Aromatic Sulphonation. Part 54.¹ Sulphonation of Polyethylbenzenes. On the Jacobsen Rearrangement of the Tetraethylbenzenesulphonic Acids

By Ankie Koeberg-Telder and Hans Cerfontain,* Laboratory for Organic Chemistry, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 129, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

The reaction of m- and p-di-, 1,3,5-tri-, and 1,2,3,5- and 1,2,4,5-tetra-ethylbenzene with concentrated aqueous sulphuric acid leads to clean sulphodeprotonation. m-Diethylbenzene in 95.2% H₂SO₄ at 25° gives 9% 2-, 90% 4-, and <3% 5-substitution. The monosulphonic acids obtained from the di- and tri-ethylbenzenes are stable in the sulphonation media. In contrast the sulphonic acids obtained from 1,2,3,5- and 1,2,4,5-tetraethylbenzene are unstable in 98.4% H₂SO₄ and are eventually converted into 2.3.4.5-tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acid. The least stable acid is the 2,3,5,6-isomer which rearranges in part via the 2,3,4,6-isomer and in part directly to the 2,3,4,5-isomer by 1,2-ethyl shifts of the appropriately ring protonated arenesulphonic acids.

RECENTLY we reported the isomer distributions in the sulphonation of the xylenes, o-diethylbenzene, and the trimethylbenzenes.² As a continuation we now report on the sulphonation of polyethylbenzenes and the behaviour of the resulting sulphonic acids. The available literature information on these reactions is limited.³

1,3,5-Triethylbenzene.---Reaction of 1,3,5-triethylbenzene with 98.4% H₂SO₄ at 25° yields exclusively 2,4,6-triethylbenzenesulphonic acid. This sulphonic acid in 108% H_2SO_4 yielded tar, from which no products could be isolated.

With the di- and tri-ethylbenzenes only sulphonation, and no isomerisation or dealkylation, was observed. Tetraethylbenzenes.—Reaction of a mixture of $44 \pm 3\%$

RESULTS

1977

Sulphonations of the aromatic hydrocarbons with sulphuric acid led to the formation of sulphonic acids, whereas those with the CISO₃H-CCl₄ reagent ^{3c} led to the formation of sulphonyl chlorides.

1,2,3,5- and 56 \pm 3% 1,2,4,5-tetraethylbenzene with 98.4% H₂SO₄ yields a mixture of tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acids, the composition of which varies with reaction time (Figure). From the observed and initially non-varying ratio of aromatic : benzylic : methyl 1H n.m.r. absorptions, it was

	riomogeneous	arphona	01011 01	ar(111)00		,01100 all	a chion	ourphon	no acrac	,		
		Sulphonic acid or sulphonyl chloride product composition (%)										
Substrate	Reagent	T/°C	2-	3-	4-	5-	2,5-di-	2,6-di-	3,5-di-	4,5-di-	4,6-di-	Ref.
$1,2\text{-}\mathrm{Et}_{2}\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}$	90.0% H.SO	25		21.2	78.8							2b
	95.2% H.SO.	25		25.4	74.1							2b
	CISO, HCCl	0		8.5	87.7				3.8			
$1,3-Et_2C_6H_4$	89.9% H ₂ SO ₄	25	7		90	≪3						
	95.2% H ₂ SO ₄	25	9		88	≼3						
	CISO ₃ H-ČCl ₄	0	3.7		71	6 ± 2					19	
$1,4-Et_2C_6H_4$	99.9% H₂SO₄	25	100									
1,3-Et ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -4-SO ₃ H	103% H ₂ SO ₄	25									100	
1,3-Et ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -5-SO ₃ H	104.4% H ₂ SO ₄	25					53.6			46.4		5
1,4-Et ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -2-SO ₃ H	104.4% H ₂ SO ₄	25					17	83				
$1,2-\text{Me}_2C_6H_4$	90.3% H ₂ SO ₄	25		40.8	59.2							2a, b
	95.0% H ₂ SO ₄	25		44.2	55.8							2a, b
$1,3-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\mathrm{C}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}$	$90.3\% H_2SO_4$	25	7.5		91.6	0.9						2a
	96.5% H ₂ SO ₄	25	12.6		86.1	1.3						2a
$1,3-Me_2C_6H_3-4-SO_3H$	104.4% H ₂ SÔ ₄	25									100	6
$1,3-\text{Me}_{2}C_{6}H_{3}-5-\text{SO}_{3}H$	104.4% H ₂ SO ₄	25					72.8			27.2		6
1,4-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃ SO ₃ H	104.4% H ₂ SO ₄	25					7.2	92.8				6

