

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

Biomass burning and urban air pollution over the Central Mexican Plateau

J. D. Crouse¹, P. F. DeCarlo^{2,*}, D. R. Blake³, L. K. Emmons⁴, T. L. Campos⁴,
E. C. Apel⁴, A. D. Clarke⁵, A. J. Weinheimer⁴, D. C. McCabe^{7,**}, R. J. Yokelson⁶,
J. L. Jimenez², and P. O. Wennberg^{7,8}

¹Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

²University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

³Department of Chemistry, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

⁴National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

⁵Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, USA

⁶Department of Chemistry, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA

⁷Division of Geology and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,
CA, USA

⁸Division of Environmental Science and Engineering, California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, CA, USA

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



* now at: Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland

** now at: AAAS Science and Technology Policy Fellow, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA

Received: 18 November 2008 – Accepted: 25 November 2008 – Published: 28 January 2009

Correspondence to: J. D. Crouse (crounjd@caltech.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

ACPD

9, 2699–2734, 2009

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Observations during the 2006 dry season of highly elevated concentrations of cyanides in the atmosphere above Mexico City (MC) and the surrounding plains, demonstrate that biomass burning (BB) significantly impacted air quality in the region. We find that during the period of our measurements, fires contribute more than half of the organic aerosol mass and submicron aerosol scattering, and one third of the enhancement in benzene, reactive nitrogen, and carbon monoxide in the outflow from the plateau. The combination of biomass burning and anthropogenic emissions will affect ozone chemistry in the MC outflow.

1 Introduction

The 20 Million (2005) inhabitants of Mexico City experience some of the worst air quality in the world. The high population density coupled with the topography of the city (2200 m, surrounded on three sides by mountains) leads to the daily buildup of pollutants in the region (Molina and Molina, 2002). Despite growing population and greatly increased automobile use, air quality has improved measurably in the last decade as the federal and city governments implemented a series of air quality regulations broadly similar to those that have been effective in, for example, Los Angeles (Lloyd, 1992). Nevertheless, ozone and particulate matter (PM) in the city often exceed international standards (WHO, 2008) and the city is consistently enveloped in a pall by the large amount of aerosol present.

The pollution from the city has impacts beyond the basin. Aerosols and ozone produce important forcing on regional climate through their interaction with both thermal infrared and visible radiation (Solomon et al., 2007). Indeed, the effluents from megacities, such as Mexico City, are now seen as globally important sources of pollution.

In the last decade, there have been several intensive studies of the air quality in the Mexico City basin. A major study undertaken in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

in spring of 2003 (MCMA-2003) included significant international cooperation (Molina et al., 2007). In the spring of 2006, a consortium of atmospheric scientists expanded significantly on this study, obtaining a large suite of measurements in and around Mexico City in an effort to understand both the controlling chemistry in the basin and the impacts of the outflow pollution on the broader region. Named, Megacity Initiative: Local and Global Research Observations (MILAGRO), this campaign involved measurements at several ground sites along the most common outflow trajectory, and from several aircraft. The National Science Foundation (NSF) C-130 (operated by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)), and the US Forest Service Twin Otter, along with several other aircraft operated from Veracruz, Mexico. Here, we focus on observations made from the C-130 aircraft on seven flights above the Central Mexican Plateau. Details about the broader MILAGRO study are reviewed by Fast et al. (2007).

Most efforts to engineer improvements in Mexico City air quality have logically focused on reducing emissions from the transportation and power generation sectors (McKinley et al., 2005) and on new liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) regulations. However, as in Los Angeles, as the emissions from transportation and industrial sectors decline, continued improvement in air quality will require addressing additional sources.

Biomass burning can be a significant contributor to poor air quality in many regions of the world, including southern California (Muhle et al., 2007). Several previous studies have suggested that fires in and around the Mexico City basin can impact air quality (Molina et al., 2007; Bravo et al., 2002; Salcedo et al., 2006). During the springtime (March–May), many fires occur in the pine forests on the mountains surrounding the city, both inside and outside the basin. Typically, the biomass burning season intensifies in late March, reaching a maximum in May (Fast et al., 2007; Bravo et al., 2002). The heat from these fires is observable from space by the infrared channels of the moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) instruments operated from NASA's Aqua and Terra platforms (Giglio et al., 2003). Figure 1, for example, shows two visible images from MODIS taken on 5 March (panel a) and 10 March (panel b)

2006. The locations of the detected thermal anomalies are shown as red boxes. The aerosol haze from the fires can be seen covering large areas of land around and above MC, particularly on 5 March. MODIS imagery suggests that the total biomass burning around MC in March 2006 was greater than climatological amounts, and closer to what is normally observed during the month of April.

Using tracers of pollution from biomass burning and urban emissions, we show that fires significantly impacted air quality above and downwind of Mexico City in March 2006. We use aircraft measurements of hydrogen cyanide (HCN) to estimate the contribution of biomass burning to the regional air quality. HCN is produced in the pyrolysis of amino acids (Ratcliff et al., 1974) and has been widely used as an atmospheric tracer of biomass burning emissions (e.g., Li et al., 2003). We use simultaneous observations of acetylene (C_2H_2) to characterize the contribution of urban emissions. We show that a simple two end-member mixing model (biomass burning and urban emissions) developed from these tracers can explain most of the observed variability in several pollutants including carbon monoxide, benzene, organic aerosol, reactive nitrogen oxides (NO_y), and the amount of submicron aerosol particles.

2 Observations

The NSF C-130 flew through the Mexico City region on eleven flights in March 2006 (Fig. 2). Of these flights, three had fewer than ten samples of C_2H_2 within our study area (3×3 degree box centered on MC, and termed Central Mexican Plateau) and one flight did not have HCN observations. These flights are excluded from the calculation of the overall fire impact (4, 12, 26, and 28 March). In Fig. 2a, the aircraft flight tracks are colored by the average amount of HCN measured in the air. Acetonitrile (CH_3CN) mixing ratios, which also have been used extensively as a biomass burning tracer, were highly correlated ($r^2=0.78$) with overall regression slope of 0.39 ($\Delta CH_3CN/\Delta HCN$) (Fig. 6), similar to several previous measurements of biomass burning emission ratios (Yokelson et al., 2007a; Singh et al., 2003). The mean mixing ratio of HCN in the

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



study was measured to be 530 pptv, about 390 pptv higher than the background values observed in clean air encountered above the plateau pollution.

