results in the stabilization of ethyl thiolate with respect to C-S bond cleavage. Low-temperature C-S bond cleavage at low exposure ultimately results in nonselective decomposition to surface carbon and sulfur. At high coverage, the activation energy for decomposition becomes sufficiently high so that hydrocarbon formation competes effectively with nonselective decomposition.

Registry No. Mo, 7439-98-7; C₂H₅SH, 75-08-1.

Kinetics of CO Oxidation on Single-Crystal Pd, Pt, and Ir

Paul J. Berlowitz,[†] Charles H. F. Peden,^{*} and D. Wayne Goodman

Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185 (Received: January 12, 1988)

The activity of Pt(100), Pd(110), Ir(111), and Ir(110) single-crystal catalysts for CO oxidation has been studied as a function of temperature and partial pressure of O_2 and CO in a high-pressure reactor-ultra-high-vacuum surface analysis apparatus over the temperature range 425-725 K and pressure range 0.1-600 Torr. The specific rates and the partial pressure dependencies determined for the single crystals are in excellent agreement with results obtained previously for high surface area supported catalysts, demonstrating the structure insensitivity of this reaction. The single-crystal catalysts exhibit simple Arrhenius behavior over most of the temperature range studied, and the observed activation energies lie between 22 and 33 kcal/mol, close to the desorption energy of CO from these surfaces. These results are consistent with the generally accepted model in which the surface is primarily covered with CO and the reaction rate is controlled by the desorption of CO. Deviation from Arrhenius behavior below 500 K for Pt is interpreted as a change in the reaction mechanism. Under highly oxidizing conditions surfaces of both Pd and Ir show negative-order dependence on O_2 partial pressure, indicating the presence of a strongly bound oxygen species. The oxygen species was similar to surface oxide formed by deliberate oxidation and could be detected as CO_2 desorbing at high temperatures in postreaction temperature-programmed desorption. Oxide formed by oxidation of the Pd and Ir samples prior to high-pressure reaction was only stable to 475 K on Pd(110) in an 11:1 O2:CO mixture and to 500 K on Ir(111) in an 80:1 O2:CO mixture. Deliberate oxidation resulted in a rate decrease but did not affect the activation energy significantly, indicating that the oxide served merely as a simple site blocker. Negative-order dependence in O_2 pressure was not observed for Pt, which could not be oxidized under reaction conditions.

Introduction

07036.

The oxidation of CO by O_2 over group VIII metal catalysts has been the subject of a large body of ultra-high-vacuum (UHV) surface science and high-pressure catalysis work due to its importance in pollution control.¹ Currently, the removal of CO as CO_2 from automobile exhaust is accomplished by catalytic converters which employ a supported Pt, Pd, and Rh catalyst. This has led to numerous recent studies of the kinetics of this reaction on supported metal catalysts²⁻⁷ and transient kinetic studies on polycrystalline foils,⁸⁻¹³ which have sought to identify and quantify the parameters of the elementary mechanistic steps in CO oxidation.

The relative simplicity of this reaction makes CO oxidation an ideal model system of a heterogeneous catalytic reaction. Each of the mechanistic steps, adsorption and desorption of the reactants, surface reaction, and desorption of products, has been probed extensively with surface science techniques, as has the interaction between adsorbed O atoms and CO molecules.¹⁴⁻²⁷ These studies have provided essential information necessary for understanding the elementary processes which occur in CO oxidation.

Recent reviews by Engel and Ertl have summarized most of the chemisorption and low-pressure catalytic findings.²⁸ In general, the reaction proceeds through a Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism involving adsorbed CO and O atoms. Under reaction conditions typical in most high-pressure supported catalyst studies, and most low-pressure (UHV) studies on model catalysts, the surface is almost entirely covered by CO, and the reaction rate is determined by the rate of desorption of CO. As first determined by Langmuir for Pt wire catalysts,²⁹ the observed activation energy is close to the binding energy of adsorbed CO. Oxygen can only adsorb at sites where CO has desorbed, leading to first-order

⁺Present address: Exxon Research and Engineering Co., Linden, NJ

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

dependence in oxygen pressure, negative-first-order dependence in CO partial pressure, and zero-order total pressure dependence.

- (1) Kummer, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 4747.
- (2) Cant, N. W.; Hicks, P. C.; Lennon, B. S. J. Catal. 1978, 54, 372.
 (3) (a) Kiss, J. T.; Gonzalez, R. D. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 892. (b) Kiss,
- J. T.; Gonzalez, R. D. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 898. (4) Cant, N. W.; Angove, D. E. J. Catal. 1986, 97, 36.
- (5) Shishu, R. C.; Kowalczyk, L. S. Platinum Met. Rev. 1974, 18, 58.
- (6) Voltz, S. E.; Morgan, C. R.; Liederman, D.; Jacob, S. M. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1973, 12, 294.
- (7) Nicholas, D. M.; Shah, Y. T. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 1976, 15, 35.
- (8) Matsushima, T. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 202.
 (9) Kawai, M.; Onishi, T.; Tamaru, K. Appl. Surf. Sci. 1981, 8, 361. (10) McCarthy, E.; Zahradnik, J.; Kuczynski, G. C.; Carberry, J. J. J. Catal. 1975, 39, 29.
- (11) (a) Matsushima, T.; White, J. M. J. Catal. 1975, 39, 265. (b) Matsushima, T.; Mussett, C. J.; White, J. M. J. Catal. 1976, 41, 397. (c) White, J. M.; Golchet, A. J. Chem. Phys. 1977, 66, 5744.
 (12) (a) Matsushima, T. J. Catal. 1978, 55, 337. (b) Matsushima, T. Surf.
- Sci. 1979, 79, 63. (c) Matsushima, T. Surf. Sci. 1979, 87, 665.
 (13) Hori, G. K.; Schmidt, L. D. J. Catal. 1975, 38, 335.
- (14) Taylor, J. L.; Ibbotson, D. E.; Weinberg, W. H. Surf. Sci. 1979, 90, 37.
- (15) Hagen, D. I.; Nieuwenhuys, B. E.; Rovida, G.; Somorjai, G. A. Surf. Sci. 1976, 57, 632.
- (16) Kuppers, J.; Plagge, A. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1976, 13, 259.
- (17) (a) Zhdan, P. A.; Boreskov, G. D.; Boronin, A. I.; Schepelin, A. P.;
 Withrow, S. P.; Weinberg, W. H. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 1979, *3*, 145. (b) Zhdan,
 P. A.; Boreskov, G. K.; Egelhoff, W. F., Jr.; Weinberg, W. H. *Surf. Sci.* 1976, 61, 377
 - (18) Stuve, E. M.; Madix, R. J.; Brundle, C. R. Surf. Sci. 1984, 146, 155. (19) Gland, J. L.; Kollin, E. B. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 78, 963
 - (20) Conrad, H.; Ertl, G.; Kuppers, J. Surf. Sci. 1978, 76, 323
 - (21) Mantell, D. A.; Ryali, S. B.; Haller, G. L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1983,
- 102, 37 (22) Akhter, S.; White, J. M. Surf. Sci. 1986, 171, 527.
- (23) (a) Engel, T.; Ertl, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1978, 69, 1267. (b) Engel, T. J. Chem. Phys. 1978, 69, 373.
- (24) Matolin, V.; Gillet, E.; Gillet, M. Surf. Sci. 1985, 162, 354.

