# Consecutive Methylation and Protonation of the Carbanions in [Pt(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCHPPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] Giving Rise to Ligand Scrambling

### HIROMASA HASHIMOTO, YUKIO NAKAMURA\*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558, Japan

#### and SEICHI OKEYA

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University, Sakaedani, Wakayama 640, Japan

(Received August 12, 1986)

We have investigated the reactions of  $[M(acac)_2]$   $(M = Pt, Pd; acac = CH_3COCHCOCH_3)$  with dppm  $(Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)$  and found that the reactions proceed via deprotonation of the coordinated dppm in the intermediary products, [M(acac)(dppm)](acac) and  $[M(Ph_2PCHPPh_2)(dppm)](acac)$ , by the acac anion to give  $[M(Ph_2PCHPPh_2)_2]$ , finally [1]. The complex with M = Pt,  $[Pt(Ph_2PCHPPh_2)_2]$  (1), was insoluble

in common organic solvents but was solubilized by protonation with an acid HX (X = Cl, BF<sub>4</sub> or NO<sub>3</sub>), affording a cationic species [Pt(dppm)<sub>2</sub>]X<sub>2</sub>. Similarly, a clear solution was obtained by adding excess MeI to a suspension of 1 in CH2Cl2 under reflux, but isolation of the methylation products, syn- and anti-[Pt(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH(Me)PPh<sub>2</sub>]I<sub>2</sub>, was unsuccessful probably because side reactions made it difficult. When the same reaction was performed in tetrahydrofuran, [PtI<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH(Me)PPh<sub>2</sub>)] was isolated together with a small amount of contaminant [Ptl<sub>2</sub>(dppm)]. These strange results prompted our interest in elucidating the whole reaction scheme in the methylation of 1 with MeI. All results obtained are represented in advance in Scheme 1 with the notation of each compound.

## Results and Discussion

Ten equivalents of MeI were added to a suspension of 1 in  $CH_2Cl_2$  and the reaction was followed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy under reflux. The spectrum was recorded at 30, 70, 130 and finally  $\sim 10^4$  (7 days) min after the beginning of the reaction. To analyze these spectral changes, the following complexes were

$$\begin{bmatrix}
P & P & Me \\
P & P & Me
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & Me \\
P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P \\
P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P \\
P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P \\
P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P & P & P & P
\end{bmatrix}_{1} + \begin{bmatrix}
P$$

Scheme 1.

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

synthesized as authentic samples: 4a-syn and -anti\*, 4b [2], 5\*, 6a\* and 6b [3], and their <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra were recorded to utilize in the assignment of the observed signals (see Table I).

As can be seen in Table I, the final products were found to be 6a, 6b and phosphonium iodides (7), e.g., [MePh<sub>2</sub>PCH(Me)PPh<sub>2</sub>Me]I<sub>2</sub> and the like, in addition to the expected products 4a-syn and -anti. The existence of 6b suggests that protonation also occurred, probably by the reaction with HI, a small amount of contaminant in prepurified MeI. The spectral data at the reaction time 70 and 130 min included five more signals corresponding to 4b, 5 and three unknowns A, B and C, which could not be assigned to any authentic sample. One of the unknowns, A, showed a broad resonance at  $\delta - 36.3 \text{ ppm } [{}^{1}J(\text{Pt-P}) = 1943 \text{ Hz}],$ while B and C had one and two resonances, each with a complex spin system at  $\delta = 43.7 \sim -40.0$  ppm  $[^{1}J(Pt-P) = 1914 \text{ Hz}]$  and,  $\delta -25.2 [^{1}J(Pt-P) =$ 1963 Hz] and -29.7 [ ${}^{1}J(Pt-P) = 1947$  Hz] ppm, respectively.