Figure 2c shows the C-130 flight tracks colored by the mixing ratio of C₂H₂. C₂H₂ is produced in the combustion of both gasoline and diesel fuels. We chose C₂H₂ as our urban tracer because its atmospheric lifetime is quite long. We calculate that with respect to its major loss mechanism (reaction with the hydroxyl radical, OH), the atmospheric lifetime is ten days to two weeks in the Mexico City region. We did not use other tracers of city emissions such as toluene or methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) because their shorter atmospheric lifetimes complicate the regional analysis. In fresh city plumes (as determined by the ratio of toluene to C₂H₂), all the urban tracers (e.g. C₂H₂, MTBE, toluene) are highly correlated.

Although the regions of enhanced C₂H₂ and HCN appear geographically coincident in Fig. 2, the sources of these gases within the basin are geographically (and temporally) distinct and significant differences in their distribution can be observed on smaller spatial scales. This is illustrated in Fig. 3a. On 8 March 2006, the C-130 flew into the Mexico City basin and over a short period encountered air masses significantly enhanced in either HCN, C₂H₂, or both (panel a).

To quantify the contribution of both fire and urban emissions to the distribution of a trace gas (or aerosol), *Y*, we implement a simple two end-member model using the measured excess HCN, [HCN]*, and measured excess C₂H₂, [C₂H₂]*, as tracers:

$$Y = F_Y(\text{fire}) \times [\text{HCN}]^* + F_Y(\text{urban}) \times [\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^* \quad (1)$$

where,

$$[\text{HCN}]^* = [\text{HCN}] - S_{\text{HCN}}(\text{urban}) \times [\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^* - [\text{HCN}]_{\text{background}} \quad (2)$$

$$[\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^* = [\text{C}_2\text{H}_2] - S_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_2}(\text{fire}) \times [\text{HCN}]^* - [\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]_{\text{background}} \quad (3)$$

F_Y are scalars that relate the emission of *Y* from fire and urban sources to the emissions of HCN and C₂H₂, respectively (Table 1). *S_{HCN}* and *S_{C₂H₂}* are the emission ratios

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



of HCN to C_2H_2 and C_2H_2 to HCN for urban and fire emissions, respectively. These cross terms account for the contribution of urban and fire emissions to the excess HCN and C_2H_2 , respectively. We also account for the amounts of these tracers advected into the region from afar (backgrounds).

Gasoline and diesel engine exhaust contain HCN, though previous measurements of the emissions vary by orders of magnitude (Baum et al., 2007). Automobiles lacking catalytic converters can produce 100 times more HCN than automobiles with functional catalysts (Baum et al., 2007; Harvey et al., 1983). To estimate the appropriate emission ratio for Mexico City, S_{HCN} , we use observations made from the C-130 on 29 March when the C-130 sampled city emissions with what appears to be minimal fire influence (Fast et al., 2007). The measured slope of HCN to C_2H_2 in the city plumes encountered on this day is 0.054 (mol/mol). The ratio of CH_3CN to HCN in the city emissions is 0.5, similar to the ratio measured in both fire plumes and in the region as a whole. This is in contrast to observations from Asia where urban emissions had a much lower ratio CH_3CN to HCN (Li et al., 2003). Thus it is possible that even on the 29th, some of the HCN is from burning. Given our cross term corrections, and assuming urban fire sources such as garbage, coal, and biofuel burning was no different on 29 March than on other days, emissions from these urban fire sources are counted as urban emissions and not as fire emissions. Using the 0.054 (mol/mol) as an upper limit for the HCN/ C_2H_2 emission ratio from urban emissions, we estimate that all urban emissions of HCN account for no more than 15% of the total emissions in the basin during March 2006. Other sources of HCN from, for example, coal burning and petrochemical industries are also estimated to be small (see Appendix A2).

We use measurements of C_2H_2 and HCN observed in forest fires in and around Mexico City to estimate $S_{C_2H_2}$ (Yokelson et al., 2007b). The emission of C_2H_2 from these forest fires was near the low end of the range typically observed for extratropical forest fires (Yokelson et al., 2007b; Andreae and Merlet, 2001). We estimate that the contribution of biomass burning to C_2H_2 accounts for less than 10% of the C_2H_2 in and around Mexico City (see Table 1).

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

To account for the background amounts of C_2H_2 and HCN advected into the region, we use our observations in air sampled aloft, away from the Mexico City basin. We use separate HCN and C_2H_2 backgrounds for the gas phase species and for organic aerosol/scattering, as aerosols have a more variable atmospheric lifetime. For the gas phase species (CO, benzene, and NO_y), we use a constant value of 140 pptv for the background values of HCN for flights before 21 March. Following a shift in weather on 21–22 March (Fast et al., 2007) (see also Figs. 10–12), we find higher background concentrations for HCN (220 pptv). C_2H_2 backgrounds are quite small (0–30 pptv), so we have used a constant background value of 0 pptv for the analysis of the gas phase species. For organic aerosol and scattering, we have used a flight-by-flight analysis of the correlation of organic aerosol mass and scattering with HCN and C_2H_2 to define the background HCN and C_2H_2 . For flights in early March, the implied background of HCN and C_2H_2 for organic aerosol (the abundance of these gases when the amount of organic aerosol is zero) is very close to the global backgrounds used in the analysis of the gases, but following the rainy period, 20–26 March, the apparent backgrounds for both HCN and C_2H_2 increased more drastically than for the gas phase pollutants; organic aerosol concentrations were near zero at significantly higher concentrations of HCN and C_2H_2 (300 and 150 pptv, respectively). This difference is likely due to removal of aerosol (but not insoluble gases such as HCN, CO, C_2H_2 , etc.) in the region during the rain storms.

