

Article

Subscriber access provided by UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE

M**MILWAUKEE** 

# Visible-Light Photocatalytic Decarboxylation of #,#-Unsaturated Carboxylic Acids: Facile access to Stereoselective Difluoromethylated Styrenes in Batch and Flow

Xiao-Jing Wei, Wout Boon, Volker Hessel, and Timothy Noël

ACS Catal., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.7b03019 • Publication Date (Web): 11 Sep 2017 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 11, 2017

## **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Catalysis is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

# Visible-Light Photocatalytic Decarboxylation of α,β-Unsaturated Carboxylic Acids: Facile access to Stereoselective Difluoromethylated Styrenes in Batch and Flow

Xiao-Jing Wei, Wout Boon, Volker Hessel, Timothy Noël\*

Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Micro Flow Chemistry & Process Technology, Eindhoven University of Technology, Den Dolech 2, 5612 AZ Eindhoven, The Netherlands. E-mail: t.noel@tue.nl. Website: www.NoelResearchGroup.com

**ABSTRACT:** The development of synthetic methodologies which provide access to both stereoisomers of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ disubstituted olefins is a challenging undertaking. Herein, we describe the development of an operationally simple and stereoselective synthesis of difluoromethylated styrenes via a visible-light photocatalytic decarboxylation strategy using *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> as the photocatalyst. Meta and para- substituted cinnamic acids provide the expected *E*-isomer. In contrast, *ortho*-substituted cinnamic acids yield selectively the less stable *Z*-product, whereas the *E*-isomer can be obtained via continuous-flow processing through accurate control of the reaction time. Furthermore, our protocol is amenable to the decarboxylative difluoromethylation of aryl propiolic acids.

KEYWORDS. E/Z selectivity • flow chemistry • TTET, difluoromethylation • decarboxylation • photoredox catalysis

The introduction of fluorinated moieties into organic compounds has resulted in a dramatic enhancement of their physical, chemical and biological properties, rendering medicinal and agrochemical compounds to be more potent.1 Consequently, in recent years, a tremendous amount of research efforts has been devoted to develop new methods to enable the efficient incorporation of fluorinated moieties into parent molecules.<sup>2</sup> Amongst these, the CF<sub>2</sub> motif plays an increasingly important role, since the hydrogen bond donor properties of the difluoromethyl group increases acidity of its neighboring group, which enhances dipole moments and conformational changes in the molecules.<sup>3</sup> In recent years, great progress has been made with regard to radical difluoroalkylation reactions, especially via visible light photoredox catalysis.<sup>4</sup> Visible light photoredox catalysis has become one of the most powerful tools in organic synthesis wherein both single electron transfer (SET) and triplet-triplet energy transfer (TTET) processes with organic substrates can be facilitated.5

With biomass feedstocks of vinyl carboxylic acids abundantly available, these inexpensive and stable compounds have attracted a great deal of attention as substrates for a wide variety of synthetic transformations.<sup>6</sup> Perhaps, the most widely used decarboxylative fluoroalkylation strategy involves transition metal coordination in combination with high temperatures or strong oxidants to facilitate the CO<sub>2</sub> extrusion process (Scheme 1A).<sup>7</sup> It is evident that such harsh reaction conditions have their repercussions on the substrate scope. Photocatalytic strategies have allowed to perform the decarboxylative functionalization process at room temperature but still require stoichiometric amounts of strong oxidants or transition metals (Scheme **1B**).<sup>8,9</sup> In addition, all these methods give access to the thermodynamically more stable *E*-alkenes,<sup>10</sup> while methods delivering selectively the *Z*-isomers are far less common.<sup>11</sup>





**Scheme 1.** A) Classical decarboxylative cross-coupling strategies. B) Recent photocatalytic approaches still require the use of metals or hypervalent iodine reagents (HIR) to enable **Environment** 

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

the decarboxylation step. C) Our strategy for the photocatalytic radical difluoromethylation of cinnamic acids.

The strategy we describe here involves a photocatalytic decarboxylation methodology to access difluoroalkenes, which is operationally simple, mild and requires no additional transition metals or oxidants to enable  $CO_2$  extrusion (Scheme 1C). Moreover, with *ortho*-substituted cinnamic acid substrates, *Z*-isomers could be obtained in high selectivity. The corresponding *E*-isomer could be accessed as well via continuous-flow processing through accurate control of the reaction time. To the best of our knowledge, having access to both stereoisomers simply by changing the reactor is never reported before and constitutes a powerful approach to tune reaction selectivity for photoredox catalysis.

