
Accepted Manuscript

Preparation of 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ol derivatives by cobalt catalyzed sp2-sp3 coupling

M. Tariq Riaz, Ivanna Pohorilets, Jackson J. Hernandez, Jeovanna Rios, Nancy I. Totah

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0040-4039(18)30757-3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.06.018 TETL 50056
To appear in:	Tetrahedron Letters
Received Date:	7 May 2018
Accepted Date:	5 June 2018



Please cite this article as: Riaz, M.T., Pohorilets, I., Hernandez, J.J., Rios, J., Totah, N.I., Preparation of 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ol derivatives by cobalt catalyzed sp2-sp3 coupling, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.06.018

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Preparation of 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ol derivatives by cobalt catalyzed sp²-sp³ coupling.

M. Tariq Riaz, Ivanna Pohorilets, Jackson J. Hernandez, Jeovanna Rios, and Nancy I. Totah¹

Department of Chemistry, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA

Keywords trimethylenemethane precursors allylsilane sp2-sp3 coupling Co catalyst

Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-315-443-2657; fax: +1-315-443-4070; e-mail: ntotah@syr.edu


ABSTRACT


A practical, operationally simple preparation of 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ol derivatives is described. The cobalt catalyzed coupling of a protected vinyl halide with trimethylsilylmethylmagnesium chloride shows excellent functional group tolerance and provides these synthetically useful allyl silanes in good overall yield. By this method, the use of highly concentrated organolithium reagents, complex reaction protocols, and expensive starting materials is avoided.

Introduction


Protected 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ols **1** have found widespread application in organic synthesis. These versatile reagents are able to function as nucleophiles, [1] as electrophiles[2] (depending of the on the nature of the oxygen protecting group), and as all-carbon 1,3-dipole equivalents in transition metal catalyzed processes.[3] As such, these compounds participate in 1,2- and 1,4-addition reactions, [4] ene reactions, [5] C-glycosylation[6] and Ferrier-type processes, [7] and oxidative radical additions, [8] to name a few. Compounds **1** in which the oxygen atom bears an ester functionality are particularly useful in ring forming reactions. Such processes include [3+2] cycloadditions for the preparation of cyclopentanes (**2**, **3**), [9] dihydrofurans (**4**), [10] and pyrrolidines (**5**), [11] [3+3] cycloadditions for the preparation of piperidines (**6**)[12] and other heterocycles (**7**)[13] and [3+4] cycloadditions for the preparation of fused seven-membered carbocyclic ring systems (**8**). [14] The preparation of bridged 9-membered carbocycles (**9**) by [3+6] cycloaddition reactions with tropones is also known. [15] Examples shown in *Scheme 1* are representative. Reagents of these types (**1**) have also found application in the preparation of natural products and other complex molecular frameworks. [16]

The synthesis of these reagents **1** is typically achieved by manipulation of the corresponding alcohol, itself prepared in two steps from 2-methyl-2-propen-1-ol through the intermediacy of the corresponding dianion.[17] Though direct, this process is technically demanding, and requires the use of highly concentrated n-butyllithium and carefully controlled reaction conditions. Over the years a number of other protocols have been reported for the synthesis of 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ol, but these preparations often require the use of specially prepared reagents, labyrinthine reaction protocols, and superfluous protection and/or deprotection steps.[18] In most cases, the requisite starting material is also quite expensive.






Our interest in the development of tandem reaction processes using functionalized allylsilanes led us to consider an alternative preparation of protected 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ols **1** that was operationally simple and made use of relatively inexpensive starting materials. Toward this end, we anticipated that the desired substrates would be accessible through a cobalt catalyzed sp²-sp³ coupling reaction between trimethylsilyl-methylmagnesium chloride[19] and a functionalized vinyl halide **10** in which a variety of protected alcohols are available by manipulation of 2-iodo-2-propen-1-ol **11** (*Scheme 2*). This latter is readily available on large scale from inexpensive propargyl alcohol.[20] This strategy differs from prior methods of preparation in that functionalization of the hydroxyl group occurs prior to C-C bond formation and thus avoids superfluous protecting group manipulations.




In the forward sense, the requisite vinyl iodide was readily prepared by the method of Nicolaou^{Error! Bookmark not defined.} whereby addition of the alkyne to a mixture of NaI and TMSCI in wet acetonitrile provided the desired product **11** as a single regioisomer in 60% yield. Purification can be effected by either column chromatography or vacuum distillation, depending on reaction scale. This product can be stored over copper wire in the freezer for several months without significant decomposition.

With the vinyl halide **11** in hand, a variety of ethers, esters and carbonates were prepared for evaluation in the cobalt catalyzed coupling reaction. The choice of protecting groups was influenced by differences in steric bulk, stability to acidic and/or basic reaction conditions, reactivity toward Grignard reagents, and variation in deprotection regimens. Protecting groups were introduced under standard conditions to give the desired products in good to excellent yields (Table 1). Attempts to prepare the benzyl ethers **14** and **15** under basic conditions were unsatisfactory, though the desired compounds could be readily obtained under acidic conditions using the corresponding benzyl-or p-methyoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidates respectively (entries 3 and 4). Additional details on the preparation of protected vinyl iodides **12**-**21** are provided in the experimental section.