We derive a set of emission ratios, F_Y , for the pollutants using total least squares (TLS) analysis (Table 1). We weight $[HCN]^*$ and $[C_2H_2]^*$ by estimates of their error determined primarily from uncertainties in the backgrounds and in the variability of the emission ratios. We estimate the uncertainty in the derived emission ratios using a bootstrap method (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993). The bootstrap method creates x alternate data sets by picking n random samples with replacement from the original data set, where n equals the number of samples in the original data set. The TLS analysis is then performed across all alternate data sets, and statistics are computed on the results of all analyses. For this analysis we used $x=1000$. In Table 1, we also

summarize the emission factors determined independently from measurements made directly in biomass burning plumes measured in the basin during MILAGRO.

The variance in the abundance of our fire and urban tracers (HCN and C₂H₂) explains most of the variability in CO and other pollutants. For example, in panels b, c, and d of Fig. 3, we show the observations (circles) for CO, benzene, and organic aerosol mass along the C-130 flight track. The observations are averaged to the sampling time of the whole air samples used to determine C₂H₂. The bars show the contributions from fire and urban emissions, estimated from [HCN]* (orange) and acetylene, [C₂H₂]* (black) using the emission ratios described in Table 1 and the mixing model described by Eq. (1).

Figure 4a shows a scatter plot of the predictions from the two component model and all observations made from the C-130 during MILAGRO for CO. The observations made within the 3×3 degree box surrounding MC are highlighted with a black border. CO has a relatively long lifetime in the atmosphere and there is a persistent northern hemispheric background of between 60 and 150 ppb that varies with season and latitude. To estimate the regional increase in [CO], we assume that the background [CO] is equal to the simulation of background CO taken from the Model for Ozone And Related chemical Tracers (MOZART) chemical transport model (Horowitz et al., 2003), plus a constant 34 ppbv offset (see Fig. 3b, green bars). The offset was determined from the bias between MOZART simulations and the observed CO in the cleanest air encountered during MILAGRO – typically aloft and outside the Mexico City basin. Figure 4d shows the comparison for benzene. From the tracer analysis, we estimate that biomass burning accounts for (31±3)%, (36±3)%, and (34±7)% of the CO, benzene, and reactive nitrogen (NO_y). These estimates are the mean of the mass-weighted, daily-averaged fire/excess fractions for observations made within the 3×3 degree box centered on MC, for the seven flights considered in this analysis. The sensitivity of these ratios to the size of the box is described in Table 2. Consistent with expectation, the fraction of pollution from biomass burning is lower for a smaller box centered over the city.

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Figure 5a shows a scatter plot of the predictions from the two component model for organic aerosol. The data are colored by the ratio of toluene to acetylene. High ratios (red colors) are indicative of very fresh emissions with little photochemical processing. A similar figure for submicron aerosol scattering is shown as Fig. 8. From the tracer analysis, we estimate that biomass burning accounts for $(66\pm 11)\%$, and $(57\pm 5)\%$ of the organic aerosol mass and total submicron scattering (which determines visibility). These estimates are the mean of the mass-weighted, daily-averaged fire/excess fractions for observations made within the 3×3 degree box centered on MC for the seven flights considered in this analysis.

3 Discussion

In Table 1, we compare the emission ratios estimated from the TLS analysis with observations made in the city plume encountered on 29 March (little BB influence) and in fresh fire plumes sampled within the study area by the Twin Otter (Yokelson et al., 2007b). The derived emission ratios do not change when we exclude the data from the 29th from the least-squares analysis.

The urban ratio of CO to C_2H_2 measured on 29 March, 92 (mol/mol), is very close to the emission ratio estimate from the total least squares (TLS) analysis, 96 (mol/mol) (Table 1). This ratio is lower than typically observed in US (300–500 mol/mol), and Asian cities (220 mol/mol) (Xiao et al., 2007), but comparable to the value observed by Grosjean et al. (1998) in urban air in Brazil. The lower emission ratios in Mexico and Brazil may reflect differences in fuel composition or catalytic converter functionality (Sigsby et al., 1987). The relatively high concentration of C_2H_2 in traffic exhaust combined with the emissions of C_2H_2 from fires near the low end of the typical range makes C_2H_2 a good tracer for the Mexican urban emissions.

The median ratio of CO to HCN observed in biomass burning plumes within the study area, 117 mol/mol (Yokelson et al., 2007b), is close to that derived in the total least-squares analysis, 104 mol/mol. As noted by Yokelson et al. (2007b), this ratio is

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



at the low end of the range typically observed for biomass burning. The relatively high emissions of HCN in biomass burning plumes combined with the low emission ratios from urban sources makes HCN a very good tracer for biomass burning in Mexico City.

Biomass burning is a significant global source of benzene and the impact of this source in and around the Mexico City basin is quite apparent in the correlations of benzene with the tracers (Fig. 4d–f). From the least squares analysis, we estimate that fires contributed 36% of this pollutant to the atmosphere above the central Mexican Plateau in March 2006. Relative to CO, the benzene emission ratio for biomass burning derived here is similar to those reported in other studies (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). As an aside, measurements of the ratio of benzene and toluene have been used in many previous studies to estimate the aging of an urban air mass as the atmospheric oxidation of toluene occurs much more rapidly than benzene (e.g., Cubison et al., 2006). Because the benzene/toluene emission ratio from fires (~1–3) is much greater than the same emission ratio from urban emissions (0.2), this method is not appropriate for cities – such as Mexico City during the biomass burning season – which have significant contributions of benzene from fire emissions.

Organic aerosol generally accounts for more than half the mass of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in (Salcedo et al., 2006) and around (DeCarlo et al., 2008) Mexico City. As shown in Fig. 5b–c, the amount of organic aerosol is highly correlated with [HCN]* and [C₂H₂]*, suggestive of large fire and urban emission influences. Using the emission ratios described in Table 1, we estimate that biomass burning contributes 66% of the organic aerosol to the study area in March 2006. These estimates are quite uncertain, however, due to complex aerosol chemistry.