These features have allowed many of the reaction parameters determined in UHV to be applied directly to the kinetics at higher pressures.30

Models based on chemisorption and kinetic parameters determined in surface science studies have been successful at predicting most of the observed high-pressure behavior. Recently, Oh et al.³⁰ have developed a mathematical model for CO oxidation by O_2 or NO on Rh which correctly predicts the absolute rates, activation energy, and partial pressure dependence. Similarly, studies by Schmidt and co-workers of the $CO + O_2$ reaction on $Rh(111)^{31}$ and CO + NO on polycrystalline Pt^{32} have demonstrated the applicability of steady-state measurements in UHV and relatively high (1 Torr) pressures in determining the reaction mechanisms and kinetic parameters.

Recently, work in this laboratory on the steady-state reaction kinetics at high pressure over Ru(0001)³³ and Rh(111) and Rh-(100) single crystals³⁴ and field emitter tips³⁵ has been performed. The single crystals exhibited rates and activation energies which were almost identical with measurements over supported metal catalysts.^{2,3,30} In addition, discrepancies between UHV and high-pressure measurements of the activity of Ru surfaces were successfully explained by studying the oxidation behavior of the Ru surface. These studies have convincingly demonstrated the applicability and advantages of model single-crystal studies, which combine UHV surface analysis techniques with high-pressure kinetic measurements, in the elucidation of reaction mechanisms over supported catalysts.

The present study examines the oxidation of CO by O_2 in a combined high-pressure reaction-UHV surface analysis system. A wide range of temperatures and O_2 :CO ratios are employed, with emphasis on comparisons between previous work on high surface area catalysts and the single crystals in this study. The effects of catalyst oxidation and implications for rate oscillations previously observed on Pt, Pd, and Ir polycrystalline catalysts^{36,37} are discussed.

Experimental Section

The apparatus used in these experiments has been described previously.³⁸ Briefly, the system consists of a UHV surface analysis chamber equipped with Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), a quadrupole mass spectrometer for temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), and an ion sputter gun, contiguous to a high-pressure reaction chamber. The single-crystal samples were mounted on the arm of a retractable bellows, allowing the sample to be transferred in vacuo between the two chambers. The Pt(100), Ir(110), and Pd(110) crystals measured 0.92 cm in diameter by 0.11 cm thick. The Ir(111) sample was elliptically shaped, measuring 0.75 cm by 0.55 cm by 0.3 mm thick. The samples were heated resistively by two high-purity, 0.51-mm tungsten leads spot-welded to the back of the crystal; sample

- (27) Campbell, C. T.; Ertl, G.; Kuipers, H.; Segner, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1974, 60, 1453. (27) Campbell, C. T.; Ertl, G.; Kuipers, H.; Segner, J. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 5862.
- (28) (a) Engel, T.; Ertl, G. Adv. Catal. 1979, 28, 1. (b) Engel, T.; Ertl, G. In The Chemical Physics of Solid Surfaces and Heterogeneous Catalysts; King, D. A., Woodruff, D. P., Eds.; Elsevier: Holland, 1982; Vol. 4.
- (29) Langmuir, I. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1921-22, 17, 621 (30) Oh, S. H.; Fisher, G. B.; Carpenter, J. E.; Goodman, D. W. J. Catal.
- 1986, 100, 360. (31) Schwartz, S. B.; Schmidt, L. D.; Fisher, G. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1986,
- 90, 6194
- (32) Klein, R. L.; Schwartz, S.; Schmidt, L. D. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 4908
- (33) Peden, C. H. F.; Goodman, D. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 1360.
 (34) (a) Peden, C. H. F.; Goodman, D. W.; Blair, D. S.; Berlowitz, P. J.;
 Fisher, G. B.; Oh, S. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1988, 92, 1563. (b) Goodman, D.
 W.; Peden, C. H. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 4839.
- (35) (a) Kellogg, G. L. J. Catal. 1985, 92, 167. (b) Kellogg, G. L. Surf.
- Sci. 1986, 171, 359 (36) Turner, J. E.; Sales, B. C.; Maple, M. B. Surf. Sci. 1981, 103, 54.
 (37) Turner, J. E.; Sales, B. C.; Maple, M. B. Surf. Sci. 1981, 109, 591. (38) Goodman, D. W.; Kelley, R. D.; Madey, T. E.; Yates, J. T., Jr. J. Catal. 1980, 63, 226.



Figure 1. Specific rates of reaction (turnover frequencies) as a function of inverse temperature for single-crystal and supported² catalysts.

temperature was measured by a 0.08-mm chromel-alumel thermocouple spot-welded to the sample edge.

AES was used to characterize the cleanliness of the samples. In addition, the cleanliness of the Pt(100) sample was checked for carbon impurities by O_2 adsorption/desorption and for Si and Ca impurities by high-temperature oxidation (1123 K, 1×10^{-7} Torr of O₂).³⁹ The Pd crystal was cleaned by placing it in the reactor with 8 Torr of CO and 8 Torr of O₂ and heating to 600 K for 1-2 min. One to three cycles of this treatment produced a clean surface. Large carbon impurities were cleaned from both Ir samples by oxidation in 1×10^{-5} Torr of O₂ at 1000 K for 5–10 min, followed by a 3-min anneal in vacuo to 1600 K. Small quantities of carbon were removed from the Ir samples by reaction in 8 Torr of O₂ and 4 Torr of CO for 2 min at 600-625 K, followed by a brief flash to 1600 K. The Pt sample was cleaned by sputtering at 1100 K in 5×10^{-5} Torr of Ar for 30 min (1.0-kV beam energy) to remove Si and Ca impurities; this was followed by heating in 0.1 Torr of O_2 at 1100 K for 30 min and then annealing at 1300 K in vacuo. Several cycles of this procedure produced a clean Pt surface which could not be oxidized under UHV conditions at high temperature. No carbon was detectable either in AES or as CO_2 desorbing from the surface in TPD experiments performed in an O_2 ambient (10⁻⁷ Torr).

Gas chromatography with flame ionization detection was used to analyze the reaction products. CO and CO₂ were catalytically converted to methane before analysis. Rates of reaction are expressed as turnover frequencies (TOF), defined as the number of CO₂ molecules produced per active metal site per second. For Pd and Ir, the entire crystal (front, back, and edge) was counted in the determination of the total number of sites; for Pt, only the front face was included, as the back and edge of the crystal were not subjected to the sputter cleaning procedure. Research grade CO (99.99%) and O_2 (99.995%) were supplied by Matheson. CO was further purified before use by slowly passing it through a molecular sieve trap at 77 K. No metal carbonyls (e.g., $Ni(CO)_4$) were detected in any experiment in postreaction AES analysis.

