

Synthesis and Characterization of $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})(\text{PEt}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})^\dagger$

Chang Yeon Lee, Gaehang Lee, Hongkyu Kang, Bo Keun Park, and Joon T. Park*

Department of Chemistry and School of Molecular Science (BK21), Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, Korea. *E-mail: joontpark@kaist.ac.kr

Received June 28, 2007

The title complex, $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})(\text{PEt}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$ (**2**), has been prepared by the reaction of excess C_{60} (4 equiv) with a tetrairidium complex $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_8(\text{PEt}_3)_4$ (**1**) in refluxing chlorobenzene in 40% yield as green crystals. Compound **2** has been characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV), spectroscopic methods (mass, IR, ^1H and ^{31}P NMR), and a single crystal X-ray diffraction study. The molecular structure reveals that the iridium atom of **2** is coordinated by two axial ligands of a hydrogen atom and a carbonyl group, and three equatorial ligands of two phosphorus atoms and an $\eta^2\text{-C}_{60}$ moiety. The CV study exhibits three reversible one-electron redox waves for the successive reductions of **2**, together with additional four redox waves due to free C_{60} reductions, which was formed by decomposition of **2** in the reduced states. The three reversible redox waves of **2** are shifted to more negative potentials by ca. 270 mV compared to free C_{60} , reflecting both metal-to- C_{60} π -back-donation and the electron-donating nature of the two phosphorus ligands.

Key Words : Metallofullerene, Iridium, Crystal structure, Cyclic voltammetry

Introduction

Since the first C_{60} transition metal complex with a direct metal- C_{60} bond, $\text{Pt}(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})^1$, has been reported, intensive studies in the field of exohedral metallofullerenes have been carried out to understand the effect of metal coordination on the chemical and physical properties of C_{60} .² Numerous $\eta^2\text{-C}_{60}$ monometallic transition metal complexes have been reported for Ta, Mo, W, Fe, Ru, Co, Rh, Ir, Ni, Pd, and Pt metals.²⁻⁵ Subsequent development in C_{60} -metal π -complex chemistry has led to the syntheses of $\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_{60}$ complexes for Re, Ru, and Ir metals⁶ and $\mu_3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_{60}$ complexes for Re, Ru, Os, Rh, and Ir metals.⁷

The hydrido iridium compound, $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3$, is a well-known catalyst for both hydrogenation and isomerization catalysts of alkenes and alkynes.⁸ Its fullerene-substituted compound, $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$ (**3**),⁹ has been previously reported from the reaction of C_{60} with octahedral $\text{Ir}(\text{H})_2(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ by elimination of HCl, but has not been structurally characterized, although a chloro analogue of **3** was prepared from the reaction of C_{60} with $\text{Ir}(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ and its crystal structure was reported.¹⁰ Herein we report full details of synthetic, structural, spectroscopic, and electrochemical characterization of $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})(\text{PEt}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$ (**2**), a triethylphosphine analogue of **3**, isolated serendipitously from the reaction of the tetrakis-triethylphosphine-substituted compound $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_8(\text{PEt}_3)_4$ (**1**) with C_{60} .

Experimental Section

General Techniques. All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere with use of standard Schlenk

techniques. Solvents were dried over the appropriate drying agents and distilled immediately before use. C_{60} (99.5%, SES Research) and PEt_3 (1.0 M THF solution, Aldrich) were used without further purification, and $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_8(\text{PEt}_3)_4$ (**1**) was prepared according to the literature procedure.¹¹ Preparative thin layer plates (tlc) were prepared with silica gel GF₂₅₄ (Type 60, E. Merck). Infrared spectra were obtained on a Bruker EQUINOX-55 FT-IR spectrophotometer. ^1H NMR (400 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometer and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (122 MHz) spectrum were recorded on a Bruker AM-300 spectrometer. Positive ion FAB mass spectra (FAB⁺) were obtained by the staff of the Korea Basic Science Institute and all m/z values were referenced to ^{193}Ir . Elemental analyses were provided by the staff of the Energy and Environment Research Center at KAIST.