Organic aerosol is both formed in and lost from the atmosphere on relatively fast timescales. Although direct (or primary) emissions of organic aerosol from automobiles are quite small (~5–10 µg per standard (T=273 K, P=1 atm) cubic meter of air (sm³) per ppmv CO), subsequent atmospheric oxidation of co-emitted hydrocarbons such as toluene and other aromatics, as well as biogenic and biomass burning hydrocarbon emissions, can yield low vapor pressure compounds that condense on the existing

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**J. D. Crouse et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

particulate forming secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). The amount of SOA produced from these gas-phase sources over the period of a day substantially exceeds the primary emissions from urban sources (de Gouw et al., 2005; Kleinman et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2007; Volkamer et al., 2006). The influence of this process is apparent in the aircraft data. In Fig. 5a, the organic aerosol data is colored by the ratio of toluene to acetylene. Toluene is co-emitted with acetylene in urban emissions, but is oxidized in the atmosphere with a lifetime of approximately 12 daylight hours. In samples containing very high toluene (less oxidized), the total least-squares analysis tends to over-predict the amount of organic aerosol. For example, the urban emission ratio derived from the least-squares analysis ($\sim 39 \mu\text{g per sm}^3$ per ppmv CO or $\sim 3.9 \mu\text{g per sm}^3$ per ppbv of C_2H_2) is greater than the factor derived from the slope of the correlations in the fresh plumes encountered on 29 March.

In addition to aerosol growth, aerosol mass can be lost through several mechanisms. As aerosol is transported away from its source and diluted with clean air, semi-volatile compounds evaporate to the gas phase (Robinson et al., 2007). Dry and wet deposition also remove aerosol from the atmosphere. As mentioned earlier, the changing backgrounds for aerosol relative to insoluble gases observed during late March is likely explained by aerosol loss via wet deposition.

Despite the complexity of the aerosol chemistry, the simple two end-member mixing model does describe much of the variability of organic aerosol mass observed from the C-130. This result may be related to our sampling – most of the observations were made in the afternoon when the city and fire emissions had experienced some aging. The apparent organic aerosol emission ratio for urban emissions derived from the 29 March plume, $32 \mu\text{g OA per sm}^3$ per ppmv CO, is not inconsistent with other estimates for organic aerosol from urban emissions aged 3–6 h (de Gouw et al., 2005; Volkamer et al., 2006). The aerosol burden continues to increase as the air masses are further oxidized in the MC outflow (Kleinman et al., 2008); similar to what has been observed in the New York City (de Gouw et al., 2005) and Atlanta plumes (Weber et al., 2007).

The complexity of the mixing of the urban-core aerosol emissions with the regional

aerosol emissions (often dominated by fire emissions) as well as mixing with the clean free troposphere precludes strong statements on the aerosol dynamics of these different sources.

The average NO_x/VOC emission ratio for the fires surrounding Mexico City is similar to the urban NO_x/VOC emission ratio for Mexico City. This is due in part to the higher than expected NO_x emission from the fires, which is significantly (2–4 times) larger than typical for fires (Yokelson et al., 2007b). As the fire and urban NO_x/VOC emissions are similar, the fire emissions will significantly impact the ozone production in the Mexico City outflow. Modeling of the transport and aging of the MC plume using a 3-D chemical transport model with full chemistry and accurate urban and fire emissions will be required to quantify the full impact of the fire emissions on ozone concentrations.

4 Implications for air quality improvement

The implications of this study for air quality engineering in Mexico City are not straight forward. Although visibility within the city and the export of aerosol, ozone, and other trace gases is significantly impacted by biomass burning during the period of our observations, it is not possible to estimate from this data set the long-term impact of such burning on the urban dwellers of Mexico City. Biomass burning in March 2006 was significantly higher than typical for March, though not unlike the amount of burning usually observed in the height of the biomass burning in April/May. During most of the year (June–February), however, these sources are negligible. Thus, annually-averaged, the impact of biomass burning will certainly be much smaller. In addition, because our sampling was from aircraft and primarily in the afternoon, and because the biomass burning was primarily in the forest above the city (Yokelson et al., 2007b), the impact of fire on the air breathed by people within Mexico City will be smaller than the regional impact estimated here. Indeed, we observe that even in the relatively well mixed afternoon planetary boundary layer, the impact of fire increases with altitude (Fig. 9). Consistent with this finding, estimates of the impact of fire on air quality at

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the ground stations within the city suggest a smaller fire influence (Molina et al., 2007; Bravo et al., 2002; Salcedo et al., 2006; Yokelson et al., 2007b; Stone et al., 2008; Moffet et al., 2008). Finally, unlike reducing emissions from the urban sources, reducing fire emissions through fire suppression efforts may have environmental costs as well as benefits; although forest fire suppression in and around the basin would yield improvement in visibility, such fire suppression actions may be inconsistent with proper forest management practices.

Appendix A

Additional information

A1 Data sources

HCN was measured on the NCAR C-130 as discrete 0.5 s samples obtained every 5 s. The analysis was performed by chemical ionization mass spectrometry (Crouse et al., 2006). CH₃CN was measured by a cryotrap concentrator coupled to a gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (cryo-GCMS), an instrument similar to the one described by Apel et al. (2003). The cryo-GCMS instrument concentrated ambient air in the cryotrap for 45 s prior to a 125 s analysis, yielding one data point every 170 s. C₂H₂, benzene, and toluene were recovered from 2 L canister samples that were periodically filled (approximately 12 samples per hour for the flights into the city). Each canister is filled over a period of 30–120 s. These samples were analyzed by gas chromatography at UC-Irvine (Blake et al., 1997). NO_y was measured by a chemiluminescence instrument operated by NCAR. Carbon monoxide was measured continuously with a vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) fluorescence instrument similar to the one developed by Gerbig et al. (1999) with a precision of 3 ppbv and a typical accuracy of ±10% at 100 ppbv CO levels. On several flights, the VUV fluorescence CO measurements were not available and CO concentrations were determined from the canister samples. Aerosol composi-

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



tion (organic, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride) and mass was determined with a high resolution aerosol mass spectrometer (DeCarlo et al., 2006, 2008). Aerosol scattering coefficients were measured at 450, 550, and 700 nm wavelengths using two TSI-3563 nephelometers. One nephelometer measured submicron scattering employing a 1 m aerodynamic impactor, and the other measured total scattering (Anderson et al., 2003).