Diethylbenzenes.-Varying amounts of m- and p-diethylbenzene were sulphonated with sulphuric acid of a given concentration at 25°. The isomer distribution for the sulphuric acid sulphonation of an aromatic hydrocarbon depends on the amount of converted substrate.^{2b,d,4} Accordingly, the isomer distributions for the diethylbenzenes under conditions of homogeneous sulphonation were obtained by graphical extrapolation to zero substrate conversion. The results are in Table I, together with those of the xylenes 2a for comparison.

 ¹ Part 53, H. Cerfontain and Z. R. H. Schaasberg-Nienhuis, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1976, 1780.
 ² (a) A. J. Prinsen and H. Cerfontain, Rec. Trav. chim., 1969, 88, 833; (b) H. Cerfontain, Z. R. H. Nienhuis, and W. A. Zwart-Voorspuy, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 2087; (c) H. Cerfontain, A. Koeberg-Telder, and E. van Kuipers, *ibid.*, p. 2091; (d) H. Cerfontain, A. Koeberg-Telder, C. Ris, and Z. R. H. Schaasberg-Nienhuis, *ibid.* 1975, 970 Nienhuis, ibid., 1975, 970.

concluded that initially only isomerization and no dealkylation or sulphonation of the monosulphonic acids takes place. The absence of the specific methylene absorption of ethyl hydrogen sulphate at δ ca. 4.9-5.1 further substantiates the absence of dealkylation. Eventually the aromatic: benzylic ratio becomes somewhat low (0.10 \pm

³ (a) C. M. Suter, 'The Organic Chemistry of Sulphur,' Wiley, New York, 1944, ch. III; C. M. Suter and A. W. Weston, 'Organic Reactions,' Wiley, New York, 1947, vol. III, p. 141; (b) L. I. Smith and C. O. Guss, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1940, 62, 2625; (c) E. H. Huntress and J. S. Autenrieth, *ibid.*, 1941, 63,

3446. ⁴ H. de Vries and H. Cerfontain, Rec. Trav. chim., 1967, 86,

873.
⁵ A. Koeberg-Telder, C. Ris, and H. Cerfontain, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1974, 98.
⁶ A. Koeberg-Telder and H. Cerfontain, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1975, 200.

TABLE 1

Homogeneous sulphonation of di(m)ethylbenzenes and their sulphonic acids

718

0.01). This may indicate the presence of some (at most 20%) disulphonic acid. Based on a comparison of the relative rates of sulphonation of the three tetramethylbenzenesulphonic acids,⁶ it will be the 2,3,4,6-tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acid undergoing further sulphonation



Reaction of a mixture of 1,2,3,5- and 1,2,4,5-tetraethylbenzene with 98.4% H₂SO₄ at 25°: \bigcirc , 2,3,4,6-Et₄C₆HSO₃H; \square , 2,3,5,6-Et₄C₆HSO₃H; +, 2,3,4,5-Et₄C₆HSO₃H. Curves calculated according to $k_1 = 8.4$, $k_2 = 6.3$, and $k_3 = 1.3$ (all $\times 10^{-4}$, s⁻¹). Because of the slow dissolution of the hydrocarbon substrates, zero time is poorly defined

with formation of 2,4,5,6-tetraethylbenzene-1,3-disulphonic acid.

