

Low-temperature water–gas shift reaction over gold deposited on TiO₂

Hiroaki Sakurai,*† Atsushi Ueda, Tetsuhiko Kobayashi and Masatake Haruta

Osaka National Research Institute, AIST, Midorigaoka 1, Ikeda, Osaka 563, Japan

Gold exhibits very high catalytic activities, comparable with that of a conventional Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst, for forward and reverse water–gas shift reactions when supported on titanium oxide by the deposition–precipitation method.

The water–gas shift reaction is used as one of the important industrial processes to adjust the CO/H₂ ratio for methanol synthesis and to produce H₂ for ammonia synthesis. For this reaction, two kinds of catalysts are commercially used.¹ One is a high-temperature shift catalyst, which is based on iron oxide structurally promoted with chromium oxide and is used in the temperature range 583–723 K and at 2.5–3.5 MPa. Another is a low-temperature shift catalyst composed of copper, zinc oxide and alumina, and is used between 483 and 513 K. Recently, Andreeva *et al.* reported that Au deposition enhances the catalytic activity of iron oxide for the water–gas shift reaction.^{2,3} The activity is comparable with that of a conventional copper catalyst. Here, we report a novel and more active catalyst, Au deposited on TiO₂, which contains neither copper nor iron oxide, but exhibits low-temperature activities both for the forward and reverse water–gas shift reactions.

The catalysts were prepared by deposition–precipitation (DP) of gold hydroxide from HAuCl₄ on titanium dioxide powder (P25, Japan Aerosil Co.).⁴ To obtain fine gold particles, magnesium citrate solution was added during preparation.^{5,6} The catalyst was finally calcined in air at 673 K. Gold loading in the starting solutions is expressed as atom% [100Au/(Au+M) for Au/M_xO_y]. For comparison, other gold and copper catalysts were prepared by coprecipitation (Cop) and impregnation (Imp) methods.

Table 1 shows the catalyst parameters and some kinetic data under forward water–gas shift conditions. Other than Au catalysts, a commercial Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst (Catalysts and Chemicals Inc., Far East) was examined for comparison. The reactant gas containing CO (1%), H₂O (2%) and He (balance) was fed at a space velocity of 12 600 h^{−1} ml (g cat)^{−1} and under a pressure of 0.1 MPa, and the introduction of H₂O was carried out using a micro-feeder or by bubbling. At 373 K, CO conversion was <20% and much lower than the equilibrium

conversion (99.97%), therefore, the reaction rate, TOF, and activation energy were calculated around this temperature. The catalysts tested were stable during catalytic activity measurements and the change of CO conversion during 2.5 h was less than <0.5%. In the calculation of TOF for supported metal catalysts, generally, the number of exposed metal atoms is determined by titration using CO and H₂. In the case of supported gold, the titration method is not applicable because of the lack of irreversible stoichiometric adsorption of these molecules on the surface of gold. The number of gold atoms exposed to the surface was thus simply calculated from the mean diameter of the gold particle. The shape of the gold particles deposited on the TiO₂ surface was well defined and hemispherical by TEM observation.⁴

Particle sizes of Au and Cu given in Table 1 were calculated from the XRD data. The titania powder P25 is composed of both anatase and rutile. Because the broad diffraction peaks for gold overlapped with these diffraction lines, the diffraction profile of TiO₂ itself was mathematically subtracted from the profile of Au/TiO₂, and then the Au particle size was calculated by using Scherrer's equation. It was found that Au was deposited on the oxide surface with diameters <5 nm.

Among gold catalysts (Table 1), Au/TiO₂ showed the highest reaction rates. While the reaction rate at 373 K increased with increasing Au loading for a series of Au/TiO₂ catalysts prepared by the deposition–precipitation method, Au/TiO₂ prepared by coprecipitation showed a lower rate despite the higher metal loading and the smallest gold particle sizes. This may be attributed to differences in exposure of gold particles on the surface and the crystalline nature of TiO₂ depending on the preparation method. The activation energies listed in Table 1, except for Au/Al₂O₃ and Au/ZnO, are close to the reported values for Cu/Al₂O₃ (55.6 kJ mol^{−1}) and Au/Al₂O₃ (48.8 kJ mol^{−1}), both prepared by incipient wetness impregnation.⁷ However, the reaction rate and turnover frequency were very different depending on the preparation method. The TOF reported for Au/Al₂O₃(Imp) was only 1/342 that of the value for Cu/Al₂O₃(Imp).⁷ On the other hand, Au/Al₂O₃(Cop) was more active and had half of the TOF of the Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The activities of Au/TiO₂ (DP) were much more striking. The rates of reaction at 373 K were comparable and the TOF was more than 4 times higher than that of Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃. Although this comparison is not made under industrial reaction conditions and the lifetime (the commercial Cu catalyst has a lifetime of *ca.* 2–4 years by adding the alumina component¹) has not yet been examined, the results clearly show that gold is potentially as active as copper, when highly dispersed on suitable support oxide.

