A Convenient Synthesis of Polycyclic γ-Lactams via Pauson-Khand Reaction

Seok Won Kang, Yong Hoon Kim, Hea Jeong Kim, Joo Hee Lee, and Sung Hoon Kim*

Department of Chemistry, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Korea *E-mail: shkim@konkuk.ac.kr Received December 31, 2008, Accepted February 6, 2009

Pauson-Khand reaction of hydroxyenynes with $Co_2(CO)_8$ in the presence of N-methylmorpholine N-oxide or trimethylamine N-oxide as a promoter produced polycyclic γ -lactams as single stereoisomers in moderate to excellent yield. These are the first examples of an intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction on a hydroxyenyne system tethered to a three- and four-membered ring as new skeletons with 5,6,5 fused ring systems.

Key Words: Polycyclic γ-lactams, Pauson-Khand reaction, 5,6,5 Fused ring systems, Co₂(CO)₈

Introduction

The nitrogen-containing heterocycles undoubtedly are fundamental to organic chemistry, especially common substructures found widely in biologically active materials. They are of broad interest in the areas of pharmaceutical and natural product synthesis.² Accordingly, a variety of synthetic methodologies involving these heterocycles have been developed in recent years.³⁻⁹ There still exists, however, a need for more efficient procedures for the synthesis of complex aza-polycyclic ring systems. Transition metal chemistry facilitates the combination of unsaturated functional groups in ways that are difficult or impossible to accomplish using conventional synthetic methods. The Pauson-Khand reaction (PKR), the intramolecular cyclization of enynes mediated by Co₂(CO)₈, ranks among the best methods to increase molecular complexity in a single synthetic step. ¹⁰ PKR involving [2+2+1] cycloaddition of an alkene, alkyne and CO to generate cyclopentenones is of significant synthetic utility. 11 With this in mind, we report here the Pauson-Khand cyclization of hydroxyenynes 1 and 3 to yield tricyclic γ-lactams 2 and 4 as single stereoisomers (Scheme 1). Tricyclic and tetracyclic lactam derivatives have been known to possess many interesting biological activities. 12 We previously reported the cyclization of N-allyl

TMANO

TMANO

$$\begin{array}{c}
Co_2(CO)_8 \\
\hline
TMANO
\end{array}$$

TMANO

 $\begin{array}{c}
Co_2(CO)_8 \\
\hline
TMANO
\end{array}$

TMANO

 $\begin{array}{c}
Co_2(CO)_8 \\
\hline
TMANO
\end{array}$

TMANO

Scheme 1.

5-allyl-5-hydroxylactams, using RCM to construct tetrahydroindolizinone derivatives. ¹³ In the present paper, we give an account of our efforts dealing with this unique skeleton.

Results and Discussion

For the synthesis of hydroxyenyne 1, *N*-allyl cyclic imides 5, which were readily obtained from succinimide, phthalimide, maleic anhydride, and tartaric anhydride as starting

5d

1d

Table 2. Pauson-Khand Reaction: Intramolecular Version II

74

71

materials.¹³ We reported previously that the zinc-mediated Barbier-type propargylation of cyclic imides in the presence of a catalytic amount of PbBr₂ proceeded very efficiently.¹⁴ In the reactions of trimethylsilylpropargyl bromide with *N*-allyl cyclic imides **5**, homopropargylic alcohols **1** were obtained as single products without allenic products.

52

4d

3c

3d

6d

The reactions of propargyl bromide with N-allyl cyclic imides 5 were not clean, as expected. About $20 \sim 23\%$ of allenic products were observed from the 1H NMR of the crude products. 15

The resulting hydroxyenynes 1 were transformed into the corresponding fused polycyclic systems 2 in excellent yields, using the intramolecular version of PKR. Cyclization products, **2a-c**, were obtained in almost quantitative yield as racemates. Product **2d**, which was synthesized from the *R*-configuration of **1d**, was obtained as optically pure product. $[\alpha]_{D}^{D_5} = -46$ (c = 1.5, CH₂Cl₂). The results are summarized in Table 1.

Similar to the synthesis of hydroxyenyne **1** and *N*-allyl cyclic imides **5**, the hydroxyenyne **3** and *N*-propargyl cyclic imides **6** were readily obtained from succinimide, phthalimide, maleic anhydride, and tartaric anhydride. ¹⁶ Also, we reported that the zinc-mediated Barbier-type allylation of

cyclic imides in the presence of a catalytic amount of PbBr₂ proceeds very efficiently.¹⁷ The results are summarized in Table 2.

