

PHOSPHINE CARBONYL-TECHNETIUM(I) AND -TECHNETIUM(III) COMPLEXES

ULDERICO MAZZI *, ARTURO BISMONDO, NIKOLAY KOTSEV and D. AUGUSTO CLEMENTE

Laboratorio di Chimica e Tecnologia dei Radioelementi del C.N.R., Area della Ricerca, Padova (Italy)

(Received February 1st, 1977)

Summary

Treatment of *trans*-[TcX₄L₂] (X = Cl, Br and L = PPh₃, PMe₂Ph) with carbon monoxide (1 atm) in boiling ethyleneglycol methyl ether, gives *trans*-[TcX(CO)₃L₂]. Under these conditions the *mer*-[TcX₃(PMe₂Ph)₃] (X = Cl, Br) gives a mixture of the *trans*-[TcX(CO)₃(PMe₂Ph)₂] and *cis*-[TcX(CO)₂(PMe₂Ph)₃] complexes, but when added free dimethylphenylphosphine is present only the second product is obtained. Carbon monoxide reacts with *mer*-[TcCl₃(PMe₂Ph)₃] in refluxing ethanol to give [TcCl₃(CO)(PMe₂Ph)₃] a C_{3v} seven-coordinate technetium(III) complex.

The stereochemistry of the complexes was determined from their IR and ¹H NMR spectra.

It was recently reported that the most convenient route to mixed phosphine carbonyl complexes of rhenium and technetium starts from tertiary phosphine complexes [1–3]. The only known phosphine carbonyl complexes of technetium were made from [TcCl₂(P(OEt)₂Ph)₄]ClO₄ and carbonyl monoxide at 50°C and 1 atm [3]. Under those conditions the *cis*- and the *trans*-isomers of [Tc(CO)₂-{P(OEt)₂Ph}₄]ClO₄, in which technetium is formally 1+, were obtained. We now describe some reactions of the phosphine technetium complexes with CO.

Refluxing an ethanolic solution of the *mer*-[TcCl₃(PMe₂Ph)₃] complex under CO (1 atm) gave the C_{3v} seven-coordinate [TcCl₃(CO)(PMe₂Ph)₃]. This complex forms air-stable yellow crystals, which are soluble in common polar solvents. The complex is diamagnetic in solution. Its IR spectrum both in solid and in solution shows a strong absorption band in the carbonyl region ($\nu(\text{CO})$ 1874 cm⁻¹ (solid), 1882 cm⁻¹ (solution)), and some bands at 338, 282, 253 and 228 cm⁻¹ in the $\nu(\text{Tc}-\text{Cl})$ region. The ¹H NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ shows a partially resolved multiplet at δ 1.45 ppm coming from the methyl protons. An X-ray structural determination has confirmed the seven-coordination with C_{3v} sym-

metry [4]. An ethanolic molecule is present in the unit cell, but recrystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /pentane gives a solvent-free powder.

The rhenium complex *mer*- $[\text{ReCl}_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ reacts analogously with CO under similar conditions, to give a seven-coordinate compound [1].

Higher temperatures are needed to produce complexes of technetium(I) starting from complexes of the type $[\text{TcX}_3\text{L}_3]$ and $[\text{TcX}_4\text{L}_2]$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br}$ and $\text{L} = \text{PPh}_3, \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$). When carbon monoxide (1 atm) was passed through a refluxing solution of *mer*- $[\text{TcX}_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br}$) in ethyleneglycol methyl ether, the solution became colourless after some hours. When the glycol was removed, a white powder was obtained, from which two compounds, *trans*- $[\text{TcX}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]$ and *cis*- $[\text{TcX}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br}$) were isolated, the second in only 10% yield. The addition of PMe_2Ph improves the yield of *cis*- $[\text{TcX}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$; thus with a 5/1 phosphine/technetium ratio only the complex containing three phosphine ligands is obtained.