Preparation of $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})(\text{PEt}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$ (2**).** A chlorobenzene solution (30 mL) of $\text{Ir}_4(\text{CO})_8(\text{PEt}_3)_4$ (**1**, 30 mg, 0.020 mmol) and an excess of C_{60} (58 mg, 0.080 mmol, 4 equiv) was heated to reflux for 10 h with stirring and the color changed to a green solution. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by preparative TLC with a CS_2 eluent. Recrystallization in CS_2 with EtOH as a layering solvent afforded compound **2** (9 mg, 0.008 mmol, 40%, $R_f = 0.56$) as black crystals. IR (CH_2Cl_2) $\nu_{\text{Ir-H}}$ 2091(m), ν_{CO} 2091(m); ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 298 K) δ 2.23 (m, 12H, $\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.34 (dt, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$ Hz; $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 15.9$ Hz, 18H, $\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_3$), -9.70 (t, $^2J_{\text{PH}} = 18.8$ Hz, 1H, Ir-H); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR ($\text{CS}_2/\text{THF-d}_4$, 298 K, CO region) δ 179.1 (br, 1CO), $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR ($\text{CS}_2/\text{THF-d}_4$, 298 K, C_{60} region) δ 167.1(2C), 165.4(2C), 148.3(2C), 148.0(2C), 147.0(1C), 146.8(1C), 145.8(2C), 145.7(2C), 145.3(2C), 145.0(2C), 144.9(2C), 144.8(6C), 144.3(1C), 144.2(1C), 143.8(2C), 143.7(2C), 143.6(2C), 143.5(2C), 143.3(2C), 142.7(2C), 142.6(2C), 142.4(2C), 142.3(2C), 142.0(2C), 141.9(2C), 141.6(2C),

[†]This paper is dedicated to Professor Sang Chul Shim on the occasion of his honorable retirement.

141.5(2C), 134.7(2C), 134.3(2C), 60.3 (t, $J_{\text{PC}} = 12.5$ Hz, 2C, sp³ carbon). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K) δ -6.9 (s, 2P, PEt₃). MS (FAB⁺): m/z : 1178 [M^+]. Anal. Calc. for C₇₃H₃₁P₂OIr·0.5CS₂: C, 72.6; H 2.57, Found: C, 73.2; H, 2.58.

Electrochemical Measurements. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out on a AUTOLAB (PGSTAT 10, Eco Chemie, Netherlands) electrochemical analyzer using the conventional three electrode system of a platinum working electrode (1.6 mm diameter disk, Bioanalytical Systems, Inc.), a platinum counter wire electrode (5 cm length of 0.5 mm diameter wire), and a Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode (0.1 M AgNO₃/Ag in acetonitrile with a VycorTM salt bridge). All the measurements were performed at ambient temperature under nitrogen atmosphere in a dry deoxygenated 0.1 M chlorobenzene solution of [(*n*-Bu)₄N]ClO₄. The concentrations of compounds were *ca.* 3×10^{-4} M. All potentials were referenced to the standard ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) scale. Relative number of electrons involved in each reduction process was obtained from the graph of current vs. (time)^{-1/2} according to the Cottrell equation.

X-ray Data Collection and Structure Determination of 2. Crystals of **2** suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were obtained by slow diffusion of ethanol into CS₂ solution. Data collection was carried out on a Bruker SMART diffractometer/CCD area detector at 293 K. Preliminary orientation matrix and cell constants were determined from three series of ω scans at different start angles. The hemisphere of reflection data were collected at scan interval of 0.5° ω

within a exposure time of 20 seconds per frame. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, but no correction for crystal decay was applied. Absorption corrections were performed using SADABS.¹² Relevant crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1. Structure was solved by direct¹³ and difference Fourier methods and was refined by full-matrix least-squares methods based on F^2 (SHELX 97).¹⁴ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal coefficients. The metal hydride was located from the difference electron density map and refined with an isotropic thermal parameter.

