ADDITION-ADDITION-ELIMINATION MECHANISM OF 1,3-DIPOLE "DIMERIZATION"

Helmut Dorn^X and Thomas Kreher

Zentralinstitut für Organische Chemie , Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR

Rudower Chaussee 5 , DDR-1199 Berlin-Adlershof , DDR

Abstract : Proof is presented for the three step ADD-ADD-EL pathway to thermal "dimers" of pyrazolidin-3-one-azomethinimines without a centre of symmetry, pyrazolidin-3-one acting as a special type of nucleophilic catalyst .

Thermal dimerizations of azomethinimines, for example of N-amino-(3,4-dihydroisoquinolines), are well known, often spontaneous reactions, previously formulated as equilibria between two 1,3-dipolar monomers and the dimer ^{1,2}. Although from such dimers with dipolarophiles 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition products of the monomers are easily obtained, the thermal dimerization of 1,3dipoles obviously is no simple head-to-tail process or vice versa. Concerted thermal dimerizations as for example [π 4s + π 4s]-reactions are symmetry forbidden. They are only permitted, if a 1,3-dipole may react as a 4π -, and as a 2π - or 6π -species as well, for example a 3-hydroxy-pyridinium-betaine ³, or a nitrile oxide ⁴. A two step dimerization (via zwitterions) is preferred for thiocarbonyl ylides ⁵, but in this case the general addition(ADD)-addition(ADD)-elimination(EL) mechanism discussed and proposed below also is conceivable, the starting 1,3,4-thiadiazoline playing the part of the nucleophilic catalyst Nu⁻.

In general the dimer's structure, often with a centre of symmetry, doesn't reveal wether it was formed via the thermally forbidden or via an ADD(1,3-dipole + Nu⁻)-ADD(+ 1,3-dipole)-EL(- Nu⁻) process. There are as yet 2 exceptions : a) a centrosymmetric dimer demonstrably is formed, only if Nu⁻ is present (1,4,2,5-dioxadiazines from nitrile oxides + pyridine) ⁶, b) Nu⁻ is part a-b of a dimerizing 1,3-dipolar system a-b-c, causing a formal "dimer" a-b-c-b-a-c without a centre of symmetry. As a first case of b) we found a formal "dimerization" of pyrazolidin-3-one-azomethinimines and gave indirect proof of the ADD-ADD-EL mechanism ⁷, which we now are able to confirm . While pyrazolidin-3-one-azomethinimines (1, 2) via a concerted [π 4s + π 4s]-

pathway A (i.e. photochemically) yield small amounts of centrosymmetric dimers 3 (which also could be formed by a two step process via zwitterions), they thermally "dimerize" to 7 - 10 without a centre of symmetry. First step (ADD B₁) is the addition of the nucleophilic catalyst pyrazolidin-3one 4. Similar additions of nucleophiles to azomethinimines are known and may result in stable products, i.e. with alcohols ⁸ or with Grignard reagents ⁹, while on heating with alkoxide in alcohol 1-substituted 3-hydroxypyrazoles are formed [(pyrazolidin-3-one-azomethinimine)-(3-hydroxy-pyrazole)-transformation, mech. cf. ¹⁰]. The second step (ADD B₂) resembles





the Mannich reaction, which works well at (N-2) of (N-1)-substituted pyrazolidin-3-ones ¹¹. The carbonium-immonium species adding to 5 resp. 6 is a second molecule of the azomethinimine 1 resp. 2. The proof for ADD B_2 is the isolation of mixed "dimers" (9 and 10) after "codimerization" of equimolar amounts of 1-benzylidene 1, and 1-(4-methoxy-benzylidene)pyrazolidin-3-oneazomethinimine 2 in boiling chloroform with catalytic amounts of pure (Kugelrohr distilled) pyrazolidin-3-one 4 (0.01 mol 4 per 1 mol 1). Chloroform (like H⁺, acetonitrile or other C-H acidic solvents) is efficient as a promoting electron acceptor catalyst (E) in the cyclisation step (EL of 4, B_3) to the stable bis-aminals 7-10. Practically EL B_3 is irreversible, if the "dimer" is precipitated as a stable product .