The experimental procedure has been described in detail previously.^{34a} Briefly, after cleaning and characterization by AES, the sample is removed to the reactor, which is charged with reactants. Most experiments were performed with 16 Torr of CO and 8 Torr of O_2 . The sample is heated to the desired temperature for a specified time, the products are then allowed to mix for 15

 ⁽²⁵⁾ Matsushima, T.; Asada, H. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 85, 1658.
 (26) Palmer, R. L.; Smith, J. N., Jr. J. Chem. Phys. 1974, 60, 1453.



Figure 2. Effect of particle size on the CO_2 formation rate on Ir/SiO_2^2 and Ir single-crystal catalysts.

TABLE I: Activation Energies

catalyst	temp, K	$E_{\rm a}$, kcal/mol	ref	
Ir(110)	425-625	21.9	this work	
Ir(111)	425-625	22.1	this work	
Ir/SiO ₂	425-475	24.6	2	
Pd(110)	475-625	33.1	this work	
Pd(110)	<460	26.0	this work	
Pd/SiO_2	400-450	24.6	2	
Pt(100)	500-725	32.9	this work	
Pt wire	500-800	31.8	29	
Pt(100)	<440	13.0	this work	
Pt/SiO_2	<450	13.4	2	
Pt/Aerosil	273-355	8-12	40	
Pt/SiO_2	310-322	12	4 1	

min, and, finally, an aliquot of the product mixture is analyzed by the GC. The reactor is then pumped out, and the sample is returned to the UHV chamber for postreaction analysis.

Results

Steady-State Kinetics on Clean Surfaces. The CO₂ formation rate as a function of inverse temperature is shown in Figure 1 and compared to rate data obtained on several supported metal catalysts.² All of the single-crystal data shown are for a 1:2 O₂:CO mixture at a total pressure of 24 Torr. At this pressure the reaction rate is zero-order in total pressure. The structure insensitivity of this reaction is evidenced in the match between supported, nonoriented catalysts and the single crystals and in the equal rates observed for Ir(110) and (111) single crystals. However, note that the single-crystal Ir rates are compared to the least dispersed Ir catalyst in ref 2; catalysts with higher dispersion (Figure 2) exhibited substantially lower rates. The observed activation energies are summarized in Table I and compared with those obtained by Cant et al.² and others.^{29,40,41} At temperatures below 450 K (the temperature range in which the supported catalyst data were obtained) the agreement between single crystals and high surface area supported catalysts is excellent.

Figures 3 and 4 show the reaction rate dependence on CO partial pressure for Pd and Ir, respectively. In these experiments the oxygen pressure is held constant and the CO pressure allowed to vary. For both metals, to a O_2 :CO ratio of roughly 12:1 the reaction is first-order in CO partial pressure; below this ratio the reaction becomes negative-first-order in CO partial pressure.

For Pt(100) the order of the reaction in CO partial pressure changes with temperature (see Figure 5b). In the range where the activation energy is changing (425-490 K, Figure 5a), the



Figure 3. CO partial pressure dependence at constant oxygen pressure and 525 K on Pd(110). The maximum rate occurs at an O_2 :CO ratio of 12:1 for both oxygen pressures.



Figure 4. CO partial pressure dependence on Ir(111) at a constant oxygen pressure of 20 Torr and a temperature of 525 K. The maximum rate occurs at an O₂:CO ratio of 12:1. The reaction order in CO is 0.9 \pm 0.2 below the saddle point and -1.0 ± 0.1 above the saddle point.

reaction order changes from 0.0 to -0.6. Above 500 K it continues to become more negative, rapidly approaching negative-first-order. At no O₂:CO ratio, up to 200:1, did the reaction become positive-order in CO pressure, as is the case for Pd and Ir.

For Pd and Ir the reaction order in O_2 (Figures 6 and 7, respectively) is generally positive-first-order. Above an O_2 :CO ratio of 12:1, the same ratio at which the CO order changes from negative to positive, the reaction rate begins to decrease, becoming negative-order in O_2 partial pressures. For example, at extremely high O_2 :CO ratios the order of reaction in O_2 was -0.7 ± 0.2 on Ir(111). As shown in Figure 6, changing the CO pressure at a constant temperature only shifts the curve—the maximum rate, and the ratio at which the rate turns over, remain unchanged. When the temperature is changed, as in Figure 7, the O_2 :CO ratio at which the rate varies from first-order oxygen dependence changes somewhat (from $\approx 12/1$ to $\approx 16/1$) as does the order of reaction.

The oxygen pressure dependence on Pt(100), displayed in Figure 8, shows only positive-first-order behavior. From O₂:CO ratios of 1:5 to at least 150:1, and temperatures from 475 to 650 K, no decrease in the order of reaction is observed. The range of O₂:CO ratios studied at a given temperature was limited to TOF's at which only differential conversion (<5%) of the CO occurred within the time frame of an experiment.

The reaction orders determined in this study are summarized in Table II and compared with those for several other Pt, Pd, and Ir catalysts.^{2,5,7,29} The discrepancies in the reported results on Pt

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Heyne, H.; Tompkins, F. C. Proc. R. Soc. London, A 1966, 292, 460.
(41) Cant, N. W.; Donaldson, R. A. J. Catal. 1981, 70, 320.



Figure 5. CO partial pressure dependence as a function of temperature at constant oxygen pressures on Pt(100). (a) The Arrhenius curve for CO oxidation on Pt(100) (reproduced from Figure 1) is shown to illustrate the temperature regimes in which (b) the CO pressure dependence data were obtained.



Figure 6. O_2 partial pressure dependence on Pd(110) at 525 K, and CO partial pressures of 4 and 16 Torr. Increasing the CO pressure shifts the curve but does not change the maximum rate, the reaction order in O_2 (1.0 ± 0.1), or the O_2 :CO ratio at which the maximum occurs (roughly 12:1).



Figure 7. O_2 partial pressure dependence on Ir(111) at 483 and 550 K. The increase in temperature results in a small change in the O_2 reaction order from 1.1 ± 0.2 to 0.8 ± 0.1 and in the O_2 :CO ratio at the maximum rate from 16:1 to 12:1.



Figure 8. O_2 partial pressure dependence on Pt(100) at several pressures and temperatures. In all cases the reaction order is 1.0 ± 0.1 .

TABLE II: Reaction Orders

	reaction order			
catalysts	in CO ^a	in O_2^a	ref	
Ir(110)	-0.9 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2	this work	
5% Ir/SiO ₂	-0.8 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.3	2	
Pd(110)	-1.0 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	this work	
5% Pd/SiO ₂	-0.8 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.2	2	
Pt(100)	0.0 to -0.6 ^b	1.0 ± 0.1	this work	
Pt(100)	$-0.9 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	1.0 ± 0.1	this work	
5% Pt/SiO ₂	-0.2 ± 0.2^{d}	0.9 ± 0.1	2	
Pt/fiber glass	-0.28 ^e	0.9	7	
Pt/monolith	-0.5^{e}	1.0	5	
Pt wire	-1.0 ^f	1.0	29	

^{*a*} For CO:O₂ mixtures close to stoichiometric. ^{*b*} For temperatures of 425-500 K. ^{*c*} For temperatures above 550 K. ^{*d*} For temperatures below 450 K. ^{*e*} For temperatures of 475-650 K at atmospheric pressure. ^{*f*} For temperatures of 500-700 K at 10^{-3} Torr.

will be addressed in the discussion.

