Organocatalysis in Radical Chemistry. Enantioselective α-Oxyamination of Aldehydes

Mukund P. Sibi* and Masayuki Hasegawa

Department of Chemistry, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota 58105

Received December 22, 2006; E-mail: mukund.sibi@ndsu.edu

Chiral Lewis acids play a critical role in providing substrate activation and the necessary chirality for enantioselective transformations.[1] Chiral Lewis acid mediated enantioselective radical reactions have been investigated extensively in many laboratories.[2] A complementary technique to chiral Lewis acid mediated processes is organocatalysis.[3] Spectacular advances have been made in recent years using organocatalysts. However, only a few examples of radical reactions utilize organocatalysts.[4] In this Communication we report an enantioselective radical-mediated C–O bond-forming reaction using organocatalysts.[5] This new transformation further broadens the utility of organocatalysts in enantioselective transformations.

In general, radical species are produced using tin-mediated chain reactions. Alternatively, enolates[6] and enamines[7] can be oxidized using a single electron transfer (SET) reagent, and the resultant radical species captured in useful bond-forming processes (Scheme 1). We surmised that enamines prepared from aldehydes and chiral amines could be oxidized using a SET reagent and the intermediate radical trapped stereoselectively by TEMPO providing access to α-oxyaminated aldehydes, an important class of chiral building blocks.[8] Herein, we describe a catalytic and highly enantioselective α-oxyamination process using chiral imidazolidinone catalysts.

We began our work to identify reaction conditions for the α-functionalization process and these results are tabulated in Table 1. Treatment of phenylpropanal **7** with a stoichiometric amount of ferrocenium terafluoroborate in the presence of TEMPO gave the α-oxygenated product in good yield (entry 1). This suggested that the aldehyde could undergo reaction through its enol form efficiently but at a slow rate. The product α-aminoxy aldehyde was reduced to the primary alcohol **9** to aid in analysis. An identical reaction as in entry 1 but using 1 equiv of pyrrolidine gave **9** in high yield in 1 h (entry 2). This experiment indicated that the SET reagent could readily oxidize the pyrrolidine-derived enamine. Reaction using chiral imidazolidinone **10a** gave the product in good yield and enantioselectivity (entry 3).[9] Reaction with 20 mol % of **10a** was also efficient, indicating catalyst turnover (entry 4). Changing the catalyst to **10b**, a tetrafluoroborate salt, led to an improvement in selectivity from 64 to 80% ee (entry 5). Proline was an efficient catalyst for the reaction but the enantioselectivity was very low (entry 6).

Optimization with respect to the catalyst, SET reagent, and solvent was investigated next and results from these experiments are tabulated in Table 2. Reducing the amount of SET reagent from 100 to 50 mol % in reactions using **10b** as a catalyst gave lower chemical efficiency (compare entry 1 with 2). We then explored a cheaper SET reagent, FeCl₃. The oxygenation reaction catalyzed by **10b** did not proceed in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of FeCl₃ with THF as the solvent (entry 3). However, DMF as a solvent proved to be effective and gave the product in high yield and ee (entry 4). A catalytic amount of the SET reagent could be used when a cooxidant (NaNO₂/O₂)[10] was employed without

Scheme 1

Table 1. Identification of Reaction Conditions[a]

entry	catalyst, mol %	time, h	yield, %[b]	ee, %[c]
1	none	24	79	
2	pyrrolidine (100)	1	61	
3	**10a** (100)	1	63	76
4	**10a** (20)	1	78	64
5	**10b** (20)	1	87	80
6	L-proline (20)	1	71	−3

[a] For reaction conditions, see Supporting Information. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Determined by chiral HPLC.

compromising yield or selectivity (entries 5 and 6). We then explored alternative chiral amines with the hope of improving selectivity (entries 7–10).[11] However, these reactions were not very rewarding.

