

- 2762 (1980).
6. J. H. Baxendale and M. A. J. Rodgers, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **72**, 424 (1980).
 7. T. K. Foreman, W. M. Sobol and D. G. Whitten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **103**, 5333 (1981).
 8. K. Mandel and J. N. Demas, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **84**, 410 (1981).
 9. H. Sato, M. Kawasaki, K. Kasatani and T. Ban, *Chem. Lett. (Jpn.)*, 139 (1982).
 10. J. H. Baxendale and M. A. J. Rodgers, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **86**, 4906 (1982).
 11. T. Miyashita, T. Murakata and M. Matsuda, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **87**, 4529 (1983).
 12. B. L. Hauenstein, Jr., W. J. Dressick, S. L. Buell, J. N. Demas and B. A. DeGraff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 4251 (1983).
 13. W. J. Dressick, B. L. Hauenstein, Jr., J. N. Demas and B. A. DeGraff, *Inorg. Chem.*, **23**, 1107 (1984).
 14. T. Miyashita, T. Murakata, Y. Yamaguchi and M. Matsuda, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **89**, 497 (1985).
 15. K. Takagi, K. Aoshima, Y. Sawaki and H. Iwamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **107**, 47 (1985).
 16. W. J. Dressick, J. Cline, III, J. N. Demas and B. A. DeGraff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **108**, 7567 (1986).
 17. (a) Y. Kusumoto and H. Sato, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **68**, 13 (1979); (b) H. Sato, M. Kawasaki and K. Kasatani, *J. Photochem.*, **17**, 243 (1981); (c) H. Sato, Y. Kusumoto, N. Nakashima and K. Yoshihara, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **71**, 326 (1980); (d) H. Sato, M. Kawasaki and K. Kasatani, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **87**, 3579 (1983).
 18. J. W. Park and Y. H. Paik, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **7**, 137 (1986).
 19. B. L. Hauenstein, Jr., K. Mandal, J. N. Demas and B. A. DeGraff, *Inorg. Chem.*, **23**, 1101 (1984).
 20. C-T. Lin, W. Böttcher, M. Chou, C. Creutz and N. Sutin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 6536 (1976).
 21. J. W. Park and H. Chung, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **7**, 113 (1986).
 22. D. Y. Chu and J. K. Thomas, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **89**, 4065 (1985).
 23. J. Wheeler and J. K. Thomas, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **86**, 4540 (1982).
 24. W. Shi, S. Wolfgang, J. C. Strekas and H. D. Gafney, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **89**, 974 (1985).
 25. J. Ferguson, E. Krausz and M. Maedar, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **89**, 1852 (1985).
 26. J. Ferguson and E. Krausz, *Inorg. Chem.*, **25**, 3333 (1986).
 27. This structure was suggested for $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$ -dodecyl-sulfate clusters, not for the anions, by Baxendale and Rodgers.¹⁰

Selective Reduction of Oximes to N-Monosubstituted Hydroxylamines with Lithium Borohydride

Byung Tae Cho* and See Yearl Seong

Department of Chemistry, Hallym University, Chunchon, 200-010. Received July 1, 1988

Selective reduction of aldoximes and ketoximes with lithium borohydride in tetrahydrofuran was investigated. Thus, aldoximes and cyclic ketoximes such as hexanaloxime, heptanaloxime, cyclopentanone oxime and cyclohexanone oxime were reduced smoothly to the corresponding N-monosubstituted hydroxylamines at room temperature in 65-93% yield. The reduction of alicyclic ketoxime was very slow, requiring somewhat high reaction temperature (65 °C) for the complete reduction to give the hydroxylamines. The reduction of aromatic oximes such as benzaloxime and acetophenone oxime was very sluggish, giving a mixture of the corresponding hydroxylamines and amines at 65 °C.

Introduction

Lithium borohydride is a mild reducing agent which reduces only aldehyde, ketone and acyl chloride.¹ Recently, much efforts have devoted to selective reduction of organic functional groups with this hydride,² since it is soluble in organic solvent(diethyl ether or tetrahydrofuran) and simply prepared from the reaction of sodium borohydride and lithium chloride.³

There are many reports for the reduction of oximes with several kind of metal hydrides.⁴⁻¹⁶ Of these reagents, only mild reducing agents such as borane,⁴⁻⁵ pyridine-borane⁸⁻⁹ and sodium cyanoborohydride¹⁰⁻¹² could accomplish the reduction of oximes **1** to give the corresponding N-monosubstituted hydroxylamines **3**. However, the reduction with borane required the restricted reaction condition to obtain



the desired hydroxylamines without over reduction. In the case of pyridine-borane, the reaction should be performed with excess reagent under strong acidic condition. On the other hand, cyanoborohydride could smoothly reduce oximes to the hydroxylamines, but the reduction of aldoximes is extremely pH-dependent.¹⁷ To overcome these difficulties, therefore, we decided to investigate the reduction of oximes with lithium borohydride in tetrahydrofuran.

Results and Discussion

Procedure for Approximate Rate and Stoichiometry





Figure 1. Reduction of cyclohexanone oxime with lithium borohydride in THF at R. T. (H^-/Cpd) = 1.0(●), 2.0(○), 4.0(■), 8.0(□).

