Copper-catalyzed C—N Coupling and Cyclization of 2-(2-Bromophenyl)-1*H*-indoles with Primary Amides Leading to Indolo[1,2-*c*]quinazolines

Jae Myeong Yoo, Pham Duy Quang Dao, and Chan Sik Cho*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea. *E-mail: cscho@knu.ac.kr Received May 15, 2018, Accepted July 3, 2018

Keywords: Copper catalyst, Cyclization, Indolo[1,2-*c*]quinazolines, Primary amides, Heterocycles

It is well-known that indole-fused quinazolines, indolo [1,2-c]quinazolines exhibit biological activities such as antibacterial and antifungal properties (Scheme 1).^{1,2} Since the synthesis of indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines by thermal cyclization of 2-(2-aminophenyl)indoles with acyl cyanides followed by HCl treatment, many synthetic methods for such a hybrid scaffold are well documented.^{3,4} Several groups have shown that indolo[1,2-c] guinazolines can be formed by condensation of 2-(2-aminophenyl)indoles with aromatic aldehydes and subsequent treatment with powdered KMnO₄ for oxidative cyclization.^{1,2,5} Such a hybrid scaffold formation was also exemplified by palladium-catalyzed cyclocarbonylation of bis(o-trifluoroacetamidophenyl)acetylene with aryl or vinyl halides and triflates⁶ and cyclization of 1-(N-arylimino)indoles formed by additionelimination between indoles and N-aryltrifluoroacetimidoyl chlorides.⁷ It is also reported that 2-(2-halophenyl)indoles are coupled and cyclized with (aryl)methaneamines, amidine hydrochlorides, and amino acids in the presence of a copper catalyst to give indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines.⁸⁻¹⁰ Wang et al. recently reported on synthesis of indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines from N-{2-[(2-aminophenyl)ethynyl]phenyl}amides by ZnBr₂-promoted domino hydroamination-cyclization.¹¹ During the course of our continuing studies directed towards cyclization reactions,¹² we have identified several new synthetic methods for N-fused hybrid scaffolds.¹³ Under these circumstances, this report describes coppercatalyzed coupling and cyclization of 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indoles with primary amides leading to indole-fused quinazolines, indolo[1,2-*c*]quinazolines.

Treatment of 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1*H*-indole (**1a**) with an equimolar amount of formamide (**2a**) in DMF at 130°C for 24 h in the presence of a catalytic amount of CuI (10 mol %) along with Cs_2CO_3 afforded indolo[1,2-*c*]quinazoline (**3a**) in 10% isolated yield with several unidentifiable products (Table 1, entry 1). Higher reaction rate and yield were observed with further addition of L-proline as a ligand (entry 2). It is known that the catalytic system of CuI combined with L-proline effectively catalyzes coupling and cyclization of 2-bromobenzamides with terminal alkynes

leading to 3-alkylideneisoindolin-1-ones.¹⁴ The molar ratio of [2a]/[1a] affected the yield of 3a and the yield increased with the increase of the molar ratio up to 2.0 along with complete conversion of 1a (entry 3). Lower reaction temperature resulted in a decreased yield of 3a (entry 4). The reaction proceeded using other amino acids such as glycine, L-phenylalanine, N,N-dimethylglycine, and 4-hydroxy-L-proline in place of L-proline, but the yield of 3a was generally lower than that by the use of L-proline except for 4-hydroxy-L-proline, which exhibited similar activity as L-proline (entries 5–8).

Among bases examined under the employed conditions, Cs_2CO_3 was shown to be the base of choice (entries 3 and 9–11). When used with L-proline and Cs_2CO_3 , copper catalysts such as CuCl, CuBr, and copper powder showed lower catalytic activity than CuI (entries 12–14). As a result, even though the exact roles of copper catalysts, ligands and bases are still obscure, the best result in terms of both product yield and complete conversion of **1a** is obtained using the standard set of reaction conditions shown in entry 3 of Table 1.

Based on optimized reaction conditions, various 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indoles 1 were subjected to the reaction with primary amides 2 in order to investigate the reaction scope, and several representative results are summarized in Table 2. 2-(2-Bromophenyl)-1H-indole (1a) was coupled and cyclized with aliphatic, aromatic and heteroaromatic primary amides (2b-e) to give the corresponding indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines (3b-e) in the range of 40-59% isolated yields. However, unlike the reaction with formamide (2a), higher reaction temperature was needed for the reaction with 2b-e to get similar yields of 3b-e as that of 3a. For example, treatment of 1a with 2d under the employed conditions (130°C) afforded in only 21% isolated yield of 3d, giving 52% yield of 3d at higher reaction temperature (150°C). The reaction of 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1Hindoles (1b-d) having electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents (R² and R³) on indole moiety with primary amides (2a and 2d) also afforded the corresponding coupled and cyclized indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines 3f-j and the



