g (87%) of 12: mp 180-182° (from EtOH); M⁺ 289. Anal. (C₁₈H₂₇NO₂) C, H, N.

1-Cyclopropylmethyl-4-*m*-hydroxyphenyl-4-propionylpiperidine (13) Hydrobromide. Ac₂O (7.5 ml). 1.5 g of 12, and 22.5 ml of DMSO were stirred at room temperature for 65 hr, treated with ice-H₂O, made alkaline with 12 *M* NH₄OH, and extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃ was washed with H₂O and evaporated to give an oil which was dissolved in 10% NaOH-EtOH. This solution was refluxed for 3 hr, the EtOH was distilled, H₂O was added to the residue, and the resultant solution was washed with CHCl₃ and then made alkaline with 12 *M* NH₄OH. The liberated base was dissolved in CHCl₃ and dried.[‡] Evaporation left 1.0 g of oil which contained some 12. Column chromatography on 30 g of silica gel (9:1 AcOEt-EtOH as eluent) gave 0.93 g (52%) of 13 whose hydrobromide (from EtOH) melted at 254-255°. Anal. (C₁₈H₂₆BrNO₂) C, H, Br, N.

Oxidation of 12 by the Oppenauer method (cyclohexanone, alu-

minum isopropoxide) gave 30% of 13.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Louise Atwell and Bonnie McNeal for analgesic data. to Bettie Leis and Arthur E. Jacobson for probit analysis of these data, and to Paula Parisius. Alice Wong, and Byron Baer for elemental analyses. All are from this laboratory. We gratefully acknowledge, too, a generous supply of 4-cyano-4-*m*methoxyphenyl-1-methylpiperidine from Ciba-Geigy, Basel, AG.

References

- (1) (a) H. H. Ong, T. Oh-ishi, and E. L. May, J. Med. Chem., submitted for publication; (b) K. W. Bentley and A. W. Cowan, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol., 11, 241 (1971).
- (2) A. W. D. Avison and A. L. Morrison, J. Chem. Soc., 1470 (1950).
- (3) M. M. Abdel-Monem and P. S. Portoghese, J. Med. Chem., 15, 208 (1972).
- (4) J. D. Albright and L. Goldman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 2416 (1967); W. W. Epstein and F. W. Sweat, Chem. Rev., 67, 247 (1967).
- (5) T. D. Perrine, L. Atwell, I. B. Tice, A. E. Jacobson, and E. L. May, J. Pharm. Sci., 61, 86 (1972).
- (6) J. E. Villarreal, "Advances in Mental Science," Vol. II, R. T. Harris, W. McIsaac, and C. R. Schuster, Ed., University of Texas Press, Houston, Texas, 1970, pp 83–116.
- (7) H. W. Kosterlitz, J. A. H. Lord, and A. J. Watt in "Agonist and Antagonist Actions of Narcotic Analgesic Drugs," H. W. Kosterlitz, H. O. J. Collyer, and J. E. Villarreal, Ed., Macmillan, London-New York, 1972, pp 45-62.
- (8) S. Archer, N. F. Albertson, L. S. Harris, A. K. Pierson, and J. G. Bird, J. Med. Chem., 7, 123 (1964).
- (9) H. Moriyamo, H. Yamamoto, H. Nagata, and T. Tomaki, Japanese Patent 70,31,664 (1970); Chem. Abstr., 74, 99876c (1971).

Anticonvulsants. 4. Metharbital and Phenobarbital Derivatives¹

Julius A. Vida,* Mary L. Hooker, Carlos M. Samour,

Kendall Company, Lexington, Massachusetts 02173

and John F. Reinhard

Department of Pharmacology, Graduate School of Pharmacy and Allied Health Professions, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115. Received July 9, 1973

Several metharbital and phenobarbital derivatives were found to possess potent anticonvulsant activity and yet were either devoid of the marked hypnotic effects of the parent compounds or displayed very weak hypnotic activity. Particularly active compounds were the monomethoxymethyl derivative of phenobarbital (3), the 1-methyl-3-butoxymethyl derivative of phenobarbital (12), and the 3-methoxymethyl derivative of metharbital (10).

We reported previously² that 1,3-bis(alkoxymethyl) derivatives of phenobarbital possess marked anticonvulsant activity against both maximal electroshock and pentylenetetrazole induced seizures and yet are devoid of the hypnotic effects associated with the parent compound. It was reported^{3.4} that the prototype of the 1,3-bis(alkoxymethyl)phenobarbital series, 1,3-bis(methoxymethyl)phenobarbital (DMMP, 16) is converted to three major metabolites in the rat, which in order of decreasing quantities are: 1methoxymethylphenobarbital (3), phenobarbital (1), and 1-methylphenobarbital (15). It was also reported⁵ that in man, the major metabolites that accumulate in plasma as a result of DMMP administration in order of decreasing quantities are: phenobarbital, 1-methylphenobarbital, and 1-methoxymethylphenobarbital. In addition, smaller amounts of 1,3-dimethylphenobarbital (7) and 1-methyl-3-methoxymethylphenobarbital (14) have been identified in human plasma.

As a result of these discoveries we became interested in finding out whether the 1-alkyl, 1-alkoxymethyl, 1.3-dialkyl, and 1-alkyl-3-alkoxymethyl derivatives of 5,5-diethylbarbituric acid and 5-ethyl-5-phenylbarbituric acid would display anticonvulsant properties.

Chemistry. The synthesis of 1-benzyl-5-ethyl-5-phenylbarbituric acid (2) was accomplished from 5-ethyl-5phenylbarbituric acid (1) with benzyl chloride in the presence of sodium hydroxide by a reported procedure.⁶ As expected, this procedure yielded a mixture of unsubstituted, monosubstituted, and disubstituted benzyl derivatives of 5-ethyl-5-phenylbarbituric acid, as observed by tlc. Separation of the predominant product, 1-benzyl-5-ethyl-5phenylbarbituric acid, from the mixture was achieved by column chromatography and crystallization.

The synthesis of 1-alkoxymethyl derivatives of 5-ethyl-5-phenylbarbituric acid. **3** and **4**, was accomplished by an unequivocal method.⁷ The dilithium salt of thiophenobar-