

Tetrahedron 54 (1998) 7777-7786

TETRAHEDRON

Stereoselective Synthesis of Hydroxy-ketenedithioacetals from Aldehydes

Sylvie Tchertchian and Yannick Vallée*

L.E.D.S.S., laboratoire mixte CNRS - Université Joseph Fourier, B.P. 53, 38041 Grenoble, France

Received 2 March 1998; accepted 28 April 1998

Abstract: The reaction of Garner's aldehyde with dithioacetate enethiolates followed by alkylation of the intermediate aldolate gives hydroxy-ketenedithioacetals with a moderate *anti* selectivity. This methodology was applied to the synthesis of various other ketene dithioacetals with high E or Z stereoselectivity. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The chemistry of the enethiolates derived from dithiocarboxylates has received much attention during the last twenty years.¹ They are ambident nucleophiles which can form carbon-carbon bonds or carbon-sulfur bonds when they react with electrophiles. Of particular interest are the reactions creating C-C bonds. This is the case for the reaction of enethiolates with aldehydes (aldol condensation)^{2,3} and enones (Michael addition).⁴ The stereochemistry of both these reactions has been investigated.¹⁻⁴ On the other hand, C-S bond forming reactions, such as the reaction of enethiolates with alkyl halides^{5,6} and epoxides,⁷ give ketenedithioacetals. These compounds can in turn be used for the formation of C-C bonds.⁸ In this paper, we report our results concerning the reaction of enethiolates with Garner's aldehyde 1 (Scheme 1). A one pot procedure, allowing the formation of both a C-C and a C-S bond will be presented.⁹ It is a two carbon homologation procedure, transforming an aldehyde (RCHO) into an allylic hydroxy-ketenedithioacetal (RCHOHCH=C(SR)₂).

Condensation of dithioester enethiolates with Garner's aldehyde

Serine is one the most useful proteic aminoacids available in the chiral pool. Of special interest are the protected derivatives of the corresponding aldehyde ('serinal'), and among them, the most commonly used is the 4-formyl-1,3-oxazolidine 1.¹⁰ This aldehyde, often referred to as Garner's aldehyde, has been used in a lot of total syntheses of natural products. The stereochemistry of its condensation with many nucleophiles has been thoroughly studied.¹¹ Good diastereoselections have been described for allylmetallation reactions,¹² and for some aldol condensations.^{13,14} As it was known that enethiolates sometimes gave better results than enolates,^{1,3} we decided to test the reaction of aldehyde 1 with dithioesters.

The dithioesters 2a,b were deprotonated by LDA in dry THF at $-78^{\circ}C$.² Garner's aldehyde 1 was added to the obtained enethiolates and the reaction mixture was stirred for 45mn at $-78^{\circ}C$ before quenching by NH4Cl/H2O. Classical work up, followed by column chromatography over silica gel gave the expected β -hydroxydithioesters 3a (62% yield) and 3b (59%). ¹H NMR analysis of the isolated compounds 3a,b clearly



showed that they were obtained as mixtures of non separable stereoisomers. However, the spectra at room temperature were complicated by the hindered rotation of the Boc protective group.¹⁵ Recording of the spectrum of **3a** at 70°C in C₆D₆ allowed us to estimate a diastereoisomeric ratio of 75/25 (based on the SMe integrations). This ratio was confirmed by HPLC. In the case of **3b**, only HPLC could be used and gave a 78/22 ratio.

In order to determine the configuration of the major isomer, we tried to transform the oxazolidine **3a** into the dioxane **4a**. In this type of six membered ring compound, the coupling constant JH4H5 is characteristic of the configuration : $J_{H4H5} = 1-2$ Hz for *cis* dioxanes (resulting from a *syn*-selective addition), whereas $J_{H4H5} = 7-10$ Hz for *trans* dioxanes (resulting from an *anti*-selective addition).^{16,17} Various experimental conditions were tried. However in no case the dioxane **4a** could be isolated. Instead of **4a**, a complex mixture was obtained. The ¹H NMR spectrum of this mixture showed ethylenic signals between 5 and 6 ppm. These signals could arise from the formation of the unsaturated dithioester **5a** resulting from acid catalyzed dehydration of **3a**.¹⁸ As other α -ethylenic dithioesters, ¹⁹ **5a** is probably unstable and gave rise to a mixture of dimers and/or oligomers, explaining the complex spectrum obtained.