Two major milestones in the Pauson-Khand reaction are the carbon monoxide free protocol, using stoichiometric Co₂(CO)₈, and cleavage of the resulting Co₂(CO)₆-alkyne complex, using a promoter such as N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (NMO) or trimethylamine N-oxide (TMANO). Treatment with TMANO gave effectively the desired tricyclic compounds, 2a-d and 4a-d. Compounds 2a-d and 4a-b were obtained in almost quantitative yield by filtration of the metal residue through Celite. 18 Better yields of tricyclic 2 and 4 were obtained when TMANO was used instead of NMO. The hydroxylactams 2 and 4 could also serve as a potentially valuable synthetic intermediate for the synthesis of certain natural products containing an azapolycyclic system to access new compounds with 5,6,5 fused ring systems. To the best of our knowledge, PKR has not been used for the synthesis of 5,6,5 tricyclic compounds 2 and 4, using hydroxyenyne 1 as starting material.

A single diastereomer of the final product was produced in all cases. The structures of tricyclic compounds 2 and 4 were assigned from one- and two-dimensional correlation spectroscopy. The stereochemical assignments of 2d and 4d were carried out using nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy or NOESY as illustrated in Figure 1.

In the 2d case, irradiation of the hydroxyl hydrogen led to a 9.68% increment in the H2 signal and no increment in the H1 signal. And irradiation of the H_{\alpha} at C4 led to a 9.84% increment in the H4a signal, indicating that 2d possesses R-configuration at 4a position.

In the **4d** case, irradiation of the hydroxyl hydrogen led to a 12.29% increment in the H2 signal and no increment in the H1 signal. And irradiation of H7*a* led to a 2.48% increment in H2 signal, 4.77% increment in H8 β and 5.09% increment in H7 $_{\beta}$ indicating that **4d** possesses *R*-configuration at 7*a* position.

The doublet signal of **2d** at 4.234 ppm (${}^4J = 1.6$ Hz) indicates the presence of a known W coupling between OH hydrogen and H8_a. We carried out a homodecoupling experiment, which eliminates coupling selectively. On the irradiation of H8_a, the OH doublet is transformed to a singlet. A similar result was observed for cyclization products **4d** (4.234 ppm, ${}^4J = 2.0$ Hz) which indicates that W coupling between OH hydrogen and H8_a.

These results could be characteristic of the geometric arrange




Figure 1. Nuclear Overhauser effect of 2d and 4d.




Figure 2. Suggested geometrical arrangement for 2d and 4d.




Figure 3. Suggested geometrical arrangement for 2d and 4d.

ments in which H-O-C8*a*-C8-H8 α present a well-known W conformation (Figure 2).

Conformation study of these compounds was carried out to confirm the results. The initial global energy minima for possible conformations (I, II) were obtained using CAChe program¹⁹ using PM3. Each minimized structure was optimized with the hybrid density functional B3LYP with 6-311 + G(2d, p) basis set, which is available in the Gaussian 03 program.²⁰ According to the results of free energy calculations, structure **2d-I** with W conformation is 1.3235 kcal/mol more stable than **2d-II**. The equilibrium constant Keq at 298 K was calculated to be 9.3 for the preference of conformer **2d-I** to **2d-II** (Figure 3).

In the **4d** case, a similar result was obtained. Conformer with W conformation is 2.147 kcal/mol more stable than the other less stable conformer. The equilibrium constant Keq at 298 K was calculated to be 36.9.

Conclusion

We have synthesised polycyclic γ -lactams, using an intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction on hydroxyenyne. The stereochemical assignment of cyclization products was carried out using nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy and homodecoupling experiments. We have confirmed the conformation of cyclization products, using Gaussian 03 program. This synthetic approach is a short and highly efficient method to construct new skeletons with 5,6,5 fused ring systems. The methodology described here is potentially applicability to the synthesis of complex natural and non-natural compounds because of the presence of the double bond, carbonyl group and hydroxyl group, which are available for further functionalization. We anticipate that this unexplored class of compounds will possess interesting biological properties.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Konkuk University in 2008.