Crystallographic data for the structure reported here have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (Deposition No. CCDC-656226). The data can be obtained free of charge *via* www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization of 2. In our previous work, reaction of Ir₄(CO)₈(PMe₃)₄ (**4**) with excess (4 equiv) C₆₀ in refluxing 1,2-dichlorobenzene, followed by treatment with CNCH₂Ph at 70 °C, affords a square-planar tetrairidium complex with two C₆₀ ligands and a face-capping methylidyne ligand, Ir₄(CO)₃(μ_4 -CH)(PMe₃)₂(μ -PMe₂)(CNCH₂Ph)(μ - η^2 : η^2 -C₆₀)(μ_4 - η^1 : η^1 : η^2 : η^2 -C₆₀) (**5**) as the major product as shown in the bottom of Scheme 1.¹⁵ In order to prepare a μ_4 -CCH₃ analogue of **5**, a similar reaction of tetrakis triethylphosphine-substituted compound Ir₄(CO)₈(PEt₃)₄ (**1**) with C₆₀ was carried out, unexpectedly, to give a hydrido mono-iridium fullerene complex Ir(H)(CO)(PEt₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀) (**2**) by fragmentation of the tetrairidium cluster framework (the top of Scheme 1).

Formulation of **2** is supported by elemental analysis and by the molecular ion (M^+) multiplet in the positive ion FAB mass spectrum (MS). The M^+ multiplet in the MS of **2** matches perfectly the calculated pattern: the highest peaks in the M^+ multiplet (m/z , found, calcd) are 1178, 1178. Compound **2** is soluble in common solvents such as THF, dichloromethane, chloroform, and carbon disulfide to form a green solution. This new compound decomposes slowly in solution at room temperature and must be kept in the refrigerator.


The IR spectrum of **2** shows an Ir-H stretching band at 2091 cm⁻¹, which compares with those at 2082 (Rh-H) and 2102 (Ir-H) cm⁻¹ in analogous complexes such as Rh(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀)^{3a} and Ir(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀)⁹ respectively.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** exhibit a hydride resonance at -9.70 ppm as a triplet ($J_{\text{PH}} = 18.8$ Hz), which is typical for a hydride cis to two equivalent phosphorus atoms. The hydride resonances of Rh(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀) and Ir(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀) appeared at -9.33 ppm with $J_{\text{PH}} = 8.4$ Hz and -9.02 ppm with $J_{\text{PH}} = 17.3$ Hz, respectively.^{3a,9} The ethyl proton resonances appear at 2.23 (m, 12H) for the

Table 1. Crystal and structure determination data for **2**·0.5CS₂

Ir(H)(CO)(PEt ₃) ₂ (η^2 -C ₆₀)	
formula	C ₇₃ H ₃₁ P ₂ OIr·0.5CS ₂
fw	1216.18
cryst system	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/n$
<i>a</i> , Å	14.9465(4)
<i>b</i> , Å	16.5758(4)
<i>c</i> , Å	18.8214(5)
α , deg.	90
β , deg.	108.448(1)
γ , deg.	90
<i>V</i> , Å ³	4423.4(2)
<i>Z</i>	4
<i>D</i> _{calcd} , g cm ⁻³	1.826
temp, K	293(2)
λ (Mo K α), Å	0.71073
μ , mm ⁻¹	3.197
θ range for collection	$1.53^\circ < \theta < 28.30^\circ$
no. of rflns measd	40047
no. of unique rflns	10898
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0312
goodness of fit	1.055
<i>R</i> ₁ ^a	0.0407
w <i>R</i> 2 ^b	0.1102

$$^a R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|, ^b wR2 = [\sum \omega(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum \omega(F_o^2)^2]^{1/2}.$$



Scheme 1




Figure 1. ^{13}C NMR spectrum (100 MHz, $\text{CS}_2/\text{THF}-d_4$, 298 K, C_{60} region) of **2**.

methylene moieties and 1.34 (dt, 18H, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.8$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 15.9$ Hz) for the methyl groups. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum reveals a singlet at -6.9 ppm which is shifted to the higher field compared to that at 8.3 ppm of $\text{Ir}(\text{H})(\text{CO})-(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$.⁹

