

An Important Application of Pirkle Type π -Acidic Chiral Stationary Phases: Resolution of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon Mixtures by Normal-Phase Conventional and Capillary HPLC

Jae Jeong Ryoo

Dept. of Chemistry Education, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea. E-mail: jjryoo@knu.ac.kr
Received August 29, 2008, Accepted February 18, 2009

Key Words: PAH, Normal phase HPLC, Pirkle type π -acidic chiral stationary phase, Capillary HPLC

Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon; PAH) are stable compounds which have π -electrons in their ring structure, many of which were confirmed as carcinogens.¹⁻⁴ The most popular method regarding PAH analysis is chromatography. In regard to GC analysis for PAH, this method is expected to obtain enhanced resolved peaks, but it takes a lengthy analysis time with low sensitivity.⁵ The most popular chromatographic method regarding PAH analysis is GC-MS.^{6,7} Even though it showed a good resolution and high sensitivity, this method also has some limitations, having difficulties in the analysis of the structural isomers of PAHs and highly contaminated samples.⁸

HPLC is used alone or in conjunction with GC for exact quantification and shows a high selectivity in the resolution of PAH isomers, such as benzo(a)pyrene and benzo(e)pyrene.⁹ In addition, it is very useful in the analysis of various environmental samples, such as industrial and life sewage, without any pretreatment processing. In regard to HPLC analysis for PAH, the reversed-phase (RP) HPLC mode with an ODS (octadecylsilane; C18) column is commonly used.^{10,11} A C60 bonded stationary phase¹² and a micellar liquid chromatographic method¹³ were used for PAH analysis by means of the RP-HPLC mode. An amino silica was commonly used stationary phase for PAH analysis by a normal phase (NP) HPLC mode, but separation on the column was not positive.¹⁴ A poly(4-vinylpyridine) grafted silica was recently used as a stationary phase for PAH analysis by NP-HPLC mode and exhibited the best resolution in comparison to previous stationary phases by NP-HPLC.¹⁴

PAHs contain π -electron rich aromatic hydrocarbon rings.




Figure 1. Structures of seven PAHs used in this study.

Various kinds of π -acidic chiral stationary phases were developed in order to resolve the chiral compounds containing π -electron rich aromatic hydrocarbon rings.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ In this study, the resolution of seven PAH mixtures were performed by a normal phase HPLC instead of a popularly used reverse phase mode. The π -electron rich seven PAHs used in this study were benzene, naphthalene, anthracene, phenanthrene, pyrene, benzo(a)pyrene, and benzo(e)pyrene. The structures are illustrated in Figure 1. Two domestic π -acidic chiral stationary phases, (R)-phenylglycinol derived stationary phase (SP 1) and (S)-tert-leucinol derived one (SP 2), were used as the normal phase HPLC columns. The structures of two stationary phases are exhibited in Figure 2.

The results were compared with those from a poly(4-vinylpyridine) grafted silica gel column, which exhibited the best resolution regarding the PAH mixture.¹⁴ In addition, the (S)-tert-leucinol derived chiral stationary phase used in this study was packed into a capillary in order to achieve the separation of the PAH mixtures by a capillary HPLC.

Separation of the seven PAHs, including benzene (benzene, naphthalene, anthracene, phenanthrene, pyrene, benzo(a)-pyrene, benzo(e)pyrene) was performed in order to determine their separation patterns on the π -acidic (R)-phenylglycinol and (S)-tert-leucinol derived chiral stationary phases. The results are shown in Figure 3.

As shown in Figure 3, seven peaks are clearly separated on SP-1, but only five peaks (including an impurity peak) were recorded on SP-2. In comparing the retention times of each




Figure 2. Structures of two stationary phases.




Figure 3. Separation of seven PAHs on SP-1(a) and SP-2(b). Eluent; 10% IPA/hexane, flow rate; 1.5 mL/min, UV 254 nm.

compound, the elution orders were confirmed as follows: benzene, naphthalene, anthracene, phenanthrene, pyrene, benzo(e)pyrene, and benzo(a)pyrene on SP-1, and benzene, naphthalene, anthracene + phenanthrene, pyrene, benzo(e)-pyrene + benzo(a)pyrene on SP-2. Retention factors (k) and selectivity factors (α) of seven PAHs were calculated based on the chromatograms as illustrated in Figure 3 and shown in Table 1.