Study. Cyclohexanone oxime was chosen as a representative oxime. It was treated with 2, 1, 0.5 and 0.25 molar equivalents of lithium borohydride (i. e, 8, 4, 2 and 1 equivalents as hydride) in tetrahydrofuran. The reaction mixture was maintained at room temperature (ca. 25 °C). Hydrogen evolution following addition of the oxime to the reagent was measured. A blank reaction was run under identical conditions but without addition of the compound. At appropriate intervals of time, the aliquots were removed from the reaction mixture and analyzed for the remaining hydride by hydrolysis.¹⁸ From the difference in yields of hydrogen in the two cases, the number of mmoles of hydride used by the compound for reduction was calculated.

Approximate Rate and Stoichiometry. Using 1 and 2 molar equivalents of lithium borohydride, the oxime took up 1 hydride rapidly (<3 h), with a second equivalent of hydride being taken up only quite slowly (1.03 hydride uptake for 24 h), liberating 1 equivalent of hydrogen. The result suggested that the reaction underwent to reduction of oxime to

hydroxylamine stage selectively. In fact, N-cyclohexylhydroxylamine was isolated in the yield of 93% from this reaction. When the oxime was treated with 0.25 and 0.5 molar equivalents of lithium borohydride, the reaction proceeded in the same manner, but give incomplete hydride uptake. The results shown in Figure 1 indicated that at least 1 molar equivalent of lithium borohydride was required for the selective reduction.

Selective Reduction of Oximes to N-Monosubstituted Hydroxylamines. With 1 molar equivalent of lithium hydride, selective reduction for a series of oximes such as hexanaldoxime, heptanaldoxime, cyclopentanone oxime, 2-butanone oxime, 4-heptanone oxime, benzylacetone oxime, benzaldoxime, acetophenone oxime, α -tetralone oxime and benzophenone oxime was examined. The reduction was performed at room temperature at 65 °C in tetrahydrofuran. To isolate reaction products, the reaction mixtures were hydrolyzed with 2 normal methanolic hydrogen chloride, basified to pH 13 with 10% potassium hydroxide solution and then extracted by chloroform. As shown in Table, aldoxime **1a-b** and cyclic ketoxime **1a-b** were reduced smoothly to the cor-



responding N-monosubstituted hydroxylamines **2a-d** at room temperature in 65-93% yields, whereas the reduction of alicyclic ketoximes **1e-g** was very slow, requiring the tetrahydrofuran reflux condition(65 °C) for the complete reduction to give the hydroxylamines **2e-g**. However, the reduction of aromatic oximes such as benzaldoxime and acetophenone oxime did not go to completion even after 48 h at room temperature. Under tetrahydrofuran reflux condition, the reaction did not undergo clearly to the corresponding hydroxylamine, giving a mixture of hydroxylamines and amines(overreduction products). α -Tetralone oxime and benzophenone oxime were not reduced even after 72 h at 65 °C. In

Table 1. Reaction of oximes with Lithium Borohydride in THF^{a,b}

1	R ₁	R ₂	Reaction Time(h)	Yield(2) (%)	mp (°C)	mp (°C) reported	IR(KBr) $\nu(\text{cm}^{-1})$	¹ H-NMR(CDCl ₃ /TMS) $\delta(\text{ppm})$
a	n-C ₆ H ₁₃	H	3	65 60 ^c	63-64 62-65	62 ⁵	3270 3150	0.85(t, 3H, CH ₂ CH ₃), 1.4(m, 8H, 4 × CH ₂) 2.67(t, 2H, CH ₂ CH ₂ N), 5.8(br s, 2H, NHOH)
b	n-C ₇ H ₁₅	H	3	70	73-74	73.5 ⁵	3280 3150	0.88(t, 3H, CH ₂ CH ₃), 1.4(m, 10H, 5 × CH ₂) 2.7 (t, 3H, CH ₂ N), 5.9(br s, 2H, NHOH)
c	-(CH ₂) ₄ -		6	90	92-94	95-96 ¹⁰	3270 3150	1.3-1.9(br s, 8H, 4 × CH ₂), 3.43(m, 1H, CHNHOH) 6.2 (br s, 2H, NHOH)
d	-(CH ₂) ₅ -		3	93 83 ^c	138-140 139	140 ⁵	3250 3120	1.1-2.1(br s, 10H, 5 × CH ₂), 3.23(m, 1H, CHNHOH) 6.1 (br s, 2H, NHOH)
e	C ₂ H ₅	CH ₃	12 ^d	86	62-64	64-65 ¹⁰	3230 3110	0.8-1.1(m, 6H, 2 × CH ₃), 1.3(m, 2H, CH ₃ CH ₂) 2.75(m, 1H, CHNHOH), 6.2(s, 2H, NHOH)
f	n-C ₃ H ₇	n-C ₃ H ₇	18 ^d	78	48-50	48-50 ⁸	3250 3120	0.92(m, 6H, 2 × CH ₃), 1.5(m, 8H, 4 × CH ₂) 2.82(m, 1H, CHNHOH), 5.6(br s, 2H, NHOH)
g	n-C ₆ H ₅ C ₂ H ₄	CH ₃	20 ^d	79	72-73	74-75 ⁸	3270 3150	1.2 (d, 3H, CHCH ₃), 1.5-1.7(m, 2H, CH ₂ CH) 2.7 (t, 2H, phCH ₂), 2.92(m, 1H, CHNHOH) 6.5 (br s, 2H, NHOH), 7.2 (s, 5H, C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂)

^aThe reaction was carried out in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature, unless otherwise noted. The reaction mixtures were 1.0 molar solution both for **2a-g** and for **1**. ^bThe products were isolated by hydrolysis with 2 normal methanolic hydrogen chloride. ^cThe products were isolated by hydrolysis with 6 normal hydrochloric acid. ^dThe reaction was carried out at 65 °C.