Scheme 2

As the instability of **3a** was probably due to the presence of the hydroxy group, we decided to try to alkylate it. The aldol reaction directly leading to an alcoholate **6a** (Scheme 2), we tried to trap it *in situ* by methyl iodide, rather than to regenerate an alcoholate from the isolated β -hydroxydithioester. Thus, the enethiolate of **2a** was allowed to react with aldehyde **1** at -78°C for 1h. Then 1.5 eq. of MeI was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 2h at -78°C before quenching. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the obtained product showed no methoxy singlet, but two doublets corresponding to ethylenic protons were observed at 5.74 (major) and 5.63 ppm (minor), as well as methylthio groups (two major singlets at 1.85 and 1.79 ppm). These observations clearly pointed to the ketene dithioacetal structure **7a**, rather than to the expected *O*-methylated compound. As expected, **7a** was obtained as a mixture of stereoisomers in the ratio 75/25, in accordance with the ratio observed for the corresponding dithioester **4a**. This result shows that the alcoholate **6a** was transformed into the enethiolate **8a**. Whether this transformation is complete or equilibrated is not clear.²⁰ If it is under thermodynamic control, the equilibrium would be displaced by the preferred *S*-alkylation of the highly nucleophilic enethiolate. As shown in Scheme 2, the same procedure was repeated from benzyl dithioacetate **2c** and using benzylbromide as the alkylating reagent. In this case the corresponding di-*S*-benzylated compound **7c** was isolated in 65% yield (two isomers, ratio: 65/35).

The oxazolidine-to-dioxane rearrangement was then attempted on the ketene dithioacetal 7a. However, in this case also, like with dithioester 3a, no clear result could be obtained. Here also, loss of H₂O can explain this bad result. In order to get rid of this problem, we first treated 7a and 7c by Raney nickel (Scheme 3) in ethyl acetate.²¹ Loss of sulfur and hydrogenation of the double bond was observed, giving rise from 7a,c to the same saturated compound 9. Finally, treatment of 9 by *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (cleavage of the isopropylidene group), followed by treatment of the free diol by 2,2-dimethoxypropane gave the dioxane 10.





10 was obtained as a mixture of two stereoisomers which were separated by liquid chromatography. The coupling constants J_{H4H5} were determined : major isomer, $J_{H4H5} = 9.5$ Hz (10*trans*); minor isomer, $J_{H4H5} = 1.7$ Hz (10*cis*).^{16,17} These results indicate that, like in the case of enolates, the major isomer obtained by condensation of a dithioacetate enethiolate with the aldehyde 1 has an *anti* configuration. Even though the observed stereoselectivity is mediocre in the three tested examples, ranging from 65/35 to 78/22 (to be compared with 88/12¹³ and 80/20¹⁴), this study allowed us to discover that it is possible to create in one pot a C-C and a C-S bond from a dithioester. This observation has been extended to a series of aldehydes.

One pot synthesis of ketene dithioacetals from dithioacetates and aldehydes

Hydroxyketene dithioacetals²² have been previously obtained by reduction of the corresponding ketones (no C-C nor C-S bond formation)^{23,24} and by double deprotonation of β -hydroxydithioesters followed by S-alkylation (with a C-S bond formation).²⁵ Our method will allow the one-pot formation of a C-S and a C-C bond.





The results obtained using methyl dithioacetate 2a, various aldehydes and methyl iodide as the alkylating reagent are presented in Scheme 4. As discussed before, formation of the dithioacetals 11-13 must result from the transformation of the alcoholate 14 obtained from the condensation of the starting enethiolate with the aldehyde, into a hydroxylated enethiolate 15. Such enethiolate may be *cis* or *trans*. However, as 15*cis* could be stabilized by the formation of a O-H-S hydrogen bond and/or a S-Li-O chelation and that no such intramolecular stabilization is possible for 15*trans*, we postulated that the *cis* isomer should predominate. If this is true, it must be possible to synthesize stereoselectively Z and E ketene dithioacetals by an appropriate choice of \mathbb{R}^1 and \mathbb{R}^3 (Scheme 5). Our results are summarized in the Table. As expected, in each case one of the isomers largely predominate. The Z/E ratios were determined by ¹H NMR. Confirmation of the stereochemistry was obtained by comparison of our NMR data for compounds 16Z and 16E with those reported by Beslin and Perrio.²⁵



product§	R ¹	R ²	R ^{3#}	Z/E	yield
16Z	Me	Me	Bn	99 /1	67%
16 <i>E</i>	Bn	Me	Me	4/96	58%
17E	n-Bu	Me	Me	4/96	74%
18Z	n-Bu	Me	Bn	97/3	71%
19 <i>Z</i>	Me	Et	Bn	96/4	72%
19 <i>E</i>	Bn	Et	Me	3/97	70%
20 <i>E</i>	n-Bu	Et	Me	1/99	72%
21 <i>Z</i>	n-Bu	Et	Bn	99 /1	80%
22 <i>Z</i>	Me	<i>i</i> -Pr	Bn	98/2	71%
22 <i>E</i>	Bn	<i>i</i> -Pr	Me	4/96	69%
23 <i>E</i>	<i>n-</i> Bu	<i>i-</i> Pr	Me	1/99	81%
24 <i>Z</i>	<i>n</i> -Bu	<i>i-</i> Pr	Bn	99 /1	71%