Scheme 1. Indolo[1,2-*c*]quinazoline.

product yield was not affected by the position and electronic nature of the substituent on **1b-d**. With 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1*H*-indoles **1e-g** having straight alkyl chains at position 3 (\mathbb{R}^1) of indole moiety, the corresponding coupled and cyclized indolo[1,2-*c*]quinazolines **3k-o** were also invariably formed irrespective of the chain length of **1e-g**. As is the case for the reaction with **1e-g**, the coupling and cyclization also similarly proceeded with 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1*H*-indole **1h** having branched alkyl chain at position 3 (\mathbb{R}^1).

The present reaction seems to proceed via an initial formation of C—N coupled intermediate **4** by copper-catalyzed Ullmann-type coupling between **1a** and **2**, which triggers cyclization and dehydration to give 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1*H*indole **3** (Scheme 2).^{15,16} It is reported that N-substituted *o*bromobenzamides and 2-(2-bromoaryl)-1*H*-imidazoles are found to be coupled and cyclized with primary amides in the presence of CuI to give quinazolinones and imidazo [1,2-*c*]quinazolines.^{17,18} We confirmed in a separate experiment that C—N coupled intermediate **6** is formed in 56% yield from 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole (**5**) and **2d** under the employed conditions (Scheme 3).

Table 1. Optimization of conditions for the reaction of 1a with 2a.^a

In summary, it has been shown that 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1*H*-indoles react with primary amides in the presence of CuI and L-proline along with a base to give indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines via C—N coupling and cyclization process. The present reaction provides a new method for the synthesis of indole-fused quinazolines, indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines from readily available starting compounds. Further studies on the synthesis of N-fused hybrid scaffolds using coppercatalyzed coupling and cyclization protocol are underway.

Experimental

General. ¹H (400 and 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 and 125 MHz) spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance (Billerica, MA, USA) spectrometers using TMS as an internal standard. Melting points were determined on a Stanford Research Inc. (Sunnyvale, CA, USA). MPA100 automated melting point apparatus. High-resolution mass data were recorded using electronic ionization (HRMS-EI, magnetic sector-electric sector double focusing mass analyzer) at the Korea Basic Science Center (Daegu, Korea). The isolation of pure products was carried out via thin layer (silica gel 60 GF₂₅₄, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) chromatography. The starting 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indoles were prepared from the corresponding 1-(2-bromophenyl)alkanones and arylhydrazines according to literature procedures.^{19,20} Commercially available organic and inorganic compounds were used without further purification.



Entry	[2a]/[1a]	Cu cat.	Ligand	Base	Yield (%)
1	1.0	CuI		Cs ₂ CO ₃	10
2	1.0	CuI	L-proline	Cs_2CO_3	27
3	2.0	CuI	L-proline	Cs_2CO_3	59
4^b	2.0	CuI	L-proline	Cs_2CO_3	36
5	2.0	CuI	Glycine	Cs_2CO_3	36
6	2.0	CuI	L-phenylalanine	Cs_2CO_3	43
7	2.0	CuI	N,N-Dimethylglycine	Cs_2CO_3	15
8	2.0	CuI	4-hydroxy-L-proline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	58
9	2.0	CuI	L-proline	K_2CO_3	40
10	2.0	CuI	L-proline	NaO ^t Bu	49
11	2.0	CuI	L-proline	K_3PO_4	35
12	2.0	CuCl	L-proline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	12
13	2.0	CuBr	L-proline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	43
14	2.0	Cu powder	L-proline	Cs ₂ CO ₃	6

^a Except as noted, all reactions were carried out with **1a** (0.5 mmol), Cu catalyst (0.05 mmol), L-proline (0.15 mmol), base (1.5 mmol), and DMF (5 mL) at 130°C for 24 h.

^b At 100°C.

Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2018





^a All reactions were carried out with 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (1 mmol), CuI (0.05 mmol), L-proline (0.15 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (1.5 mmol), and DMF (5 mL) at 150°C (130°C with 2a) for 24 h.

General Procedure for CuI-catalyzed Coupling and Cyclization of 2-(2-Bromophenyl)-1*H*-Indoles 1 with Primary Amides 2. To a 25 mL round-bottom flask was added 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (1.0 mmol), CuI (0.010 g, 0.05 mmol), L-proline (0.018 g, 0.15 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (0.489 g, 1.5 mmol), and DMF (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at 130–150°C for 24 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and filtered through a short silica gel column (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH) to remove inorganic salts. Removal of the solvent left a crude mixture, which was separated by TLC [silica gel 60 GF₂₅₄ (Merck), CH₂Cl₂-MeOH] to give desired products **3**. Except for known **3a-e**,







Scheme 3. Experiment for the formation of C–N coupled intermediate.