The complexes $[\text{TcX}_4\text{L}_2]$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br}$ and $\text{L} = \text{PPh}_3, \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$) react with CO in the same glycol during some hours under reflux to give exclusively products formulated as $[\text{TcX}(\text{CO})_3\text{L}_2]$. These form white crystals, stable as solids and in anhydrous solvents. Chlorinated solvents cause slow decomposition to a unidentified brown product. Elemental analyses are in accordance with the proposed formulation (Table 1). The complexes are diamagnetic in solution, and their IR and ^1H NMR spectra reveal their conformation in solution.

The IR spectra show three absorption bands assignable to the carbonyl ligands vibrations (three $(2A_1 + B_1)$ modes). The highest frequency is weak, there is a very strong band at a lower frequency and a medium band at the lowest frequency (Table 2). This is characteristic of three $\text{C}=\text{O}$ groups in meridional positions [5]. The far-IR spectra in the region $400\text{--}80\text{ cm}^{-1}$ reveal the $\nu(\text{Tc--X})$ stretching vibrations at $\nu(\text{Tc--Cl})$ 275 cm^{-1} and $\nu(\text{Tc--Br})$ 175 cm^{-1} . These low values are consistent with halogen *trans* to a $\text{C}=\text{O}$ group [5], indicating a *trans*-configuration for the phosphine ligands. The ^1H NMR spectra also agree with

TABLE 1
ANALYTICAL DATA FOR THE PHOSPHINECARBONYLTECHNETIUM COMPLEXES


Compound	Yield (%)	M.p. (°C)	Analysis (Found (calcd.))	
			X	Tc
$\text{TcCl}_3(\text{CO})(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3$	91	140	16.86 (16.42)	15.12 (15.27)
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$	71	174 (dec.)	4.87 (4.77)	13.05 (13.31)
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcBr}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$	73	172 (dec.)	10.81 (10.15)	11.82 (12.56)
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2$	60	100	7.24 (7.16)	18.46 (19.99)
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcBr}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2$	65	122	13.56 (14.82)	17.72 (18.34)
<i>cis</i> - $\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3$	78	142 (dec.)	5.98 (5.86)	15.91 (16.35)
<i>cis</i> - $\text{TcBr}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3$	80	144	12.20 (12.31)	14.81 (15.23)

TABLE 2

INFRARED DATA FOR THE PHOSPHINECARBONYLTECHNETIUM COMPLEXES IN THE RANGE 4000–80 cm^{-1}

Compound	$\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$ (cm^{-1})		$\nu(\text{Tc}-\text{X})$ (cm^{-1}) nujol
	sol. CH_2Cl_2	nujol	
$\text{TcCl}_3(\text{CO})(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3$	1882(s)	1874(s)	
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$	2059(w) 1968(s) 1916(m)	2060(w) 1963(s) 1946(m)	278
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcBr}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2$	2070(w) 1979(s) 1930(m)	2060(w) 1973(s) 1913(m)	192
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2$	2040(w) 1961(s) 1908(m)	2055(w) 1965(s) 1874(m)	275
<i>trans</i> - $\text{TcBr}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2$	2069(w) 1975(s) 1920(m)	2062(w) 1982(s) 1905(m)	175
<i>cis</i> - $\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3$	1950(s) 1851(s)	1930(s) 1831(s)	272
<i>cis</i> - $\text{TcBr}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3$	1959(s) 1866(s)	1952(s) 1851(s)	170

this formulation; the CH_3 triplet (1/2/1) appears at δ 1.91 ppm in CDCl_3 at 300 K, and at δ 1.58 ppm in C_6D_6 at the same temperature. The occurrence of this triplet, which arises from virtual coupling with phosphorus nuclei, again indicates a *trans* position of the phosphine ligands [6,7]. We conclude that the only possible configuration is A, in which the symmetry is C_{2v} .



The *cis*- $[\text{TcX}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ complexes ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$) are white crystals, air stable, soluble in polar solvents, slightly soluble in alcohols, and insoluble in non-polar solvents. In chlorinated solvents they give yellow solutions which upon evaporation yield crystals of $[\text{TcCl}_3(\text{CO})(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ (C_{3v}).