Hoping to elucidate the spectral assignment of these unknowns, we then treated 1 with two equivalents of Me<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (1 mol Me<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> = 1 equiv.) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature. After stirring the mixture for 32 h, the products were isolated from the supernatant solution and subjected to  $^{31}$ P{ $^{1}$ H} NMR measurement. The spectrum recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> was in accord with the first spectrum in the reaction with MeI. In this case, however, signals corresponding to the unknowns A and B resonated at  $\delta$  –36.3 ppm [ $^{1}J$ (Pt-P) = 1942 Hz] and  $\delta$  –41.9 ppm [ $^{1}J$ (Pt-P) =

1914 Hz] with the same spin system AA'BB'. Moreover, the latter resonance completely coincided in both chemical shift and spectral pattern with that in  $[Pt(Ph_2PCHPPh_2)(dppm)](PF_6)$  [ $\delta$  -42.2 ppm  $(CD_2Cl_2)$ ,  ${}^{1}J(Pt-P) = 1916$  Hz] [4], suggesting that B is [Pt(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCHPPh<sub>2</sub>)(dppm)]I (2b) which was produced by the reaction with a contaminant HI. Based on the following experimental results, it is also unequivocally concluded that another unknown A contains the cation [Pt(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCHPPh<sub>2</sub>)(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH-(Me)PPh<sub>2</sub>)]<sup>+</sup> (2a: iodide): (1) the signal of the cation appears as the most intense one in the earlier stage of reaction, (2) the spin system is the same as in B and the <sup>1</sup>J(Pt-P) value is similar to that in B. As noted above, the  $^{3i}P\{^{1}H\}$  NMR spectrum of C showed two resonances, each with a complex spin system. We can now assign this spin system to AA'BB' analogous to that in 2a and 2b, and hence a binuclear structure such as 3 in Scheme 1 was supposed for C.

The main conclusion drawn from these results is as follows: (1) the rates of methylation and protonation are very slow even in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> under reflux, thus retaining 2a and 2b in fairly high concentrations in solution during the reactions, (2) the monocationic species 2a and 2b readily dissociate one of the phosphorus atoms in the neutral ligand and recombine to produce the scrambling product 3, (3) similarly, the dicationic species 4a and 4b are prone to dissociate one of the neutral ligands to form the mixed-ligand complex 5, (4) transient diphosphines freed from 4a and 4b partially react with MeI or HI to afford phosphonium iodides 7 [5], while the counterpart [Pt(diphosphine)]I<sub>2</sub> is stabilized as the diiodo complexes 6a and 6b. Inspection of equilibrium (1) and (2) also confirmed these experimental results and further details of these reactions will be reported in the full paper.

TABLE I. Intermediates and Products<sup>a</sup> Formed during the Reaction between 1 and 10 equivalents MeI in  $CH_2Cl_2$  under Reflux and Their  $^{31}P\{^1H\}$  NMR Data.<sup>b</sup>

Compound <sup>c</sup>	$\delta(P) \left[ {}^{1}J(Pt-P) \right]$	Reaction time <sup>d</sup>			
		30	70	130	~104
2a	-36.3 [1943]				
2b	$-43.7 \sim -40.0 [1914]$			<del></del>	
3	-25.2[1963], -29.7[1947]				
4a-syn	-40.7 [2240] <sup>e</sup>		<del></del>		
4a-anti	-36.6 [2240] <sup>e</sup>				
4b	$-59.4 [2189]^{e}$			<del></del>	
5	-42.9 [2234], $-52.4$ [2176] <sup>e</sup>				
6a	-51.1 [2884] <sup>e</sup>				<del></del>
6b	-70.2 [2778] <sup>e</sup>			<del></del>	
7 <sup>f</sup>	+15.9				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The time-range of each compound existing in solution after the beginning of the reaction is represented by a solid line. <sup>b</sup> $\delta$  in ppm from 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (up-field negative); *J* in Hz. <sup>c</sup>Refer to Scheme 1 for assignment to each compound. <sup>d</sup>In min. <sup>e</sup>In fair agreement with the data of authentic samples synthesized to confirm <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR signal assignment. <sup>f</sup>Only the chemical shift of the most intense signal is given.

<sup>\*4</sup>a-syn and -anti, 5 and 6a were synthesized by the methods analogous to the preparations of 4b, 4b and 6b, respectively, using Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH(Me)PPh<sub>2</sub> appropriately, instead of dppm.

# References

1 H. Hashimoto, Y. Nakamura and S. Okeya, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 120, L25 (1986).

- 2 M. K. Brown, J. R. Fisher, R. H. Hill, R. J. Puddephatt and K. R. Seddon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20, 3516 (1981).
  3 M. P. Brown, R. J. Puddephatt and M. Rashidi, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 951 (1977).
  4 Unpublished results
- 4 Unpublished results.
- 5 H. Schmitbaur and U. Deschler, Chem. Ber., 1386 (1983).