# **Chemical Science**

# **Accepted Manuscript**

# **Chemical Science**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

# **RSCPublishing**

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# Nanomechanical properties of molecular-scale bridges as visualised by intramolecular electronic energy transfer

Delphine Hablot,<sup>a</sup> Raymond Ziessel,<sup>a,\*</sup> Mohammed A. H. Alamiry,<sup>b</sup> Effat Bahraidah<sup>b</sup> and Anthony Harriman<sup>b,\*</sup>

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A series of molecular dyads has been synthesized and fully characterised. These linear, donor-spaceracceptor compounds comprise terminal dyes selected to exhibit intramolecular electronic energy transfer (EET) along the molecular axis. The spacer is built by accretion of ethynylene-carborane units that give

<sup>10</sup> centre-to-centre separation distances of 38, 57, 76, 96, and 115 Å respectively along the series. The probability of one-way EET between terminals depends on the length of the spacer but also on temperature and applied pressure. Throughout the series, the derived EET parameters are well explained in terms of through-space interactions but the probability of EET is higher than predicted for the fully extended conformation except in a glassy matrix at low temperature. The implication is that these spacers <sup>15</sup> contract under ambient conditions, with the extent of longitudinal contraction increasing under pressure but decreasing as the temperature is lowered. Longer bridges are more susceptible to such distortion, which is considered to resemble a concertina effect caused by out-of-plane bending of individual subunits. The dynamics of EET can be used to estimate the strain energy associated with molecular contraction, the amount of work done in effecting the structural change and the Young's modulus for the <sup>20</sup> bridge.

#### Introduction

Electronic energy transfer (EET) is of major importance in biology, notably photosynthesis<sup>1</sup> and photolyase enzymes,<sup>2</sup> and in modern opto-electronic devices such as OLEDs.<sup>3</sup> The original <sup>25</sup> theoretical frameworks were developed<sup>4,5</sup> more than 50 years ago but have been subjected to considerable modification and extension over the past few decades.<sup>6</sup> With specific consideration of intramolecular EET, there are three primary mechanisms that combine to cover most cases. These include electron exchange

- <sup>30</sup> (or through-bond EET), coulombic interactions (or through-space EET), and bridge-mediated EET.<sup>7</sup> The latter<sup>8</sup> applies to systems where the donor and acceptor are bridged by a conjugated spacer in such a way that the identities of the three components become somewhat blurred in electronic terms. Electron exchange<sup>9</sup>
   <sup>35</sup> demands orbital overlap between donor and acceptor, often
- facilitated by super-exchange interactions, where the rate is attenuated exponentially with increasing separation between the reactants.<sup>10</sup> This mechanism is important for triplet-excited states<sup>11</sup> and for EET in conducting polymers.<sup>12</sup> A special 40 situation might arise, however, when the reactants share a common connecting vibrational mode.<sup>13</sup>

Through-space EET, as first formulated by Förster,<sup>5</sup> is most often explained within the confines of the ideal dipole approximation but this might not hold at short (<30 Å) <sup>45</sup> separations unless the transition dipole moment vectors are of

uncommonly short length.<sup>14</sup> The mechanism, which has been applied widely in biology to measure distances and/or orientations,<sup>15</sup> does not require orbital contact and can occur over separations exceeding 100 Å. The requirements for efficient

- <sup>50</sup> Förster-type EET include good spectral overlap between fluorescence from the donor and absorption by the acceptor and a high radiative rate constant for the donor.<sup>16</sup> Additional requisites relate to an appropriate alignment of transition dipole moment vectors<sup>17</sup> and to the screening factor imposed by the surrounding
- <sup>55</sup> medium.<sup>18</sup> This latter term is likely to be distance dependent for short (<20 Å) separations,<sup>19</sup> where higher-order multipoles have to be considered,<sup>20</sup> and where the spatial distribution of the transition moment has to be taken into account. There are the additional challenges of dealing with reactants subjected to <sup>60</sup> Brownian motion that perturbs the overall orientation factor<sup>21</sup> and in handling cases where the transition dipole moment vectors are degenerate.<sup>22</sup>

Many experimental and theoretical studies have addressed the basic premise of how separation distance affects the dynamics of <sup>65</sup> EET<sup>23</sup> while other research has used the ideal distance dependence to estimate molecular topology.<sup>24</sup> Such work is most likely to succeed over modest separations but to become increasing less reliable as the reactants approach each other. The opposite trend, namely increasing the molecular separation, <sup>70</sup> introduces severe problems in terms of establishing the actual distance between the reactants. Early work in this subject relied on random distributions of donors and acceptors where the mean

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

10

20

30

50



## Chart 1. Chemical structures of the building blocks required to prepare the final B(CAR)<sub>n</sub>DPP compounds.

separation is determined by concentration.<sup>25</sup> This situation was <sup>55</sup> improved by attaching the reactants to bio-molecules, such as helical proteins<sup>26</sup> or DNA,<sup>27</sup> thereby allowing the distance to be better defined. Related studies have used polymers<sup>28</sup> to bind the reactants but suffer badly from structural heterogeneity.<sup>29</sup> In attempting to design new molecular dyads that overcome these of structural problems, our attention was drawn to the possible use of carboranes as building blocks by which to assemble linear molecules of unusual length but high structural integrity.

Carboranes are polyhedral clusters built with skeletal boron and carbon atoms terminated by hydrogen atoms which are used <sup>65</sup> extensively as templates for the preparation of soft matter,<sup>30</sup> polymers,<sup>31</sup> non-linear materials,<sup>32</sup> rigid rods<sup>33</sup> and selfassembled molecular structures.<sup>34</sup> Notably, *closo*-para-carborane (C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>) is an electronically closed structure stabilised with 26 electrons. This electronic and geometric shell closure makes <sup>70</sup> carboranes electronically, chemically and thermodynamically rather robust.<sup>35</sup> Furthermore, these caged clusters are transparent