These octahedral complexes are diamagnetic, which is consistent with a t_{2g}^6 configuration. The IR spectra for both the solid and solutions, show two bands of equal intensity in the $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$ region (two $2A'$ modes) (Table 2), indicating that the CO groups are in *cis* position. The far-IR spectra reveal no significant

TABLE 3

¹H NMR DATA FOR THE TECHNETIUM CARBONYL COMPLEXES WITH PMe₂Ph LIGANDS

Compound	($\delta(\text{CH}_3)$ in ppm)	Solvent
TcCl ₃ (CO)(PMe ₂ Ph) ₃	1.45(m)	CDCl ₃
<i>trans</i> -TcCl(CO) ₃ (PMe ₂ Ph) ₂	1.91(t)(<i>J</i> 3.5 Hz) 1.58(t)(<i>J</i> 3.3 Hz)	CDCl ₃ C ₆ D ₆
<i>trans</i> -TcBr(CO) ₃ (PMe ₂ Ph) ₂	1.94(t)(<i>J</i> 3.5 Hz)	CDCl ₃
<i>cis</i> -TcCl(CO) ₂ (PMe ₂ Ph) ₃	1.70(m) and 1.17(d)(<i>J</i> 7.0 Hz)	CDCl ₃
<i>cis</i> -TcBr(CO) ₂ (PMe ₂ Ph) ₃	1.73(m) and 1.22(d)(<i>J</i> 7.1 Hz)	CDCl ₃

difference in the Tc—X frequency compared with the [TcX(CO)₃L₂] complexes, and so the halogen atom must be *trans* to a CO group. The ¹H NMR spectra for [TcCl(CO)₂(PMe₂Ph)₃] in CDCl₃ show two absorption bands at δ 1.70 ppm (a multiplet) and at δ 1.17 ppm (a doublet), in a 2/1 area ratio. These results suggest a *meridional* configuration for the phosphine ligand in which two phosphine ligands are equivalent. The multiplet arises because there is no plane of symmetry containing the two *trans* phosphorus atoms [5]. The methyl groups on the same phosphine ligand are not equivalent and the multiplet results from a partial overlapping of two triplets. Therefore the only possible configuration is B (C_s symmetry).

Experimental

Solid samples of NH₄TcO₄ were obtained by concentration of the ammonia solution (Radiochemical Centre Ltd, Amersham, England). Other materials were Reagent Grade chemicals. All solvents were purified and dried by standard methods. All the preparations were carried out under dinitrogen.

Magnetic measurement on dichloromethane solutions were measured by the Evans' method [9] and corrected for diamagnetism of the ligands [10]. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker HFX 10 instrument with TMS as internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin—Elmer 621 instrument (4000—400 cm⁻¹) or a Beckman IR11 spectrophotometer (400—80 cm⁻¹).

Amounts of technetium larger than 1 g were handled in glove boxes under a light vacuum to afford protection against radiation and avoid contamination.

Analyses were carried out as previously described [11].

Trichlorocarbonyltris(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(III)

Method (a). Carbon monoxide was passed through a boiling solution of *mer*-trichlorotris(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(III) (0.5 g) in ethanol (30 ml) for 7 h. The yellow-orange solution became pale yellow. Upon cooling the solution gave pale-yellow plates. Concentration of the mother liquor gave more product. Recrystallization was from CH₂Cl₂/pentane.