A2 Alternative HCN sources

In addition to biomass burning and gasoline/diesel combustion, other sources of HCN may contribute to the enhanced HCN in the basin. For example, HCN has also been shown to be produced in the pyrolysis of coal from the breakdown of pyrrolic and pyridinic nitrogen (Leppalahti and Koljonen, 1995). Coal burning, however, is minimal in the basin. According to the 1999 Mexico National Emissions Inventory (NEI), 88% of the CO produced in Mexico City, and surrounding states (summing over Distrito-Federal, Mexico, and Morales) comes from mobile sources (NEI, 2008).

We did observe elevated HCN in the plumes from the power plants (fuel-oil fired) and petrochemical complex in Tula, north of Mexico City. The ratio of HCN to CO in the Tula plume is similar to that from fire. The Tula CO emissions are, however, significantly smaller than the CO emissions from fire in the MC basin suggesting these emissions have minimal influence on the regional HCN budget.

A3 HCN and acetonitrile correlation

A reasonable correlation ($r^2=0.78$, $n=835$) exists between HCN and CH_3CN observations, suggesting similar sources (Fig. 6). However, on multiple occasions, directly over Mexico City, enhanced CH_3CN was observed without accompanying enhancements in HCN (see Fig. 6, data excursions well above the best fit line). Explanations for these CH_3CN plumes could include industrial, non-combustion, sources, or possibly a different compound interfering with the GC-MS CH_3CN measurement. Overall, $\Delta\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ is

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



39% of ΔHCN (Fig. 6). On 29 March, a day with little fire influence according to HCN levels relative to CO, the slope of CH_3CN to HCN is similar to the overall relationship, indicating that these compounds are emitted from urban sources in about the same ratio as from fire, or that fire is still the dominating source of these compounds even for days without large fires.

A4 NO_y and submicron scattering

Analogous to Figs. 4 and 5, NO_y (Fig. 7), and submicron scattering at 550 nm (Fig. 8) reconstructions are shown. The two component fit for total scattering yielded very similar results as the one for submicron scattering (e.g. fire fraction also equal to 57%), with similar correlation coefficients. Significant amounts of aerosol nitrate were measured in and around Mexico City, so total NO_y was taken as the sum of measured NO_y and aerosol nitrate. At this time it is not known what fraction of aerosol nitrate was sampled by the NO_y instrument. To whatever extent aerosol nitrate is sampled by the NO_y instrument, we are double counting this aerosol nitrate. The correlation with the 2-component model is significantly better if the aerosol nitrate is added to the NO_y measurement to give total NO_y .

A5 Altitude dependence of fire impact

As observed from the C-130, and inferred by comparing the C-130 data with observations on the ground in Mexico City, the impact of the fires surrounding Mexico City is not as severe on the ground, as it is above the City. This is due in part to the location of the fires, elevated above the city on the mountainsides surrounding the city. The impact of fire increases with altitude above Mexico City (Fig. 9), suggesting that the smoke from the fires does not fully impact the ground.

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



A6 Box size for study area

For the ratios of fire impact reported in the body of the main work a rectangular study area of 3×3 degrees, centered on MC, was considered. As one focuses more on MC by decreasing the box size, the urban emissions become relatively more important (Table 2). This makes sense considering the fires emissions originate from many diffuse sources (individual fires) scattered across the plateau, while the urban emissions are more centrally located in and around the MC basin. Table 2 compares the results for the 2-component model using three different box sizes. Box 1 is the smallest, encompassing the populated area of Mexico City and some adjacent terrain. Box 2 is larger and includes the ring of mountains around MC. Box 3 is the largest box, equating to the 3×3 degree box used for the results presented in the main body of this work.

A7 MODIS AQUA satellite image time line

A daily timeline of true color satellite images of the Mexico City area, collected from the MODIS instrument aboard the AQUA satellite, for the Month of March 2006 are shown in Figs. 10–12. The images were taken at approximately 1:30 p.m. local time each day. The red boxes on each satellite image represent detected thermal anomalies. One can observe from inspection of the images that many fires are not detected due to a number of reasons, including cloud cover, smoke cover, low fire temperature, or simply lack of satellite coverage. Also, the number of detected fires does not necessarily correlate with the impact of fires on the visibility as observed from the satellite pictures.

Acknowledgements. The MIRAGE-mex campaign was a cooperative project of NASA and NSF. Funding for Caltech was provided through NASA (NAG: NNG06GB32B). We thank S. Madonich, F. Flocke, and NCAR's Research Aviation Facility (RAF) for mission design and support with instrument integration onto the aircraft. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. C. Wiedinmyer provided climatological fire data from the MODIS instrumentation. We thank J. Fast for comments on this work. We thank U. Steiner, J. Oliver, and N. Allen for technical support of the CIMS instru-

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ments whose development was generously supported by William and Sonja Davidow. J.D.C. and P.F.D. acknowledge support from the EPA-STAR Fellowship Program (FP916334012 and FP91650801). Funding for J.L.J. and P.D.F was provided through NSF (ATM-0513116) and NASA grants (NNG06GB03G). D.R.B. acknowledges NSF's Atmospheric Chemistry Division (ACD) for support. This work has not been formally reviewed by the EPA. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and the EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication.

