Preliminary communication

A new synthesis of glycosides. Reactions of trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride at the anomeric centre

JACQUES LEROUX and ARTHUR S. PERLIN

Department of Chemistry, McGill University, Montreal H3C 3G1 (Canada) (Received February 11th, 1976; accepted for publication, February 17th, 1976)

Trifluoromethanesulfonates (triflates) undergo vigorous, nucleophilic displacement reactions¹⁻³, a property that has been utilized in the sugar series in a facile synthesis of 4-deoxy-4-fluoro-D-galactose⁴. This communication describes displacement reactions at the anomeric centre in which triflates act as intermediates, and which furnish a novel procedure for the synthesis of glycosides and higher saccharides.

Our initial attempt⁵ to prepare a 1-O-triflyl derivative by reaction of triflic anhydride (1) with 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranose (2) in pyridine yielded, instead, the β -pyridinium triflate (3) [m.p. 152–153°, $[\alpha]_D$ –20° (c 4, chloroform); characterized by elemental analysis, and ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. spectroscopy]. This concurs with recent observations by Hall and Miller⁶ on the synthesis of pyridinium triflates, and bears an analogy with the formation of pyridinium glycosides from glycosyl halides^{7,8}. Because the latter are unreactive towards hindered alkylpyridines⁹, 2,4,6-collidine (4) was used instead of pyridine; this appeared to promote a rapid formation of the desired 1-triflate (5) (chromatographic and n.m.r.-spectral evidence), but, nevertheless, attempts at displacement with ethanol or methanol afforded little glycoside together with as-yet-unidentified products.

 $CH_{2}OBn$ BnO BnO BnO BnO R_{2} R_{1} R_{1} $R_{2} = OH$ R_{1} $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{2}$ $R_{1} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{2} = R_{1}$ $R_{1} = R_{$



11 $R_1 = H, R_2 = OH$ 12 $R_1 = H, R_2 = OCH_3$ 13 $R_1 = OCH_3, R_2 = H$

By contrast, glycoside formation took place in high yield when the reaction mixture was supplemented by the addition of tetrabutylammonium bromide (6), the object being to generate¹⁰ a glycosyl bromide (7) in situ. Thus, a solution of 2 (1.0 mmole), 4 (3.0 mmoles), and 6 (2.0 mmoles) in dry dichloromethane (5 ml) was added to 1 (1.5 mmoles) at -70° , followed after 1 h at room temperature by methanol (15.0 mmoles), affording, in a reaction time of 1.5 h, a 95% yield of a mixture (isolated by column chromatography on silica gel) consisting of methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (8) (70%; indistinguishable by p.m.r. spectroscopy from the known, syrupy glycoside) and its β anomer (9) (25%; syrup, characterized by p.m.r. spectroscopy). When 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-glucopyranose (2.0 mmoles) was used as the hydroxylic component, a 60% yield of a disaccharide was obtained in 24 h; according to ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. spectroscopy, as well as polarimetric data, the product was almost exclusively the α -D-(1 \rightarrow 6) compound (10).

These findings indicate, therefore, that an aldose derivative such as 2 may be converted into a glycoside (8, 9, or 10) through the successive intermediacy of a 1-triflate (5) and a glycosyl bromide (7). In part, this sequence is the converse of that introduced by Kronzer and Schuerch¹¹, in which silver triflate (or other sulfonate¹²) is used to mediate the reaction between an alcohol and a halide such as 7 (prepared in the conventional way from a 1-O-acyl derivative with hydrogen bromide). Although 5 is a presumed intermediate¹¹ in both sequences, our conditions have been found unfavorable for glycoside formation unless bromide ion is present in the solution. The present procedure also incorporates a halide-exchange step^{13,14}, which has been shown^{10,15,16} to favor the formation of α -D-glucosides from 7.

A noteworthy feature of the current route to glycosides is that it circumvents the usual practice of employing the reaction of hydrogen halides with 1-O-acylaldoses to generate glycosyl halides. As in the formation of 8 or 10 from 2, this lessens the number of individual steps required in the synthetic sequence. In addition, however, this feature permits the use of such acid-labile protecting groups as acetals which, at present, are not normally employed. To illustrate, when 2,3:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene- α -D-mannofuranose (11) in dichloromethane was treated in succession, as described, with 4, 6, 1, and methanol, a 3:2 mixture of the methyl α - and β -D-glycosides (12 and 13) was obtained as the only substantial product (*cf.* ref. 17); these anomers were separated on silica gel in 60% overall yield, and characterized by comparison of their ¹H and ¹³C n.m.r. spectra with those of the known, syrupy glycosides.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The generous support of the Pulp and Paper Research Institute of Canada and the National Research Council is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1 T. Gramstad and R. N. Hazeldine, J. Chem. Soc., (1957) 4069-4079.
- 2 R. L. Hansen, J. Org. Chem., 30 (1965) 4322-4323.
- 3 A. Strietweiser, Jr., C. L. Wilkins, and E. Kiehlmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 1598-1601.
- 4 A. Maradufu and A. S. Perlin, Carbohydr. Res., 32 (1974) 261-277.
- 5 J. Leroux and A. S. Perlin, Ann. ACFAS, 41 (1974) 49.
- 6 L. D. Hall and D. C. Miller, Carbohydr. Res., 40 (1975) C1-C2.
- 7 E. Fischer and K. Raske, Ber., 43 (1910) 1750-1754.
- 8 R. U. Lemieux and A. R. Morgan, Can. J. Chem., 43 (1965) 2205-2213.
- 9 M. Mazurek and A. S. Perlin, Can. J. Chem., 43 (1965) 1918-1923.
- 10 T. Ishikawa and H. G. Fletcher, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 34 (1969) 563-571.
- 11 F. J. Kronzer and C. Schuerch, Carbohydr. Res., 27 (1973) 379-390.
- 12 R. Eby and C. Schuerch, Carbohydr. Res., 34 (1974) 79-90.
- 13 A. J. Rhind-Tutt and C. A. Vernon, J. Chem. Soc., (1960) 4637-4644.
- 14 R. U. Lemieux and A. R. Morgan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85 (1963) 1889-1890.
- 15 P. A. Gent and R. Gigg, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans., 1, (1974) 1446-1452.
- 16 R. U. Lemieux, K. B. Hendriks, R. V. Stick, and K. James, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 4056-4062.
- 17 K. Freudenberg and R. M. Hixon, Ber., 56 (1923) 2119-2124; R. S. Tipson, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem 8 (1953) 107-215; see p. 138.