§ Major isomer indicated. # Used alkylating agent : MeI or BnBr

In an attempt to generalize this reaction, we have treated the alcoholate obtained by condensation of benzyl propanedithioate 25 and isobutyraldehyde with methyl iodide (Scheme 6). However, in this case no S-alkylated product was observed. We were able to identify the β -hydroxydithioester 26² in the obtained crude mixture. It seems that the proton α to the C=S double bond in the intermediate alcoholate is not acidic enough to allow the alcoholate to enethiolate transformation to take place.²⁰ This limits the scope of this method to dithioacetates.



Experimental section

All the reactions were carried out under a slightly positive pressure of nitrogen. Anhydrous THF was obtained by distillation over sodium wires in the presence of benzophenone after the blue color of sodium diphenyl ketyl persisted. Elemental analyses were performed by the "service central d'analyse du CNRS" at Vernaison. NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AC200 or AM300 apparatus. The attribution of the ¹³C signals were confirmed by DEPT experiments. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet impact 400 apparatus. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained from a Nermag R10 10H spectrometer. HPLC analyses were performed on a Shimadzu system equiped with a diode array detector and a Kromasil C18 column (eluent : mixtures of water and CH₃CN). The dithioesters **2a-c** were prepared by known methods.²⁶ For the synthesis of the aldehyde 1 we used the procedure described by Garner and Park.^{10,27} All the new products were isolated as oils.

Aldol reaction with Garner's aldehyde

The dithioester (1 mmol) was added dropwise at -78°C to a solution of LDA in THF (1.1 mmol, prepared from diisopropylamine and *n*-BuLi at -20°C). The reaction mixture was stirred for 10mn, before Garner's aldehyde (1 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was then stirred for another 45mn, before quenching with a saturated solution of NH4Cl in water. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with diethyl ether. The collected organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO4, filtered and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was purified by chromatography over silica gel (column or preparative layer chromatography). The β -hydroxydithioesters were obtained as orange oils. The syn and anti isomers were not separated.

Starting with methyl dithioacetate a mixture of syn and anti 3a was obtained in 62% yield (anti/syn = 75/25) 3a : ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 343 K, C₆D₆) major isomer 4.51-4.39 (m, 1H, CHOH), 4.63 (broad s, 1H, OH), 3.96-3.85 (m, 2H, CH₂O), 3.72-3.60 (m, 1H, CHN), 3.24 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H, CH₂CS₂), 2.20 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.76-1.34 (m, 15H, (CH₃)₃C and (CH₃)₂C). The minor syn isomer was characterized by a singlet at 2.18 (SCH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 223.50 (\underline{CS}_2), 153.50 and 152.25 (\underline{CO}_2t -Bu), 95.00 and 94.19 ((CH₃)₂C), 81.10 and 80.05 ((CH₃)₃C), 73.91 (CHOH), 64.95 (CHN), 61.66 and 60.42 (CH₂O), 55.10 (CH₂CS₂), 28.19 ((CH₃)₃C), 26.65, 25.83, 23.75 and 22.50 ((CH₃)₂C), 19.96 (SCH₃).

Starting with *n*-butyl dithioacetate a mixture of syn and anti **3b** was obtained in 59% yield (anti/syn = 78/22) **3b** : ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) major isomer 4.35-3.86 (m, 5H), 3.24 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H, SCH₂), 3.14 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂CS₂), 1.78-1.40 (m, 19H, (CH₃)₃C, (CH₃)₂C and 2 CH₂), 0.87 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3H, CH₃). The minor isomer could not be clearly characterized by NMR. The anti/syn ratio was determined by HPLC.

Synthesis of ketenedithioacetals from Garner's aldehyde

The procedure was similar to that reported for the aldol condensations, excepted that an alkylating reagent (methyl iodide or benzyl bromide) was added to the reaction mixture after the formation of the aldolate. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 2h at -78°C before quenching with NH4Cl/water.