References

- Anderson, T., Masonis, S., Covert, D., Ahlquist, N., Howell, S., Clarke, A., and McNaughton, C.: Variability of aerosol optical properties derived from in situ aircraft measurements during ACE-Asia, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8647, doi:10.1029/2002JD003247, 2003. 2713
- Andreae, M. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 15, 955–966, 2001. 2705, 2709
- Apel, E., Hills, A., Lueb, R., Zindel, S., Eisele, S., and Riemer, D.: A fast-GC/MS system to measure C-2 to C-4 carbonyls and methanol aboard aircraft, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8794, doi:10.1029/2002JD003199, 2003. 2712
- Baum, M. M., Moss, J. A., Pastel, S. H., and Poskrebyshev, G. A.: Hydrogen cyanide exhaust emissions from in-use motor vehicles, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41, 857–862, doi:10.1021/es061402v, 2007. 2705
- Blake, N., Blake, D., Chen, T., Collins, J., Sachse, G., Anderson, B., and Rowland, F.: Distribution and seasonality of selected hydrocarbons and halocarbons over the western Pacific basin during PEM-West A and PEM-West B, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 102, 28 315–28 331, 1997. 2712
- Bravo, A., Sosa, E., Sanchez, A., Jaimes, P., and Saavedra, R.: Impact of wildfires on the air quality of Mexico City, 1992–1999, *Environ. Pollut.*, 117, 243–253, 2002. 2702, 2712
- Crounse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, *Anal. Chem.*, 78, 6726–6732, doi:10.1021/ac0604235, 2006. 2712
- Cubison, M. J., Alfarra, M. R., Allan, J., Bower, K. N., Coe, H., McFiggans, G. B., Whitehead, J. D., Williams, P. I., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Hopkins, J., and Lee, J.: The char-

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crounse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



acterisation of pollution aerosol in a changing photochemical environment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 5573–5588, 2006,

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/5573/2006/>. 2709

de Gouw, J., Middlebrook, A., Warneke, C., Goldan, P., Kuster, W., Roberts, J., Fehsenfeld, F., Worsnop, D., Canagaratna, M., Pszenny, A., Keene, W., Marchewka, M., Bertman, S., and Bates, T.: Budget of organic carbon in a polluted atmosphere: Results from the New England Air Quality Study in 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D16305, doi:10.1029/2004JD005623, 2005. 2710

DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, *Anal. Chem.*, 78, 8281–8289, doi:10.1021/ac061249n, 2006. 2713

DeCarlo, P. F., Dunlea, E. J., Kimmel, J. R., Aiken, A. C., Sueper, D., Crouse, J., Wennberg, P. O., Emmons, L., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., Zhou, J., Tomlinson, J., Collins, D. R., Knapp, D., Weinheimer, A. J., Montzka, D. D., Campos, T., and Jimenez, J. L.: Fast airborne aerosol size and chemistry measurements above Mexico City and Central Mexico during the MILAGRO campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 4027–4048, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/4027/2008/>. 2709, 2713

Efron, B. and Tibshirani, R.: An Introduction to the Bootstrap, *Monogr. Stat. Appl. Probab.*, 57, 1–177, 1993. 2706

Fast, J. D., de Foy, B., Acevedo Rosas, F., Caetano, E., Carmichael, G., Emmons, L., McKenna, D., Mena, M., Skamarock, W., Tie, X., Coulter, R. L., Barnard, J. C., Wiedinmyer, C., and Madronich, S.: A meteorological overview of the MILAGRO field campaigns, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2233–2257, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2233/2007/>. 2702, 2705, 2706

Gerbig, C., Schmitgen, S., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., Dewey, K., and Haaks, D.: An improved fast-response vacuum-UV resonance fluorescence CO instrument, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 104, 1699–1704, 1999. 2712

Giglio, L., Descloitres, J., Justice, C., and Kaufman, Y.: An enhanced contextual fire detection algorithm for MODIS, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 87, 273–282, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00184-6, 2003. 2702

Grosjean, E., Grosjean, D., and Rasmussen, R.: Ambient concentrations, sources, emission rates, and photochemical reactivity of C-2-C-10 hydrocarbons in Porto Alegre, Brazil, *Envi-*

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- ron. Sci. Technol., 32, 2061–2069, 1998. 2708
- Harvey, C., Garbe, R., Baines, T., Somers, J., Hellman, K., and Carey, P.: A study of the potential impact of some unregulated motor vehicle emissions, SAE Transactions, 92, 3–3, 1983. 2705
- 5 Horowitz, L., Walters, S., Mauzerall, D., Emmons, L., Rasch, P., Granier, C., Tie, X., Lamarque, J., Schultz, M., Tyndall, G., Orlando, J., and Brasseur, G.: A global simulation of tropospheric ozone and related tracers: Description and evaluation of MOZART, version 2, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4784, doi:10.1029/2002JD002853, 2003. 2707
- 10 Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y.-N., Nunnermacker, L. J., Senum, G. I., Wang, J., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Alexander, M. L., Hubbe, J., Ortega, J., Canagaratna, M. R., and Jayne, J.: The time evolution of aerosol composition over the Mexico City plateau, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1559–1575, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/1559/2008/>. 2710
- 15 Kroll, J. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42, 3593–3624, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.003, 2008. 2710
- Leppalahti, J. and Koljonen, T.: Nitrogen evolution from coal, peat and wood during gasification – Literature-review, Fuel Process. Technol., 43, 1–45, 1995. 2713
- 20 Li, Q., Jacob, D., Yantosca, R., Heald, C., Singh, H., Koike, M., Zhao, Y., Sachse, G., and Streets, D.: A global three-dimensional model analysis of the atmospheric budgets of HCN and CH₃CN: Constraints from aircraft and ground measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 8827, doi:10.1029/2002JD003075, 2003. 2703, 2705
- Lloyd, A.: California clean-air initiatives – The role of fuel cells, J. Power Sources, 37, 241–253, 1992. 2701
- 25 McKinley, G., Zuk, M., Hojer, M., Avalos, M., Gonzalez, I., Iniestra, R., Laguna, I., Martinez, M., Osnaya, P., Reynales, L., Valdes, R., and Martinez, J.: Quantification of local and global benefits from air pollution control in Mexico City, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 1954–1961, doi:10.1021/es035183e, 2005. 2702
- 30 Moffet, R. C., de Foy, B., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., and Prather, K. A.: Measurement of ambient aerosols in northern Mexico City by single particle mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 4499–4516, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/4499/2008/>. 2712
- Molina, L. and Molina, M.: Air Quality in the Mexico Megacity: An Integrated Assessment,