Eventually, only 2,3,4,5-tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acid is present in the reaction mixture (with possibly some 2,3,5,6-tetraethylbenzene-1,3-disulphonic acid). The least stable sulphonic acid is the 2,3,5,6-isomer. A plot of log [2,3,5,6-Et₄C₆HSO₃H] against time is linear and extrapolates to a zero time 2,3,5,6-isomer content of $52 \pm 3\%$. This datum is equal to the 1,2,4,5-tetraethylbenzene content in the substrate mixture. Accordingly this hydrocarbon is only sulphonated and does not itself isomerize. The graph of [2,3,4,5-tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acid] against time (Figure) extrapolates to a zero time content of $0 \pm 2\%$. Thus the other substrate compound, *i.e.* 1,2,3,5-tetraethylbenzene, also only undergoes sulphonation and no isomerization. Accordingly, the rearrangements occur with the



sulphonic acids and not with the hydrocarbons. The conversion of 2,3,4,6- and 2,3,5,6-tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acid may be described by the reactions (1)—(4) (Scheme 1).

Estimates of k_1 — k_3 were obtained on the assumption ' H. Cerfontain, A. Koeberg-Telder, and C. Ris, J.C.S.

Perkin II, following paper.
⁸ H. Cerfontain and Z. R. H. Schaasberg-Nienhuis, J.C.S.
Perkin II, 1974, 536.

J.C.S. Perkin II

that $k_4 = 0$ from the initial rate of disappearance of the 2,3,5,6-isomer $(k_1 + k_2)$, the rate of disappearance of the 2,3,4,6-isomer after the 2,3,5,6-isomer had disappeared (k_3) , and the initial rate of formation of the 2,3,4,5-isomer $(k_2 + k_3)$.

The rate constants k_1 — k_3 were further adjusted to fit the observed time dependence of the tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acids with the aid of an analogue computer (Telefunken RA 742). The values thus obtained are 8.4×10^{-4} , 6.3×10^{-4} , and 1.3×10^{-4} s⁻¹ respectively. The curves drawn in the Figure were calculated with these rate constants. Considering also the formation of the 2,4,5,6-tetraethylbenzene-1,3-disulphonic acid it follows that $1.3 \times 10^{-4} \ge k_3 \ge 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ and $k_4 \le 0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹.

DISCUSSION

The decrease in the ratio of 3- to 4-substitution of o-diethylbenzene compared with o-xylene, the lower degree of 2-substitution with m-diethylbenzene compared with m-xylene, and the decrease in the ratio of 4- to 2-substitution of m-diethylbenzene-5-sulphonic acid compared with m-xylene-5-sulphonic acid may all be explained in terms of an enhanced steric hindrance for substitution ortho to an ethyl as compared with a methyl group. The still lower content of 2-substitution with 1,3-di-isopropylbenzene (which is <1% 7) is in line with this explanation. A similar conclusion was drawn from the partial rate factors for ortho-substitution of the monoalkylbenzenes, the f_o values being 47, 26, and 3 for alkyl = methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl respectively.⁸

The decrease in the ratio of 6- to 5-substitution with 1,4-diethylbenzenesulphonic acid compared with p-xylenesulphonic acid indicates that the differences in steric hindrance between a 'free' alkyl and an alkyl buttressed by a sulpho-group is larger for ethyl than methyl. This is apparently so because the terminal methyl of the ethyl substituent adjacent to the sulpho-group will point away from the sulpho-group, and will thus exhibit a larger steric hindrance for substitution of the ortho-hydrogen than an ethyl group without an adjacent sulpho-group.

Jacobsen Rearrangement ⁹ of Tetraethylbenzenesulphonic Acids.—Reaction of the tetraethylbenzenes with 98.4% H_2SO_4 leads to clean sulphodeprotonation. The resulting sulphonic acids derived from 1,2,4,5- and 1,2,3,5tetraethylbenzene are, however, not stable and isomerize according to reactions (1)—(3) (see Results section). The conversion of 2,3,5,6-tetraethylbenzenesulphonic acid into the 2,3,4,6-isomer may be explained (Scheme 2) in terms of initial protonation at position 3, a 1,2-ethyl shift and subsequent proton loss, and the conversion into the 2,3,4,5-isomer by initial protonation at position 2, two subsequent 1,2-ethyl shifts, again followed by proton loss. The higher rate of the former reaction

⁹ For a review, see H. Cerfontain, 'Mechanistic Aspects in Aromatic Sulphonation and Desulphonation,' Interscience, New York, 1968, pp. 214-226.

719

may be the result of a higher degree of ring protonation at the 3- than at the 2-position due to the electronwithdrawing effect of the sulpho-group which will be more apparent at the latter than at the former position.