Effect of Oxidation on Catalytic Activity. Oxidation and reduction have been proposed as a possible mechanism for oscillations in the CO oxidation reaction rate for Pd, Pt, and Ir catalysts.^{36,37,42} As such, oxidation of the single crystals used in



Figure 9. TPD of CO_2 following reaction of unoxidized and oxidized Ir(111) surfaces (see text). No molecular oxygen desorbed from these surfaces.

this study and its effects on the rate of reaction were investigated in several different experiments. The results for Ir and Pd will be discussed first, followed by the studies on Pt.

On Ir and Pd, reactions were performed at high O_2 :CO ratios and/or the crystals were preoxidized at high temperatures in O_2 in order to form a strongly bound oxygen species (referred to here as "oxide"). In the latter case, the oxide could be detected *prior* to CO- O_2 reaction by AES. Preoxidation of Pd (30-40 min at 1100 K in 1 Torr of O_2 or 20 min at 800 K in 600 Torr of O_2) and Ir (20-30 min at 725-800 K in 100 of Torr O_2) resulted in Auger spectra with O(510 eV)/Pd(330 eV) ratios of 0.1-0.15 and O(510 eV)/Ir(171 eV) ratios of 0.25-0.3, respectively. The oxygen AES signal was measured after flash desorption of all chemisorbed oxygen. Surfaces prepared by this procedure will be referred to as "oxidized".

Under no conditions was an O AES signal detected subsequent to high-pressure reaction. Note that it was necessary to heat the crystal under vacuum prior to Auger analysis in order to eliminate the contribution of adsorbed CO to the oxygen signal. However, significant changes were observed in postreaction TPD spectra of CO and CO₂ under highly oxidizing conditions which we attribute to the presence of a strongly bound oxygen species. Figure 9 displays such CO₂ TPD spectra for the Ir(111) single crystal. The CO₂ TPD spectra obtained subsequent to reaction at low (unoxidized surface) and high (oxidized surface) O₂:CO ratios are compared. When reactions were run at low temperatures and high O_2 :CO ratios, with or without preoxidation, a new CO_2 desorption feature was observed in the spectra at 765 K. A similar feature was observed at 580 K on Pd(110) for similar reaction conditions. These features are not detected for CO_2 adsorption on the clean surfaces of these metals. We assign these peaks to the reaction between the oxide and adsorbed CO remaining subsequent to high-pressure reaction. A mass 28 feature, in the same temperature range as the CO₂ peak described above, could also be detected under low-temperature, highly oxidizing conditions. However, its presence can be accounted for by the cracking of CO_2 in the ionizer of the mass spectrometer. Finally, a lower temperature mass 28 feature was present in all of the postreaction CO TPD spectra at \approx 410 and 430 K for Ir and Pd, respectively. These peaks could be assigned to the desorption of CO from clean Ir or Pd surface. Further, these features decreased in size but were not eliminated after reaction in oxidizing atmospheres (i.e., high O2:CO ratios) at low temperature.

Reactions rates (TOF's) were measured on Pd(110) and Ir(111) as a function of time under conditions in which the oxide has been reported⁴² to form on wire catalysts (O_2 :CO = 11:1, P_T = 24 Torr for Pd, O_2 :CO = 80:1, P_T = 243 Torr for Ir). The reaction rate was found to be invariant with time, and no strongly bound oxide could be detected in the postreaction AES for Pd(110) at temperatures at or above 475 K and on Ir(111) at or above 500 K.



Figure 10. Reaction rate vs time under oxidizing conditions at temperatures below 500 K for Pd(110) in 2 Torr of CO and 22 Torr of O_2 and for Ir(111) in 3 Torr of CO and 240 Torr of O_2 . The maximum time of reaction was limited by the need to maintain differential conversion of the CO reactant. Also shown (dashed lines) are the rates obtained on Pd and Ir surfaces which were oxidized (see text) prior to reaction.

In addition, TPD subsequent to reaction at these temperatures (or after reaction under stoichiometric conditions at any temperature) produced the curve labeled "unoxidized surface" in Figure 9 for Ir(111) (with similar results found for Pd as well). No species other than CO was found to desorb. Identical CO and O₂ pressures, but lower reaction temperatures, were used to obtain the activity versus time curves in Figure 10. Under these conditions the reaction rate decreases with time, eventually approaching the rates obtained on preoxidized surfaces (dashed lines). The rate of deactivation is slowed by decreasing the temperature, but the extent of deactivation (i.e., (clean surface TOF)/(oxidized surface TOF)) is greater at lower temperatures (compare Pd(110) at 460 and 440 K). As discussed earlier, a new CO₂ desorption peak was detected in TPD subsequent to reaction under these conditions.

The amount of CO_2 desorbing in postreaction TPD could be directly, though only qualitatively, related to the extent of deactivation: the larger the area of the CO_2 TPD peak, generally the lower the observed activity. The curve shown in Figure 9 is for the preoxidized surface and represents the largest amount of CO_2 observed. For the initially clean surface, increasing reaction times resulted in greater amounts of oxidation, as did decreasing temperature. Below 430 K on Pd(110) and 450 K on Ir(111), no additional CO_2 could be formed, regardless of the total reaction time, by further decreases in the temperature. The maximum amount of CO_2 which could be formed on initially clean Pd and Ir surfaces was 75–100% the amount formed on preoxidized surfaces.

If the oxidized surface of either Pd or Ir was reacted under stoichiometric, CO rich, or slightly oxygen rich conditions, the reaction rates observed were those of the clean surface. In addition, AES subsequent to reaction detected no strongly bound oxide; postreaction TPD was identical with the unoxidized surface (see Figure 9). The oxidized surface would only remain oxidized by performing reactions under highly oxidizing conditions (at or greater than the saddle point ratio of 12:1 O2:CO shown in Figures 3 and 4). An Arrhenius plot of reaction rates on clean and preoxidized Ir is shown in Figure 11. A similar result was obtained on Pd(110) (not shown). The Pd crystal would not remain oxidized if the temperature was increased above 475 K in an 11:1 O₂:CO mixture; the Ir crystal would only remain oxidized below 500 K in an 80:1 mixture. These temperatures are close to the onset of desorption of CO₂ for Pd and Ir, respectively, as discussed above (e.g., see Figure 9). While the specific rates decreased on the oxidized crystals, the activation energies were approximately equal to the values obtained on the clean surface.