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002. 2701
- Molina, L. T., Kolb, C. E., de Foy, B., Lamb, B. K., Brune, W. H., Jimenez, J. L., Ramos-Villegas, R., Sarmiento, J., Paramo-Figueroa, V. H., Cardenas, B., Gutierrez-Avedoy, V., and Molina, M. J.: Air quality in North America's most populous city – overview of the MCMA-2003 campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2447–2473, 2007, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2447/2007/>. 2702, 2712
- Muhle, J., Lueker, T. J., Su, Y., Miller, B. R., Prather, K. A., and Weiss, R. F.: Trace gas and particulate emissions from the 2003 southern California wildfires, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D03307, doi:10.1029/2006JD007350, 2007. 2702
- NEI: Mexico National Emissions Inventory, 1999, http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/mexico/1999_mexico_nei_final_report.pdf, 2008. 2713
- Ratcliff, M., Medley, E., and Simmonds, P.: Pyrolysis of amino-acids – Mechanistic considerations, *J. Org. Chem.*, 39, 1481–1490, 1974. 2703
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, *Science*, 315, 1259–1262, doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007. 2710
- Salcedo, D., Onasch, T. B., Dzepina, K., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Huffman, J. A., DeCarlo, P. F., Jayne, J. T., Mortimer, P., Worsnop, D. R., Kolb, C. E., Johnson, K. S., Zuberi, B., Marr, L. C., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J., Cardenas, B., Bernabé, R. M., Márquez, C., Gaffney, J. S., Marley, N. A., Laskin, A., Shutthanandan, V., Xie, Y., Brune, W., Leshner, R., Shirley, T., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of ambient aerosols in Mexico City during the MCMA-2003 campaign with Aerosol Mass Spectrometry: results from the CENICA Supersite, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 925–946, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/925/2006/>. 2702, 2709, 2712
- Sigsby, J., Tejada, S., Ray, W., Lang, J., and Duncan, J.: Volatile organic-compound emissions from 46 in-use passenger cars, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 21, 466–475, 1987. 2708
- Singh, H., Salas, L., Herlth, D., Kolyer, R., Czech, E., Viezee, W., Li, Q., Jacob, D., Blake, D., Sachse, G., Harward, C., Fuelberg, H., Kiley, C., Zhao, Y., and Kondo, Y.: In situ measurements of HCN and CH₃CN over the Pacific Ocean: Sources, sinks, and budgets, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8795, doi:10.1029/2002JD003006, 2003. 2703
- Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K., Tignor, M., and Miller, H.: IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Work-

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



ing Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007. 2701

Stone, E. A., Snyder, D. C., Sheesley, R. J., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., and Schauer, J. J.: Source apportionment of fine organic aerosol in Mexico City during the MILAGRO experiment 2006, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 1249–1259, 2008, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/1249/2008/>. 2712

Volkamer, R., Jimenez, J. L., San Martini, F., Dzepina, K., Zhang, Q., Salcedo, D., Molina, L. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Molina, M. J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from anthropogenic air pollution: Rapid and higher than expected, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L17811, doi:10.1029/2006GL026899, 2006. 2710

Weber, R. J., Sullivan, A. P., Peltier, R. E., Russell, A., Yan, B., Zheng, M., de Gouw, J., Warneke, C., Brock, C., Holloway, J. S., Atlas, E. L., and Edgerton, E.: A study of secondary organic aerosol formation in the anthropogenic-influenced southeastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D13302, doi:10.1029/2007JD008408, 2007. 2710

WHO: Air Quality and Health, <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs313/en/index.html>, 2008. 2701

Xiao, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Turquety, S.: Atmospheric acetylene and its relationship with CO as an indicator of air mass age, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D12305, doi:10.1029/2006JD008268, 2007. 2708

Yokelson, R. J., Karl, T., Artaxo, P., Blake, D. R., Christian, T. J., Griffith, D. W. T., Guenther, A., and Hao, W. M.: The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment: overview and airborne fire emission factor measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5175–5196, 2007a, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5175/2007/>. 2703

Yokelson, R. J., Urbanski, S. P., Atlas, E. L., Toohey, D. W., Alvarado, E. C., Crouse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Fisher, M. E., Wold, C. E., Campos, T. L., Adachi, K., Buseck, P. R., and Hao, W. M.: Emissions from forest fires near Mexico City, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5569–5584, 2007b, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/5569/2007/>. 2705, 2708, 2711, 2712, 2721

ACPD

9, 2699–2734, 2009

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.

Table 1. Emission ratios determined by TLS analysis.

Species	Urban ($\Delta[x]/\Delta[C_2H_2]$) ^a		Biomass Burning ($\Delta[x]/\Delta[HCN]$) ^a	
	opt ^b	29 March	opt ^b	fire obs. ^d
CO	96± ₂ ³	92	104± ₉ ⁸	117
C ₆ H ₆	0.137± _{0.005} ^{0.005}	0.13	0.19± _{0.01} ^{0.01}	–
NO _y	4.3± _{0.3} ^{0.3}	3.7	5.4± _{1.0} ^{1.0}	7.1
OA	3.9± _{0.6} ^{1.0}	2.9	22± ₄ ⁴	14
scat ^e	22± ₃ ⁴	22	75± ₇ ⁶	78
HCN	–	0.056 ^c	–1–	–1–
C ₂ H ₂	–1–	–1–	–	0.17

^a Units are mol/mol, except for $d[OA]/d[y]$ and $d[scat]/d[y]$, which have units of $\mu\text{g sm}^{-3}$ ppbv⁻¹ and Mm⁻¹ ppbv⁻¹, respectively.

