

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comptes Rendus Chimie

www.sciencedirect.com



Preliminary communication/Communication

On the influence of the nature of the iron(III) salt catalyst precursor for the preparation of sodium amide

Nicolas Galy^a, Henri Doucet^{b,*}, Maurice Santelli^a

- ^a Laboratoire chimie Provence, UMR 6264, faculté des sciences de St-Jérôme, université d'Aix-Marseille, avenue Escadrille-Normandie-Niemen, 13397 Marseille cedex 20, France
- b Institut sciences chimiaues de Rennes. UMR 6226, université de Rennes 1 « catalyse et organométalliaues », campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 May 2010 Accepted after revision 24 November 2010 Available online 28 January 2011

Keywords: Iron Catalysis Sodium amide

ABSTRACT

Our recent experiments, for the formation of sodium amide from liquid ammonia and sodium metal, revealed the necessity to employ Fe(NO₃)₃•9H₂O as the catalyst precursor, and not FeCl₃. In the presence of FeCl₃, we observed several times the ignition of the mixture during the hydrolysis, and the desired product was not obtained.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Our strategy for the synthesis of unnatural steroids involves the preparation of the benzocyclobutene **2** from phenylpropiononitrile **1** according to the Mukaiyama procedure [1]. For this cyclization reaction, a large excess of sodium amide (CAS number: 7782-92-5) is employed. We generally prepare this sodium amide in situ, by the addition at -33 °C of pieces of sodium to liquid ammonia (2.5 L for each mol of sodium) containing \sim 0.6 mmol (2.5 g) of coarsely powdered Fe(NO₃)₃•9H₂O for each mol of sodium [2]; and then phenylpropiononitrile **1** was added. After addition of diethyl ether, the ammonia was allowed to evaporate, and solid ammonium chloride was added. Then, classical work-up gave rise to **2** in 60% yield (Scheme 1).

2. Results

During 10 years, we performed many successful experiments according to the Mukaiyama protocol and using undistilled ammonia from a commercial cylinder [3].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: henri.doucet@univ-rennes1.fr (H. Doucet).

But, recently, instead of using iron(III) nitrate as the catalyst precursor, we employed anhydrous FeCl3 in the same amount. However, when this iron catalyst was employed, the hydrolysis appeared to be a very dangerous step. During the course of the hydrolysis, we observed several times the ignition of the mixture. Moreover, after usual work-up, the products 3 [4] and 4 [5] (Scheme 1) corresponding to a drastic reduction of 1 were obtained, and the formation of 2 was not observed. Clearly, in the presence of iron trichloride, sodium amide has not been formed and we have only, in the reaction mixture, the sodium metal dissolved in the liquid ammonia. The catalysis mechanism is uncertain, but appears to involve the rapid reduction of iron(III) to very finely divided metallic iron, which is probably the actual catalytic agent. Without catalyst, the sodium amide is formed very slowly [6]. Some old preparations describe the passing of dry ammonia gas over the molten sodium [7].

The first catalyst used for the preparation of sodium amide by addition of sodium in liquid ammonia, was divided platinum [8]. The use of iron salts, and specially iron(III) nitrate has been described for the first time in 1934 [9]. Since these reports, many preparative methods recommend the use of hydrated ferric nitrate, in particular in the various synthetic methods described in *Organic*

Scheme 1.

Syntheses [2] or Org. Proc. Res. Dev [10]. However, for some reports including patents [11], and other procedures described in Organic Synthesis [12], it is recommended to use anhydrous ferric chloride [13]. Even a recent encyclopedia such as e-EROS indicated "preparative method: combination of ammonia, small quantities of an iron(III) salt and sodium..." [14]. In a very detailed study concerning the Birch reaction, industrial investigators showed that the ferric chloride catalyzes efficiently the reaction between sodium and alcohols in liquid ammonia [15]. Iron is a well-known transition metal playing an important role as catalyst in organic syntheses [16].

In summary, the nature of the iron salt has a huge influence on the reaction for the formation of sodium amide from liquid ammonia and sodium metal. $Fe(NO_3)_3 \bullet 9H_2O$ led to the desired sodium amide in good yield; whereas, the use of $FeCl_3$ appears to be dangerous and to give unexpected products.

3. Experimental Section

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solutions at 300, and 75 MHz, respectively. Chemical shift are reported in parts per million relative to CDCl₃ (signals for residual CHCl₃ in the CDCl₃: 7.24 for ¹H NMR and 77.16 (central) for ¹³C NMR). Carbon-proton couplings were determined by DEPT sequence experiments.