References

- (a) Gribble, G. W. Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 2, p 207. (b) Balasubramanian, M.; Keay, J. G. Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 5, p 245.
- (a) Martin, S. F. In *The Alkaloids*; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1987; Vol. 30, p 251. (b) Lewis, J. R. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1994, 11, 329; Jeffs, P. W. In *The Alkaloids*; Rodrigo, R. G. A., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1981; Vol. 19, pp 1-80.
- (a) Kuehne, M. E.; Matsko, T. H.; Bohnert, J. C.; Motyka, L.; Oliver-Smith, D. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2002. (b) Kuehne, M. E.; Earley, W. G. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 3707. (c) Nkiliza, J.; Vercauteren, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1787. (d) Rawal, V. H.; Michoud, C.; Monestel, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 46, 3030. (e) Kuehne, M. E.; Brook, C. S.; Xu, F.; Parsons, R. Pure Appl. Chem. 1994, 66, 2095.
- (a) Overman, L. E.; Sworin, M.; Burk, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2685. (b) Overman, L. E.; Sugai, S. Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 745. (c) Overman, L. E.; Robertson, G.; Robichaud, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1236.
- (a) Gallagher, T.; Magnus, P.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4750.
 (b) Magnus, P.; Gallagher, T.; Brown, P.; Pappalardo, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 35.
- (a) Rigby, J. H.; Qabar, M. H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4473. (b) Rigby, J. H.; Qabar, M.; Ahmed, G.; Hughes, R. C. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 10219. (c) Rigby, J. H.; Hughes, R. C.; Heeg, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 7834. (d) Rigby, J. H.; Mateo, M. E. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 10569. (d) Rigby, J. H.; Laurent, S.; Cavezza, A.; Heeg, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5587.
- (a) Kraus, G. A.; Thomas, P. J.; Bougie, D.; Chen, L. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1624. (b) Sole, D.; Bonjoch, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 5183. (c) Bonjoch, J.; Sole, D.; Bosch, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2064. (d) Schultz, A. G.; Holoboski, M. A.; Smyth, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7904. (e) Guzzo, P. R.; Nowak, D. M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 8044. (f) Schultz, A. G.; Holoboski, M. A.; Smyth, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6210.
- 8. (a) Pearson, W. H.; Postich, M. J. J. Org. Chem. **1994**, *59*, 5662. (b) Padwa, A.; Price, A. T. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, *60*, 6258.
- (a) Takano, S.; Inomata, K.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Lett. 1992,
 443. (b) Uesaka, N.; Saitoh, F.; Mori, M.; Shibasaki, M.; Okamura, K.; Date, T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5633. (c) Mori, M.; Kuroda, S.; Zhang, C.; Sato, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3263.
- (a) In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Shore, N. E., Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Pattanden, G., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, pp 1037-1064. (b) Schore, N. E. Organic Reactions 1991, 40, 1-90. (c) Pauson, P. L. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 5855-5860. (d) Jeong, N.; Chung, Y. K.; Lee, B. Y.; Lee, S. H.; Yoo, S. E. Synlett 1991, 204.
- (a) Ching, Y. K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 188, 297–341.
 (b) Brummond, K. M.; Kent, J. L. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 3263-3283.
- (a) Mertens, A.; Zilch, H.; Konig, B.; Schafer, W.; Poll, T.; Kampe, W.; Seidel, H.; Leser, U.; Leinert, H. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 2526. (b) Schafer, W.; Friebe, W.-G.; Leinert, H.; Mertens, A.; Poll, T.; von der Saal, W.; Zilch, H.; Nuber, B.; Ziegler, M. L. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 762. (c) Allin, S. M.; Northfield, C. J.; Page, M. I.; Slawin, A. M. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3627. (d) Allin, S. M.; Northfield, C. J.; Page, M. I.; Slawin, A. M. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 141. (e) Allin, S. M.; Northfield, C. J.; Page, M. I.; Slawin, A. M. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 143. (f) Allin, S. M.; Hodkinson, C. C.; Taj, N. Synlett 1996, 781.
- Kang, S. W.; Kim, Y. H.; Kim, S. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2008, 29, 755.

14. Kim, S. H.; Han, E. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6479

15. Reaction of propargylic zinc reagent.

entry	hydroxyenyne	allene 8	allene(%)	yield(%)
a	ОН	OH C=CH ₂	37	72
b	OAC OAC OH	OAC OAC OH OH C=CH ₂	29.4	42
С	ON OH	OH C=CH ₂	28.5	54
d	OH——H	OH C=CH ₂	23.2	85

- (a) Marson, C. M.; Khan, A.; Porter, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 2001,
 66, 4771. (b) Butler, D. N.; Shang, M.; Warrener, R. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 5985.
- Kang, S. W.; Heo, E. Y.; Jun, J. G.; Kim, S. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2004, 25, 1924.
- 18. A representative procedure for the preparation of polycyclic γ lactams 2 and 4 from hydroxyenynes 1 and 3. (a) Compound 2a : Solid Co₂(CO)₈ (0.82 g, 1.2 eq.) was added to a solution of hydroxyenynes 1a (0.60 g, 2 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) under argon. The dark solution thus obtained was stirred at room temperature until complete complex formation by TLC (ca. 4 h). The resulting solution of Co₂(CO)₆-alkyne complex was cooled to 5 °C, then anhydrous TMANO (0.30g, 2.0 eq.) was added. The reaction flask was open to the air and warmed to room temperature by immediate removal of the ice bath. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was cooled to 5 °C, another portion of TMANO (0.30g, 2.0 eq.) was added, and the solution was warmed again to room temperature by immediate removal of the ice bath. This sequence was repeated until a total of 6.0 eq. (0.90 g) of anhydrous TMANO was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, and then the crude mixture was filtered through a short path of Celite. The solvent was removed and the residue was purified via silica gel chromatography eluting with 70% EtOAc / hexanes to afford 0.64g of polycyclic γ-lactams 2a in 98% yield as a white solid. ¹H-NMR