Table 1. Reaction discovery and optimization studies for the photocatalytic difluoromethylation of cinnamic acids.<sup>*a*</sup>

CF2CO2Et

fac-Ir(ppy)3(1 mol%)

,\_со₂н

1a	+ EtO <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>2</sub> C—Br -	Solvent / 2 equiv base blue LEDs, rt, 24 h	+ 💭	CF <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Et (Z)-3a
Entry	Base	Solvent	Yield <sup>b</sup>	E/Z <sup>b</sup>
1 <sup><i>d</i></sup>	KOAc	0.2 M CH <sub>3</sub> CN	31 %	52:48
2	KOAc	0.2 M EtOH	44 %	57:43
3	KOAc	o.2 M 1,4-dioxane	6o %	51:49
4	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	o.2 M 1,4-dioxane	46 %	71:29
5	2,6- lutidine	o.2 M 1,4-dioxane	70 %	46:54
6	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	o.2 M 1,4-dioxane	75 %	75:25
7 <sup>c,d</sup>	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	o.2 M 1,4-dioxane	83 %	50:50
<b>8</b> <sup><i>d</i></sup>	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	o.1 M 1,4-dioxane	<b>68</b> %	94:6
Entry	Change from best conditions (entry 5)		Yield <sup>b</sup>	E/Z <sup>b</sup>
9	No light		o %	-
10	No	Photocatalyst	o %	-

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> (1 mol%), cinnamic acid 1 (0.2 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.4 mmol), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate 2 (0.6 mmol), solvent (2 mL, 0.1 M), blue LEDs (3.12 W), room temperature, argon atmosphere, stirred for 24 hours. <sup>*b*</sup>Yield and *E/Z* values are determined with <sup>19</sup>F-NMR using  $\alpha,\alpha,\alpha$ -trifluorotoluene as internal standard. <sup>*c*</sup>IO equivalents H2O were added. <sup>*d*</sup> Reported yields are those of isolated compounds; E/Z values are determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of isolated products.

Building on our recent experience with the direct trifluoromethylation of styrenes,<sup>10a</sup> we commenced our investigations by using *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> as the photocatalyst (Table 1). The targeted product could be obtained in a 31% yield and with an E/Z ratio of 52:48 using 3 equivalents of ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** and KOAc as a base (Table 1, Entry 1). Interestingly, no metal co-catalyst nor hypervalent iodine reagent (HIR) was required to facilitate the  $CO_2$ extrusion. The rather poor E/Z selectivity can be explained due to the high triplet energy level of the *fac*- Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> photocatalyst ( $E_T = 2.41$  eV).<sup>12</sup> Consequently, a triplet-triplet energy transfer occurs leading to an erosion of the stereoselectivity.<sup>11</sup> Various solvents and bases were subsequently screened (Table 1, Entries 1 to 6); the best results were obtained using 1,4 dioxane as a solvent and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> as the base. Addition of water provided an improved yield but a decreased selectivity (Table 1, Entry 7). Optimal results were obtained when the concentration was reduced to 0.1 M leading to a 68% yield and an excellent E/Z selectivity (94:6) (Table 1, Entry 8). Lastly, control experiments confirmed the photocatalytic nature of our transformation, as no reaction was observed in the absence of photocatalyst and/or light (Table 1, Entries 9 and 10).

Table 2. Decarboxylative difluoromethylation: Scopeof meta and para substituted cinnamic Acids.



<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: cinnamic acid **1** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** (0.6 mmol, 3.0 equiv), *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> (1 mol%), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL), Argon, blue LEDs (3.12 W), 24 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Reported yields are those of isolated compounds; E/Z values are determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of isolated products. <sup>*c*</sup>*fac*-Ir(*t*Buppy)<sub>3</sub> was used as the photocatalyst. <sup>*d*</sup>reaction time, 30 h. <sup>*e*</sup> Due to the limited solubility of the substrate, the yield is lower for compound **30**. However, the yield could be increased by recycling the unreacted starting material.