A 6 L three-neck flask equipped with a 6 cm egg-shaped magnetic bar, an acetone-dry ice reflux condenser, a pressure-equalizing addition funnel was charged with 4.5 L of liquid ammonia. One piece of sodium and then $Fe(NO_3)_3 \bullet 9H_2O$ (500 mg) were added. When the solution turns from blue to gray, the remaining pieces of sodium (50 g, 2.18 mol) were gradually added with vigourous stirring. Then, the phenylpropiononitrile **1** (96 g, 0.40 mol) was slowly added and the stirring was continued during one night without reflux condenser. Then, diethyl ether (2 L) and ammonium chloride (400 g) were added and the mixture was allowed to stand until the ice on the outside of the flask was entirely melted. The mixture was cautiously poured on ice, stirred and, after usual work-up, the organic layer was dried using MgSO₄. The crude product was then chromatographed on silica gel (petroleum ether/diethyl ether, 85/15) to give 2 [1] (38.2 g, 60%) as pale yellow crystals: mp 87 °C; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 3.45 (1H, dd, I = 14.1, 2.3 Hz), 3.59 (1H, dd, I = 14.1, 5.5 Hz), 3.76 (3H, s), 4.14 (1H, dd, J = 5.5, 2.3 Hz), 6.69 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz), 6.82(1H, dd, J = 8.3, 2.1 Hz), 7.09 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3), \delta 28.0 \text{ (d)}, 35.6 \text{ (t)}, 55.5 \text{ (q)}, 108.8 \text{ (d)},$ 115.2 (d), 120.0 (s), 123.8 (d), 130.4 (s), 143.7 (s), 161.1 (s). When the anhydrous FeCl₃ salt was employed, when the mixture was pourred on ice, the presence of some pyrophoric compounds result in the repeated ignition of the mixture. After hydrolysis, the crude product was chromatographed on silica gel to give 3-ethylanisole **3** (CAS number: 10568-38-4) (16%) and 3-ethylphenol **4** (CAS number: 620-17-7) (9%). 3-Ethylanisole **3**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 7.22–6.76 (m, 4H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.65 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 159.8 (s), 146.0 (s), 129.4 (d), 120.4 (d), 113.8 (d), 110.9 (d), 55.2 (q), 29.0 (t), 15.6 (q). 3-Ethylphenol **4**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 7.15–6.7 (m, 4H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 2.60 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 155.5 (s), 146.4 (s), 129.6 (d), 120.7 (d), 115.0 (d), 112.7 (d), 28.8 (t); 15.5 (q).

References

- [1] (a) T. Kametani, H. Nemoto, H. Ishikawa, K. Shiroyama, K. Fukumoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc 98 (1976) 3378;
 - (b) T. Kametani, Y. Kato, T. Honda, K. Fukumoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc 98 (1976) 8185:
 - (c) J.A. Skorcz, F.E. Kaminski, Org. Synth 48 (1968) 53.
- [2] (a) K.W. Greenlee, A.L. Henne, Inorg. Synth. II (1946) 128;
 - (b) M.J. Schlatter, Org. Synth 23 (1943) 20, Collect. Vol. III, 223;
 - (c) E.M. Hancock, A.C. Cope, Org. Synth 25 (1945) 25, Collect. Vol. III, 219;
 - (d) C.R. Hauser, J.T. Adams, R. Levine, Org. Synth. 28 (1948) 44, Collect. Vol. III, 291;
 - (e) K.N. Campbell, B.K. Campbell, Org. Synth 30 (1950) 72, Collect. Vol. IV, 763;
 - (f) S. Wawzonek, E.M. Smolin, Org. Synth 31 (1951) 52, Collect. Vol. IV, 387:
 - (g) E.R.H. Jones, G. Eglinton, M.C. Whiting, Org. Synth 33 (1953) 68, Collect. Vol. IV, 755;
 - (h) E.R.H. Jones, G. Eglinton, M.C. Whiting, B.L. Shaw, Org. Synth 34 (1954) 46, Collect. Vol. IV, 404;
 - (i) W.R. Brasen, C.R. Hauser, Org. Synth 34 (1954) 61, Collect. Vol. IV, 585;
 - (j) P.J. Ashworth, G.H. Mansfield, M.C. Whiting, Org. Synth 35 (1955) 20, Collect. Vol. IV, 128;
 - (k) C.R. Hauser, W.R. Dunnavant, Org. Synth 39 (1959) 73, Collect. Vol. IV 962;
 - (l) J.F. Bunnett, B.F. Hrutfiord, S.M. Williamson, Org. Synth $40\,(1960)\,1$, Collect. Vol. V, 12;
 - (m) C.R. Hauser, W.R. Dunnavant, Org. Synth 40 (1960) 38, Collect. Vol. V, 526;
 - (n) K.T. Potts, J.E. Saxton, Org. Synth 40 (1960) 68, Collect. Vol. V, 769; (o) J.C. Kauer, M. Brown, Org. Synth 42 (1962) 97, Collect. Vol. V, 1043;
 - (p) E.M. Kaiser, W.G. Kenyon, C.R. Hauser, Org. Synth 47 (1967) 72, Collect. Vol. V, 559;
 - (q) K.G. Hampton, T.M. Harris, C.R. Hauser, Org. Synth 47 (1967) 92, Collect. Vol. V, 848;
 - (r) W.S. Murphy, P.J. Hamrick, C.R. Hauser, Org. Synth 48 (1968) 80, Collect. Vol. V, 523;
 - (s) K.G. Hampton, T.M. Harris, C.R. Hauser, Org. Synth 51 (1971) 128; (t) P.E. Peterson, M. Dunham, Org. Synth 57 (1977) 26;
 - (u) M.S. Newman, W.M. Stalick, Org. Synth 57 (1977) 65.
- [3] S. Wilmouth, H. Pellissier, M. Santelli, Tetrahedron 54 (1998) 10079;
 (b) S. Wilmouth, L. Toupet, H. Pellissier, M. Santelli, Tetrahedron 54 (1998) 13805;
 - (c) P.Y. Michellys, P. Maurin, L. Toupet, H. Pellissier, M. Santelli, J. Org.