- to visible light and do not exhibit redox activity at normally accessible potentials.<sup>36</sup> This realisation prompted us to use *closo*-para-carborane as a platform through which to link photoactive
- <sup>75</sup> modules in a rod-like manner (Chart 1).<sup>37</sup> Note that the blue dye has been chosen from the distyryl-boron dipyrromethene (Bodipy) family<sup>38,39</sup> while the dipyrrolopyrrole (DPP) donor is known for its exceptional stability, ease of chemical modification and well-defined structure.<sup>40</sup> For both dyes, the presence of
- <sup>80</sup> adequate functions (BF<sub>2</sub> in the acceptor and dimethylaminopropyne in the donor) help to prevent aggregation.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Synthesis and characterisation

135 The compounds of interest here comprise a linear molecular wire of variable length formed by accretion of para-ethynylene carboranes fitted with terminal chromophores (Chart 1). We used an iterative synthetic protocol starting from the pivotal building blocks B(I), CAR(I), CAR(H) and DPP(Br); see Supporting <sup>140</sup> Information for synthetic details. Each intermediate B(CAR)<sub>n</sub>H was used to produce the target dyad and to extend the bridge according to Scheme 1. The initial step requires cross-coupling of B(I) with the carborane CAR(H) in the presence of Pd(0) under mild conditions. Deprotection of the triethylsilane group 145 with NaOH provides the first module for DPP cross-linking, thereby giving subsequent access to the target dyad with N=1. In fact, the B(CAR)<sub>1</sub>(H) module is easily coupled to a second carborane unit CAR(I) which could be deprotected or involved in further cross-linking with DPP, thus affording the next target 150 with N=2. Each member of the family, B(CAR)<sub>N</sub>DPP, was prepared according to the strategy sketched in Scheme 1 and was characterised fully by NMR (1H, 13C, 11B), ESI-MS, and elemental analysis. The derived spectroscopic data are entirely supportive of the claimed molecular entities. X-Ray crystal 155 structures being unattainable, the critical molecular dimensions were assessed by quantum chemical computations that confirm the fully extended conformations to be the lowest-energy species in each case. In particular, the distances (D<sub>CC</sub>) between the centres of the terminal fluorophores for the energy-minimised 160 structures are listed in Table 1.

Absorption spectra recorded for the compounds in methyltetrahydrofuran (MTHF) exhibit the expected features characteristic of each chromophore (Figure 1). In particular, the

2 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

Downloaded by Duke University on 18 October 2012 Published on 17 October 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2SC21505E "blue" Bodipy dye (B) exhibits a strong absorption transition centred at 645 nm ( $\epsilon_{MAX} \approx 123,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), with a set of vibronic bands stretching to higher energies.<sup>41</sup> The corresponding absorption transition for DPP is easily recognised<sup>42</sup> as a series of weaker bands starting at around 497 nm ( $\epsilon_{MAX} \approx 30,500 \text{ M}^{-1}$ 

- cm<sup>-1</sup>). The carborane-based bridge, CAR, absorbs only in the near-UV region, with bands centred in the 300-350 nm region. Band maxima, half-widths and molar absorption coefficients ( $\epsilon_{MAX}$ ) recorded for both B and DPP are insensitive to the <sup>10</sup> molecular length but there is a progressive increase in  $\epsilon_{MAX}$  for
- CAR with increasing number of repeat units. Fluorescence spectra (Figure 2) recorded in MTHF show emission bands characteristic of B<sup>41</sup> ( $\lambda_{FLU} = 662$  nm) and DPP<sup>42</sup> ( $\lambda_{FLU} = 570$  nm). The blue dye can be excited selectively at 620 nm while the <sup>15</sup> optimal excitation wavelength for DPP is 490 nm, where B
- absorbs ca. 5% of incident photons. No fluorescence is observed from CAR following excitation at 300 nm. Throughout this work, comparison is made to control compounds for both DPP and B (see Supporting Information for structures).<sup>43</sup>



2

Published on 17 October 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2SC21505E

Downloaded by Duke University on 18 October 2012

Scheme 1. Protocol use for the implementation of carborane units and preparation of the target compounds.

#### Photophysical properties in fluid solution

Fluorescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_F$ ) and excited-singlet state <sup>25</sup> lifetimes ( $\tau_S$ ) recorded for the B and DPP units present in the assembled dyads was compared with those recorded for the isolated control compounds in MTHF at room temperature. The main results are listed in Table 1. In each case, direct excitation into B gives fluorescence characteristic of that unit with  $\Phi_F$  and <sup>30</sup>  $\tau_S$  being essentially unperturbed relative to those of the relevant control compound. In contrast, preferential excitation into DPP gives a mixture of fluorescence characteristic of DPP and B, which can be deconvoluted spectrally to allow calculation of the individual  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $\tau_{\rm S}$  values. It is seen that, in each case, emission <sup>35</sup> from DPP is decreased relative to that recorded for the control compound under identical conditions (taking due account of the 5% photon loss) while emission from B is increased compared to what could be expected on account of the respective absorption spectral profiles. This feature was confirmed by comparison to an <sup>40</sup> equimolar mixture of DPP and B in MTHF. The disparity in  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $\tau_{\rm S}$  values measured for dyad and control compound in the equimolar mixture increases markedly as the molecular length decreases but becomes difficult to assess with real accuracy for

the longest dyad. Indeed, it is more meaningful, at least for the <sup>45</sup> longer analogues, to report the ratio ( $R_{DA}$ ) of deconvoluted  $\Phi_F$  values measured for DPP relative to B. There is acceptable agreement between the variation in  $\Phi_F$  and  $\tau_S$  values along the series, while the time-resolved emission decay curves are well described in terms of mono-exponential fits in each case.



50 Figure 1. Absorption spectra of the target dyads recorded in MTHF: the arrow indicates increases molecular length.

Excitation spectra confirm that quenching of donor emission is due to intramolecular EET from DPP to B under these conditions. The photophysical properties of the acceptor moiety <sup>55</sup> are unaffected by the presence of the donor while the large energy gap between donor and acceptor excited-singlet states  $(\Delta E_{SS} = 2,400 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  points to unidirectional EET. Rate constants ( $k_{EET}$ ) and probabilities ( $P_{EET}$ ) for this step, as derived from the steady-state yields and time-resolved decay profiles, are collected <sup>60</sup> in Table 2. The two sets of data are in good agreement, as are the  $P_{EET}$  values determined from the ratio of quantum yields. For the sake of consistency throughout the different sets of experimental results to follow, we have opted to rely on these latter  $P_{EET}$ values, which are seen to decrease with increasing molecular <sup>65</sup> length (Table 2).