Method (b). A solution of chlorodicarbonyltris(dimethylphenylphosphine)-technetium(I) (0.2 g) in chloroform (20 ml) was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. Concentration under vacuum gave TcCl₃(CO)(PMe₂Ph)₃ as a yellow powder.

trans-Chlorotricarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)technetium(I)

Carbon monoxide was passed through a boiling solution of *trans*-tetrachlorobis(triphenylphosphine)technetium(IV) (0.5 g) in ethyleneglycol methyl ether (30 ml) for 20 h, during which the green colour disappeared. On cooling the solution white crystals were deposited, and concentration of the mother liquor gave further product. The solid was washed with ethanol and then with pentane and dried.

trans-Bromotricarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)technetium(I)

This was prepared analogously from *trans*-tetrabromobis(triphenylphosphine)technetium(IV), with refluxing for 3 h. White crystals were obtained from CH_2Cl_2 /pentane.

trans-Chlorotricarbonylbis(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(I)

Method (a). An ethyleneglycol methyl ether solution (30 ml) of *mer*-trichlorotris(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(III) (0.5 g) was refluxed under carbon monoxide. The orange colour changed to green after 30 min, then the solution became clear and colourless. After 8 h heating, the solvent was removed under vacuum. The yellow-white residue was washed with ethanol (3 ml) and dried. The white solid was washed with 5 ml of diethyl ether recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /pentane, and shown to be *trans*- $[\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]$. The ether solution was concentrated to give a powder containing the same product along with *cis*- $[\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$.

Method (b). A solution of *trans*-tetrachlorobis(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(IV) (0.5 g) in ethyleneglycol methyl ether was treated with carbon monoxide. The mixture was refluxed for 15 h, then the colourless solution was evaporated to dryness. The white-yellow residue was washed with ethanol then pentane and dried. White needles of *trans*- $[\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]$ were obtained from CH_2Cl_2 /pentane.

trans-Bromotricarbonylbis(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(I)

This was prepared analogously from *mer*- $[\text{TcBr}_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ or *trans*- $[\text{TcBr}_4(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]$ with refluxing for 4 or 7 h respectively.

cis-Chlorodicarbonyltris(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(I)

Method (a). Carbon monoxide was passed for 40 h through a boiling solution of *mer*-trichlorotris(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(III) (1.0 g) and dimethylphenylphosphine (1.0 ml) in ethyleneglycol methyl ether (35 ml). The orange solution became colourless. Evaporation to dryness left a yellow oil, which was treated with ethanol (3 ml) to give a white powder. White needles were obtained from CH_2Cl_2 /pentane.

Method (b). *trans*-Chlorotricarbonylbis(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(I) (0.5 g) was treated with dimethylphenylphosphine (0.7 ml) in refluxing THF solution (20 ml) for 10 min. Addition of pentane to the cooled solution gave *cis*- $[\text{TcCl}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ as a white powder.

cis-Bromodicarbonyltris(dimethylphenylphosphine)technetium(I)

This was prepared analogously to the chloro compound from *mer*- $[\text{TcBr}_3(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_3]$ with refluxing for 8 h.

Acknowledgment

We thank Mr Giovanni Agostini and Miss Piera Destro for technical assistance.

References

- 1 P.G. Douglas and B.L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1969) 1491.
- 2 J. Chat, J.R. Dilworth, H.P. Gunz and G.J. Leigh, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 64 (1974) 245.
- 3 M. Biagini Cingi, D.A. Clemente, L. Magon and U. Mazzi, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 13 (1975) 47.
- 4 G. Bandoli, D.A. Clemente and U. Mazzi, results to be published.
- 5 E.W. Abel and S.P. Tyfield, *Can. J. Chem.*, 47 (1969) 4627.
- 6 J.M. Jenkins and B.L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1966) 1407.
- 7 P.R. Broker and B.L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1967) 1079.
- 8 J.M. Jenkins, N.S. Lupin and B.L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1966) 1787.
- 9 D.F. Evans, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1959) 2003; R.A. Bailey, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 49 (1972) 297.
- 10 D.N. Figgis and Lewis, *Modern Coordination Chemistry*, Interscience, New York, (1960) p. 403.
- 11 G.A. Mazzocchin, U. Mazzi, R. Portanova and O. Traverso, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 36 (1974) 3783.
- 12 U. Mazzi, G. De Paoli, P. Di Bernardo and L. Magon, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 38 (1976) 721.