The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **2** reveals a broad signal at 179.1 ppm for the carbonyl carbon of the one carbonyl ligand. The C_s symmetric nature of **2** in solution shows the required number of ^{13}C NMR resonances due to the C_{60} ligand, 31 sp^2 carbon resonances [$4 \times 1\text{C}$ (denoted as \blacktriangle), $24 \times 2\text{C}$, $1 \times 6\text{C}$ (accidental coincidence of three resonances)] in the region of $\delta 170$ – 130 and one sp^3 carbon resonance due to the two sp^3 carbons bonded to the metal center exhibits a triplet at $\delta 60.3$ (Figure 1). The chemical shifts of the C_{60} sp^2 and sp^3 carbon atoms for exohedral metallofullerenes are typically in the regions $\delta 175$ – 135 and 85 – 50 , respectively. In particular, the sp^2 carbon atoms adjacent to the sp^3 ones (referred to as C2 atoms) generally resonate at uniquely low fields above *ca.* 155 ppm, whereas those adjacent to the C2 atoms (referred to as C3 atoms) typically appear in the high field region below *ca.* 140 ppm.^{2b} Therefore, the two low field resonances at $\delta 167.1(2\text{C})$ and $165.4(2\text{C})$ of **2** can be assigned to C2 type carbons and two high field resonances at $\delta 134.7(2\text{C})$ and $134.3(2\text{C})$ can be assigned to the C3 type




Figure 2. Cyclic voltammogram of **2** in dry deoxygenated chlorobenzene (0.1 M $[(n\text{-Bu})_4\text{N}]\text{ClO}_4$). Scan rate = 50 mV/s.


carbons of C_{60} ligand. The C_s symmetric complex, $\text{Rh}(\text{NO})-(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$, has been previously reported to show 31 sp^2 and one sp^3 resonance including the two low field C2 resonances around 160 ppm and the two high field C3 resonances around 136 ppm by Green and coworkers.^{2b}

Electrochemical Study of 2. The electrochemical property of **2** has been examined by cyclic voltammetry in chlorobenzene (CB) solution with tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting electrolyte. The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of **2** is shown in Figure 2 and reveals seven reversible redox couples in the CB potential window. Their half-wave potentials are provided, together with free C_{60} ¹⁶ and other known Group 9 and 10 transition metal $\eta^2\text{-C}_{60}$ complexes with phosphine ligands for comparison in Table 2.

The three redox waves at -1.33 , -1.70 , and -2.16 V are due to three successive one-electron reductions localized at C_{60} of **2** corresponding to formation of 2^- , 2^{2-} , and 2^{3-} . The other four waves (denoted as \bullet) at -1.08 , -1.44 , -1.94 , and -2.45 V are assigned to redox reactions of free C_{60} formed by decomposition of **2** in the reduced states during cyclic voltammetry. It is well documented that most of the known $\eta^2\text{-C}_{60}$ transition metal complexes are unstable in the reduced states to release metal fragment and formations of the anions C_{60}^- , C_{60}^{2-} , and C_{60}^{3-} , respectively, although $\text{Rh}(\text{H})(\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{CH}_2\text{PPh}_2)_3)(\eta^2\text{-C}_{60})$ complexes display exceptional stability

Table 2. Half-wave potentials ($E_{1/2}$ vs $E_{\text{Fc/Fc}^+}^0$) of C₆₀, free C₆₀ with **2**, and **2**

compound	$E_{1/2}^{0/-1}$	$E_{1/2}^{-1/-2}$	$E_{1/2}^{-2/-3}$	$E_{1/2}^{-3/-4}$	solvent	ref
C ₆₀	-1.06	-1.43	-1.91	-2.38	CB	16
a mixture of C ₆₀ with 2 (denoted as •)	-1.08	-1.44	-1.94	-2.45	CB	This work
Ir(H)(CO)(PEt ₃) ₂ (η^2 -C ₆₀) (2)	-1.33	-1.70	-2.16		CB	This work
Rh(H)(CH ₃ C(CH ₂ PPh ₂) ₃)(η^2 -C ₆₀)	-1.37	-1.75			DCB	17
Co(NO)(PPh ₃)(η^2 -C ₆₀)	-1.17	-1.72	-2.25		THF	6c
Pt(PEt ₃) ₂ (η^2 -C ₆₀)	-1.20	-1.73	-2.27		THF	18a
Pd(PEt ₃) ₂ (η^2 -C ₆₀)	-1.18	-1.69	-2.23		THF	18a
Ni(PEt ₃) ₂ (η^2 -C ₆₀)	-1.20	-1.74	-2.32		THF	18a