	δ ¹³ C (C - 5)	(CDC1 ₃ , (C-11) (TMS int. (C-4'/R)) [ppm] ((C-4"/R')	δ ¹ н (CDC (H -5)	21 ₃ , TMS i (H - 11)	Unt.) OMe	[ppm]
7	91.2	62.2	129.4	128.3 lit. ⁷				
8	91.2	62.1	160.9	159.5 lit. ⁷				
9	92.2	62.6		159.7	3.97 s	7.17 s	3.81 s	(3H)
10	91.4	62.5	161.1		3.94 s	7.14 s	3.81 s	(3H)
¹ H , and ¹³ C nmr data of 5,11-bis-phenyl- (7) ⁷ , 5,11-bis-(4-methoxy-phenyl)- (8) ⁷ , 11-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-5-phenyl- (9) , and 5-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-11-phe- nyl-perhydro-dipyrazolo[1,2-a;1',2'-d][1,2,4,5]tetrazine-dione-(1,9) (10)								

After elution with CH_2Cl_2 from a kiesel gel 40 (Merck) column we obtained a crystalline mixture of the "dimers" 7, 8, 9, and 10 (overall yield 57%). Unreacted 1 and 2 were then eluted with methanol. The pure mixed "dimers" 9 (m.p. 225-245°C, dec., m/e 378), and 10 (m.p. 187-191°C,dec., m/e 378) were isolated by fractional crystallisation from either ethanol, propanol, 2-butanone or ethyl acetate .

Carbon atoms C-5 and C-11 of the mixed "dimers" 9 and 10 are not equivalent, which is demonstrated by the 13 C and 1 H nmr data. From Dreiding models we suggested, that a chair conformation with equatorial R at C-5 and axial R' at C-11 to be one of the least sterically hindered ⁷, which was meanwhile confirmed by X-ray analysis 12 of 9 and 10. The rotation of the eq R at C-5 is significantly more hindered by the H atoms at C-3 and at C-7 than the ro-

2942

tation of the ax R' at C-ll . Thus the mixed "dimer" structures 9 resp. 10 can be assigned : While the ^1H nmr of 9 shows a sharp AA'BB' system ($\delta_{\rm A}$ = 6.89 ppm , $\delta_{\rm B}$ = 7.68 ppm , $J_{\rm AB}$ = 9.0 Hz ; in CDCl₃ , 100 MHz , TMS int.) at 25°C for the m,m';o,o' H atoms of R' = 4-MeO-C₆H₄ , the corresponding AA'BB' system for R = 4-MeO-C₆H₄ of 10 is only sharp above 83°C ($\delta_{\rm A}$ = 6.88 ppm , $\delta_{\rm B}$ = 7.35 ppm , $J_{\rm AB}$ = 8.0 Hz ; in CDBr₃) .

We believe that most 1,3-dipole dimerizations under mild conditions follow the ADD-ADD-EL pathway, as outlined above for a special case, leading to non centrosymmetric "dimers", whereby in general even a protic solvent or an aprotic electron donor centre can act as the nucleophilic catalyst Nu⁻.

REFERENCES

- ¹ C.G. Stuckwisch , Synthesis <u>1973</u> , 469 especially 471.
- ² R. Huisgen, R. Grashey, and R. Krischke , Liebigs Ann.Chem. <u>1977</u>, 506.
- ³ N. Dennis, A.R. Katritzky, and Y. Takeuchi , Angew.Chem. <u>88</u> , 41 especially 47 (1976) ; Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl. <u>15</u> , 1 (1976).
- ⁴ R. Huisgen , Angew.Chem. <u>75</u> , 604 especially 612 (1963) ; Angew.Chem.Int. Ed.Engl. <u>2</u> , 565 (1963).
- ⁵ I. Kalwinsch , Li Xingya , J. Gottstein, and R. Huisgen , J.Am.Chem.Soc. 103 , 7032 (1981).
- A. Corsaro, G. Perrini, P. Caramella, F.M. Albini, and T. Bandiera, Tetrahedron Lett. 27, 1517 (1986).
- ⁷ H. Dorn, R. Ozegowski, and R. Radeglia , J.Prakt.Chem. <u>319</u> , 177 (1977).
- ⁸ K. Burger, W. Thenn, H. Schikaneder, and H. Peuker, Angew.Chem. <u>86</u>, 483 (1974); Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl. <u>13</u>, 476 (1974); W. Theis, W. Bethäuser, and M. Regitz, Tetrahedron 41, 1965 (1985).
- ⁹ H. Dorn, and H. Graubaum , J.Prakt.Chem. <u>318</u> , 253 (1976).
- ¹⁰ H. Dorn , Khim.Geterotsikl.Soed. <u>1981</u> , 1 especially 10 ; Chem.Heterocycl.Compd. USSR (Engl.Transl.) <u>1981</u> , 1 especially 6 ; Z.Chem. <u>14</u> , 231 (1974).

11 H. Dorn , and H. Dilcher , J.Prakt.Chem. <u>313</u> , 229 (1971).

12 I. Seidel, P. Leibnitz, L. Kutschabsky, and Th. Kreher , prepared for print.

(Received in Germany 18 August 1987)