Having identified a reasonable set of conditions, we then investigated reactions with a variety of aldehydes and these results are presented in Table 3. The reactions were carried out at two different temperatures (room temp and −10 °C) using **10b** as the catalyst and FeCl₃ as the SET reagent. Reaction with phenylacetaldehyde provided modest selectivity owing to relatively rapid background reaction and partial racemization of the product (entry 1). In contrast, compound **7** gave good yield and selectivity at room temperature (entry 2). The selectivity could be improved by conducting the reaction at −10 °C (compare entry 2 with 3). However the reaction was less efficient and took longer to complete. Reaction with phenylbutanal **12** was efficient and selectivity could be improved by cooling the reaction to −10 °C (entries 4 and 5). A variety of aryl substituted aldehydes underwent α-oxygenation with high selectivity (entries 6–11). Heterocycle containing aldehydes were also competent substrates in the oxygenation reaction (entries 12-15). Reaction with 4-pentene-1-al was also successful with selectivity reaching 90% for reaction at −10 °C (entries 16

Table 2. Effect of SET Reagent, Ligand, and Solvent[a]

entry	SET reagent (mol %)	ligand	NaNO₂ (equiv)	solvent	yield (%)[b]	ee (%)[c]
1	Cp₂FeBF₄ (100)	**10b**	0	THF	87	80
2	Cp₂FeBF₄ (50)	**10b**	0	THF	40	74
3	FeCl₃ (100)	**10b**	0	THF	4	nd[d]
4	FeCl₃ (100)	**10b**	0	DMF	74	72
5[e]	FeCl₃ (30)	**10b**	0.3	DMF	82	75
6[e]	FeCl₃ (10)	**10b**	0.3	DMF	83	72
7[e]	FeCl₃ (10)	**10c**	0.3	DMF	75	5
8[e]	FeCl₃ (10)	**10d**	0.3	DMF	64	46
9[e]	FeCl₃ (10)	**10e**	0.3	DMF	26	0
10[e]	FeCl₃ (10)	**10f**	0.3	DMF	33	17

[a] For reaction conditions, see Supporting Information. [b] Isolated yield.
[c] Determined by chiral HPLC. [d] Not determined. [e] Reaction run using 2
equiv of TEMPO and oxygen as a co-oxidant.

**Table 3.** Breadth and Scope of the Aldehyde Substrates[a]

entry	R	temp, °C	time h	yield (%)[b]	ee (%)[c]
1	C₆H₅ **11**	room temp	2	74	32
2	C₆H₅CH₂ **7**	room temp	2	80	71
3[d]		−10	24	68	82
4	C₆H₅CH₂CH₂ **12**	room temp	2	78	60
5		−10	24	64	84
6	4-MeOC₆H₄CH₂CH₂ **13**	room temp	2	77	81
7		−10	24	64	86
8	3,4-(MeO)₂C₆H₃CH₂ **14**	room temp	2	76	72
9[d]		−10	24	68	84
10	4-NO₂C₆H₄CH₂CH₂ **15**	room temp	2	74	75
11		−10	24	75	82
12	**16**	room temp	2	65	84
13		−10	24	66	90
14	**17**	room temp	2	49	56
15		−10	24	50	85
16	allyl **18**	room temp	2	49	80
17		−10	24	58	90
18	(CH₃)₂CH **19**	room temp	24	74	0

[a] For reaction conditions, see Supporting Information. [b] Isolated yield.
[c] Determined by chiral HPLC. [d] Reaction run using 4.0 equiv of TEMPO.

and 17). Isovaleraldehyde, a simple aliphatic aldehyde gave the oxidation product in good yield but with no selectivity (entry 18). Overall, the data in Table 3 demonstrates that there is broad substrate scope in these α-oxygenation reactions.[12]

The N−O bond of product **9** was cleaved using Zn/AcOH to produce 3-phenyl-1,2-propanediol. Comparison of its sign of optical rotation with that reported in the literature established the absolute stereochemistry as *S*.[5a] A model consistent with the observed product stereochemistry is shown in Figure 1. This is also consistent

Figure 1. Stereochemical model.

with models proposed in the literature for reactions of aldehydes using imidazolidinone catalysts.[2,13]

In conclusion we have developed an efficient radical α-oxygenation reaction using organocatalysts. The reactions proceed with good to excellent enantioselectivity. The methodology reported in this work adds to the repertoire of reactions that can be conducted using organocatalysts. Work is underway to utilize organocatalysts in radical-mediated C−C bond-forming processes.