Starting with methyl dithioacetate and using MeI as the alkylating reagent, a mixture of *anti* and *syn* 7a was isolated in a 79% yield. 7a : ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 343 K, C₆D₆) major isomer 5.74 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 5.08-5.00 (m, 1H, OH), 4.77 (dd, J = 4.4 and 8.8 Hz, 1H, CHO), 3.72 (dd, J = 2.3 and 8.9 Hz, 1H, CHN), 3.45 (dd, J = 2.7 and 6.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 1.85 and 1.79 (2s, 6H, 2 SCH₃), 1.24-1.07 (m, 15 H, (CH₃)₃C and (CH₃)₂C). The minor isomer was characterized by the following signals : 5.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, HC=C), 1.86 and 1.74 (2s, 6H, 2 SCH₃).¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 154.20 (<u>CO₂t-Bu</u>), 138.8 (<u>C(SCH₃)₂</u>), 129.63 (<u>HC</u>=C) 94.43 ((CH₃)₂C), 81.08 ((CH₃)₃C), 70.70 (<u>C</u>HO), 65.05 (<u>CH</u>₂O), 62.21 (<u>C</u>HN), 28.12 ((<u>CH</u>₃)₃C), 26.02 (<u>C</u>H₃), 24.45 (<u>C</u>H₃), 17.09 and 16.02 (2 S<u>C</u>H₃). IR (film) : 3450, 2981, 2931, 2882, 1697, 1394, 1370, 1258, 1178, 1092, 1073, 857 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 332 (MH⁺ - H₂O, 100), 276 (28), 264 (11). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₅H₂₇O₄NS₂ : C% = 51.55, H% = 7.79, S% = 18.35 ; found : C% = 51.35, H% = 7.80, S% = 18.06.

Starting with benzyl dithioacetate and using BnBr as the alkylating reagent, a mixture of *anti* and *syn* 7c was isolated in a 65% yield. 7c : ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 343 K, C₆D₆) major isomer 7.05-6.72 (m, 10 H, 2 C₆H₅), 5.96 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.81-4.73 (m, 1H, OH), 4.62-4.55 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.85-3.42 (m, 5H), 3.27 (dd, J = 2.4 and 6.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 1.51-1.05 (m, 15 H, (CH₃)₃C and (CH₃)₂C). The minor isomer was characterized a doublet at 5.85 ppm (d, J = 8.7 Hz, HC=C). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 153.90 (CO_2t -Bu), 138.18, 136.96, 136.16, 133.32, 128.96, 128.44, 127.14 (HC=C, C(SBn)₂ and 2 C₆H₅), 94.16 ((CH₃)₂C), 80.70 ((CH₃)₃C), 70.61 (CHOH), 64.66 (CH₂O), 61.32 (CHN), 37.69 (2 CH₂Ph), 28.34 ((CH₃)₃C), 26.39 and 24.58 ((CH₃)₂C). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₂₇H₃₅O₄NS₂ : C% = 64.64, H% = 7.03, S% = 12.78 ; found : C% = 64.51, H% = 6.99, S% = 12.50.

Determination of the stereochemistry of the isomers of 7a and 7c

Reduction step

To a solution of the dithioacetal (7a or 7c, 1 mmol) in ethyl acetate (5 ml) was added Raney nickel (1.25 g). The resulting mixture was stirred under reflux for 3 h before cooling to room temperature. The nickel was filtered off and washed with several portions of ethyl acetate. The collected EtOAc fractions were evaporated under reduced pressure and the obtained residue was rapidly purified by liquid chromatography over silica gel using a 4/1 mixture of pentane and EtOAc as the eluant. No attempts at obtaining an analytically pure sample of 9 was made. Compound 9 was isolated in 55% yield from 7a, and 60% yield from 7c. The absence of any SMe or SBn groups, and of any ethylenic protons was checked by ¹H NMR. 9 : ¹H NMR

7783

(200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 4.20-3.52 (m, 7H), 2.00-1.41 (m, 15 H, (CH₃)₃C and (CH₃)₂C), 1.12 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 3H, CH₃).

cleavage of the isopropylidene group

A solution of the alcohol 9 (100 mg, 0.39 mmol) and PTSA (9 mg, 0.05 mol) in MeOH (3.3 ml) was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. An aqueous solution of NaHCO3 (15 ml) and ethyl acetate (20 ml) were then added. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 20 ml), and the mixed organic phases were dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduce pressure. The obtained residue was used without further purification.

formation of the 1,3-dioxane ring

To a solution of the crude diol (40 mg, 0.18 mmol) in 2,2-dimethoxypropane (1.9 ml) was added PTSA (2.6 mg, 0.014 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. After a classical work up (NaHCO₃/H₂O, EtOAc), the dioxanes **10***trans* and **10***cis* were separated by liquid chromatography. The global yield from 9 (two steps) was 61%.