Having identified the optimal reaction conditions for the photocatalytic difluoromethylation of cinnamic acid, we aimed to define the reaction scope (**Table 2**). Our protocol was found to readily accommodate a variety of *para* and *meta* substituted cinnamic acids, including electron

neutral (3a-3d), electron donating (3e-3g) and electron withdrawing substituents (3h-3n). Overall, the E/Z ratio was good to excellent for all these examples. In addition, the presence of halogens was well tolerated providing opportunities for further decoration of the molecule, e.g. via cross coupling (3k-3n). Also, heterocyclic substrates, such as pyridine (30) and thiophene (3p), were found to be competent substrates. The pyridine analogue displayed an excellent E/Z selectivity (99:1), while the thiophene one was obtained with a lower stereoselectivity (61:39). Extended conjugation, e.g. for (2E, 4E)-5-phenylpenta-2,4-dienoic acid, was tolerated as well delivering the corresponding product (3q) in good yield and excellent selectivity ( $8_1$ %,  $9_{9:1}$ ). Also,  $\beta$ -substituted cinnamic acids, e.g. 1,1-diphenylethylene (3r), could be successfully subjected to our reaction conditions resulting in a good isolated vield (81% vield). The lower E/Z selectivity in some cases prompted us to evaluate the efficacy of  $fac-Ir(tBuppy)_{2}$ . This photocatalyst was recently reported by Weaver *et al.* and was shown to lower the energy transfer rate due to the increased steric bulk.<sup>11a</sup> However, while an increase in yield was observed, the E/Z selectivity only marginally improved (Table 2, 3b and 3p).

However, when ortho-substituted cinnamic acids were evaluated, a selectivity switch was observed towards the Z product (Table 3, Entry 1). Interestingly, the use of fac- $Ir(tBuppy)_3$  completely altered the selectivity (Table 3, Entry 2), confirming the observations of Weaver et al."a We were delighted to find that an increase in concentration resulted in an improvement in both yield and selectivity (Table 3, Entry 1 and 3). A further increase in catalyst loading and concentration contributed to an enhancement of the selectivity towards the Z isomer (Table 3, Entry 4-6). Kinetic experiments revealed that the thermodynamically more stable E-isomer was formed first, after which the Z-isomer was obtained via a triplet-triplet energy transfer mechanism (TTET) (See Supporting information).<sup>11b</sup> Consequently, it should theoretically be possible to stop the reaction before E/Z isomerization occurs. In order to obtain high conversions in a short amount of time, we turned our attention to the use of continuous-flow microreactors which allow to accelerate photocatalytic reactions due to an improved irradiation profile and enhanced mass transfer characteristics (Table 3, Entries 7-11).<sup>13, 14</sup> In flow, the reaction time could be reduced significantly resulting in a reversed *E*/*Z* selectivity (Table 3, Entry 7). An increase in catalyst loading and concentration could further reduce the reaction time to 15 minutes resulting in an excellent *E* selectivity (62%, 92:8)(Table 3, Entry 11).15 Longer residence times lead to an increase in yield but an erosion of the selectivity (Table 3, Entry 8 and 9). However, it should be noted that it was possible to recover the starting material quantitatively, which can be subsequently reintroduced into the flow reactor obtaining higher overall conversions while maintaining a high stereoselectivity (see Table, 5g and 3p).

Table 3. Optimization studies for the photocatalytic difluoromethylation of ortho-substituted cinnamic acids in batch <sup>*a*</sup> or continuous-flow<sup>*b*</sup>



Entry	Conc.	<i>fac</i> -Ir(ppy) <sub>3</sub>	Reaction Time	Yield <sup>c</sup>	$E/Z^{c}$				
Batch conditions <sup>a</sup>									
1	0.1 M	1 mol%	24 h	67 %	21:79				
$2^d$	0.1 M	1 mol%	24 h	6o %	79:21				
3	0.2 M	1 mol%	24 h	86 %	15:85				
4	0.2 M	3 mol%	24 h	88 %	10:90				
<b>5</b> <sup>e</sup>	0.5 M	3 mol%	24 h	77 %	6:94				
6 <sup>e</sup>	1.0 M	2 mol%	24 h	57 %	5:95				
Continuous-Flow conditions <sup>b</sup>									
7	0.05 M	o.5 mol%	2 h	51 %	75:25				
8	0.1 M	o.5 mol%	2 h	68 %	68:32				
9	0.1 M	1.0 mol%	2 h	46 %	26:74				
10	0.1 M	1.5 mol%	0.5 h	55 %	78:22				
11 <sup>e</sup>	0.15 M	1.0 mol%	0.25 h	<b>62</b> %	92:8				