The sulphonation procedures with sulphuric acid 2b and chlorosulphuric acid in CCl4 3c as reagent have been described. The structural assignments of the products were made by ¹H n.m.r. on the basis of the observed



TABLE 2

¹H N.m.r. data of polyethylbenzenes (3-5 wt-% in CCl₄) and their sulphonic acids (in 95-100% H₂SO₄)

	δ									
Compound	2-	3-	4-	5-	$6-H \text{ or } -CH_2$	Me 2-	3-	4-	$5-CH_2CH_3$	
$1,2-Et_{2}C_{6}H_{4}$	2.62	7.02	7.02			1.20				
$1,3-Et_2C_6H_4$	a	2.58	<u> </u>	- 6.757.	.18		1.20			
$1,4-Et_2C_4H_4$	6.98		2.58					1.20		
1,3,5-Et ₂ C ₆ H ₃	6.72	2.53					1.18			
1,2,3,5-Et ₄ C ₆ H ₂	2.50	2.58	6.70	2.58		1.18				
1,2,4,5-Et ₄ C ₆ H ₂	2.54	6.78				1.16				
2,4-Et,C,H,SO,H	3.44	7.81	3.17	7.70	8.31	1.76		1.70		
2,5-Et,C,H,SO,H	3.39	7.82	7.95	3.10	8.19	1.70			1.64	
2,6-Et,C,H,SO,H	3.4	<u> </u>								
3.5-Et.C.H.SO.H	8.10	3.17	7.90							
2,5-Et,C,H,-1,3-(SO,H),	3.91		8.81	3.33						
4,6-Et,C,H,-1,3-(SO,H),	9.03		3.60	8.19				1.83		
2,5-Et,C,H,-1,4-(SO,H),	3.60	8.64								
2,4,6-Et,C,H,SO,H	3.40	7.52	3.02			1.65		1.60		
2,3,4,5-Ĕt,Č,ĤSŎ,H					8.08					
2,3,4,6-Et ₄ C ₆ HSO ₃ H				7.54						
2,3,5,6-Et ₄ C ₆ HSO ₃ H			7.79							



The higher rate of rearrangement of the tetraethyl- as compared with the tetramethyl-benzenesulphonic acids 10 merely reflects the higher rate of 1,2-alkyl migration of the ethyl as compared with the methyl group.

With 2,3,5,6-tetraisopropylbenzenesulphonic acid no isomerization but only dealkylation is observed.⁷

EXPERIMENTAL

The hydrocarbons were commercial high purity products. The mixture of 1,2,3,5- and 1,2,4,5-tetraethylbenzene was prepared according to ref. 3b; its composition was determined by ¹H n.m.r. analysis based on a comparison of the aromatic hydrogen absorption of the corresponding tetramethylbenzenes.10

¹⁰ A. Koeberg-Telder and H. Cerfontain, to be published.

¹¹ (a) H. Cerfontain, A. Koeberg-Telder, C. Kruk, and C. Ris, Analyt. Chem., 1974, **46**, 72; (b) L. M. Jackman and S. Sternhill, 'Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry,' Pergamon, New York, 1969, 2nd edn., p. 202.

chemical shifts and coupling constants in combination with the available substituent shielding parameters,¹¹ and also by comparison with the spectral data of the corresponding polymethylbenzene(di)sulphonic acids.^{6,12} The results are in Table 2. The composition of the reaction mixtures was determined by multicomponent ¹H n.m.r. analysis.^{11a}

¹H N.m.r. spectra were obtained with Varian A60 and HA100 spectrometers, using tetramethylsilane as internal standard for the organic solvents, and next tetramethylsilane 13 as external standard for the sulphuric acid solutions.

We thank Mrs. K. Scherer-Slimmen for recording the n.m.r. spectra, and Dr. Ir. H. C. Smit and Mr. J. C. Smit for the calculations with the analogue computer.

[5/2160 Received, 6th November, 1976]

12 A. J. Prinsen, Thesis (in English), University of Amsterdam, 1968, p. 46; C. Ris, Thesis (in English), University of Amster-dam, 1973, ch. 2. ¹³ A. Koeberg-Telder and H. Cerfontain, J.C.S. Perkin II,

1975, 226.