10*trans*: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, 343 K, C₆D₆) : 4.88 (broad s, 1H, NHBoc), 3.77 (dd, J = 5 and 11.5 Hz, 1H, 1H from CH₂O), 3.57 (ddd, J = 5, 8.5 and 9.5 Hz, CHN), 3.27 (dd, 2H, J = 8.5 and 11.5, 1H, 1H from CH₂O), 3.25-3.15 (m partly masked by the preceding dd, 1H, CHO), 1.53-1,36 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.40 (s, 9H, (CH₃)₃C), 1.36 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.25 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.94 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 155.16 (CO_2t -Bu), 98.71 ((CH₃)₂C), 79.63 ((CH₃)₃C), 72.92 (CHO), 63.45 (CH₂O), 49.22 (CHN), 28.28 ((CH₃)₃C), 27.89 (CH₃CH₂), 25.52 and 24.73 ((CH₃)₂C), 9.44 (CH₃CH₂). IR (film) : 3308, 2969, 2932, 2857, 1672, 1536, 1456, 1370, 1308, 1240, 1172, 1013 cm⁻¹. MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) : m/z : 260 (MH⁺, 52), 204 (40), 160 (100), 146 (59).

10*cis*: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.28 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H, NHBoc), 4.05 (dd, J = 2.1 and 12 Hz, 1H, 1H from CH₂O), 3.84 (dt, J = 1.7 and 6.5 Hz, 1H, CHO), 3.75 (dd, J = 2.1 and 12 Hz, 1H, 1H from CH₂O), 3.59-3.40 (m, 1H, CHN), 1.63-1.42 (m, 17 H, CH₂CH₃, (CH₃)₃C and (CH₃)₂C), 0.92 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃).

Synthesis of ketene dithioacetals 11-13 and 16-24

The experimental procedure is analogous to the one reported for the synthesis of compounds **7a,c**. The obtained ketene dithioacetals were purified by liquid chromatography over silica gel (column or preparative layer chromatography). They were isolated as colorless or slightly yellow oils.

4,4-dimethylthio-but-3-en-2-ol (11) : yield 61%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 5.77 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.94 (dq, 1H, J = 6.5 and 7.8 Hz, 1H, CHO), 2.34 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.29 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.04 (s, 1H, OH), 1.29 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 134.90 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 134.11 (HC=C), 66.89 (CHO), 23.11 (CH₃), 17.02 and 16,37 (2 SCH₃).

1,1-dimethylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (12) : yield 65%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 5.75 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, HC=C), 4.71 (dt, 1H, J = 6.7 and 7.5 Hz, 1H, CHO), 2.33 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.29 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.75-1.42 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.16 (broad s, 1H, OH), 0.92 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 134.98 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 134.36 (H \underline{C} =C), 65.69 (\underline{C} HO), 27.88 (\underline{C} H₂), 23.01 (\underline{C} H₃), 16.86 and 16.23 (2 S \underline{C} H₃). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₇H₁₄OS₂ : C% = 47.15, H% = 7.91, O% = 8.97 ; found : C% = 47.27, H% = 7.56, O% = 9.23.

4-methyl-1,1-dimethylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (13): yield 65%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.75 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.45 (dd, J = 5.8 and 7.5 Hz, 1H, CHO), 2.32 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.29 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.14 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.88-1.62 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>(CH₃)₂), 0.98 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.89 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): 136.04 (<u>C</u>(SR)₂), 132.74 (H<u>C</u>=C), 74.45 (<u>C</u>HO), 34.08 (<u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 18.18 (CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 16.92 and 16.45 (2 S<u>C</u>H₃).

(Z)-4-benzylthio-4-methylthio-but-3-en-2-ol (16Z)²⁵ : yield 67%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.34-7.10 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.72 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.51 (dq, J = 6.2 and 8.2 Hz, 1H, CHO), 3.93 (AB, $J_{AB} = 13.4$ Hz, $\delta_A - \delta_B = 34.3$ Hz, SCH₂), 2.29 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 0.99 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.92 (broad s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 138.77, 138.47, 132.05, 128.86, 128.41, 127.14 (<u>C₆H₅, HC</u>=C and <u>C</u>(SR)₂), 65.58 (<u>C</u>HO), 37.89 (S<u>C</u>H₂), 21.92 (<u>C</u>H₃), 16.36 (S<u>C</u>H₃).