For the isolated control compounds, the Förster critical distance<sup>44</sup> ( $D_{CD}$ ) is computed to be 54.4 Å for MTHF at room temperature on the basis of the ideal dipole approximation (IDA) being valid for these reactants. Now, the effective separation <sup>70</sup> distance ( $d_{EFF}$ ) between the centres of the reactants can be estimated from Equation 1 and the derived values are given in Table 2. It is apparent that the probability of Förster-style EET is somewhat higher than expected at the longer separations,

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

although it has to be realised that the experimental uncertainty increases with decreasing extent of fluorescence quenching. Nonetheless, all three estimates of  $P_{EET}$  indicate that the effective separation distance is less than that predicted for the fully extended geometrice execut for N=1. These fluorescence

- s extended geometries, except for N=1. These fluorescence experiments were repeated as a function of concentration in order to eliminate any effects due to intermolecular EET, which could be a particular problem for the longer bridges where solubility is limited and neighbouring molecules are necessarily in close 10 contact at modest concentration. However, a 20-fold dilution
- (from an initial concentration of 2  $\mu$ M) had no obvious effect on the results.



Figure 2. Fluorescence spectra recorded for the B(CAR), DPP compounds in MTHF at room temperature following selective excitation into DPP. The spectra are normalized at the peak of the B emission. NB The intensity of the DPP band seen around 570 nm increases progressively as the molecular length increases from N=1 (black curve) to N=5 (plum curve).

20 Table 1. Molecular lengths and selected photophysical properties recorded for the compounds in MTHF solution at 20 °C.

Published on 17 October 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2SC21505E

Downloaded by Duke University on 18 October 2012

Cmpd	Dcc	$\Phi_{F}{}^{(a)}$	τs <sup>(a)</sup>	$\Phi_F^{(b)}$	$\tau s^{(b)}$
	/ Å		/ ns		/ ns
B(CAR) <sub>1</sub> DPP	38	0.096	0.49	0.55	4.3
B(CAR) <sub>2</sub> DPP	57	0.44	2.48	0.55	4.3
B(CAR) <sub>3</sub> DPP	76	0.73	4.18	0.52	4.2
B(CAR) <sub>4</sub> DPP	96	0.81	4.56	0.56	4.4
B(CAR) <sub>5</sub> DPP	115	0.84	4.75	0.54	4.3
B(CAR) <sub>1</sub> (TES)	NA	NA	NA	0.56	4.2
DPP(Br)	NA	0.87	4.85	NA	NA

(a) Photophysical data refer to the DPP unit following preferential excitation at 490 nm. (b) Photophysical data refer to the B unit following selective excitation at 590 nm.

It can be seen that the IDA allows rather good estimation of the molecular length (i.e., d<sub>EFF</sub> = 37 Å compared to D<sub>CC</sub> = 38 Å) for the shortest bridge, thereby confirming an earlier report<sup>37</sup> relating to EET across the same bridge but with different terminals. As the molecular length increases, however, d<sub>EFF</sub>
<sup>30</sup> becomes progressively shorter than D<sub>CC</sub> (Table 2). There are, in fact, several reports<sup>45</sup> in the scientific literature to indicate that the reliability of the IDA depends on the distance separating the reactants. Normally it is considered that Förster theory works well at large separations but becomes suspect when the distance

 <sup>35</sup> between the reactants is comparable to the sum of the lengths of the transition dipole moment vectors. The carborane-based system, which spans an unusually wide variation in separation distance, appears to behave in the opposite sense! The most likely cause of this effect is that the molecular bridges are
 <sup>40</sup> susceptible to out-of-plane bending that provides access to molecules with decreased D<sub>CC</sub>.

$$P_{EET} = \frac{D_{CD}^{6}}{D_{CD}^{6} + d_{EFF}^{6}} \quad (1)$$

#### Effect of lowering the temperature

45

It has been demonstrated experimentally that the bending rigidity of molecular surfaces is temperature dependent.<sup>46</sup> We might 50 expect similar behaviour for the carborane-bridged molecular dyads under investigation here. As such, fluorescence spectra were recorded in MTHF as a function of temperature. On cooling the solution (Figure 3), the emission maxima recorded for DPP and B undergo modest red shifts due to the temperature-induced 55 change in polarisability of MTHF.47 This effect is more pronounced for B than for DPP because of the increased chargetransfer character inherent to the former chromophore. As a consequence, there is a progressive decrease in the spectral overlap integral associated with intramolecular EET from DPP to 60 B, but this remains a small effect for fluid solution. On approaching the freezing point of MTHF (i.e., 140K), the emission maximum observed for B continues to be red shifted but that for DPP undergoes a blue shift. This reversal in the hypsochromicity has a marked effect on the spectral overlap 65 integral in the frozen state, which is reduced with decreasing temperature. Lowering the temperature also causes a significant increase in the solvent refractive index,<sup>48</sup> which is also a factor involved in controlling k<sub>EET</sub>. These various changes combine to affect the magnitude of the Förster critical distance, which falls <sup>70</sup> from 54.4 Å at room temperature to 52.8 Å at 77K.

Apart from the above-mentioned spectral shifts, lowering the temperature also affects the relative ratio of intensities of the two emission bands following preferential excitation into DPP. Indeed, R<sub>DA</sub> tends to increase in favour of emission from DPP as 75 the temperature decreases. Consequently, as shown in Figure 4, there is a progressive decrease in P<sub>EET</sub> on lowering the temperature. The longest bridge, N=5, suffers from limited solubility at low temperature and, in this case, the results should be treated cautiously. The shortest analogue, N=1, is insensitive  $_{80}$  to temperature;  $P_{EET}$  falls from 91% at room temperature to 88% at 77K (Table 3). On the basis of EET occurring via the Förster IDA mechanism, this drop in efficacy could be explained in terms of d<sub>EFF</sub> increasing from 37 Å at room temperature to 38 Å at 77K. The longer bridges display more significant temperature 85 effects in respect of their P<sub>EET</sub> values, before approaching a near constant value in the solid at around 100K (Table 3). One possible explanation for this behaviour is that the average separation distance increases as the temperature falls, perhaps reaching the fully extended conformation in the glassy matrix.

#### Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

### ARTICLE TYPE

Table 2. Derived parameters relating to fluorescence quenching in the various dyads as a consequence of intramolecular ETT in MTHF solution at room temperature

Compound	D <sub>CC</sub> / Å	P <sub>EET</sub> <sup>(a)</sup>	P <sub>EET</sub> <sup>(b)</sup>	$P_{EET}^{(c)}$	$P_{EET}^{(d)}$	$k_{EET} / 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1(b)}$	$d_{EFF}$ / Å <sup>(b,e)</sup>
B(CAR)1DPP	38	0.90	0.910	0.90	0.89	205	37
B(CAR)2DPP	57	0.43	0.485	0.49	0.48	19	55
B(CAR)3DPP	76	0.12	0.166	0.14	0.16	4.1	71
B(CAR)4DPP	96	0.032	0.064	0.060	0.073	1.4	85
B(CAR)5DPP	115	0.011	0.020	0.022	0.025	0.42	104

(a) Probability of EET calculated on the basis of the IDA and Förster theory. (b) Calculated from the measured R<sub>DA</sub> values. (c) Calculated from the measured  $\tau_s$  values. (d) Calculated from the experimental fluorescence quantum yields. (e) Calculated on the basis that the IDA is valid for these 5 molecular dyads

Compound	N	P <sub>EET</sub> <sup>(a)</sup>	D <sub>77</sub> / Å <sup>(b)</sup>	ΔL / Å	ST	(U <sub>S</sub> /NK) / Å <sup>2</sup>	$K_{MID} / K_{END}^{(c)}$
B(CAR)1DPP	1	0.88	38	0.6	0.016	0.18	NA
B(CAR)2DPP	2	0.40	56.5	1.5	0.027	0.28	3.8
B(CAR)3DPP	3	0.11	75	2.6	0.035	0.38	5.3
B(CAR)4DPP	4	0.027	96	4.0	0.042	0.50	6.8
B(CAR)5DPP	5	0.012	110	4.0	0.036	0.32	3.9

(a) Probability of EET measured at 77K using the fluorescence ratio method. (b) Center-to-center separation distance at 77K as calculated from the idealized dipole approximation. (c) Crude ratio of the spring constants estimated for the "end" and "middle" components of the bridge.

10 Table 4. Derived parameters relating to the temperature dependence for EET in the liquid phase

Compound	Ν	$L_{LIQ}$ / Å <sup>(b)</sup>	$\Delta L$ / Å <sup>(b)</sup>	F / pN	W / perg
B(CAR)1DPP	1	38.1	1.2	33.4	0.040
B(CAR)2DPP	2	57.1	2.2	18.2	0.040
B(CAR)3DPP	3	75.0	4.8	8.2	0.039
B(CAR)4DPP	4	97.0	10.7	3.6	0.038
B(CAR)5DPP	5	109.6	11.0	3.5	0.039

(a) Center-to-center separation distance extrapolated for the liquid phase to 0K as calculated from the idealized dipole approximation. (b) Contraction of the molecular length on heating from 0 to 295K in the liquid phase.



Figure 3. Effect of temperature on the emission spectrum recorded for B(CAR)3DPP in MTHF following excitation into DPP. The temperature 15 ranges from 293 to 77K while the overall intensity increases steadily with decreasing temperature. N.B. The black line corresponds to the spectrum recorded at 293K.



Figure 4. Effect of temperature on the probability of EET across the molecular dyad in MTHF. Data are shown for N=3. Corresponding plot and tabulated data for all compounds are given in the Supporting 20 Information.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

#### Effect of applied pressure

Published on 17 October 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2SC21505E

Downloaded by Duke University on 18 October 2012

In this experiment, emission spectra were recorded for the various dyads in MTHF at 20  $^{\circ}$ C as a function of increasing pressure. It is known<sup>49</sup> that pressure raises the density of the

- <sup>5</sup> solvent and has a small effect on the polarisability of MTHF. This latter effect causes a slight red shift for the fluorescence maxima of both emitters (Figure 5) but the spectral overlap term does not change significantly at pressures below 550 MPa. These spectral shifts are independent of molecular length (see
- <sup>10</sup> Supporting Information). Close scrutiny of these latter results indicates that both DPP and B undergo red shifts of ca. 350 cm<sup>-1</sup> over the full pressure range; the shift increasing in an almost linear manner with applied pressure.<sup>47</sup> In each case, the original fluorescence profile is restored on release of the pressure.



Figure 5. Effect of applied pressure on the fluorescence spectrum recorded for B(CAR)3DPP in MTHF at 20 °C after selective excitation into DPP. The pressure range is from atmospheric to 540 MPa. NB Pressure causes a steady increase in emission from DPP and a corresponding decrease for B, marked by the respective arrows.

- On applying pressure to a solution of the carborane-bridged molecular dyads in MTHF at 293K there is a progressive increase in emission from DPP and a concomitant decrease in fluorescence from B (Figure 5). As such, we can conclude, on the basis of the respective R<sub>DA</sub> values, that the mean P<sub>EET</sub> decreases <sup>25</sup> under pressure. The origin of this effect can be traced to several factors; the best way to correct for these factors is to focus on the coulombic coupling matrix element V<sub>DA</sub> rather than simply compare P<sub>EET</sub> values. This particular term can be determined
- from Equation 2 where  $J_{DA}$  is the spectral overlap integral and s <sub>30</sub> is the solvent screening factor computed from Equation 3. Now, increased pressure causes a small reduction in  $J_{DA}$  and a minor change in absorbance at the excitation wavelength due to compression of the solvent.<sup>49</sup> Such corrections are trivial, however, and change the derived  $V_{DA}$  values by less than 5%.
- <sup>35</sup> Elevated pressure also serves to increase the refractive index of MTHF,<sup>49</sup> which affects the magnitude of P<sub>EET</sub> by way of altering s. This requires a more significant correction. Indeed, after taking due account of the pressure-induced decrease in s, it becomes clear that increasing pressure causes V<sub>DA</sub> to increase steadily, presented and the level of the second term. The rise in V<sub>DA</sub> is a file second term.
- $_{40}$  regardless of the length of the connection. The rise in  $V_{DA}$  is of the order of 6, 20, 21, 13 and 8% for N=1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively, as measured over the full pressure range. In each

case, the rate of change of  $V_{DA}$  with pressure is almost linear and does not tend towards a plateau (Figure 6). Having applied the <sup>45</sup> obvious correction factors, the most reasonable explanation of these results is that high pressure causes the bridge to contract so view Online as to bring the terminals into closer proximity (*vide infra*).



