CHEMICAL COMMUNICATIONS, 1969

Direct Introduction of a Substituent in the Cycloheptatriene Nucleus: Acylation of Cycloheptatriene

By J. A. Blair* and C. J. Tate

(Department of Chemistry, University of Aston in Birmingham, Gosta Green, Birmingham 4)

Summary Direct introduction of an acyl group into the cycloheptatriene nucleus to give 1-acyl cycloheptatrienes in good yield is described.

SUBSTITUTED cycloheptatriene derivatives are usually synthesised by ring expansion from six-membered rings¹ or nucleophilic attack upon the tropylium ion.² The phenyl group has been directly introduced into the cycloheptatriene nucleus.³

We report a convenient synthesis of 1-acyl-cycloheptatrienes in good yield by the direct introduction of an acyl group.

Cycloheptatriene is slowly added, while stirring, to a solution of benzoyl chloride (1 mole ratio) and aluminium chloride (1 mole ratio) in methylene chloride, at 0°. Pouring the solution into HCl-ice mixture gives an intermediate chloro-ketone (III), $M^+ 232.0656$, $C_{14}H_{13}$ ClO, calc. 232.0655; i.r. (thin film), 1690 (s) (C=O of satd. ketone), and 675 cm.⁻¹ (s) (C-Cl stretch); n.m.r. (60 and 100 MHz; CCl₄) ca. τ 2·3 (m, ArH), 4·0 (m), 5·05 (m), 5·5 (m), 7·8 (m). Bubbling HCl gas through the methylene chloride solution (10 min.) gives 1-benzoylcycloheptatriene (IV) 42% yield; m.p. 45-47°; b.p. 108-135°/0·3 mm. M^+ 196.0894, $C_{14}H_{12}$ O, calc. 196.0881; i.r. (KBr), 1640 cm.⁻¹ (C=O $\alpha\beta$ to



C=C); n.m.r. (60 and 100 MHz; CCl₄) ca. τ 2.55 (m, 5H, ArH), 3.55 (m, 4H), 4.4 (m, 1H), and 7.25 (doublet, 2H).

The structure of (IV) is established by n.m.r. The 4H multiplet, 7 3.55 (3-H, 4-H, 2-H, 5-H of the cycloheptatriene ring), and the 1H multiplet, $\tau 4.4$ (1-H or 6-H of the cycloheptatriene ring) place the acyl substituent in the 1position. This is confirmed by the 2H doublet at τ 7.25 (7-H of the cycloheptatriene ring) instead of the characteristic triplet of the 7-methylene group.4

Compound (III) could not be isolated pure because of easy decomposition to (IV). The multiplet at $\tau 4.0$ is characteristic of conjugated cyclohexadiene and cycloheptadiene rings.⁵ Spin-decoupling experiments showed that the multiplets at τ 5.05 (CHCl) and 5.5 (CHCO) are directly coupled to the 2H multiplet at τ 7.8 (methylene protons) and are not directly coupled themselves. This, together with the easy formation of a substituted cycloheptatriene, establishes the structure of the intermediate as (III).

Earlier work described the formation of deoxybenzoin from a similar reaction.⁶ If the solution is allowed to warm to room temperature before decomposition of the AlCl₃ complex with HCl-ice, then only deoxybenzoin is obtained (43% yield, i.r. and n.m.r. identical with spectra of authentic material).

The probable reaction mechanism is:—(1) 1,6 addition of the AlCl₃-PhCOCl complex across the triene system of cycloheptatriene (I);⁷ (ii) (II) decomposes at 0° in HCl-ice mixture to give the halogenoketone (III); (iii) (III) decomposes in the presence of HCl gas by elimination to give 1-benzoylcycloheptatriene (IV); (iv) deoxybenzoin (VI) is formed by the 1,2-elimination of HCl from intermediate (II) on warming to room temperature to give (V), which on acid decomposition rearranges to deoxybenzoin (VI).

1-Mesitoylcycloheptatriene (38% yield; m.p. $30-34^{\circ}$) and mesitoyl deoxybenzoin (13% yield; b.p. 167-180°/ 6 mm.) have also been prepared by these methods using mesitoyl chloride, with the difference that 1-mesitoylcycloheptatriene is obtained directly on acid treatment of the reaction solution at 0°. No mesitaldehyde could be isolated under either conditions.

(Received, October 14th, 1969; Com. 1561.)

¹ E. Vogel, W. Wiedemann, H. Kiefer, and V. F. Harrison, Tetrahedron Letters, 1963, 673; Eu. Müller, H. Fricke, and H. Kessler, *ibid.*, p. 1501; D. G. Lindsay and C. B. Reese, *Tetrahedron*, 1965, 21, 1673.
 ² G. D. Sargent and N. Lowry, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, 89, 5985.

- ⁶ G. D. Sargert and N. Lowry, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1960, 87, 050.
 ⁸ K. Weiss and Sister M. Lalande, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 3117.
 ⁴ K. W. Egger and W. R. Moser, J. Phys. Chem., 1967, 71, 3699.
 ⁵ E. Ciganek, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 652; W. E. Parnam, *ibid.*, 1962, 84, 1755.
 ⁶ J. A. Blair, G. P. McLaughlin, and J. Paslawski, Chem. Comm., 1967, 12.
- ⁷ J. Hutton and W. A. Waters, Chem. Comm., 1966, 634.