(*E*)-4-benzylthio-4-methylthio-but-3-en-2-ol (16*E*)²⁵ : yield 58%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.30-6.99 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.76 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.75 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.78 (AB, J_{AB} = 11.7 Hz, δ_A - δ_B = 27.6 Hz, 2H, SCH₂), 2.18 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.03 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.9 (broad s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃) : 138.93, 137.25, 132.81, 128.99, 128.36, 127.13 (<u>C</u>₆H₅, H<u>C</u>=C and <u>C</u>(SR)₂), 66.03 (<u>C</u>HO), 38.01 (S<u>C</u>H₂), 22.82 (<u>C</u>H₃), 16.74 (S<u>C</u>H₃).

(*E*)-4-*n*-butylthio-4-methylthio-but-3-en-2-ol (17*E*) : yield 74%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 5.96 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.92 (dq, J = 6.5 and 7.5 Hz, 1H, CHO), 2.71 (dt, J = 2.1 and 7.2 Hz, 2 H, SCH₂), 2.33 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.05 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.65-1.34 (m, 4H, (CH₂)₂), 1.28 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 138.59 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 133.62 (H \underline{C} =C), 66.00 (\underline{C} HO), 33.39 (\underline{C} H₂), 30.76 (\underline{C} H₂), 23.01 (\underline{C} H₂), 21.80 (\underline{C} H₃), 16.77 (S \underline{C} H₃), 13.58 (\underline{C} H₃). MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 189 (MH⁺ - H₂O, 100), 110 (7).

(Z)-4-benzylthio-4-*n*-butylthio-but-3-en-2-ol (18Z) : yield 71%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.30-7.10 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.77 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.50-4.25 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.80 (AB, J_{AB} = 9.4 Hz, δ_A - δ_B = 22.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 2.88-2.61 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 1.71-1.24 (m, 4H, (CH₂)₂), 0.87 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.83 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.79 (broad s, 1H, OH). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 141.88 (<u>C</u>(SR)₂), 138.75, 133.80, 128.95, 128.47, 127.17 (<u>C</u>₆H₅ and H<u>C</u>=C), 65.73 (<u>C</u>HO), 37.64 (<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 32.34 (<u>C</u>H₂), 30.87 (<u>C</u>H₂), 21.80 (<u>C</u>H₂), 16.77 (<u>C</u>H₃), 13.65 (<u>C</u>H₃). MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 283 (MH⁺,2), 282(M⁺, 2) 265 (MH⁺ - H₂O, 100), 207 (5), 191 (11), 173 (20). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₅H₂₂OS₂ : C% = 63.79, H% = 7.85, S% = 22.41 ; found : C% = 63.77, H% = 8.15, S% = 22.70

(Z)-1-benzylthio-1-methylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (19Z) : yield 72%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.34-7.21 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.34-4.21 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.95 (AB, J_{AB} = 13.4 Hz, δ_A - δ_B = 37.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 3,00 (broad s, 1H, OH), 2.31 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.54-1.13 (m, 2H, CH₂), 0.76 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 138.47 (<u>C</u>(SR)₂), 137.64, 133.09, 128.89, 128.41, 127.14 (H<u>C</u>=C and <u>C</u>₆H₅), 70.94 (<u>C</u>HO), 37.58 (S<u>C</u>H₂), 29.79 (<u>C</u>H₂), 16.06 (S<u>C</u>H₃), 9.44 (<u>C</u>H₃). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₃H₁₈OS₂ : C% = 61.38, H% = 7.13, S% = 25.20 ; found : C% = 61.42, H% = 7.15, S% = 25.01.

(*E*)-1-benzylthio-1-methylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (19*E*) : yield 70%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.34-7.21 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.61 (dt, J = 6.9 and 7.8 Hz, 1H, CHO), 4.05 (broad s, 1H, OH), 3.92 (AB, $J_{AB} = 13.4$ Hz, $\delta_A - \delta_B = 12.3$ Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 2.32 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.65-

1.39 (m, 2H, CH₂), 0.79 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 140.38 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 137.71, 133.5, 128.9, 128.33, 127.03 (H \underline{C} =C and \underline{C}_{6} H₅), 70.94 (\underline{C} HO), 37.58 (S \underline{C} H₂), 29.79 (\underline{C} H₂), 16.66 (S \underline{C} H₃), 9.44 (\underline{C} H₃).