**Figure 3.** Molecular geometry and atomic-labeling scheme for **2**.

both thermally and electrochemically.¹⁷ The three redox waves of **2** are shifted to more negative potentials than those of free C₆₀ by 270, 270, and 250 mV, respectively, because of both the metal-to-C₆₀ π -back-donation and the electron donating nature of the phosphine ligands. Comparable cathodic shifts have been observed previously in other phosphine-substituted complexes such as (Et₃P)₂M(η^2 -C₆₀) (M = Ni, Pd, Pt),¹⁸ and Rh(H)(CH₃C(CH₂PPh₂)₃)(η^2 -C₆₀)¹⁷ as listed in Table 2. The electron donating addenda to C₆₀ are known to raise the C₆₀-localized LUMO energy and thus decrease the electron affinity of C₆₀, resulting in the observed cathodic shift. Complex **2**, however, is relatively electrochemically stable compared to other known η^2 -C₆₀ complexes, which generally undergo fast decomposition in the reduced states.

Molecular Structure of 2. The overall geometry and atomic labeling scheme of **2** are illustrated in Figure 3, and selected interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 3. The iridium atom of **2** is coordinated by two axial ligands of a hydrogen atom and a carbonyl group, and three equatorial ligands of two phosphorus atoms and an η^2 -C₆₀ moiety. The C₆₀ ligand is bound to the iridium atom in an η^2 fashion at the 6-6 ring junction, as generally found in other

Table 3. Selected interatomic distances (Å), angles (deg), and Esd's for **2**

Bond Lengths (Å)			
Ir-P1	2.281(6)	Ir-P2	2.394(6)
Ir-C1	2.17(2)	Ir-C2	2.15(2)
Ir-H	1.5(2)	C1-C2	1.46(3)
Angles (deg)			
Ir-C1-C2	70(1)	P1-Ir-P2	87.8(2)
Ir-C2-C1	71(1)	C1-Ir-H	98(7)
C1-Ir-P1	117.0(6)	C2-Ir-H	94(7)
C1-Ir-P2	104.0(6)	P1-Ir-H	81(7)
C2-Ir-P1	155.7(5)	P2-Ir-H	157(7)
C2-Ir-P2	103.1(5)		

η^2 -C₆₀ metallofullerens. The general structural features of **2** are similar to those of the structurally characterized Ir(Cl)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀) and Rh(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀) complexes. The Ir-C(carbonyl) bond length (1.932(6) Å) of **2** compares with the Rh-C(carbonyl) bond length (1.934(9) Å) of Rh(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀).^{3a} The Ir-H bond length (1.57(6) Å) is comparable to the Rh-H bond length (1.5(2) Å) observed in Rh(H)(CH₃C(CH₂PPh₂)₃)(η^2 -C₆₀).¹⁷ The hydride ligand is directly located from the crystallographic study at the opposite axial position of the carbonyl ligand. The distance of the C1-C2 bond coordinated to the iridium atom is 1.507(8) Å (cf. 1.53(3) Å in Ir(Cl)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀),¹⁰ 1.46(3) Å in Rh(H)(CH₃C(CH₂PPh₂)₃)(η^2 -C₆₀),¹⁷ and 1.48(1) Å in Rh(H)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀)^{3a}). This bond is elongated due to the metal-to-C₆₀ π -back-donation relative to 1.38 Å for an unperturbed (6,6)-bond. The Ir-C(C₆₀) bond distances are Ir-C1 = 2.146(5) Å and Ir-C2 = 2.164(5) Å, which are comparable to those of average 2.19(2) Å for Ir(Cl)(CO)(PPh₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀),¹⁰ and 2.16(2) Å for Rh(H)-(CH₃C(CH₂PPh₂)₃)(η^2 -C₆₀).¹⁷

All other features of the molecular geometry are within the expected ranges. The average C-C bond length of the C₆₀ moiety at the junction of the 5,6 ring is 1.536(8) Å and that at the junction of the 6,6 ring is 1.37(1) Å.