 $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 0.31 \text{ (s, 9H)}, 2.00 \sim 2.06 \text{ (dd, } J = 18.6 \text{ Hz}$ and 2.5 Hz, 1H), $2.24 \sim 2.27$ (dd, J = 13.6 Hz and 2.3 Hz, 1H), $2.45 \sim 2.51$ (dd, J = 18.6 Hz and 7 Hz, 1H), $2.54 \sim 2.68$ (m, 1H), $2.74 \sim 2.80$ (t, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), $3.70 \sim 3.73$ (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), $3.82 \sim 3.83$ (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, -OH), $4.30 \sim 4.33$ (dd, J = 11.6 Hz and 6.5 Hz, 1H), $7.38 \sim 7.61$ (m, 4H), 13 C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 211.8, 181.9, 165.4, 147.6, 142.6, 133.0, 130.4, 130.1, 123.9, 121.8, 88.0, 41.9, 41.8, 41.3, 39.5, 0.0. (b) Compound **2d**: $[\alpha]^{25}_D = -46$ (c = 1.5, CH₂Cl₂), ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.23 (s, 9H), 2.02 ~ 2.07 (dd, J = 18.6 Hz and 2.5 Hz, 1H), $2.18 \sim 2.23$ (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 6H), $2.46 \sim 2.49$ (dd, J= 13.6 Hz and 1.6 Hz, 1H), $2.46 \sim 2.54$ (dd, J = 18.6 Hz and 7 Hz, 1H), $2.70 \sim 2.80$ (m, 1H), $2.85 \sim 2.81$ (t, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.13 ~ 3.16 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, -OH), 4.33 ~ 4.38 (dd, J = 12.5 Hz and 6.5 Hz, 1H), $5.09 \sim 5.10$ (d, J = 4.5Hz, 1H), $5.29 \sim 5.30$ (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 13 C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 210.9, 180.7, 171.3, 171.1, 165.0, 143.4, 88.1, 80.6, 75.6, 43.2, 41.2, 39.2, 37.5, 21.0, 20.9, -0.16. (c) Compound 4a : ${}^{1}\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.21 ~ 1.28 (td, J = 13.1 Hz and 2.7 Hz, 1H), $1.92 \sim 1.98$ (dd, J = 18.6 Hz and 3 Hz, 1H), $2.65 \sim$ $2.72 \text{ (dd, } J = 18.6 \text{ Hz and } 6.5 \text{ Hz, } 1\text{H}), 2.79 \sim 2.83 \text{ (dd, } J = 13.1 \text{ }$ Hz and 5.5 Hz, 1H), $3.52 \sim 3.60$ (m, 1H), $3.85 \sim 3.89$ (d, J = 15.6Hz, 1H), $4.39 \sim 4.40$ (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, -OH), $4.86 \sim 4.90$ (d, J= 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 1H), $7.37 \sim 7.66$ (m, 4H) ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 207.7, 173.1, 165.7, 147.4, 133.1, 130.3, 130.2, 128.8, 123.8, 122.0, 87.1, 42.1, 41.5, 38.7, 36.0. (d) Compound **4d**: 1 H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.45 ~ 1.52 (td, J = 13.1 Hz and 2 Hz, 1H), $2.03 \sim 2.09$ (dd, J = 18.6 Hz and 3 Hz, 1H), 2.18 $(s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.23 \sim 2.27 (dd, J = 13.1 Hz and 5 Hz, 1H),$ $2.67 \sim 2.74$ (dd, J = 18.6 Hz and 6.5 Hz, 1H), $3.49 \sim 3.52$ (m, 1H), $4.03 \sim 4.07$ (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), $4.34 \sim 4.35$ (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H, -OH), $4.86 \sim 4.87$ (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), $4.89 \sim 4.93$ (d, J = 15.6Hz, 1H), $5.34 \sim 5.36$ (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (s, 1H), 13 C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 207.1, 171.8, 171.4, 170.8, 165.6, 129.5, 87.5, 80.1, 76.5, 41.5, 39.9, 38.3, 35.7, 21.1, 21.0.

- CAChe, Work System Pro Version 5.02; Fujitsu Ltd., Oxford Molecular Group Inc.: 2000-2002.
- 20. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 03, Revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.