The Pt(100) surface could not be reliably oxidized. At first, the oxidation conditions used were those employed by Turner and

^{(42) (}a) Sales, B. C.; Turner, J. E.; Maple, M. B. Surf. Sci. 1981, 112,
272. (b) Turner, J. E.; Maple, M. B. Surf. Sci. 1984, 147, 647.



Figure 11. Arrhenius plot of the rate of CO oxidation on clean in a stoichiometric CO/O_2 (16 and 8 Torr, respectively) reaction mixture and on oxidized Ir(111) in 3 Torr of CO and 240 Torr of O_2 . Under these latter conditions, the oxidized Ir(111) surface was stable only below 500 K.

Maple⁴² to oxidize Pt wire. These conditions, 400–675 K in 1 atm of O_2 , and harsher conditions, 800 K in 1 atm of O_2 and 1150 K in 1 Torr of O_2 , were used to oxidize the Pt single crystal. However, AES subsequent to these treatments did not show any strongly bound oxide present; TPD following CO– O_2 reaction under any conditions after these treatments detected no CO_2 desorbing.

Since platinum oxides are not stable under UHV conditions, these treatments were also applied without subsequent transfer to the UHV chamber and AES analysis. In these experiments the crystal was first heated in oxygen under the desired oxidizing conditions and then the oxygen pressure was reduced to the desired reaction pressure. Finally, CO was introduced into the reactor, and the reaction was run. Turnover frequencies determined in these experiments were at least as high, often higher, than those on the clean surface, even in 100:1 O2:CO reaction mixtures. TPD and AES subsequent to reaction detected only adsorbed CO and no strongly bound oxygen. Large initial rates have also recently been reported by Cant and Angove⁴ when oxygen was introduced to an unoxidized catalyst first, followed by CO. The large rates were attributed to nonequilibrium, low coverages of CO on the surface at the start of the reaction. Further, Burrows et al.43 observed higher reaction rates when in situ FTIR spectra could not detect adsorbed CO than when a strong peak due to chemisorbed CO was present. Finally, for several experiments a strongly bound oxide could be formed and remained stable under UHV; however, this oxygen species was always associated with small amounts of Si or Ca impurities subsequently detected by AES.

Discussion

The Arrhenius data presented in Figure 1 and Table I demonstrate the structure insensitivity of the CO oxidation reaction as oriented single crystals and nonoriented supported catalysts display similar rates and activation energies. Good correlations between single crystals and supported catalysts have been previously demonstrated for Rh(111) and Rh(100)³⁴ and for Ru-(0001) single crystals.³³ In the present work, note particularly the identical rates obtained on Ir(111) and Ir(110) surfaces. In contrast to this, structural effects have been observed for supported Ir catalysts² and are indicated in Figure 2. The single-crystal results of the largest particles in Figure 2. Note, however, that the single-crystal data in Figure 2 correspond to the supported data extrapolated to a particle size of \approx 400 Å. This is close to the "effective" particle size of the single crystals used in this study, taking into account step densities and edge effects.⁴⁴ The decreasing activity of the reaction with Ir particle size² can be understood as due to a preferential poisoning of the active sites by carbon formed by CO dissociation, a competing process to CO oxidation. Such a reaction would be expected to occur more rapidly on defect sites such as step edges which would be present at much higher concentrations on smaller particles.

The excellent agreement between this work and supported catalyst studies also extends to the reaction rate orders, as shown in Table II. Most of the work on supported catalysts was limited to the positive O_2 -negative CO order regime at low temperatures. This kinetic regime will be discussed first. The large variations in the CO reaction order reported for Pt, and the nonlinear Arrhenius behavior observed on Pt and Pd will be discussed separately.

Clean Surface Kinetics. The Langmuir–Hinshelwood reaction between adsorbed CO and O atoms is well-established as the dominant reaction mechanism for conditions where CO is the primary surface species.²⁸ This mechanism has been confirmed by numerous UHV studies of the coadsorption of the reactants,^{8,15–23} transient kinetic studies,^{11–14} and steady-state kinetics.^{9,23–27,30} The reaction steps may be written as

$$CO(g) \leftrightarrow CO(ads)$$
 (1)

$$O_2(g) \rightarrow 2O(ads)$$
 (2)

$$CO(ads) + O(ads) \rightarrow CO_2(g)$$
 (3)

where the recombinative desorption of adsorbed O atoms (the reverse of reaction 2) and the dissociative chemisorption of CO_2 (reverse of reaction 3) are neglected. From the above, an approximate rate expression, originally proposed by Langmuir for Pt,²⁹ can be formulated as

$$d[CO_2]/dt = k \exp(-E_{des,CO}/RT)P_{O_2}/P_{CO}$$
(4)

Thus, the reaction rate is independent of total pressure, first-order in O_2 pressure and negative-first-order in CO pressure. One obtains eq 4 by assuming that the dominant surface species is CO.³⁰ The rate is then governed by the desorption of CO, and the pressure dependence simply reflects the competition for adsorption sites between oxygen and CO. As shown in Table II, the measured kinetics on Pd and Ir, and on Pt at high temperatures, are consistent with this model in that the predicted pressure dependencies are observed. In addition, correlation in activation energies between supported and single-crystal data, and among different single-crystal planes (see Table I), reflects the fact that the binding energy of CO does not vary greatly among these metal catalyst surfaces.

Deactivation by Oxide Formation. The condition of the catalyst surface, and the origin of the partial pressure behavior, are not as clear in the region where the reaction becomes positive-order in CO and negative-order in O2 pressures. Previously, on Rh(100) and Rh(111)^{34,45} and Ru(0001)³³ it was shown that changes in the rates and partial pressure dependencies could be directly correlated with the formation of a strongly bound oxygen species. On Ru, the oxide was substantially more active than the clean surface, and the reaction order in oxygen pressure increased to close to 3. On Rh(111) and (100) single crystals, high O_2 :CO ratios resulted in an eventual decrease in rates and a change from positive-order in oxygen to negative-order; this change was directly correlated with the formation of an oxide-like species, as determined by AES and TPD subsequent to reaction.^{34,45} Pd(110), Ir(111), and Ir(110) exhibit partial pressure dependence and high oxygen pressure deactivation behavior which is very similar to Rh. In the case of Rh, the formation of a near surface oxide (probably $Rh_2O_3^{35}$ which has a much lower activity⁴⁵) is responsible for the deactivation. The similar behavior on Pd and Ir suggests a similar

 ⁽⁴⁴⁾ Engstrom, J. R.; Goodman, D. W.; Weinberg, W. H. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 1986, 108, 4653.
 (45) Peden, C. H. F.; Berlowitz, P. J.; Goodman, D. W. Proc. Int. Cong.