(E)-1-n-butylthio-1-methylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (20E) : yield 72%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 5.86 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.60 (dt, J = 6 and 7.5 Hz, 1H, CHO), 2.71 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 2.24 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.65-1.26 (m, 6H, 3 (CH₂)), 0.84 (nearly t, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H, 2 CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 137.86 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 134.07 (H \underline{C} =C), 70.95 (\underline{C} HO), 32.31 (\underline{C} H₂), 30.77 (\underline{C} H₂), 29.92 (\underline{C} H₂), 21.72 (\underline{C} H₂), 16.69 (S \underline{C} H₃), 13.56 (\underline{C} H₃), 9.56 (\underline{C} H₃).

(*E*)-1-benzylthio-1-*n*-butylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (21*Z*) : yield 80%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.45-7.20 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.88 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.35-4.21 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.93 (AB, J_{AB} = 13.4 Hz, δ_A - δ_B = 35.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 2.90-2.61 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 1.67-1,12 (m, 6H, 3 CH₂), 0.93 (m (~t), 4H, CH₃ and OH), 0.74 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 141.03 (<u>C</u>(SR)₂), 138.60, 132.03, 128.93, 128.40, 127.10 (<u>C</u>₆H₅ and H<u>C</u>=C), 70.83 (<u>C</u>HO), 37.58 (<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 32.31 (<u>C</u>H₂), 30.86 (<u>C</u>H₂), 29.62 (<u>C</u>H₂), 21.87 (<u>C</u>H₂), 13.65 (<u>C</u>H₃), 9.50 (<u>C</u>H₃). MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 297 (MH⁺, 1), 296 (M⁺, 1), 279 (MH⁺ - H₂O, 100), 205 (6), 189 (31), 173 (12). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₆H₂₄OS₂ : C% = 64.82, H% = 8.16, S% = 21.62 ; found : C% = 65.07, H% = 8.13, S% = 21.73.

(Z)-1-benzylthio-4-methyl-1-methylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (22Z) : yield 71%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.35-7.10 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.76 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.20-4.05 (m, 1H, CHO), 3.95 (AB, J_{AB} = 13,4 Hz, δ_A - δ_B = 42.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 2.31 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.83 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.68-1.50 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.83 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.73 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 138.41 (<u>C</u>(SR)₂), 136.37, 135.53, 128.89, 128.38, 127.11 (<u>C</u>₆H₅ and H<u>C</u>=C), 74.22 (<u>C</u>HO), 38.04 (<u>C</u>H₂Ph), 33.28 (<u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 18.66 (CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 16.98 (<u>SC</u>H₃). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₄H₂₀OS₂ : C% = 62.64, H% = 7.51 ; found : C% = 62.62, H% = 7.73.

(*E*)-1-benzylthio-4-methyl-1-methylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (22*E*) : yield 69%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDC1₃) : 7.30-7.15 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.86 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.40 (dd, J = 6.5 and 8.6 Hz, 1H, CHO), 3.91 (AB, $J_{AB} = 13$ Hz, $\delta_A - \delta_B = 18.5$ Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 2.30 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.89 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.68-1.54 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.82 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.73 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDC1₃) : 139.22 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 137.35, 133.73, 128.83, 128.32, 127.00 (\underline{C}_{6} H₅ and H \underline{C} =C), 74.46 (\underline{C} HO), 37.52 (\underline{C} H₂Ph), 34.02 (\underline{C} H(CH₃)₂), 17.92 (CH(\underline{C} H₃)₂), 16.63 (S \underline{C} H₃). MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 269 (MH⁺, 7), 268 (M⁺, 8), 251 (MH⁺ - H₂O, 100), 225 (10), 177 (19), 159 (33).

(*E*)-1-*n*-butylthio-4-methyl-1-methylthio-pent-1-en-3-ol (23*E*) : yield 81%. RMN ¹H (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 5.97 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.49 (dd, J = 6.9 and 8.4 Hz, 1H, CHO), 2.85-2.50 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 2.34 (s, 3H, SCH₃), 1.82-1.35 (m, 5H, CH(CH₃)₂ and (CH₂)₂), 2.10 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.15-0.81 (m, 9H, 3 CH₃). RMN ¹³C (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 136.74 (<u>C</u>(SR)₂), 134.67 (H<u>C</u>=C), 74.53 (<u>C</u>HO), 34.09 (<u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 32.31 (<u>SCH₂</u>), 30.89 (<u>C</u>H₂), 21.69 (<u>C</u>H₂), 18.11 (CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 16.69 (<u>SCH₃</u>), 13.56 (<u>C</u>H₃). MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 235 (MH⁺, 2), 234 (M⁺, 2), 217 (MH⁺ - H₂O, 40), 191 (100), 145 (37). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₁H₂₂OS₂ : C% = 56.36, H% = 9.46 ; found : C% = 56.64, H% = 9.69. (**Z**)-1-benzylthio-1-*n*-butylthio-4-methyl-pent-1-en-3-ol (24*Z*) : yield 71%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) : 7.38-7.10 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, HC=C), 4.50 (dd, J = 6.9 and 8.4 Hz, 1H, CHO), 3.97 (AB, J_{AB} = 13.2 Hz, δ_A - δ_B = 29.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂Ph), 2.82-2.60 (m, 2H, SCH₂), 1.68-1.19 (m,