 Table 1. Protection of Vinyl Iodide 11.





These protected vinyl halides were then subjected to the cobalt-catalyzed cross coupling reaction with trimethylsilylmethylmagnesium chloride (Table 2).[21] Initial experiments focused on the use of substrates in which the alcohol **11** was protected as an ether functionality. Both TBS **12** and TBDPS **13** ethers performed well in this transformation providing the coupled products **22** and **23** in 74 and 81% yields respectively (entries 1 and 2). Benzyl **14** and p-methoxybenzyl **15** ethers (entries 3 and 4) were also compatible with the reaction conditions, as were acetals with MOM **16** and THP groups **17** (entries 5 and 6). Yields of the corresponding allyl silanes **24-27** ranged from 66-79%.

A more challenging test of this methodology was anticipated to be the use of vinyl halides **1** that contained ester functionality. As the corresponding allyl silanes are commonly used as precursors to trimethylenemethane metal complexes, we were interested to see if this method could be utilized for the preparation of substrates of this type. A series of carbonyl compounds **18-21** were thus prepared, and their compatibility for use with a Grignard coupling partner evaluated. As shown, not only were hindered and less reactive substrates with pivalate **18** and Boc **21** protecting groups tolerant of the reaction conditions (entries 7 and 10), but benzoate **19** and acetate **20** protected substrates could be utilized as well (entries 8 and 9). In these cases, yields ranged from 70-76%. Examination of the crude proton NMRs of the coupling reactions of ester protected substrates showed little if any products resulting from cleavage of the protecting group.





Table 2. Cobalt Catalyzed Coupling Reactions.



Conclusion

In summary, a practical protocol for the synthesis of protected 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ols has been developed. Preparation of these synthetically useful allyl silanes via the cobalt-catalyzed sp²-sp³ coupling reaction of a protected 2-iodo-2-propen-1ol **11** and (trimethylsilylmethyl)magnesium chloride provides an attractive alternative to existing methodologies. The sequence is operationally simple, makes use of inexpensive, readily available starting materials, and is characterized by short reaction times. All reactions in the sequence proceed at room temperature. Intricate reagent preparations are not required, and the use of concentrated organolithiums is avoided. Further, the procedure is compatible with a wide variety of functionality, facilitating the direct preparation of different oxygen derivatives without extraneous protection/deprotection steps.

Acknowledgments

We thank the following organizations for providing fellowship support: ACS Project SEED (JH), National Science Foundation (CHE-1263154; JR, JH), and the IRSIP of the Higher Education Commission, Pakistan (MTR). In addition, support for the Syracuse University NMR facility (National Science Foundation, CHE-1229345) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data (experimental procedures, characterization data, copies of 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra) associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://xxx.

References

(a) Willard, N.; Wanner, M. J.; Koomen, G.-J.; Pandit, U. K. *Heterocycles* 1985, *23*, 51-56. (b)
Yamaguchi, R.; Nakayasu, T.; Hatano, B.; Nagura, T.; Kozima, S.; Fujita, K. *Tetrahedron* 2001, *109*, 109-118. (c) Knepper, K.; Ziegert, R. E.; Bräse, S. *Tetrahedron* 2004, *60*, 8591-8603. (d) Okitsu, T.; Kobayashi, K.; Kan, R.; Yoshida, Y.; Matsui, Y.; Wada, A. *Org. Lett.* 2017, *19*, 4592-4595.

[21] (a) Cahiez, G.; Avedissian H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *39*, 6159-6162. (b) Affo, W.; Ohmiya, H.; Fujioka, T.; Ikeda, Y.; Nakamura, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K.; Imamura, Y.; Mizuta, T.; Miyoshi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 8068-8077.

[3] (a) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6361-6362. (b) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2326-2335.

[4] 1,2-addition: (a) Böhm, C.; Schinnerl, M.; Bubert, C.; Zabel, M.; Labahn, T. Parisini, E.; Reiser, O.
Flowers, C. L. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2000, 2955-2965. (b) Vogel, P. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 14074-14082. (c)
Cao, Z.-Y.; Jiang, J.-S.; Zhou, J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2016, *14*, 5500-5504. 1,4-addition: (d) Heathcock, C.
H.; Smith, K. M.; Blumenkopf, T. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986, *108*, 5022-5024. (e) Faveau, C.; Mondon, M.;
Gesson, J.-P.; Mahnke, T.; Gebhardt, S.; Koert, U. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 8305-8308. (f) Xu, K.;
Cheng, B.; Li, Y.; Xu, T.;Yu, C.; Zhang, J.; Ma, Z.; Zhai, H. *Org. Lett.* 2014, *16*, 196-199.
[5] (a) Leclercq, C.; Markó, I. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, *46*, 7229-7233. (b) Redpath, P.; Macdonald, S.;

[5] (a) Leclercq, C.; Marko, I. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, 46, 7229-7233. (b) Redpath, P.; Macdonald, S.; Migaud, M. E. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3323-3326.