Figure 6. Effect of applied pressure on the electronic coupling matrix element for EET across the terminal as derived in MTHF at 20 0C.

<sup>50</sup> Using the IDA model,<sup>45</sup> the derived V<sub>DA</sub> terms can be converted into d<sub>EFF</sub> values on the basis of Equation 4. Here,  $\mu_D$ ( $\mu_A$ ) is the transition dipole moment of the donor (acceptor), as determined<sup>50</sup> from absorption spectroscopy in MTHF at that pressure (see Supporting Information). In fact, pressure has only <sup>55</sup> a minor effect on these latter values. The available data can be interpreted in terms of the molecular length contracting steadily with increasing pressure, with the significance of the effect increasing with the length of the bridge. For example, the shortest bridge is not much affected by applied pressure and <sup>60</sup> undergoes a minor contraction of ca. 1.6 Å. In contrast, N=4 shows the largest contraction of 6.2 Å over the same pressure

(2)

(3)

6

$$V_{DA} = \frac{\mu_D \mu_A}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 d_{EFF}^3} s\kappa \tag{4}$$

 $k_{EET} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| V_{DA} \right|^2 J_{DA}$ 

 $s = \frac{3}{2n^2 + 1}$ 

#### Refining the molecular length

<sup>70</sup> With the exception of N=5, where experimental uncertainty makes the results unreliable, the emission studies can be used to determine the molecular length on the assumption that low temperature favours the fully extended conformation while applied pressure causes the terminals to approach each other. As <sup>75</sup> such, the effective separation distances (d<sub>77K</sub>) calculated at 77K on the basis that the IDA<sup>45</sup> holds for this system are listed in Table 3. Before attempting to rationalise the variations in molecular length associated with temperature or pressure effects it should be noted that there is excellent agreement between D<sub>77K</sub>

2 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00

and D<sub>CC</sub> for N=1. It is also important to recognise the possible limitations of the IDA approach at distances less than ca. 50 Å. Many of these problems can be overcome by replacing the IDA with the extended dipole method introduced by Kuhn<sup>51</sup> and <sup>5</sup> applied by other groups.<sup>52</sup> This was not the case here, however, since the same values were derived by both methods.

The variation of  $d_{EFF}$  with temperature has the appearance of two separate effects (Figure 7). The molecular length is reasonably constant over the temperature range where the solvent

- <sup>10</sup> is frozen but decreases by a significant amount near the melting point. This "spring-like" effect is suggestive of the molecular geometry being somewhat strained in the solid state but becoming more relaxed in the fluid. In each case, the effect occurs at ca. 140K; this is not the glass transition temperature,
- <sup>15</sup> believed to be around 90-95K, but is in agreement with estimates (e.g., 137K)<sup>53</sup> of the melting point of MTHF. The magnitude of this strain, S<sub>T</sub>, can be computed from Equation 5 and, apart from N=5, is seen to increase with increasing molecular length (Table 3). In principle, the strain energy per carborane, U<sub>S</sub>/N, can be
- <sup>20</sup> calculated from Equation 6 on the basis of elastic behaviour but this requires knowledge of the spring constant, K, associated with geometry relaxation at each carborane unit. We have no information on this latter term but, from comparison of the total strain energy (i.e., the product of U<sub>S</sub> and N), it appears that it is
  <sup>25</sup> not constant across the series. Rather, ΔL increases as the bridge becomes longer. By partitioning the total strain energy into spring constants related to the carborane-to-terminal units (K<sub>END</sub>) and to the inter-carborane units (K<sub>MID</sub>), it becomes clear that the latter are more flexible. Furthermore, these units act
  <sup>30</sup> cooperatively to increase the total stretching length (Table 3). In order words, these internal linkages are primarily responsible for

the geometry relaxation accompanying melting of the solvent.

 $S_T = \frac{\Delta L}{L_0}$ 



Figure 7. Derived effect of temperature on the effective molecular length for N=3 in MTHF.

A more gradual contraction is seen as the temperature  $_{\rm 40}$  continues to rise and this is consistent with out-of-plane bending

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

modes that have vibrational transition energies comparable to  $k_BT$ .<sup>54</sup> Since the carborane unit is unlikely to distort, compression of the molecular length must be confined to the tolane-like linkages, which are known<sup>55</sup> to distort under ambient conditions.

- <sup>45</sup> The extent of molecular contraction in the liquid phase as a function of temperature can be considered in terms of Equation 7, which is a modified form of expressions employed to account for the bending rigidity of graphene<sup>56</sup> and related materials.<sup>57</sup> Here, L<sub>LIQ</sub> refers to the projected molecular length in the liquid <sup>50</sup> phase at 0K and F is a parameter that depends on bridge
- composition and length; F has units equivalent to force such that the product (W = F× $\Delta L_{LIQ}$ :  $\Delta L_{LIQ} = L_{LIQ} - L$ ) corresponds to the total amount of work done in bringing about the structural change and this remains surprisingly constant across the series.
- <sup>55</sup> Expansion of Equation 7 into a Taylor series allows further refinement of F and shows that this term decreases markedly with increasing N (Table 4). As such, we can conclude that the inherent stiffness, and in particular its sensitivity towards changes in temperature, decreases as the bridge gets longer.
   <sup>60</sup> Similar behaviour<sup>46</sup> has been noted for certain surfactant molecules packed into a lipid membrane.

$$L = L_{LIQ} \exp\left(-\frac{k_B T}{F L_{LIQ}}\right) \quad (7)$$
$$\frac{\Delta L}{L_P} = \frac{P}{E} \quad (8)$$

65

The same approach can be applied to the pressure effect at ambient temperature (Table 5). From the experimental results highlighted above, it appears that pressure distorts the bridge so 70 as to bring the terminals into closer proximity. It is considered that, under high pressure, the terminals are locked into the contracted geometry - as opposed to sampling a wide distribution of molecular lengths as might be expected at atmospheric pressure.58 Separate studies have shown that the 75 photophysical properties of the DPP donor are insensitive to applied pressure, at least in MTHF. Using the IDA approach,<sup>45</sup> the pressure-induced changes in d<sub>EFF</sub> from atmospheric pressure to 550 MPa are on the order of 1-7 Å and tend to increase progressively with increasing molecular length (Table 5). The 80 driving force for this effect arises from the need to minimise the molecular volume and this is best achieved by compressing the bridge in a zigzag fashion (i.e., an accordion- or concertina-type compression).