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions in batch: fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>, (*E*)-3-(o-tolyl)acrylic acid **4a** (o.2 mmol), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** (o.6 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (o.4 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>O(3.0 mmol), 1,4-dioxane, blue LEDs (3.12 W), room temperature, argon atmosphere, stirred for 24 hours; <sup>*b*</sup>Reaction conditions in continuous flow: : fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> (1 mol%), (*E*)-3-(o-tolyl)acrylic acid **4a**(1.0 mmol), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** (3.0 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (2.0 mmol), 1,4-dioxane/EtOH (v/v 5:1, 6.7 mL, o.15 M), blue LEDs (3.12 W), room temperature, argon atmosphere. <sup>c</sup>Yield and *E/Z* values are determined with <sup>19</sup>F-**NMR** using  $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$ -trifluorotoluene as internal standard. <sup>*d*</sup>*fac*-Ir(*t*Buppy)<sub>3</sub> was used as the photocatalyst. <sup>c</sup>Reported yields are those of isolated compounds; *E/Z* values are determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of isolated products.

Next, a diverse set of ortho-substituted cinnamic acids were examined in both batch and flow (Table 4). Cinnamic acids bearing electron-neutral (5a), electrondonating (5b-d) and electron-withdrawing substituents (5e) could be difluoromethylated in high Z-selectivity in batch, while the corresponding *E*-isomer could be readily accessed via continuous-flow processing. Also, ohalogenated cinnamic acids were competent substrates (5f-i). Enhanced selectivity for the Z-isomer was observed with increasing steric bulk (F < Cl < Br). Interestingly, when both ortho positions are occupied with bulky groups (e.g. 5k-5l), a high Z-selectivity was observed which could not be revoked via continuous-flow processing. This observation highlights the need for sterical bulk in the ortho-position to access the Z-stereoisomer. Furthermore, in the case of  $\beta$ -methyl substituted cinnamic acids, a similar trend in the selectivity was observed

due to the steric effect of the  $\beta$ -substituent (**5m-o**). Finally, substrates with a low *E*-selectivity in batch (e.g. substrate **3p**) could be obtained in flow with an improved stereoselectivity.

Table 4. Decarboxylative difluoromethylation: Scope of *ortho-* and  $\beta$ -substituted cinnamic Acids in batch<sup>a</sup> or continuous-flow<sup>b</sup>.<sup>c</sup>



Flow: 40% (91:9 E/Z) 65% (91:9 E/Z)<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions in batch: fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> (3 mol%), ocinnamic acid **4** (o.2 mmol), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** (o.6 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (o.4 mmol), H<sub>2</sub>O(3.0 mmol), 1,4dioxane (o.4 mL, o.5 M), blue LEDs (3.12 W), room temperature, argon atmosphere, stirred for 24 hours; <sup>b</sup>Reaction conditions in continuous flow: fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> (1 mol%), o-cinnamic acid **4** (1.0 mmol), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** (3.0 mmol), 2,6-lutidine (2.0 mmol), 1,4-dioxane/EtOH (v/v 5:1, 6.7 mL, 0.15 M), blue LEDs (3.12 W), room temperature, argon atmosphere, residence time: 15 minutes. <sup>c</sup>Reported yields are those of isolated compounds; E/Z values are determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of isolated products. <sup>d</sup>yield based on one time starting material recycle. <sup>e</sup>10 minutes residence time. To further demonstrate the utility of our protocol, we sought to demonstrate its potential for the decarboxylative difluoromethylation of aryl propiolic acids. A small tweak of the reaction conditions (i.e. CsOAc as a base) resulted in the formation of the desired compounds in modest but synthetically useful yields (**Table 5**). Our protocol was successfully applied to *ortho*, *meta* and *para* substituted aryl propiolic acids (**7a-7l**). These findings are noteworthy because, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that propiolic acids are used as substrates for photocatalytic decarboxylation chemistry.<sup>6a-b</sup>

Table 5. Decarboxylative difluoromethylation of arylpropiolic acids.



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: aryl propiolic acid **6** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), ethyl bromodifluoroacetate **2** (0.6 mmol, 3.0 equiv), *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> (3 mol%), CsOAc (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv), H<sub>2</sub>O(2 mmol, 10 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane (1.0 mL), argon, blue LEDs (3.12 W), 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Reported yields are those of isolated compounds.