3g and **3j**,¹⁰ all new products were characterized spectroscopically as shown below.

10-Methylindolo[**1**,**2**-*c*]**quinazoline** (**3f**). Solid; mp 215–216°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.47 (s, 3H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 7.15 (dd, *J* = 1.2 and 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.73 (m, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.95–7.97 (m, 1H), 8.90 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.98, 94.49, 109.67, 120.78, 121.60, 123.29, 124.08, 127.76, 128.30, 128.88, 129.16, 130.20, 133.20, 133.98, 137.33, 139.67; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₂N₂ (M⁺): 232.1000. Found: 232.1003.

8-Methylindolo[1,2-*c*]**quinazoline** (3h). Solid; mp 170–171°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.92 (s, 3H), 7.17–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.33 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, *J* = 1.0 and 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (dd, *J* = 1.0 and 7.5 Hz, 1H), 9.38 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.75, 95.77, 118.97, 121.63, 122.88, 123.19, 123.97, 125.51, 127.73, 127.94, 129.21, 129.85, 130.44, 133.35, 138.94, 139.53; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₂N₂ (M⁺): 232.1000. Found: 232.1000.

10-Chloroindolo[**1**,**2**-*c*]**quinazoline** (**3i**). Solid; mp 230–231°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.00 (s, 1H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 2.0 and 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.76 (m, 2H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.97–7.99 (m, 1H), 8.91 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 94.32, 111.08, 120.56, 121.14, 122.75, 123.50, 128.15, 128.52, 128.89, 129.77, 129.99, 130.97, 134.41, 136.90, 139.66; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₁₅H₉CIN₂ (M⁺): 252.0454. Found: 252.0456.

12-Methylindolo[**1**,**2**-*c*]**quinazoline** (**3**k). Solid; mp 166–168°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.60 (s, 3H), 7.29–7.32 (m,1H), 7.34–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.65–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.03–8.07 (m, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.78, 106.21, 109.65, 118.94, 122.54, 123.18, 123.69, 124.00, 127.42, 127.61, 128.12, 128.32, 129.28, 130.69, 137.52, 140.19; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₂N₂ (M⁺): 232.1000. Found: 232.0998.

12-Methyl-6-phenylindolo[**1**,2-*c*]**quinazoline (31).** Solid; mp 200–201°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.73 (s, 3H), 6.30 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.88–6.91 (m, 1H), 7.23–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.57 (m, 5H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73–7.76 (m, 1H), 8.20–8.23 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.18, 106.99, 114.97, 118.40, 121.88, 122.53, 123.11, 123.82, 127.19, 127.95, 128.35, 128.38, 129.50, 130.13, 130.44, 130.54, 131.43, 136.46, 140.14, 149.79; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₂₂H₁₆N₂ (M⁺): 308.1313. Found: 308.1311.

12-Butylindolo[1,2-*c***]quinazoline (3m).** Solid; mp 118–120°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.90 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.39–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.70 (m, 2H) 3.10 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.67–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02–8.04 (m, 1H), 8.80 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.28, 23.16, 24.97, 32.12, 109.68, 112.10, 119.10, 122.60, 122.95, 123.73, 123.84, 127.21, 127.55, 128.32, 128.38, 129.41, 130.54, 137.65, 140.32; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N₂ (M⁺): 274.1470. Found: 274.1472.

12-Butyl-6-Phenylindolo[1,2-*c***]quinazoline (3n).** Solid; mp 138–140°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.44–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.77 (m, 2H), 3.20 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.29 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.87–6.91 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.57 (m, 5H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72–7.76 (m, 1H), 8.12–8.17 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.33, 23.28, 25.06, 31.92, 112.75, 115.03, 118.47, 121.90, 122.18, 123.11, 123.58, 127.31, 128.11, 128.36, 128.39, 129.50, 129.64, 130.41, 130.58, 131.22, 136.51, 140.17, 149.87; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₂₅H₂₂N₂ (M⁺): 350.1783. Found: 350.1781.

12-Heptylindolo[**1**,2-*c*]**quinazoline** (**30**). Solid; mp $68-69^{\circ}$ C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.27–1.42 (m, 6H), 1.48–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.82 (m, 2H), 3.21 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.53 (m, 4H), 7.76–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.91 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.12–8.17 (m, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.32, 22.90, 25.30, 29.48, 29.96, 30.07, 32.05, 109.72, 112.22, 119.16, 122.65, 123.01, 123.79, 123.90, 127.26, 127.61, 128.35, 128.44, 129.45, 130.57, 137.73, 140.35; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₂₂H₂₄N₂ (M⁺): 316.1939. Found: 316.1941.