Fig. 1 shows the activities of gold catalysts under the reverse water–gas shift conditions. The reactant gas mixture CO₂(23%)–H₂(67%)–Ar(balance) was passed through the catalyst bed at a space velocity of 3000 h^{−1} ml (g cat)^{−1} and under a pressure of 0.1 or 5 MPa. Catalytic activities are expressed by *k* defined as [CO][H₂O]/[CO₂][H₂]. The concentration of each component was calculated from analytical data and the material balance equations.⁸ Au on TiO₂ and Al₂O₃ showed higher activities than Au/Fe₂O₃, and the most active Au/TiO₂ reached thermodynamic equilibrium at a temperature as low as 423 K at 5 MPa. However, Au/TiO₂ and Cu/TiO₂

Table 1 Catalytic activity of supported gold catalysts for the water–gas shift reaction^a

Catalyst ^b	<i>D</i> _{metal} ^c /nm	Rate at		<i>E</i> _a /kJ mol ^{−1}
		373 K/mol s ^{−1} (g cat) ^{−1}	TOF at 373 K/s ^{−1}	
Au/TiO ₂ (DP, 3.4%) ^d	4.4	1.0 × 10 ^{−7}	7.9 × 10 ^{−4}	46
Au/TiO ₂ (DP, 5%) ^d	—	1.4 × 10 ^{−7}	—	45
Au/TiO ₂ (DP, 10%) ^d	4.4	3.0 × 10 ^{−7}	9.2 × 10 ^{−4}	31
Au/TiO ₂ (Cop, 33%) ^d	2.8	6.4 × 10 ^{−8}	5.6 × 10 ^{−5}	47
Au/Fe ₂ O ₃ (Cop, 5%)	3.3	2.2 × 10 ^{−8}	9.1 × 10 ^{−5}	52
Au/Al ₂ O ₃ (Cop, 5%)	3.7	3.4 × 10 ^{−8}	1.1 × 10 ^{−4}	24
Au/ZnO(Cop, 5%)	4.9	9.0 × 10 ^{−9}	5.7 × 10 ^{−5}	34
Cu/ZnO/Al ₂ O ₃ ^e	13.4	1.2 × 10 ^{−7}	2.0 × 10 ^{−4}	53

^a Reactant gas CO(1%)–H₂O(2%)–He(balance); SV = 12 600 h^{−1} ml (g cat)^{−1}; pressure 0.1 MPa. ^b DP, deposition–precipitation; Cop, coprecipitation, Au loading in atom%. ^c Calculated from XRD by using Scherrer's equation. ^d Addition of magnesium citrate during preparation.

^e Commercial catalyst, with Cu content of 42 atom% by XRF analysis.

prepared by impregnation methods were only poorly active, and did not reach equilibrium even at 673 K.

As reported previously, these gold catalysts produce methanol, methane and other hydrocarbons under such high-pressure conditions, and the product selectivity greatly differs depending on the nature of the oxide supports.^{8–10} When the total pressure was decreased from 5 to 0.1 MPa, the activity decreased as shown in Fig. 1, however, CO selectivities were very much improved. For example, Au/TiO₂ produced methanol, methane and CO with selectivities of 4, 10 and 86%, respectively, at

523 K and 5 MPa, CO selectivity reached values >99% at 0.1 MPa.

Gold deposited on TiO₂ is known to exhibit very high activity for CO oxidation to CO₂ even below 273 K.^{4,5} CO₂ formation is further enhanced when moisture is added to the reactant mixture of CO and air.¹¹ This enhancement is not due to the shift reaction of CO and water because the shift activity is almost zero at 273 K under the conditions used here.

Footnote

† E-mail: sakurai@onri.go.jp

References

- 1 C. Rhodes, G. J. Hutchings and A. M. Ward, *Catal. Today*, 1995, **23**, 43.
- 2 D. Andreeva, V. Idakiev, T. Tabakova and A. Andreev, *J. Catal.*, 1996, **158**, 354.
- 3 D. Andreeva, V. Idakiev, T. Tabakova, A. Andreev and R. Giovanoli, *Appl. Catal.*, 1996, **134**, 275.
- 4 S. Tsubota, D. A. H. Cunningham, Y. Bando and M. Haruta, *Preparation of Catalysts VI*, ed. G. Poncelet *et al.*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, p. 227.
- 5 S. Tsubota, M. Haruta, T. Kobayashi, A. Ueda and Y. Nakahara, *Preparation of Catalysts*, ed. V. G. Poncelet *et al.*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991, p. 695.
- 6 H. Sakurai and M. Haruta, *Catal. Today*, 1996, **29**, 361.
- 7 D. C. Grenoble, M. M. Estadt and D. F. Ollis, *J. Catal.*, 1981, **67**, 90.
- 8 H. Sakurai and M. Haruta, *Appl. Catal. A*, 1995, **127**, 93.
- 9 H. Sakurai, S. Tsubota and M. Haruta, *Appl. Catal. A*, 1993, **102**, 125.
- 10 H. Sakurai, S. Tsubota and M. Haruta, *Science and Technology in Catalysis*, ed. Y. Izumi *et al.*, Kodansha, Tokyo, 1995, p. 111.
- 11 S. Tsubota, A. Ueda, H. Sakurai, T. Kobayashi, and M. Haruta, *Environmental Catalysis*, ed. J. N. Armor, American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 1994, p. 420.

Received, 9th September 1996; Com. 6/06192C




Fig. 1 Catalytic activities of supported gold catalysts for the reverse water-gas shift reaction. Reactant gas mixture CO₂(23%)–H₂(67%)–Ar(balance); SV = 3000 h⁻¹ ml (g cat.)⁻¹. Activities are expressed in terms of *k* at a total pressure of 5 MPa over (○) Au/TiO₂ (DP, 2 atom%), (▽) Au/Al₂O₃ (Cop, 5 atom%), (□) Au/Fe₂O₃ (Cop, 5 atom%), (△) Au/ZnO (Cop, 5 atom%) and 0.1 MPa over (●) Au/TiO₂ (DP, 2 atom%), (■) Au/Fe₂O₃ (Cop, 5 atom%), (▲) Au/ZnO (Cop, 5 atom%). The thick continuous line shows the thermodynamic equilibrium limit.