Based on the above results, we suggest a plausible mechanism for the developed transformation as outlined in Scheme 2. Photoexcitation of fac-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> upon blue irradiation results in a metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) excited state. Photoluminescence quenching experiments showed that the photoexcited fac-[Ir<sup>3+</sup>(ppy)<sub>3</sub>]\*  $(E_{1/2}^{red} [*Ir^{3+}/Ir^{4+}] = -1.72 \text{ V vs SCE})$  can be quenched by 2  $(E^{red}=-0.57 \text{ V vs SCE})^{12c}$  at a rate constant of  $1.84 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ <sup>1</sup>. Our investigations also showed that the excited photocatalyst can be guenched by cinnamate A though at a lower rate constant of  $1.24 \times 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. However, radical trapping experiments showed that only the key intermediate CF2CO2Et could be captured by BHT (2,6-di-tertbutyl-4-methylphenol) suggesting the feasibility of this oxidative quenching pathway. Next, intermolecular  $\pi$ addition of the radical to A produces the benzylic radical **B.** Single electron transfer from radical **B** to fac-[Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> affords carbocation C and facilitates subsequent CO<sub>2</sub> extrusion to yield the E stereoisomer. The formation of E isomer as both the thermodynamically and kinetically preferred isomer is supported by kinetic experiments (See Supporting Information). The Z isomer is subsequently

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

formed due to a triplet-triplet energy transfer process with *fac*-[Ir<sup>3+(</sup>ppy)<sub>3</sub>]\* ( $\tau_0$ =1.9 µs<sup>5a</sup> and E<sub>T</sub> = 2.41 eV<sup>12a,b</sup>).



**Scheme 2.** Proposed catalytic cycle for the decarboxylative difluoromethylation

In this work, we have introduced a simple yet effective photocatalytic decarboxylative protocol to prepare difluoromethylated styrenes and phenylacetylenes. In contrast to previously described methods, this procedure does not require additional metal catalysts nor hypervalent iodine reagents to facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> extrusion. The generality of our protocol is demonstrated by the broad substrate scope (difluoromethylated styrenes: 31 E-selective examples and 15 Z-selective; & difluoromethylated phenylacetylenes: 12 examples). Ortho-substituted cinnamic acids give the less stable Z-selective products. The thermodynamically favored E-stereoisomer could be readily obtained in continuous-flow through accurate control of the reaction time. Having access to both stereoisomers simply by changing the reactor is a unique and powerful approach and provides opportunities for other photocatalytic transformations.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: t.noel@tue.nl

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

X.J.W. and T.N. would like to acknowledge the European Union for a Marie Curie ITN Grant (Photo4Future, Grant No. 641861). We also acknowledge the Dutch Science Foundation (NWO) for a VIDI grant for T.N. (SensPhotoFlow, No. 14150). We would like to thank Ir. Koen P. L. Kuijpers and Cecilia Bottecchia for their help in the mechanistic part of this manuscript.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

TTET = triplet-triplet energy transfer.

SET = single electron transfer

#### REFERENCES

1. (a) Zhou, Y.; Wang, J.; Gu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W.; Acena, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 422-518. (b) Yerien, D. E.; Bonesi, S.; Postigo, A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2016**, *14*, 8398-8427. (c) Gillis, E. P.; Eastman, K. J.; Hill, M. D.; Donnelly, D. J.; Meanwell, N. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, 58, 8315-8359. (d) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 320-330. (e) Mueller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science **2007**, 317, 1881-1886.

2. (a) Alonso, C.; Martínez de Marigorta, E.; Rubiales, G.; Palacios, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 1847-1935. (b) Lu, Y.; Liu, C.; Chen, Q.-Y. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *19*, 1638-1650. (c) Merino, E.; Nevado, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6598-6608. (d) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. *Nature* **2011**, *473*, 470-477.

3. (a) N. A. Meanwell, *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 2529-2591; b) Erickson, J. A.; McLoughlin, J. I. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 1626-1631.

4. Chatterjee, T.; Iqbal, N.; You, Y.; Cho, E. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2284-2294.

5. (a) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5322-5363. (b) Lu, Z.; Yoon, T. P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10329-10332.

6. (a) Wei, Y.; Hu, P.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8864-8907. (b) Jin, Y.; Fu, H. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2017, 6, 368 – 385. (c) Xuan, J.; Zhang, Z.-G.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15632-15641. (d) Rodriguez, N.; Goossen, L. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5030-5048.