^b Calculated emission ratios determined from TLS analysis.

^c An upper limit for how much HCN comes from urban emissions in MC, derived from data collected from C-130 on 29 March 2007, a day with low BB influence. This factor is not optimized.

^d Median values for fires sampled by the Twin Otter around Mexico City in March 2006 (Yokelson et al., 2007b).

^e This refers to submicron scattering measured at 550 nm.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.

Table 2. Fire impact for pollutants considering several box sizes.

Species	Box 1 ^a (%)	Box 2 ^b (%)	Box 3 ^c (%)
CO	21	28	31
C ₆ H ₆	25	33	36
NO _y	24	31	34
OA	52	61	66
scat	43	52	57

^a Box defined by latitude: [19.269 to 19.867] and longitude: [−99.300 to −98.867].

^b Box defined by latitude: [19.067 to 20.033] and longitude: [−99.433 to −98.667].

^c Box defined by latitude: [17.900 to 20.900] and longitude: [−100.400 to −97.400].

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 1. MODIS-Aqua images of the Mexico City basin on **(a)** 5 March 2006 at 13:35 CST and **(b)** 10 March 2006 at 13:55 CST, illustrate how large fires in the hillsides surrounding the city can impact visibility. Red boxes are thermal anomalies detected by MODIS. Black lines represent Mexican state boundaries. Images courtesy of MODIS Rapid Response Project at NASA/GSFC.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 2. C-130 flight tracks during MILAGRO colored by tracers: **(a)** observed HCN–HCN_{background}, and **(b)** observed C₂H₂. The map has been divided into 0.2×0.2 degree pixels and all observations from the C-130 across the MILAGRO campaign within a given pixel have been averaged together. High concentration data have been rounded down to capped values of 800 and 1500 ppbv for HCN and C₂H₂, respectively. Color scales range from 0 ppbv (dark blue) to the capped value (dark red). The black star in each panel is the center of Mexico City, and the black box outlines the 3×3 degree box centered on Mexico City which is the study area considered for the fire impact analysis.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 3. Timeline from the flight of 8 March 2006: **(a)** measured HCN, C_2H_2 , and pressure altitude. The observed (dots) and reconstructed (bars) CO, benzene, and organic aerosol concentrations, are shown in panels **(b–d)**, respectively. The bar widths represent the relative bottle sampling time (width has been expanded by 3× for clarity). The measurements in panels **(b–d)** are colored by $[toluene]/[C_2H_2]^*$ with color scale ranging from red=1 to blue=0, and grey meaning data was unavailable for this calculation. Data points with black borders in panels **(b–d)** lie within the 3×3 degree study area.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 4. Scatter plots for observed vs. reconstructed CO (**a**) and benzene (**d**). Associated scatter plots for CO vs. each tracer, $[C_2H_2]^*$ (**b**) and $[HCN]^*$ (**c**) and for benzene vs. each tracer, $[C_2H_2]^*$ (**e**) and $[HCN]^*$ (**f**). Lines are best fit to all data using total least squares (TLS) regression. Points are colored by $[toluene]/[C_2H_2]^*$, where red=1 and blue=0. Points with black border lie within the 3×3 degree study area.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 5. Scatter plot for observed vs. reconstructed OA (a). Associated scatter plots for OA vs. each tracer, $[\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^*$ (b) and $[\text{HCN}]^*$ (c). Lines are best fit to all data using total least squares (TLS) regression. Points are colored by $[\text{toluene}]/[\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^*$, where red=1 and blue=0. Points with black border lie within the 3×3 degree study area.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 6. Scatter plot of the HCN and the CH₃CN observations made aboard the C-130 during the MILAGRO experiment ($r^2=0.78$). The data (black x symbols) were fit using least squares (red: slope=0.45, intercept=0.039 ppbv), and robust total least squares (blue: slope=0.39, intercept=0.054) regression techniques.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Biomass burning pollution over Central Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 7. Scatter plot for observed vs. reconstructed NO_y (a). Associated scatter plots for NO_y vs. each tracer, [C₂H₂]* (b) and [HCN]* (b). Lines are best fit to all data using total least squares (TLS) regression. Points are colored by [toluene]/[C₂H₂]*, where red=1 and blue=0. Points with black border lie within the 3×3 degree study area.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 8. Scatter plot for observed vs. reconstructed submicron scattering **(a)**. Associated scatter plots for submicron scattering vs. each tracer, $[\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^*$ **(b)** and $[\text{HCN}]^*$ **(c)**. Lines are best fit to all data using total least squares (TLS) regression. Points are colored by $[\text{toluene}]/[\text{C}_2\text{H}_2]^*$, where red=1 and blue=0. Points with black border lie within the 3×3 degree study area.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 9. Altitude dependence of fire CO over Mexico City. The fraction of excess CO (CO-background) which according to the two component analysis comes from biomass burning vs. pressure altitude for observations (black x symbols) made within the 3×3 degree study area around Mexico City. Note the ground level in MC is at 2.2 km pressure altitude. The mean values of data for 1 km bins are shown as black circles connected by the red line.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 10. MODIS-Aqua images around Mexico City for 5 March through 13 March 2006. Red boxes represent detected fires. Black lines are state boundaries. Blank areas represent missing data. Images courtesy of MODIS Rapid Response Project at NASA/GSFC.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 11. MODIS-Aqua images around Mexico City for 14 March through 22 March 2006. Red boxes represent detected fires. Black lines are state boundaries. Blank areas represent missing data. Images courtesy of MODIS Rapid Response Project at NASA/GSFC.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Biomass burning
pollution over Central
Mexico**

J. D. Crouse et al.




Fig. 12. MODIS-Aqua images around Mexico City for 23 March through 31 March 2006. Red boxes represent detected fires. Black lines are state boundaries. Blank areas represent missing data. Images courtesy of MODIS Rapid Response Project at NASA/GSFC.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)