⁽⁴³⁾ Burrows, V. A.; Sundaresan, S.; Chabal, Y. J.; Christman, S. B. Surf. Sci. 1987, 180, 110, and references therein.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Peden, C. H. F.; Berlowitz, P. J.; Goodman, D. W. Proc. Int. Cong. Catal. 9th 1988, 1214.

deactivation mechanism. In particular, similar CO2 TPD spectra to that shown in Figure 9 were obtained on Rh subsequent to reaction under oxidizing conditions.45 However, in contrast to Rh, Auger spectra taken after the temperature flash for TPD did not reveal any residual oxygen on Pd and Ir. The CO₂ formed during TPD must arise from the reaction between chemisorbed CO and the residual adsorbed oxygen (oxide) responsible for the catalyst deactivation. Note, however, that CO which desorbs as CO₂ when reacted with the oxide species desorbs at a much higher temperature than CO from the clean surface. Further, CO₂ desorption from clean surfaces of these metals occurs at much lower temperature than the feature present in the spectra shown in Figure 9 for Ir and the CO₂ TPD peak at 580 K obtained on oxidized Pd(110). This implies that the oxide forms a CO_x complex upon adsorption of CO under reaction conditions.

As shown in Figure 11, the effect of the oxide species is to reduce the overall rate of reaction, but not to change the activation energy. This suggests that the oxide serves as a simple site blocker on the surface. The presence of chemisorbed CO in postreaction TPD, even on the oxidized surfaces, is good evidence that patches of clean Pd and Ir are still present under these conditions. As with Rh,45 the oxide could still function as an active CO₂ formation site, albeit with a much lower activity and likely by a quite different mechanism.⁴⁵ However, for Pd and Ir where only a portion of the surface becomes oxidized, the more active rate from the unoxidized surface dominates the overall rate and the kinetics (Figure 11).

The extent of oxidation of the single crystals used in our study is in good agreement with the extent of oxidation reported for Pd and Ir wire catalysts at similar pressures.⁴² The amount of oxygen desorbed as CO₂ in postreaction TPD was always less than 0.5 monolayer on Pd and less than 1.0 monolayer on Ir(111), even when the surface was preoxidized. For temperatures below 500 K Turner and co-workers report less than 0.4 monolayer of oxygen on Pd and less than 1.1 monolayers on Ir. In the temperature/pressure regime in our study, the results suggest that any strongly bound oxide is only formed in the surface layer, as it was easily reduced in the cool-down period. Deeper oxidation of Pd and Ir reported by Turner at higher temperatures may be partially the result of higher pressures (1 atm vs 25-250 Torr), the presence of greater amounts of impurities, and/or the result of defects and the grain boundaries on the polycrystalline samples.

The differences between Pd or Ir (or Pt, see below) and previous work on Rh may be explained by the ease of oxidation of these metals. The more easily oxidized Rh forms an oxide which resists complete reaction when CO is flashed off the crystal prior to postreaction AES analysis. Unlike Pd or Ir, the oxide on Rh can completely cover and penetrate the surface under these conditions.⁴⁵ In a study by Savchenko and co-workers,⁴⁶ it was shown that a reconstructive type of oxygen adsorption (i.e., the formation of an oxide) would only occur for metals with a heat of adsorption of oxygen above 53 kcal/mol (220 kJ/mol). Pt falls well below this limit; Pd, Rh, and Ir are close to the borderline between types of oxides, while Ru falls well into the oxide range. This evaluation mimics the observed oxidation behavior for these metals.

For Pt(100) the lack of any turnover in the oxygen partial pressure behavior, even at O2:CO ratios as high as 150:1 (Figure 8), indicates that under our conditions no strongly bound, deactivating oxygen species is formed. In light of the trend from Ru to Rh to Pd and Ir, this is not surprising. In order to form a similar species on clean Pt, much higher O_2 pressure and/or temperatures would be required, conditions which are not accessible in our experiments. It is interesting to compare these results to those of Turner and co-workers⁴² for Pt, Pd, and Ir. In this latter study, wires of Pt which were known to contain substantial Si impurities formed less than one layer of "oxide". Considering that such impurities are known to form subsurface oxides,47 it is not surprising that no oxide was formed on the rigorously cleaned single crystal in this study. In fact, surface oxygen could be detected on our Pt crystal after oxidation but only with concurrent Si and/or Ca impurities.

Reaction Rate Oscillations. The results obtained in attempting to oxidize the catalysts in this study have some implications for the observed oscillatory behavior in the reaction rate over Pt, Pd, and Ir catalysts. Oscillations were first observed on Pt catalysts by Beusch et al.,48 and early work has been reviewed by Sheintuch and Schmitz.⁴⁹ More recent studies on Pt catalysts by Carberry and co-workers,^{10,50} Cutlip and Kenney,⁵¹ and Turner and coworkers $^{36}\ have proposed several explanations for the multiple$ steady states and oscillations. Oscillations on Pd and Ir surfaces were first reported by Turner et al.37

A prominent feature in many models is the proposed oscillation in CO and O coverages on the catalyst surface. However, since Pt would not form a strongly bound oxygen species in our study due to the absence of Si (or Ca) impurities, we conclude that over clean Pt(100) oxidation/reduction is not the oscillatory mechanism. A more plausible mechanism is proposed by Ertl and co-workers.⁵² These authors concluded that the oscillations were a result of periodic transformations of the surface structure propagating in waves across the surface. The above mechanism proposed by Ertl and co-workers⁵² has been supported in a study by Yeates et al.,⁵³ who found that oscillations occur only on the clean (100) surface and not on the clean (111) or (13,1,1) Pt surfaces at low pressures. At atmospheric pressures, no clean crystal exhibited oscillations. Similarly, no experiment in our study, even when performed in the regime previously reported to exhibit oscillations,^{36,43} showed any variation in rates with reaction time. Oscillations were observed by Yeates⁵³ on dirty Pt surfaces. This implies that oxidation and reduction of an impurity-induced oxide may be the cause of the oscillations at atmospheric pressure observed by Turner and co-workers on Pt. However, such a mechanism conflicts with recent conclusions of Burrows et al.43 in which the segregation of carbon from the bulk is implicated in the oscillations.

For Pd and Ir, our results show that these catalysts will form strongly bound oxides even for thoroughly cleaned single crystals and that CO oxidation activity on such a surface is markedly reduced. In addition, the oxide formed is easily reduced by adsorbed CO at moderate temperatures as evidenced by the data in Figure 9. Reaction of CO and O to produce the CO₂ desorption peak begins at roughly 490-525 K on Ir (Figure 9) and at 450-475 K on Pd (not shown). Thus in these cases, temperature oscillations, producing rate oscillations as observed by Turner,³⁷ could easily result in oscillation of an oxide cover on the surface. A plausible scenario for a temperature driven oscillatory reaction is shown in Figure 12. Exaggerated Arrhenius plots are shown for the oxidation of CO over a clean and oxidized Pd or Ir surface. Arrhenius plots are also shown for the conversion of adsorbed oxygen (O_{ads}) to bulk oxide (O_{oxide}) (step 1) and the reduction of O_{oxide} by CO to CO₂ (step 2). The activation energy of step 1 is estimated to be approximately 45 kcal/mol based on results of Ertl and co-workers for Ir.²⁸ Considering the molecular beam results of Ertl and co-workers,²⁸ the activation energy of step 2 is approximated to be 12 kcal/mol. The oscillatory cycle would then begin on the clean surface at point A in Figure 12. Point A corresponds to a temperature at which the rate of step 2 exceeds that of step 1; that is, the surface is clean of O_{oxide}. The reaction

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Savchenko, V. I.; Boreskov, G. K.; Kalinkin, A. V.; Salanov, A. N.