12-Isopropylindolo[**1**,**2**-*c*]**quinazoline** (**3p**). Solid; mp 114–115°C (from CH₂Cl₂-hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.65 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 6H), 4.09 (sept, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.78–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.90–7.94 (m, 1H), 8.04–8.07 (m, 1H), 8.26–8.30 (m, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.65, 27.00, 109.86, 118.13, 121.67, 122.37, 122.89, 123.34, 124.13, 126.15, 127.39, 128.43, 128.47, 128.75, 130.14, 137.62, 140.71; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₆N₂ (M⁺): 260.1313. Found: 260.1311.

N-(2-(1-Methyl-1*H***-indol-2-yl)phenyl)benzamide** (6). Solid; mp 177–179°C (hexane); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.89 (s, 3H), 6.93 (s, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 8.0 and 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.64 (m, 1H), 7.72–7.75 (m, 3H), 7.77–7.89 (m, 5H), 8.00–8.02 (m, 1H), 9.16–9.18 (m, 1H), 10.75 (s, 1H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.70, 100.95, 109.17, 111.72, 115.01, 115.92, 117.21, 119.17, 119.35, 119.58, 119.78, 119.87, 120.35, 122.03, 123.86, 124.94, 125.57, 135.50, 143.22, 165.07; HRMS (EI) anal. calcd for C₂₂H₁₈N₂O (M⁺): 326.1419. Found: 326.1422.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A1B03028226).

References

- R. Rohini, P. M. Reddy, K. Shanker, A. Hu, V. Radinder, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 45, 1200.
- R. Rohini, K. Shanker, P. M. Reddy, V. C. Sekhar, V. Ravinder, Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 2009, 342, 533.
- K. Kiang, F. G. Mann, A. F. Prior, A. Topham, J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 1319.
- 4. D. Billimoria, M. P. Cava, Heterocycles 1996, 42, 453.
- R. Rohini, P. M. Reddy, K. Shanker, A. Hu, V. Ravinder, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2010, 21, 897.
- G. Battistuzzi, S. Cacchi, G. Fabrizi, F. Marinelli, L. M. Parisi, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1355.
- J. Zhu, H. Xie, Z. Chen, S. Li, Y. Wu, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1512.
- 8. P. Sang, Y. Xie, J. Zou, Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3894.
- H. Zhang, Y. Jin, H. Liu, Y. Jiang, H. Fu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 2012, 6798.
- Q. Liu, H. Yang, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhao, H. Fu, RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 15636.
- 11. M. Xu, K. Xu, S. Wang, Z.-J. Yao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 4675.
- (a) S. L. Ho, C. S. Cho, H.-S. Sohn, Synthesis 2015, 47, 216.
 (b) Y. Jiao, S. L. Ho, C. S. Cho, Synlett 2015, 26, 1081.
 (c) S. L. Ho, I. C. Yoon, C. S. Cho, H.-J. Choi, J. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 791, 13. (d) P. D. Q. Dao, S. L. Ho, H.-J. Lim, C. S. Cho, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 4140.
- (a) J. M. Yoo, S. L. Ho, C. S. Cho, Synlett 2016, 27, 1383.
 (b) B. W. Yang, S. L. Ho, H.-J. Lim, C. S. Cho, J. Organomet. Chem. 2016, 806, 83. (c) P. D. Q. Dao, H. K. Lee, H.-S. Sohn, N. S. Yoon, C. S. Cho, ACS Omega 2017, 2, 2953.
 (d) B. W. Yang, P. D. Q. Dao, N. S. Yoon, C. S. Cho, J. Organomet. Chem. 2017, 851, 136. (e) S. L. Ho, P. D. Q. Dao, C. S. Cho, Synlett 2017, 28, 1811. (f) P. D. Q. Dao, C. S. Cho, S. L. Ho, H.-S. Sohn, Curr. Org. Chem. 2018, 22, 85.
- 14. L. Li, M. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Jiang, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1309.
- J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, 102, 1359.
- I. P. Beleskaya, A. V. Cheprakov, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 248, 2337.
- 17. L. Ma, Y. Jiang, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1150.
- N. K. Nandwana, S. Dhiman, G. M. Shelke, A. Kumar, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 1736.
- 19. Y. Liu, W. W. McWhorter Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4240.
- 20. R. Xie, Y. Ling, H. Fu, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 12210.

4