- Chem 66 (2001) 115;
- (d) D. Moraleda, M. Giorgi, M. Santelli, Tetrahedron 65 (2009) 177.
- [4] M.C. Wang, T.Y. Luh, J. Org. Chem 57 (1992) 2178.
- [5] R.B. Bates, T.J. Siahaan, J. Org. Chem 51 (1986) 1432.
- [6] (a) A. Joannis, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 109 (1889) 900;
- (b) A. Joannis, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 112 (1891) 392.
- [7] (a) J.M. McGee, J. Am. Chem. Soc 43 (1921) 586;
 - (b) F.W. Bergstrom, Org. Synth 20 (1940) 86, Collect. Vol. 3, 778.
- [8] (a) J.L. Gay-Lussac, L.J. Thenard, Recherches physico-chimiques, Deterville Ed.: Paris I (1811) 354;
 - (b) F.W. Bergstrom, W.C. Fernelius, Chem. Rev 12 (1933) 43;
 - (c) F.W. Bergstrom, W.C. Fernelius, Chem. Rev 20 (1937) 413;
 - (d) R. Levine, W.C. Fernelius, Chem. Rev 54 (1954) 449.
- [9] T.H. Vaughan, R.R. Vogt, J.A. Nieuwland, J. Am. Chem. Soc 56 (1934) 2120–2122.
- [10] R. Varala, S.R. Adapa, Org. Proc. Res. Dev 9 (2005) 853-856.
- [11] C.O. Miller, R.O. Roberts, Chem. Abstr. 33 (1939) 55458.
- [12] (a) N.A. Khan, Org. Synth 32 (1952) 104V, Coll. Vol. IV, p. 969;
 (b) N.A. Khan, F.E. Deatherage, J.B. Brown, Org. Synth 37 (1957) 77,
 Coll. Vol. IV, p. 851;
 - (c) D.L. Boger, C.E. Brotherton, G.I. Georg, Org. Synth. 65 (1987) 32–40;
 - (d) P.A. Magriotis, J.T. Brown, Org. Synth. 72 (1995) 252, Collect. Vol. IX, 656.

- [13] (a) P.W. Rabideau, D.L. Huser, J. Org. Chem 48 (1983) 4266;
- (b) M.D. Carr, L.H. Gan, I.J. Reid, Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2 (1973) 672. [14] J.L. Belletire, R.J. Rauh, e-EROS Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic
- Synthesis, John Wiley and Sons (2001).

 [15] H.J. Dryden Ir. G.M. Webber, R.R. Burtner, I.A. Cella, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 26
- [15] H.L. Dryden Jr., G.M. Webber, R.R. Burtner, J.A. Cella, J. Am. Chem. Soc 26 (1961) 3237.
- [16] (a) B. Plietker, Iron Catalysts in Organic Chemistry: reactions and Applications, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim (Germany) 2008;
 - (b) A. Fürstner, From oblivion into the limelight: iron (domino) catalysis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48 (2009) 1364;
 - (c) A. Sarhan, C. Bolm, Iron(III) chloride in oxidative C-C coupling reactions, Chem. Soc. Rev. 38 (2009) 2730;
 - (d) E.B. Bauer, Recent advances in iron catalysis in organic chemistry, Curr. Org. Chem. 12 (2008) 1341;
 - (e) S. Enthaler, K. Junge, M. Beller, Sustainable metal catalysis with iron: from rust to a rising star? Angew Chem. Int. Ed. 47 (2008) 3317;
 - (f) A. Correa, O. Garcia Mancheno, C. Bolm, Iron-catalyzed carbonheteroatom and hetereoatom-heteroatom bond forming processes, Chem. Soc. Rev. 37 (2008) 1108;
 - (g) D. Diaz Diaz, P.O. Miranda, J.I. Padron, V.S. Martin, Recent uses of iron(III) chloride in organic chemistry, Curr. Org. Chem. 10 (2006) 457.