Kinet. Catal. (Engl. Transl.) 1984, 24, 983.
 (47) (a) Niehus, H.; Comsa, G. Surf. Sci. 1980, 93, L147. (b) Niehus, H.; Comsa, G. Surf. Sci. 1981, 102, L14. (c) Bonzel, H. P.; Franken, A. M.; Pirug, G. Surf. Sci. 1981, 104, 625.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Beusch, H.; Fieguth, P.; Wicke, E. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1972, No. 109, 615

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Sheintuch, M.; Schmitz, R. A. Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. 1977, 15, 107. (50) Varghese, P.; Carberry, J. J.; Wolf, E. E. J. Catal. 1978, 55, 76.
 (51) Cutlip, M. B.; Kenney, C. N. ACS Symp. Series 1978, 65, 475.
 (52) (a) Ertl, G.; Norton, P. R.; Rustig, J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1982, 49, 177.

Cox, M. P.; Ertl, G.; Imbihl, R. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985, 54, 1725. (c) Cox,

 ⁽c) cos, M. F., Erd, G., Hiblin, R., Phys. Rev. Lett. 1905, 94, 1725. (c) Cox,
 M. P.; Ertl, G.; Imbihl, R.; Rustig, J. Surf. Sci. 1983, 134, L517. (d) Eiswirth,
 M.; Schwankner, R.; Ertl, G. Z. Phys. Chem. (Munich) 1985, 144, 59. (e)
 Imbihl, R.; Cox, M. P.; Ertl, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 84, 3519. (f) Imbihl,
 R.; Cox, M. P.; Ertl, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 1578. (g) Moller, P.; Wetzl,
 K.; Eiswirth, M.; Ertl, G. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 85, 5238.

⁽⁵³⁾ Yeates, R. C.; Turner, J. E.; Gellman, A. J.; Somorjai, G. A. Surf. Sci. 1985, 149, 175.



Figure 12. Exaggerated Arrhenius plots for the various processes possibly important during reaction rate oscillations on Pd and Ir surfaces. Identification of the points designated by capital letters is made in the text.

exothermicity leads to an increase in reaction temperature and rate, and the cycle moves to point B. At this temperature the rate of step 1 exceeds that of step 2 and the catalyst surface becomes oxidized. The CO₂ rate then falls to that corresponding to point C, the rate of reaction on the oxidized surface. The lower rate of CO₂ production leads to a drop in the surface temperature, and the cycle proceeds to point D. Now the rate of step 2 exceeds that of step 1; therefore the surface oxide, formed at point C, is reduced. The CO₂ production rate then rises to that corresponding to point A and the cycle repeats.

Low-Temperature Kinetics on Pd and Pt. In general, Pt, Pd, Ir, Rh, and Ru exhibit linear Arrhenius behavior. However, at temperatures below 490 K, the observed activation energy on Pt(100) decreases continuously from 33 to 13 kcal/mol. This is also the temperature regime where the reaction order in CO is changing rapidly (Figure 5). The value of 33 kcal/mol is consistent with the model of CO oxidation described above (33 kcal/mol being very close to the desorption energy of CO from Pt). However, the reaction rate obtained below 500 K cannot be explained by this simple model. The anomalous behavior below 500 K has been observed in several supported catalyst studies. Activation energies from 6.5 to 21 kcal/mol, with CO reaction orders of -0.2 to -0.5,5-7 have been reported for high surface area Pt catalysts. Heyne and Tompkins⁴⁰ have measured the kinetics of the reaction over Pt films and Aerosil-supported Pt. They find, at temperatures of 273-355 K and atmospheric pressure, an apparent activation energy of 8-12 kcal/mol, zero-order CO pressure dependence, and first-order O₂ pressure dependence. Similarly, in more recent work by Cant and Donaldson⁴¹ on a Pt/SiO₂supported catalyst, an activation energy of approximately 12 kcal/mol was observed for the CO oxidation reaction. In addition, the activation energy was found to vary with CO coverage on the surface. These latter results^{40,41} are in excellent agreement with the parameters measured in our study. For example, our data show that the activation energy and kinetic order in CO pressure on Pt(100) change rapidly in the 500-425 K region. Thus, these changes may account for the large spread in reported values in the earlier work on the supported systems⁵⁻⁷ and are consistent with a change in the reaction mechanism.

Note that a decrease in activation energy is also observed for Pd below 480 K in this study and below approximately 450 K in Cant's work,² indicating that a similar effect may occur on Pd catalysts. However, for Pd, no change in the reaction order in CO or O_2 is observed in this or previous work in this temperature range. Neither single crystal nor supported catalyst data on Rh, Ru, and Ir, under the experimental conditions studied, show this effect.^{2,33,34}

For Pd, the decrease in activation energy can be explained in terms of a variation of the CO binding energy with coverage. As the temperature is decreased, CO may form a more compact layer. This has been shown to lead to a decrease in the binding energy per CO molecule of several kcal/mol.²⁸ A decrease in the desorption energy for CO should decrease the overall activation energy of the reaction according to the simple mechanism presented above. This explanation is also consistent with the invariance of the reaction rate orders, as it does not postulate any change in the reaction mechanism.

A decrease in the CO binding energy is not sufficient to explain the dramatic drop in activation energy on the Pt(100) surface and the change in the CO reaction order. A possible explanation for this effect has been proposed by Cant et al.² At temperatures near the CO desorption temperature, the lifetime of a CO molecule on the surface increases dramatically, resulting in a surface completely covered by CO. The reaction may then take place between molecular oxygen adsorbed on the CO layer. In this case the reaction would become zero-order in CO pressure and the activation energy would change due to the change in mechanism. The coverage of adsorbed molecular oxygen can be assumed to be small, since the coverage of molecular O_2 on clean Pt would be small, and the reaction would remain first-order in oxygen pressure. These are precisely the kinetics we measured at low temperatures on Pt(100) (Figures 5 and 8, Table II). However, there is no direct evidence to date supporting the involvement of a weakly bond molecular O₂ species. Another, and perhaps more plausible, explanation is a restructuring of CO and O_{ads} domains²⁸ at these temperature and pressure conditions, resulting in a distinctly different surface reaction mechanism.

Summary and Conclusions

1. The observed activation energies of 33 kcal/mol for Pd(110), 22 kcal/mol for Ir(111) and Ir(110), and 13 kcal/mol for Pt at temperatures below 450 K are in good agreement with previous results on supported catalysts.

2. For Pd and Ir at a $CO:O_2$ ratio of 1:12 or less, the reaction is approximately first-order in CO pressure and negative-first-order in O_2 pressure, in good agreement with supported catalyst data. Similar reaction orders were observed on Pt(100) at temperatures above 550 K. Such kinetics are consistent with a Langmuir-Hinshelwood reaction between chemisorbed CO molecules and O atoms which is rate limited by the desorption of CO to open adsorption sites for O_2 on the surface.