6H, OH, CH(CH₃)₂ and (CH₂)₂), 0.93 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.71 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 0.58 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) : 138.48 (\underline{C} (SR)₂), 137.39, 131.56, 128.42, 127.95 and 127.06 (H \underline{C} =C and \underline{C}_{6} H₅), 74.35 (\underline{C} HO), 34.09 (\underline{C} H(CH₃)₂), 37.55 (\underline{C} H₂Ph), 33.23 (S \underline{C} H₂), 20.98 (\underline{C} H₂), 18.05 (\underline{C} H₂), 17.82 (CH(\underline{C} H₃)₂), 14.15 (\underline{C} H₃). MS (CI, NH₃ + isobutane) m/z : 294 (MH⁺-H₂O, 100), 268 (49), 204 (15). Elemental analysis : calc. for C₁₇H₂₆OS₂ : C% = 65.76, H% = 8.44, S% = 20.65 ; found : C% = 65.99, H% = 8.48, S% = 20.63.

References and Notes

- 1. Metzner, P. Synthesis 1992, 1185-1199.
- 2. Beslin, P.; Vallée, Y. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 2691-2705.
- 3. Meyers, A.I.; Walkup, R.D. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 5089-5106.
- 4. Berrada, S.; Metzner, P.; Rakotonirina, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1985, 881-890.
- 5. Beslin, P.; Metzner, P.; Vallée, Y.; Vialle, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3617-3620.
- 6. Schuijl, P.J.W.; Brandsma, L.; Arens, J.F. Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1966, 85, 1263-1265.
- 7. Guigné, A.; Metzner, P. Phosphorus Sulfur 1985, 25, 97-102.
- 8. Seebach, D.; Kolb, M.; Gröbel, B.T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 15, 3171-3174.
- 9. Preliminary communication concerning this work : Tchertchian, S.; Vallée, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6225-6226.
- 10. Garner, P.; Park, J.M. Org. Synth. 1991, 70, 18-28.
- 11. Jurczak, J.; Golebiowski, A. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 149-164.
- For instance : Hafner, A.; Duthaler, R.; Marti, R.; Rihs, G.; Rothe-Streit, P.; Schwarzenbach, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2321-2336.
- 13. Garner, P.; Ramakanth, S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2609-2612.
- 14. Dondoni, A.; Merino, P. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5294-5301.
- 15. Garner, P.; Park, J.M. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2361-2364.
- 16. Herold, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 354-362.
- 17. Garner, P.; Park, J.M. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2979-2984.
- 18. Guigné, A.; Metzner, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1990, 127, 446-452.
- 19. Gosselin, P.; Masson, S.; Thuillier, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 2421-2424.
- An intramolecular auto-protonation mechanism has been proposed by Berrada et al. in a similar case : Berrada, S.; Desert, S.; Metzner, P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3575-3586. These authors favored a kinetic interpretation of their results.
- Augustine, R.L. Catalytic Hydrogenation, Techniques and applications in Organic Synthesis, Arnold E.: London and Decker M.: New York, 1965; pp. 131-133.
- Review about ketene dithioacetals : Sheldrake, G.N. in *Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations*; Katritzky, A.R.; Meth-Cohn, O.; Rees C.W., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1995; vol. 4; pp. 823-877.
- 23. Rao, C.S.; Chakrasali, R.T.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 2195-2204.
- 24. Dieter, R.K.; Jenkitkasemwong, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3747-3750.
- 25. Beslin, P.; Perrio, S. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 6275-6286.
- 26. Meijer, J.; Vermeer, P.; Brandsma, L. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1973, 92, 601-604.
- 27. For another way to 1, see : Meffre, P.; Durand, P.; Branquet, E.; Le Goffic, F. Synth. Commun. 1994, 24, 2147-2152.