Applied pressure causes stress on the molecule; stress is <sup>85</sup> normally considered as being force per unit area and has the same units as pressure. It can also be described in terms of the Young's modulus, E, of the compressible material,<sup>59</sup> although the relationship is often nonlinear.<sup>60</sup> For the various dyads studied here, the isothermal compressibility data are well accounted for <sup>90</sup> in terms of Equation 8 where L<sub>P</sub> is the molecular length at atmospheric pressure; again the significance of N=5 is marginal because of experimental limitations. Now, we see that the Young's modulus for a carborane bridge is estimated as being on the order of ca. 10 GPa but that the actual value decreases <sup>95</sup> somewhat with increasing length of the bridge (Table 5). This is clear indication that longer bridges are more amenable to

35

longitudinal distortion under pressure. There is also a significant increase in bulk viscosity of MTHF over this pressure range<sup>49</sup> and this is likely to minimize large fluctuations in d<sub>EFF</sub>. Information on how applied pressure affects the length of semi-

- s rigid molecules, in contrast to flexible polymers,<sup>61</sup> is scarce but recent work serves to illustrate that large-scale torsional motions are dampened at high pressure.<sup>49</sup> We are unaware of any prior attempts to record E for organic-based molecular bridges, although an E value of ca. 130 GPa has been reported<sup>57a</sup> for β-<sup>10</sup> SiC nanowires. This same work reports that E increases with
- decreasing temperature, which is the same general trend as observed in our work.

Table 5. Summary of the parameters derived from the pressure dependence recorded for the compounds in MTHF solution at 20  $^{\circ}$ C.

Compound	Ν	Lp	$\Delta L^{(a)}$	Е	Rc <sup>(b)</sup>
-		/ Å	/ Å	/ GPa	/ Å
B(CAR) <sub>1</sub> DPP	1	37.0	1.6	12.48	1.4
B(CAR) <sub>2</sub> DPP	2	55.4	3.5	8.52	1.0
B(CAR) <sub>3</sub> DPP	3	72.8	4.7	8.34	0.7
B(CAR) <sub>4</sub> DPP	4	84.8	6.2	7.42	0.5
B(CAR) <sub>5</sub> DPP	5	105.0	4.7	12.05	0.5

15 (a) Length contraction measured over the full pressure range from atmospheric pressure to an applied pressure of 540 MPa. (b) Radius derived from the cross-sectional area assuming the latter is circular.

$$E = \frac{stress}{strain} = \frac{FL_{P}}{A(\Delta L)}_{20} (9)$$

These results can be tested for self consistency by reference to the fact that Young's modulus can be expressed in terms of stress divided by strain. This leads to Equation 9 where the force, F, is <sup>25</sup> taken from the temperature-dependent studies described earlier and A is the cross-sectional area of the contractor. Taking the latter as a circle, the radius of the contractor, R<sub>C</sub>, can be estimated from the experimental data (Table 5). It appears that this term has the appropriate dimensions (i.e., R<sub>C</sub> = 0.86 Å) for a <sup>30</sup> nanowire as might be formed from the carborane bridge.

#### Conclusion

Molecular surfaces, often represented as flat and smooth plates of

#### 75 Notes and references

- <sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire et Spectroscopies Avancées (LCOSA), Ecole Européenne de Chimie, Polymères et Matériaux, UMR 7515 au CNRS, 25 rue Becquerel, 67087 Strasbourg Cedex 02, France E-mail: ziessel@chimie.u-strasbg.fr
- <sup>80</sup> <sup>b</sup> Molecular Photonics Laboratory, School of Chemistry, Bedson Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RA, United Kingdom. Fax: 44 191222 8660; Tel: 44 191222 8660; E-mail: anthony.harriman@ncl.ac.uk.
- $\dagger$  Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: A description so of the general methods used for compound characterisation, detailed experimental parts and NMR spectral traces for all compounds and intermediates, effect of pressure on spectral band shapes, extended dipole calculation, and P<sub>EET</sub> values versus temperature.
- 1 R. E. Blankenship, "*Molecular Mechanism of Photosynthesis*" Blackwell Science, Oxford, 2002.