3. On Pd and Ir, the reaction became negative-first-order in CO pressure and positive-order in O_2 pressure for O_2 :CO ratios greater than 12. At temperatures below 475 K on Pd(110) and 500 K on Ir(111) under such oxidizing conditions, a strongly bound oxygen species is formed. It desorbs in postreaction TPD as CO_2 in a peak at 580 K on Pd and 765 K on Ir, indicating the formation of a CO_x species during the reaction or in the postreaction cool-down. This species was also formed by preoxidizing these catalysts and running a reaction under oxidizing conditions. Reaction on the oxidized surface under nonoxidizing conditions quickly reduced the oxide. These results indicate that the formation of the oxide is responsible for the deactivation of Pd and Ir surfaces during reaction under oxidizing conditions. It is also possible that this oxide species, which begins to desorb as CO_2 above 475 K on Pd and 500 K on Ir, may be a cause of reaction rate oscillations observed on Pd and Ir wires.

4. Clean Pt(100) could not be oxidized under our reaction conditions. (Any strongly bound oxide species could be directly related to the presence of Si or Ca impurities.) Thus, oxidation and reduction of a clean Pt(100) surface can be ruled out as a source of reaction rate oscillations.

5. For Pt(100) the reaction is always positive-first-order in O_2 pressure up to an O_2 :CO ratio of 150. The reaction order in CO changes from -0.9 ± 0.2 above 550 K to -0.6 to 0.0 from 500 to 425 K. A change in activation energy from 33.1 to 13 kcal/mol is also observed in this temperature regime. The high-temperature value is in excellent agreement with the 31.8 kcal/mol originally reported by Langmuir.²⁹ The low-temperature value is in good agreement with low-temperature results of 8-12,⁴⁰ 12,⁴¹ and 13.4 kcal/mol² reported by other investigators for temperatures below 450 K. This data may indicate a change in reaction mechanism

from the CO desorption controlled Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism present at high temperatures.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge, with pleasure, the support of this work by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, under Contract AC04-76DP00789.

Registry No. CO, 630-08-0; Pt, 7440-06-4; Pd, 7440-05-3; Ir, 7439-88-5.

Synthesis and Charge-Transport Properties of Polymers Derived from the Oxidation of 1-Hydro-1'-(6-(pyrrol-1-yl)hexyl)-4,4'-bipyridinium Bis(hexafluorophosphate) and Demonstration of a pH-Sensitive Microelectrochemical Transistor Derived from the **Redox Properties of a Conventional Redox Center**

Ching-Fong Shu and Mark S. Wrighton*

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (Received: January 21, 1988)

This article describes the synthesis and electrochemical properties of redox polymers, having a polypyrrole backbone and viologen subunits, derived from oxidative electropolymerization of 1-methyl-1'-(6-(pyrrol-1-yl)hexyl)-4,4'-bipyridinium $(P-V-Me^{2+})$ and 1-hydro-1'-(6-(pyrrol-1-yl)hexyl)-4,4'-bipyridinium (P-V-H²⁺). Closely spaced (~1.5 μ m) Au microelectrode arrays (~2.5 μ m wide × 50 μ m long × 0.1 μ m high) modified with the polymers can be used to study aspects of the charge-transport behavior of the viologen redox system. $Poly(P-V-Me^{2+})$ and $poly(P-V-H^{2+})$ have been used to investigate the characteristics of microelectrochemical transistors based on a viologen redox center and a similar redox center, protonated, monoquaternized bipyridinium, which is pH dependent. The interesting properties from poly(P-V-Me²⁺) and poly(P-V-H²⁺) stem from the behavior of the pendant viologen redox centers. The device based on poly(P-V-Me²⁺) has a narrow region $(\sim 200 \text{ mV})$ of gate voltage, V_G , where the source-drain current, I_D , is nonzero and has a sharp, pH-independent peak in the $I_D - V_G$ plot at ~ -0.53 V versus SCE associated with the reversible, one-electron reduction of viologen. This result is consistent with electron self-exchange between redox centers being the mechanism for charge transport. The device based on poly(P-V-H²⁺) shows a pH-dependent I_D at fixed V_G , as expected from the electrochemical behavior from reversible protonation of the terminal N of the bipyridinium group of poly(P-V-H²⁺). The microelectrochemical transistor based on poly(P-V-H²⁺) illustrates the design of chemically sensitive, molecule-based devices using conventional redox materials.

Introduction

In this article we report the synthesis and electrochemical properties of two redox polymers, poly(P-V-Me²⁺) and poly(P-V-H²⁺), derived from oxidative electropolymerization of P-V-Me²⁺, I, and P-V-H²⁺, II (eq 1). In recent years the development of



new types of electroactive redox polymers has received considerable attention.¹ Polymers with conducting polymers such as polyporrole or poly(thiophene) as backbones and incorporating electroactive redox centers as pendant groups have been proven to be convenient materials for the preparation of polymer-modified electrodes containing a specific redox center.^{2,3} Use of poly(P- SCHEME I: (a) Microelectrochemical Transistor Based on a Conventional Redox Polymer Operated at a Small Value of V_{D} .^{*a*} (b) $I_D - V_G$ Characteristic of a Microelectrochemical Transistor Based on a Redox Polymer Such as Poly(P-V-H²⁺) Having a pH-Dependent E°'



"The device is only turned on to a significant extent at $V_{\rm G} \approx E^{\circ \prime}$, $V_{\rm G}^{1}$. At $V_{\rm G}$ significantly (>0.2 V) more negative ($V_{\rm G} = V_{\rm G}^{2}$) or positive ($V_{\rm G} = V_{\rm G}^{3}$) of E° , only the reduced or oxidized sites are present, respectively, and the device is off.

V-Me²⁺) and poly(P-V-H²⁺) allows demonstration of the behavior of microelectrochemical transistors⁴ based on the viologen redox

0022-3654/88/2092-5221\$01.50/0 © 1988 American Chemical Society

^{(1) (}a) Murray, R. W. In Electroanalytical Chemistry; Bard, A. J., Ed.;

<sup>Marcel Dekker: New York, 1984; Vol. 13, p 191. (b) Wrighton, M. S. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1986, 231, 32.
(2) (a) Bidan, G.; Deronzier, A.; Moutet, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1986, 198, 187. (c) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Electroanal. Chem. Che</sup> Chem. 1987, 224, 111. (d) Coche, L.; Moutet, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6887. (e) Bidan, G.; Deronzier, A.; Moutet, J. C. Nouv. J. Chim. 1984, 8, 501. (f) Eaves, J. G.; Munro, H. S.; Parker, D. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 644. (g) Haimerl, A.; Merz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 180. (h) Audebert, P.; Bidan, G.; Lapkowski, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 887.

⁽³⁾ Shu, C. F.; Wrighton, M. S., ACS Symp. Ser., in press.