In a recent report, a poly(4-vinylpyridine) grafted silica gel column showed the best resolution among polystyrene-grafted silica, aminopropyl bonded silica, an ODS column, and a normal silica column in regards to the resolution of PAH mixtures. Therefore, the results of this study were compared with data obtained from the poly(4-vinylpyridine) grafted silica gel column (Table 2). As shown in Table 2, SP 1 exhibited the best resolution between benzene and naphthalene, and was similar to the poly(4-vinylpyridine) grafted silica gel column in regards to the resolution between naphthalene and anthracene, as well as between benzo(e)-pyrene and benzo(a)-pyrene. Therefore, SP 1 can be effectively used in PAH analysis. The reason for the successful resolution of the PAH mixtures on π -acidic stationary phases could not clearly be verified at this time. However, according to previous results,^{15,16} it is assumed that the resolution was deeply influenced by π - π interaction between the π -electron rich aromatic hydrocarbon rings in PAHs and the π -electron deficient 3,5-dinitro group in the π -acidic stationary phase.

On the other hand, the SP-2 was packed into a capillary for resolving the PAH mixture by using an environmentally

Table 1. Retention (k) and selectivity factors (α) for seven PAHs on SP 1 and SP 2

PAHs	SP 1		SP 2	
	k	α^{*a}	k	α^{*a}
Benzene	0.15	3.01	0.17	2.18
Naphthalene	0.46	1.96	0.37	1.68
Anthracene	0.90	1.16	0.62	1.00
Phenanthrene	1.04	1.99	0.62	1.58
Pyrene	2.07	1.46	0.98	1.33
Benzo[e]pyrene	3.02	1.20	1.30	1.08 ^b
Benzo[a]pyrene	3.62		1.40 ^b	

^aSelectivity factors of two adjacent peaks for this compound and next row compound. ^bThese values are different with the Figure 3. (In Figure 3, two peaks of benzo[a]pyrene and benzo[e]pyrene are overlapped.) It was obtained from more than seven times replica experiments.

Table 2. Retention (k) and selectivity factors (α) for selected PAHs on SP 1, SP 2, and VP₂₂

PAHs	SP 1		SP 2		VP ₂₂ ^a	
	k	α^b	k	α^b	K	α^b
Benzene	0.15	3.01	0.17	2.18	0.17	2.06
Naphthalene	0.46	1.96	0.37	1.68	0.35	2.17
Anthracene	0.90		0.62		0.76	
Benzo[e]pyrene	3.02	1.20	1.30	1.08 ^c	3.68	1.17
Benzo[a]pyrene	3.62		1.40 ^c		3.14	

^aVP₂₂ is name of a poly(4-vinylpyridine) grafted silica gel. All data were taken from reference 14. ^bSelectivity factors of two adjacent peaks for this compound and next row compound. ^cThese values are different with the Figure 3. See footnote of Table 1.




Figure 4. Separation of PAHs on SP-2 by capillary HPLC. Eluent; 10% IPA/hexane, flow rate; 10 μ L/min, UV 254 nm. Capillary length 10 cm, 0.25 mm ID. (1. Benzene, 2. Naphthalene, 3. Anthracene + phenanthrene, 4. Pyrene, 5. Benzo[e]pyrene and Benzo[a]pyrene, 6. impurity).

protective analysis method, known as capillary HPLC.¹⁹ Even though they showed low resolution pattern, the separation (Figure 4) was similar to the conventional HPLC (Figure 3b). Therefore, if the packing condition was to be improved,²⁰ the theoretical number and separation could be improved.

In conclusion, important environmental pollutants, PAH mixtures were effectively separated in the normal phase HPLC mode by using some Pirkle type chiral columns without any difficulties. This is considered to be an important application of the Pirkle type π -acidic chiral stationary phases (CSPs). Therefore, if numerous resolution results have been achieved with many PAH samples and various Pirkle-type CSPs, an effective new stationary phase, which can easily resolve highly complex PAH mixtures under normal phase conditions, can be developed.