- well-defined area, appear curved or distorted under closer scrutiny. Such behaviour has been recognised for graphene,<sup>62</sup> <sup>35</sup> where curvature is a prerequisite for formation of carbon nanotubes, and for lipid bilayers.<sup>63</sup> In the latter case, X-ray scattering<sup>64</sup> shows severe bumps and crevices in the surface. It follows that long, linear molecules will also distort in solution at ambient temperature and pressure. This is certainly the case for <sup>40</sup> duplex DNA<sup>65</sup> and for conjugated polymers,<sup>66</sup> where the
- importance of cooperative domains has been stressed. Other supposedly rigid, rod-like molecules can distort to such a degree that their orientation in solution might differ dramatically from that of the fully extended species. Molecular dynamic <sup>45</sup> simulations<sup>67</sup> offer a means by which to inspect possible torsional motions but do not provide experimental support for a dynamic molecular topology. High-field NMR spectroscopy can give meaningful structural information about molecular rigidity in solution<sup>55</sup> but is time consuming and requires careful <sup>50</sup> calibration. Here, we apply fluorescence spectroscopy to probe the effective length of "stiff" molecular dyads equipped with terminal fluorophores. It has been shown that, in the extreme case, a molecular dyad with an extended molecular length of ca. 85 Å contracts by as much as 6 Å under applied pressure at
- ambient temperature. There is a corresponding extension of ca. 10 Å on cooling to 0K. A direct consequence of this situation is that the probability of EET between terminal groups depends markedly on the local environment even for seemingly rigid bridges.
- The molecular dyads examined herein have the crude 60 appearance of plate-like terminals separated by a semi-rigid cylindrical rod and such topologies might be particularly sensitive to pressure effects. Indeed, we consider the overall pressure and temperature effects in terms of force applied to the 65 flat terminals causing structural distortion (i.e., compression) at the centre of the connector. An interesting feature to emerge from our analysis is that the carborane-based linkages comprising the connector act in a cooperative manner. Thus, the carboraneterminal linkages are robust and possess relatively small spring 70 constants. The carborane-carborane connections, however, take up more strain and the corresponding spring constant increases with increasing number of accreted units. As such, the amount of work needed to compress the molecule decreases substantially with increasing number of carboranes.
  - (a) A. Sancar, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2203-2237. (b) K. Brettel and M. Byrdin, *Curr. Opinion Struct. Biol.* 2010, **20**, 693-701.
  - 3 K. Hong and J.-L. Lee, Electr. Mater. Lett. 2011, 7, 77-91.
- 4 D. L. Dexter, J. Chem. Phys. 1952, 21, 836-850.
- 95 5 T. Förster, Discuss. Faraday Soc. 1959, 27, 7-17
  - 6 (a) S. J. Jang, M. D. Newton and R. J. Silbey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2004,
    92, 218301. (b) H. Sumi, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1999, 103, 252-260. (c)
    D. Beljonne, C. Curutchet, G. D. Scholes and R. J. Silbey, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2009, 113, 6583-6599.
- <sup>100</sup> 7 B. Fückel, A. Kohn, M. E. Harding, G. Diezemann, G. Hinze, T. Basche and J. Gauss, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2008, **128**, 074505.
- 8 (a) J. Seth, V. Palaniappan, T. E. Johnson, S. Prathapan, J. S. Lindsey and D. F. Bocian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994, **116**, 10578-10592. (b) K. Kilsa, J. Kajanus, J. Martensson and B. Albinsson, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1999, **103**, 7329-7339. (c) M. P. Eng and B.
  - Albinsson, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, **45**, 5626-5629. 9 V. May, Dalton Trans. 2009, **45**, 10086-10105.
  - 10 (a) B. Schlicke, P. Belser, L. De Cola, E. Sabbioni and V. Balzani, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, **121**, 4207-4214. (b) R. K. Lammi, R. W.

Published on 17 October 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2SC21505E

Downloaded by Duke University on 18 October 2012

**4** | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Published on 17 October 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2SC21505E

Downloaded by Duke University on 18 October 2012

75

Wagner, A. Ambroise, J. R. Diers, D. F. Bocian, D. Holten and J. S. Lindsey, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 5341-5352.

- 11 (a) D. M. Guldi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2002, 31, 22-36. (b) A. Harriman and R. Ziessel, Chem. Commun. 1996, 1707-1716.
- 5 12 (a) B. J. Schwartz, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2003, 54, 141-172. (b) E. Collini and G. D. Scholes, Science 2009, 323, 369-373.
- 13 E. Hennebicq, D. Beljonne, C. Curutchet, G. D. Scholes and R. J. Silbey, J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 130, 214505.
- 14 H. Sahoo, D. Roccatano, A. Hennig and W. N. Nau, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9762-9772.
- (a) B. Schuler, E. A. Lipman, P. J. Steinbach, M. Kumke and W. A. 15 Eaton, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2005, 102, 2754-2759. (b) D. B. Van Beek, M. C. Zwier, J. M. Shorb and B. P. Krueger, Biophys. J. 2007, 92. 4168-4178
- 15 16 F. R. Li, S. I. Yang, Y. Z. Ciringh, J. Seth, C. H. Martin, D. L. Singh, D. H. Kim, R. R. Birge, D. F. Bocian, D. Holten and J. S. Lindsey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10001-10017.
  - (a) K. F. Wong, B. Bagchi and P. J. Rossky, J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 17 108, 5752-5763. (b) P. M. Dolan, D. Miller, R. J. Cogdell, R. R.
- Birge and H. A. Frank, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 12134-12142. 20 (c) A. Harriman, L. J. Mallon and R. Ziessel, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 11462-11473.
  - 18 C. Curutchet, G. D. Scholes, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 13253-13265
- 25 19 G. D. Scholes, C. Curutchet, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi and J. Tomasi, J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 6978-6982.
  - 20 M. Challacombe, E. Schwegler and J. Almlöf, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 241, 67-72
- 21 R. E. Dale, J. Eisinger and W. E. Blumberg, Biophys. J. 1979, 26, 161-194 30
- J. Martensson, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1994, 229, 449-456. 22
- 23 (a) H. Lu, O. Schops, U. Woggon and C. M. Niemeyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4815-4827. (b) J. Zhang, Y. Fu, M. H. Chowdhury and J. R. Lakowicz, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 11784-11792.
- 35 24 (a) K. E. Sapsford, L. Berti and I. L. Medintz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4562-4588. (b) M. C. Wilson, D. Meredith and A. P. Halestrup, J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 3666-3672.
- 25 (a) V. Balzani and A. Juris, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 211, 97-115. (b) U. Gosele, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1976, 43, 61-64.
- 40 26 (a) C. G. Brouillette, W. J. Dong, Z. R. W. Yano, M. J. Ray, I. I. Protasevich, H. C. Cheung and J. A. Emgler, Biochem. 2005, 44, 16413-16425. (b) S. Karasawa, T. Araki, T. Nagai, H. Mizuno and A. Miyawaki, Biochem. J. 2004, 381, 307-312.
- 27 (a) A. M. Brun and A.Harriman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10383-10393. (b) C. V. Kumar and E. H. Asuncion, J. Am. Chem. 45 Soc. 1993, 115, 8547-8553. (c) B. H. Yun, J. O. Kim, B. W. Lee, P. Lincoln, B. Norden, J. M. Kim and S. K. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 9858-9864.
- 28 (a) S. Wang, B. S. Gaylord and G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5446-5451. (b) E. V. Kuzmenkina, C. D. Heyes and G. U. Nienhaus, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2005, 102, 15471-15476. (c) B. C. Lee, R. N. Zuckermann and K. A. Dill, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10999-11009
- 29 D. E. Makarov and K. W. Plaxco, J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 131, 085105.
- 55 30 (a) P. Kaszynski, S. Pakhomov, K. F. Tesh and V. G. Young Jr., Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6622-6631. (b) P. Kaszynski and A. G. Douglass, J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 581, 28-38.
- M. A. Fox and K. Wade, J. Mater. Chem. 2002, 12, 1301-1306. 31
- 32 J. Taylor, J. Cruso, A. Newlon, U. English, K. Ruhlandt-Senge and J. T. Spencer, Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 3381-3388.
- 33 J. Vicente, M.-T. Chicote and M. M. Alvarez-Falcon, Organomet. 2003, 22, 4792-4797
- H. Jude, H. Disteldorf, S. Fischer, T. Wedge, A. M. Hawkridge, A. M. Arif, M. F. Hawthorne, D. C. Muddiman and P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12131-12139.
- 35 R. E. Williams, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 177-207.
- J. Plesek, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 269-278. 36
- 37 R. Ziessel, G. Ulrich, J.-H. Olivier, T. Bura and A. Sutter, Chem. Commun., 2010, 7978-7980.
- 70 38 (a) G. Ulrich, R. Ziessel and A. Harriman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1184-1201. (b) A. Loudet and K. Burgess, Chem. Rev.