[6] (a) Elmore, S. W.; Pratt, J. K.; Coghlan, M. J.; Mao, Y.; Green, B. F.; Anderson, D. D.; Stashko, M. A.; Lin, C. W.; Falls, D.; Nakane, M.; Miller, L.; Tyree, C. M.; Miner, J. N.; Lane, B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 1721-1727. (b) Crawford, C.; Nelson, A.; Patel, I. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4231-4234. For a

related process using a □-lactam see: (c) Konosu, T.; Furukawa, Y.; Hata, T.; Oida, S. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1991**, *39*, 2813-2818.

[7] (a) Takhi, M.; Abdel Rahman, A. A.-H.; Schmidt, R. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4053-4056. (b) Sasaki, M.; Tsubone, K.; Shoji, M.; Oikawa, M. Shimamoto, K.; Sakai, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 5784-5787. (c) Peh, G.; Floreancig, P. E. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 3750-3753.

[8] Bekkaye, M.; Masson, G. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1510-1513.

[9] (a) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2315-2325. (b) Morriello, G. J.;

Chicchi, G.; Johnson, T.; Mills, S. G.; DeMartino, J.; Kurtz, M.; Tsao, K. L. C.; Zheng, S.; Tong, X.; Carlson, E.; Townson, K.; Wheeldon, A.; Boyce, S.; Collinson, N.; Rupniak, N.; DeVita, R. J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 5925-5932. (c) Trost, B. M.; Silverman, S. M.; Stambuli, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 19483-19497.

[10] (a) Trost, B. M.; King, S. A.; Schmidt, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 5902-5915. (b) Trost, B. M.; Bringley, D. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 4562-4565.

[11] (a) Trost, B. M.; Marrs, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993,115, 6636-6645. (b) Trost, B. M.; Silverman, S. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012,134, 4941-4954. (c) Procopiou, G.; Lewis, W.; Harbottle, G. Stockman, R. A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2030-2033.

[12] Hedley, S. J.; Moran, W. J.; Price, D. A.; Harrity, J. P. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4286-4292.

[13] a) Shintani, R.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006,128, 6330-6331. (b) Greco, G. E.; Gleason, B.

L.; Lowery, T. A.; Kier, M. J.; Hollander, L. B. Gibbs, S.; Worthy, A. D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3817-3820.

[14] Trost, B. M.; MacPherson, D. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3483-3484.

[15] Trost, B. M.; Seoane, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 615-617.

[16] (a) Aubert, C.; Gotteland, J.-P.; Malacria, M. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4298-4305. (b) Matsuo, G.;
Kawamura, K.; Hori, N.; Matsukura, H.; Nakata, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14374-14376. (c) Taber,
D. F.; Frankowski, K. J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6417-6421. (d) Shoji, M.; Akiyama, N.; Tsubone, K.; Lash,
L. L.; Sanders, J. M.; Swanson, G. T.; Sakai, R.; Shimamoto, K.; Oikawa, M.; Sasaki, M. J. Org. Chem.
2006, 71, 5208-5220. (e) Xu, S.; Unabara, D.; Uemura, D.; Arimoto, H. Chem. Asian J. 2014, 9, 367-375.

[17] (a) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2315-2325. (b) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T.; Nanninga, T. N. Org. Syn. 1984, 62, 58-66. (c) Alonso, F.; Rodríguez-Fernández, M.; Sánchez, Yus, M. Synthesis 2010, 3013-3020.

[18] (a) Trost, B. M.; Buch, M.; Miller, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4887-4888. (b) Lee, T. V.; Porter, J. R.; Roden, F. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 5009-5012. (c) Foulon, J. P.; Bourgain-Commercon, M.; Normant, J. F. *Tetrahedron* 1986, 42, 1389-1397. (d) Bogen, S.; Journet, M.; Malacria, M. Synth. Commun. 1994, 24, 1215-1221. (e) Organ, M. G.; Murray, A. P. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1523-1526.

[19] Knueppel, D.; Yang, J.; Cheng, B.; Mans, D.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 5741-5757.

[2] (a) Trost, B. M.; Adams, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4849-4850. (b) Ullrich, J. W.; Chiu, F.-T.; Tiner-Harding, T.; Mariano, P. S. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 220-228. (c) Molander, G. A.; Andrews, S. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3115-3118. (d) Mi, B.; Maleczka, R. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2053-2056.
[20] Nicolaou, K. C.; Brenzovich, W. E.; Bulger, P. G.; Francis, T. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2119-2157.



Highlights

- Versatile 2-(trimethylsilyl)methyl-2-propen-1-ol derivatives prepared.
- Method shows broad functional group compatability.
- Acceleration • Mild reaction conditions and an operationally simple reaction protocol.