Experimental Section

The HPLC system was consisted with a JASCO (Tokyo, Japan) PU-2080 Plus Intelligent HPLC Pump, a Rheodyne (Cotati, CA, USA) Model 7125 injector with a 20 μ L sample loop, and a JASCO UV-2075 Plus Intelligent UV/Vis Detector. A Model INJ-P4-100 Valco Micro Injector (sample volume: 100 nL) and Knauer Wellchrom Variable UV-VIS Detector K-2501 (Model No. A4180, cell volume: 35 nL) were used as injector and detector of the capillary HPLC system. All chromatographic data were obtained by using 10% 2-propanol in hexane as a mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min (10 μ L/min for capillary column). The column void volume was checked by injecting 1,3,5-tri-tert-butylbenzene, an unretained solute obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Co. All reagents and test chiral samples used in this study were obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Co. Solvents for HPLC analysis were purchased from the Merck Chemical Co. All testing PAHs were obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Co. and randomly selected in this laboratory. The mixed samples were prepared by dissolving approximately 5 mg or 5 μ L of each compound into 10.0 mL of dichloromethane. The injection volume was 3 μ L (1 μ L for capillary HPLC). The stationary phases used in this study were the same as used in previous work.¹⁵

A Model PU-2080 Plus Intelligent pump (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) was used for slurry packing of the capillary column (0.25 mm ID, 10 cm length). A capillary column washer was purchased from the Alltech Korea (Seoul, Korea). Preparation of the capillary column was completed by following

procedures outlined in the previous study.¹⁹

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2006-311-C00420).

References

1. Eschenbach, A.; Kastner, M.; Bierl, R.; Schaefer, G.; Morel, B. *Chromosphere* **1994**, 28, 683.
2. Saber, A.; Morel, G.; Paturel, L.; Jarosz, J.; Bouyer, M. M.; Vial, M. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* **1991**, 339, 716.
3. Freeman, J. J.; McKee, R. H.; Phyllips, R. D. *Toxicol. Ind. Health* **1990**, 6, 475.
4. Grimmer, G.; Brume, H. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **1987**, 78, 935.
5. Pyo, H. S.; Hong, J. E.; Lee, K. J.; Park, S. J.; Lee, W. *Korean Anal. Sci. & Tech.* **2000**, 13, 453.
6. Bonilla, N. B.; Vidal, J. L. M.; Frenich, A. G.; Gonzalez, R. R. *Talanta* **2009**, 78, 156.
7. Leite, N. F.; Zamora, P. P.; Grassi, M. T. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2008**, 1192, 273.
8. Jones, K. C.; Stratford, J. A.; Waterhouse, K. S.; Furlong, E. T.; Giger, W.; Hites, R. A.; Schaffner, C.; Johnston, A. E. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1989**, 23, 95.
9. Dong, M.; Locke, D. C.; Ferrand, E. *Anal. Chem.* **1976**, 48, 368.
10. Freeman, D. J.; Catteil, F. C. R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1990**, 24, 1581.
11. Mallik, A. K.; Rahman, M. M.; Czaun, M.; Takafuji, M.; Ihara, H. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2008**, 1187, 119.
12. Jinno, K.; Yamamoto, K.; Fetzer, J. C.; Biggs, W. R.; Wilton, R. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **1992**, 4(3), 187.
13. Mao, C.; McGill, K. E.; Tucker, S. A. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2004**, 27(12), 991.
14. Gautam, U. G.; Shundo, A.; Gautam, M. P.; Takafuji, M.; Ihara, H. *Chromatogr. A* **2008**, 1189, 77.
15. Ryoo, J. J.; Kim, T. H.; Im, S. H.; Jeong, Y. H.; Park, J. Y.; Choi, S. H.; Lee, K. P.; Park, J. H. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2003**, 987, 429.
16. Ryoo, J. J.; Park, J. B.; Kwon, H. Y.; Lee, J. W. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 28, 1042.
17. Ryoo, J. J.; Armstrong, D. W. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 28, 2103.
18. Ward, T. J. *Anal. Chem.* **2006**, 78, 3947.
19. Ryoo, J. J.; Song, Y.-A.; Park, J. Y.; Lee, K.-P.; Choi, S. H.; Hyun, M. H.; Ohta, K.; Fujimoto, C.; Jin, J.-Y.; Takeuchi, T.; Lee, J. W. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 27, 524.
20. Ryoo, J. J.; Jeong, Y. H.; Lee, K.-P.; Han, S. O.; Lee, J. H.; Kuen, J. K.; Han, S. O.; Hyun, M. H. *Microchemical Journal* **2001**, 68, 127.