2007, 107, 4891-4932. (c) N. Boens, V. Leen and W. Dehaen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1130-1172

- R. Ziessel, P. Retailleau, K. J. Elliott and A. Harriman Chem. Eur. J. 39 2009, 15, 10369-10374.
- D. Hablot, P. Retailleau and R. Ziessel Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16 40 13346-13351.
- 41 (a) T. Rousseau, A. Cravino, J. Roncali, T. Bura, G. Ulrich and R. Ziessel Chem. Commun. 2009, 1673-1675. (b) J. H. Olivier, A. Haefele, P. Retailleau and R. Ziessel Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 408-411
- (a) L. Bürgi, M. Turbiez, R. Pfeiffer, F. Bienewald, H. Kimer and C. 42 Winnewisser, Adv. Mater. 2008, 20, 2217-2224. (b) A. B. Tamayo, B. Walker and T. Nguyen, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 11545-11551
- 85 43 D. Hablot, A. Harriman and R. Ziessel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50. 7833-7836.
  - 44 S. Saini, H. Singh and B. Bagchi, J. Chem. Sci. 2006, 118, 23-35.
  - (a) A. Munoz-Losa, C. Curutchet, B. P. Krueger, L. R. Hartsell and 45 B. Mennucci, Biophys. J. 2009, 96, 4779-4788. (b) E. Dolghih, W. Ortiz, S. Kim, B. P. Krueger, J. L. Krause and A. E. Roitberg, J.
- Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 4639-4646. 46 P. Liu and Y. W. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2009, 94, 231912.
- 47 I. Renge, J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 7452-7463.
- P. D. Zoon and A. M. Brouwer, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2009, 8, 48 345-353
- 49 M. A. H. Alamiry, J. P. Hagon, A. Harriman, T. Bura and R.Ziessel, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1041-1048.
- 50 P. O. Andersson, T. Gillbro, L. Ferguson and R. J. Cogdell, Photochem. Photobiol. 1991, 54, 353-360.
- V. Czikklely, H. D. Försterling and H. Kuhn, Chem. Phys. Lett. 100 51 1970, 6, 207-211.
  - 52 D. Markovitsi, S. Marguet, L. K. Gallos, H. Sigal, P. Millié, P. Argyrakis, H. Ringsdorf and S. Kumar, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1999, 306, 163-167
- 105 53 D. Zimdars, A. Tokmakoff, S. Chen, S. R. Greenfield, M. D. Fayer, T. I. Smith and H. A. Schwettman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1993, 70, 2718-2721.
  - Y. Tsuda, H. Yasutake, A. Ishijima and T. Yanagida, Proc. Natl. 54 Acad. Sci. 1996, 93, 12937-12942.
- 110 55 A. A. Bothner-By, J. Dadok, T. E. Johnson and J. S. Lindsey, J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 17551-17557.
  - 56 P. Liu and Y. W. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2009, 94, 231912.
- (a) T. Y. Kim, S. S. Han and H. M. Lee, Mater. Trans. 2004, 45, 57 1442-1449. (b) E. Kurtisovski, N. Taulier, R. Ober, M. Waks and W. Urbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2007, 98, 258103 115
  - 58 D. Badali and C. C. Gradinaru, J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 134, 225102.
  - 59 (a) A. V. Dobrynin, J.-M. Y. Carrillo and M. Rubinstein, *Macromol.* 2010, 43, 9181-9190. (b) T. R. Strick, J.-F. Allemand, D. Bensimon, A. Bensimon and V. Croquette, Science 1996, 271, 1835-1837.
- 120 60 N. Schwarzer, Phil. Magazine 2012, 92, 1631-1648.
- (a) R. Casalini and C. M. Roland, Macromol. 2005, 38, 1779-1788. 61 (b) J. Liu, S. Z. Wu, D. P. Cao and L. Q. Zhang, J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 129, 154905.
- 62 O. Frank, G. Tsoukleri, J. Parthenios, K. Papagelis, I. Riaz, R. Jalil, K. S. Novoselov and C. Galiotis, ACS Nano 2010, 4, 3131-3138. 125
  - 63 N. Unwin, J. Mol. Biol. 1993, 229, 1101-1124.
  - (a) W. Rawicz, K. C. Olbrich, T. McIntosh, D. Needham and 64 E.Evans, Biophys. J. 2000, 79, 328-339. (b) N. Fuller and R. P. Rand, Biophys. J. 2001, 81, 243-254.
- (a) S. B. Smith, L. Finzi and C. Bustamante, Science 1992, 258, 130 65 1122-1126. (b) J. K. Strauss and L. J. Maher, Science 1994, 266, 1829-1834.
  - 66 E. Smela, O. Inganas and I. Lundström, Science 1995, 268, 1735-1738

135

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]