Conclusion

Hydrido monoiridium fullerene complex Ir(H)(CO)-(PEt₃)₂(η^2 -C₆₀) (**2**) has been prepared by the reaction of tetrakis(triethylphosphine)-substituted compound Ir₄(CO)₈-

(PEt₃)₄ (1) with C₆₀ in refluxing chlorobenzene. Compound **2** has been fully characterized by various spectroscopic (IR, MS, ¹H and ¹³C-NMR) methods, cyclic voltammetry, and X-ray diffraction study. Compound **2** is, to our knowledge, the first example of structurally characterized hydrido iridium η^2 -C₆₀ complex.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD) (KRF-2005-201-C00021) and by the Nano R&D program (Grant No. 2005-02618) of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) funded by Korean Ministry of Science & Technology (MOST). This work was also supported in part by the SRC program (Grant No. R11-2005-008-03001-0) of the KOSEF through the Center for Intelligent Nano-Bio Materials at Ewha Womans University.

References

1. Fagan, P. J.; Calabrese, J. C.; Malone, B. *Science* **1991**, 252, 1160.
2. (a) Balch, A. L.; Olmstead, M. M. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, 98, 2123. (b) Stephens, A. H. H.; Green, M. L. H. *Adv. Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, 44, 1. (c) Bowser, J. R. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, 36, 57.
3. (a) Balch, A. L.; Lee, J. W.; Noll, B. C.; Olmstead, M. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, 32, 3577. (b) Ishii, Y.; Hashi, H.; Hamada, Y.; Hidai, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1994**, 801.
4. (a) Balch, A. L.; Catalano, V. J.; Lee, J. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, 30, 3980. (b) Koefod, R. S.; Hudgens, M. F.; Shapley, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, 113, 8957. (c) Fagan, P. J.; Calabrese, J. C.; Malone, B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1992**, 25, 134.
5. (a) Douthwaite, R. E.; Green, M. L. H.; Stephens, A. H. H.; Turner, J. F. C. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1993**, 1522. (b) Green, M. L. H.; Stephens, A. H. H. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 793. (c) Hsu, H.-F.; Du, Y.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E.; Wilson, S. R.; Shapley, J. R. *Organometallics* **1998**, 17, 1756.
6. (a) Rasinkangas, M.; Pakkanen, T. T.; Pakkanen, T. A.; Ahlgr n, M.; Rouvinen, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, 115, 4901. (b) Mavunkal, I. J.; Chi, Y.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. *Organometallics* **1995**, 14, 4454. (c) Chernega, A. N.; Green, M. L. H.; Haggitt, J.; Stephens, A. H. H. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 755.
7. (a) Lee, K.; Song, H.; Park, J. T. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, 36, 78. (b) Hsu, H.-F.; Shapley, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 118, 9192. (c) Lee, K.; Hsu, H.-F.; Shapley, J. R. *Organometallics* **1997**, 16, 3876. (d) Park, J. T.; Song, H.; Cho, J.-J.; Chung, M.-K.; Lee, J.-H.; Suh, I.-H. *Organometallics* **1998**, 17, 227. (e) Song, H.; Lee, K.; Park, J. T.; Choi, M.-G. *Organometallics* **1998**, 17, 4477.
8. (a) Wilkinson, G.; Schunn, R. A.; Peet, W. G. *Inorg. Synthesis* **1972**, 13, 126. (b) Vaska, L. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters* **1965**, 1, 89. (c) Schunn, R. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1970**, 9, 2567.
9. Schreiner, S.; Gallaber, T. N.; Parsons, H. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, 33, 3021.
10. Balch, A. L.; Catalano, V. J.; Lee, J. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, 30, 3980.
11. Drakesmith, A. J.; Whyman, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1973**, 362.
12. Sheldrick, G. M. *SADABS-A Program for Area Detector Absorption Corrections*; University of G ttingen: Germany, 1994.
13. Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **1990**, 46, 467.
14. Sheldrick, G. M. *SHELX97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement*; University of G ttingen: Germany, 1997.
15. Lee, G.; Cho, Y.-J.; Park, B. K.; Lee, K.; Park, J. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 13920.
16. Song, H.; Lee, Y.; Choi, Z.-H.; Lee, K.; Park, J. T.; Kwak, J.; Choi, M.-G. *Organometallics* **1998**, 17, 4477.
17. Song, H.; Lee, K.; Park, J. T.; Suh, I.-H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, 584, 361.
18. (a) Lerke, S. A.; Parkinson, B. A.; Evans, D. H.; Fagan, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 7807. (b) Koefod, R. S.; Xu, C.; Lu, W.; Shapley, J. R.; Hill, M. G.; Mann, K. R. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, 96, 2928.