7. For selected papers on metal-catalyzed and -mediated decarboxylative synthesis of difluoromethylated olefins: (a) Li, G.; Wang, T.; Fei, F.; Su, Y.-M.; Li, Y.; Lan, Q.; Wang, X.-S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 3491-3495. (b) Chen, Q.; Wang, C.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Y.; Xu, Z.; Wang, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 2639-2645. (c) Li, G.; Cao, Y.-X.; Luo, C.-G.; Su, Y.-M.; Li, Y.; Lan, Q.; Wang, X.-S. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 4806-4809. (d) Pannecoucke, X.; Poisson, T. *Synlett* **2016**, *27*, 2314-2326. (e) Li, Z.; Cui, Z.; Liu, Z.-Q. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 406-409. (f) He, Z.; Luo, T.; Hu, M.; Cao, Y.; Hu, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3944-3947.

8. For selected papers on photocatalytic decarboxylative perfluoroalkylation of olefins: (a) Zhang, H.-R.; Chen, D.-Q.; Han, Y.-P.; Qiu, Y.-F.; Jin, D.-P.; Liu, X.-Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 11827-11830. (b) Xu, P.; Abdukader, A.; Hu, K.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 2308-2310.

9. For hypervalent iodine-assisted decarboxylation, see: Huang, H.; Jia, K.; Chen, Y. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 4983-4988. (b) Huang, H.; Jia, K.; Chen, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 1881-1884. (c) Huang, H.; Zhang, G.; Chen, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 7872-7876.

10. While the Z isomer is thermodynamically favored, high Z selectivies remain challenging via photoredox catalysis, see: (a) Straathof, N. J. W.; Cramer, S. E.; Hessel, V.; Noel, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 15549-15553. (b) Honeker, R.; Garza-Sanchez, R. A.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, 22, 4395-4399. (c) Roh, G.-b.; Iqbal, N.; Cho, E. J. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2016**, 34, 459-464. (d) Yu, C.; Iqbal, N.; Park, S.; Cho, E. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, 50, 12884-12887. (e) Iqbal, N.; Jung, J.; Park, S.; Cho, E. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 539-542. (f) Wei, X.-J.; Yang, D.-T.; Wang, L.; Song, T.; Wu, L.-Z.; Liu, Q. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 6054-6057. (g) Nguyen, J. D.; D'Amato, E. M.; Narayanam, J. M. R. Stephenson, C. R. J. *Nature Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 854-859.

11. For photocatalytic Z-selective alkene synthesis, see: (a) Singh, A.; Fennell, C. J.; Weaver, J. D. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 6796-6802. (b) Metternich, J. B.; Gilmour, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 1040-1045. (c) Fabry, D. C.; Ronge, M. A.; Rueping, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 5350-5354. (d) Metternich, J. B.; Gilmour, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 11254-11257. (e) Singh, K.; Staig, S. J.; Weaver, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5275-5278. (f) Lin, Q.-Y.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F.-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 10434-10446. For a recent review on this topic, see: Metternich, J. B.; Gilmour, R. Syn*lett* **2016**, *27*, 2541-2552.

12. (a) Flamigni, L.; Barbieri, A.; Sabatini, C.; Ventura, B.; Barigelletti, F. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2007**, *281*, 143-203. (b) Chen, F.-C.; He, G.; Yang, Y. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *82*, 1006-1008. (c) Jung, J.; Kim, E.; You, Y.; Cho, E. J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 2741-2748.

### Table of content entry:

13. For selected reviews describing the benefits of flow on photochemistry, see: (a) *Photochemical processes in continuous-flow reactors*, Noel T. Ed., World Scientific Publishing, London, **2017**, 271 pp. (b) Cambié, D.; Bottecchia, C.; Straathof, N. J. W.; Hessel, V.; Noel, T. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 10276-10341. (c) Plutschack, M. B.; Correia, C. A.; Seeberger, P. H.; Gilmore, K. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **2016**, *57*, 43-76.

14. Y. Su, K. Kuijpers, N. Koenig, M. Shang, V. Hessel, T. Noel, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 12295-12300.

15. For enhanced selectivity in continuous-flow microreactors and the rationale behind it, see: (a) Noel, T.; Su, Y.; Hessel, V. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **2016**, *57*, 1-42. (b) Hartman, R. L.; McMullen, J. P.; Jensen, K. F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7502-7519. (c) Yoshida, J.-i.; Nagaki, A.; Iwasaki, T.; Suga, S. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* **2005**, *28*, 259-266.