Supporting Information Available: Characterization data for compounds **9**, **15**, **17**, and **20**−**28** and experimental procedures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

(1) *Lewis Acids in Organic Synthesis*; Yamamoto, H., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2000; Vols. 1 and 2.
(2) (a) Sibi, M. P.; Manyem, S.; Zimmerman, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 3263. (b) Sibi, M. P.; Porter, N. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 163. (c) Sibi, M. P.; Manyem, S. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 8033.
(3) (a) Berkessel, A.; Groger, H. *Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005. (b) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5138. (c) Lelais, G.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Aldrich. Acta* **2006**, *39*, 79. (d) Taylor, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1520. (e) List, B.; Yang, J. W. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1584. (f) List, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 819.
(4) (a) Dressel, M.; Aechtner, T.; Bach, T. *Synthesis* **2006**, 2206. (b) Bauer, A.; Westkaemper, F.; Grimme, S.; Bach, T. *Nature* **2005**, *436*, 1139. (c) Aechtner, T.; Dressel, M.; Bach, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5849. (d) Cho, D. H.; Jang, D. O. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 5045.
(5) For the use of organocatalysts in α-functionalization of carbonyl compounds: (aldehydes) (a) Brown, S. P.; Brochu, M. P.; Sinz, C. J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 10808. (b) Zhong, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4247. (c) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Hibino, K.; Shoji, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 8293. (d) Córdova, A.; Sundén, H.; Engqvist, M.; Ibrahem, I.; Casas, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8914. (e) Kim, S.-G.; Park, T.-H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 9067. (f) Guo, H.-M.; Cheng, L.; Cun, L.-F.; Gong, L.-Z.; Mi, A.-Q.; Jiang, Y.-Z.; *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 429. (ketones) (g) Hayashi, M.; Yamaguchi, J.; Sumaiya, T.; Shoji, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1112. (h) Bøgevig, A.; Sunden, H.; Córdova, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1109. (i) Sundén, H.; Engqvist, M.; Casas, J.; Ibrahem, I.; Córdova, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 6532.
(6) (a) Jahn, U.; Müller, M.; Aussieker, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 5212. (b) Jahn, U.; Rudakov, D. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4481. (c) Jahn, U.; Hartmann, P.; Kaasalainen, E. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 257. (d) Jahn, U. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 7130.
(7) (a) Cossy, J.; Bouzide, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1993**, 1218. (b) Narasaka, K.; Okauchi, T.; Tanaka, K.; Murakami, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1992**, 2099. (c) Russell, G. A.; Yao, C.-F.; Rajaratnam, R.; Kim, B. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 373. (d) Barletta, G.; Chung, A. C.; Rios, C. B.; Jordan, F.; Schlegel, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 8144.
(8) For reviews on α-hetero functionalization of carbonyl compounds see: (a) Chen, B.-C.; Zhou, P.; Davis, F. A.; Ciganek, E. *Org. React.* **2003**, *62*, 1. (b) Guillena, G.; Ramon, D. J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2006**, *17*, 1465. (c) Marigo, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2001. (d) Janey, J. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4292.
(9) For details on reaction conditions and workup see Supporting Information.
(10) (a) Epstein, I. R.; Kustin, K.; Warshaw, L. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 3751. (b) Wang, N.; Liu, R.; Chen, J.; Liang, X. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5322.
(11) We have screened a large number of organocatalysts in an effort to improve selectivity. Of these, compound **10b** was optimal.
(12) Reaction with cyclohexanone gave the oxygenated product as a racemate in 86% yield.
(13) Austin, J. F.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1172.

JA069245N